Hindawi Publishing Corporation Boundary Value Problems Volume 2008, Article ID 389028, 18 pages doi:10.1155/2008/389028

Research Article

On Periodic Solutions of Higher-Order Functional Differential Equations

I. Kiguradze,¹ N. Partsvania,¹ and B. Půža²

¹ Andrea Razmadze Mathematical Institute, 1 Aleksidze Street, 0193 Tbilisi, Georgia

Correspondence should be addressed to I. Kiguradze, kig@rmi.acnet.ge

Received 8 September 2007; Accepted 23 January 2008

Recommended by Donal O'Regan

For higher-order functional differential equations and, particularly, for nonautonomous differential equations with deviated arguments, new sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution are established.

Copyright © 2008 I. Kiguradze et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Statement of the main results

1.1. Statement of the problem

Let $n \ge 2$ be a natural number, $\omega > 0$, L_{ω} the space of ω -periodic and Lebesgue integrable on $[0, \omega]$ functions $u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$||u||_{L_{\omega}} = \int_{0}^{\omega} |u(s)| ds.$$
 (1.1)

Let C_{ω} and C_{ω}^{n-1} be, respectively, the spaces of continuous and (n-1)-times continuously differentiable ω -periodic functions with the norms

$$||u||_{C_{\omega}} = \max\{|u(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \qquad ||u||_{C_{\omega}^{n-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} ||u^{(k-1)}||_{C_{\omega}},$$
(1.2)

and let $\widetilde{C}_{\omega}^{n-1}$ be the space of functions $u \in C_{\omega}^{n-1}$ for which $u^{(n-1)}$ is absolutely continuous.

² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Masaryk University, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 66295 Brno, Czech Republic

We consider the functional differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f(u)(t), (1.3)$$

whose important particular case is the differential equation with deviated arguments

$$u^{(n)}(t) = g(t, u(\tau_1(t)), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(\tau_n(t))). \tag{1.4}$$

Throughout the paper, it is assumed that $f: C_{\omega}^{n-1} \to L_{\omega}$ is a continuous operator satisfying the condition

$$f_r^*(\cdot) = \sup\{|f(u)(\cdot)| : ||u|| \le r\} \in L_\omega \text{ for any } r > 0,$$
 (1.5)

and $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function from the Carathéodory class, satisfying the equality

$$g(t+\omega,x_1,\ldots,x_n)=g(t,x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$
(1.6)

for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As for the functions $\tau_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ $(k = 1, \dots, n)$, they are measurable on each finite interval and

$$\frac{\left(\tau_k(t+\omega) - \tau_k(t)\right)}{\omega} \text{ is an integer } (k=1,\ldots,n)$$
 (1.7)

for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

A function $u \in \tilde{C}_{\omega}^{n-1}$ is said to be an ω -periodic solution of (1.3) or (1.4) if it satisfies this equation almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} .

For the case $\tau_k(t) \equiv t(k=1,\ldots,n)$, the problem on the existence and uniqueness of an ω -periodic solution of (1.4) has been investigated in detail (see, e.g., [1–18] and the references therein). For (1.3) and (1.4), where $\tau_k(t) \not\equiv t$ ($k=1,\ldots,n$), the mentioned problem is studied mainly in the cases $n \in \{1,2\}$ (see [19–31]), and for the case n > 2, the problem remains so far unstudied. The present paper is devoted exactly to this case.

Everywhere below the following notation will be used:

$$v_k = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right)^{n-k-2} \quad (k = 0, ..., n-2), \quad v_{n-1} = 1,$$
 (1.8)

$$[x]_{-} = (|x| - x)/2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (1.9)

$$\mu(u) = \min\{|u(t)| : 0 \le t \le \omega\} \quad \text{for } u \in C_{\omega}. \tag{1.10}$$

1.2. Existence theorems

The existence of an ω -periodic solution of (1.3) is proved in the cases where the operator f in the space C_{ω}^{n-1} satisfies the conditions

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} f(u)(s)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) \ge h(\mu(u)) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}} - c \quad \text{for } \mu(u) > 0,$$
 (1.11)

$$\left| \int_{t}^{x} f(u)(s) ds \right| \le \ell h(\mu(u)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{2k} \| u^{(k)} \|_{C_{\omega}} + c \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le x \le \omega,$$
 (1.12)

or the conditions

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} f(u)(s)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } \mu(u) > c_{0}, \tag{1.13}$$

$$\left| \int_{t}^{x} f(u)(s) ds \right| \le c_{0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_{k} \| u^{(k)} \|_{C_{\omega}} \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le x \le \omega.$$
 (1.14)

Theorem 1.1. Let there exist an increasing function $h: [0, +\infty[\to [0, +\infty[$ and constants $c \ge 0, \ell_{ik} \ge 0 \ (i = 1, 2; k = 1, ..., n - 1), \ell \ge 1$, and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that $h(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to +\infty$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\ell \ell_{1k} + \ell_{2k}) \nu_k < 1, \tag{1.15}$$

and inequalities (1.11) and (1.12) are satisfied in the space C_{ω}^{n-1} . Then (1.3) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Theorem 1.2. Let there exist constants $c_0 \ge 0$, $\ell_k \ge 0$ (k = 0, ..., n - 1), and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_k \nu_k < 1, \tag{1.16}$$

and inequalities (1.13) and (1.14) are satisfied in the space C_{ω}^{n-1} . Then (1.3) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 imply the following propositions.

Corollary 1.3. Let there exist constants $\lambda > 0$, $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$, and functions $p_{ik} \in L_{\omega}$ (i, k = 1, ..., n), $q \in L_{\omega}$ such that the inequalities

$$g(t, x_{1}, ..., x_{n}) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma x_{1}) \geq p_{11}(t) |x_{1}|^{\lambda} - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{1k}(t) |x_{k}| - q(t),$$

$$|g(t, x_{1}, ..., x_{n})| \leq p_{21}(t) |x_{1}|^{\lambda} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{2k}(t) |x_{k}| + q(t)$$
(1.17)

hold on the set $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let, moreover,

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} p_{11}(t)dt > 0, \tag{1.18}$$

and either $\lambda < 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \nu_{k-1} \int_{0}^{\omega} (\ell p_{1k}(s) + p_{2k}(s)) ds < 1, \tag{1.19}$$

or $\lambda = 1$ and

$$v_0 \int_0^\omega \left(\ell \left[p_{11}(s) \right]_- + p_{21}(s) \right) ds + \sum_{k=2}^n v_{k-1} \int_0^\omega \left(\ell p_{1k}(s) + p_{2k}(s) \right) ds < 1, \tag{1.20}$$

where $\ell = \int_0^\omega p_{21}(t)dt/\int_0^\omega p_{11}(t)dt$. Then (1.4) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Corollary 1.4. Let there exist constants $c_0 \ge 0$, $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$, and functions $g_0 \in L_\omega$, $p_k \in L_\omega$ (k = 1,...,n), $q \in L_\omega$ such that

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} g_0(s)ds = 0 {(1.21)}$$

and the inequalities

$$(g(t, x_1, ..., x_n) - g_0(t))\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma x_1) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } |x_1| > c_0,$$

$$|g(t, x_1, ..., x_n)| \le \sum_{k=1}^n p_k(t)|x_k| + q(t)$$
(1.22)

hold on the set $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. If, moreover,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu_{k-1} \int_{0}^{\omega} p_{k}(s) ds < 1, \tag{1.23}$$

then (1.4) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

1.3. Uniqueness theorems

The unique solvability of a periodic problem for (1.3) is proved in the cases where the operator f, for any u and $v \in C_w^{n-1}$, satisfies the conditions:

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left(f(u+v)(s) - f(v)(s)\right) ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) \ge \ell_{10}\mu(u) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \quad \text{for } \mu(u) > 0,$$
(1.24)

$$\left| \int_{t}^{x} (f(u+v)(s) - f(v)(s)) ds \right| \le \ell_{20}\mu(u) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{2k} \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le x \le \omega, \tag{1.25}$$

or the conditions

$$\left(\int_{0}^{\omega} \left(f(u+v)(s) - f(v)(s)\right) ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) > 0 \quad \text{for } \mu(u) > 0, \tag{1.26}$$

$$\left| \int_{t}^{x} \left(f(u+v)(s) - f(v)(s) \right) ds \right| \le \ell_0 \|u\|_{C_{\omega}} \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le x \le \omega. \tag{1.27}$$

Theorem 1.5. Let there exist constants $\ell_{20} \geq \ell_{10} > 0$, $\ell_{ik} \geq 0$ (i = 1, 2; k = 1, ..., n - 1), and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that for arbitrary $u, v \in C_{\omega}^{n-1}$ the operator f satisfies inequalities (1.24) and (1.25). If, moreover, inequality (1.15) holds, where $\ell = \ell_{20}/\ell_{10}$, then (1.3) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

Theorem 1.6. Let there exist constants $\ell_0 > 0$ and $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that for arbitrary $u, v \in C_{\omega}^{n-1}$ an operator f satisfies conditions (1.26) and (1.27). If, moreover,

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} f(0)(s)ds = 0, \qquad \ell_{0}\nu_{0} < 1, \tag{1.28}$$

then (1.3) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

From Theorem 1.5, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1.7. Let there exist a constant $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ and functions $p_{ik} \in L_{\omega}$ (i = 1,2; k = 1,...,n) such that for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $(x_1,...,x_n)$ and $(y_1,...,y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the conditions

$$(g(t,x_{1},...,x_{n}) - g(t,y_{1},...,y_{n}))\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma(x_{1} - y_{1})) \ge p_{11}(t)|x_{1} - y_{1}| - \sum_{k=2}^{n} p_{1k}(t)|x_{k} - y_{k}|,$$

$$|g(t,x_{1},...,x_{n}) - g(t,y_{1},...,y_{n})| \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{2k}(t)|x_{k} - y_{k}|$$

$$(1.29)$$

are satisfied. If, moreover, inequalities (1.18) and (1.20) hold, where $\ell = \int_0^{\omega} p_{21}(s) ds / \int_0^{\omega} p_{11}(s) ds$, then (1.4) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

Note that the functions p_{1k} (k = 2,...,n) and p_{2k} (k = 1,...,n) in this corollary as in Corollary 1.3 are nonnegative, and p_{11} may change its sign.

Consider now the equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = g(t, u(\tau(t))), \tag{1.30}$$

which is derived from (1.4) in the case where $g(t, x_1, ..., x_n) \equiv g(t, x_1)$ and $\tau_1(t) \equiv \tau(t)$. As above, we will assume that the function $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Carathéodory class and

$$g(t + \omega, x) = g(t, x) \tag{1.31}$$

for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. As for the function $\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, it is measurable on each finite interval and

$$\frac{\left(\tau(t+\omega) - \tau(t)\right)}{\omega} \text{ is an integer} \tag{1.32}$$

for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.6 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1.8. *Let there exist a constant* $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ *and a function* $p \in L_{\omega}$ *such that the condition*

$$0 < (g(t,x) - g(t,y))\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma(x-y)) \le p(t)|x-y| \tag{1.33}$$

holds for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \neq y$. If, moreover,

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} g(s,0)ds = 0, \qquad v_0 \int_{0}^{\omega} p(s)ds < 1, \tag{1.34}$$

then (1.30) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

2. Auxiliary propositions

2.1. Lemmas on a priori estimates

Everywhere in this section, we will assume that v_k (k = 0, ..., n - 1) are numbers given by (1.13).

Lemma 2.1. *If* $u \in C^{n-1}_{\omega}$, then

$$||u||_{C_{\omega}} \le \mu(u) + \nu_0 ||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_{\omega'}}$$
(2.1)

$$\|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le \nu_k \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \quad (k=1,\ldots,n-1).$$
 (2.2)

Proof. We choose $t_0 \in [0, \omega]$ so that

$$u(t_0) = \mu(u), \tag{2.3}$$

and suppose

$$v(t) = u(t) - u(t_0). (2.4)$$

Then $v(t_0) = v(t_0 + \omega) = 0$. Thus

$$\left|v(t)\right| = \left|\int_{t_0}^t v'(s)ds\right| \le \int_{t_0}^t \left|v'(s)\right|ds, \quad \left|v(t)\right| = \left|\int_{t}^{t_0+\omega} v'(s)ds\right| \le \int_{t}^{t_0+\omega} \left|v'(s)\right|ds \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \omega.$$

$$(2.5)$$

If we sum up these two inequalities, we obtain

$$2|v(t)| \le \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\omega} |v'(s)| ds \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \omega.$$
 (2.6)

Consequently,

$$||v||_{C_{\omega}} \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\omega} |v'(s)| ds.$$
 (2.7)

However,

$$||u||_{C_{\omega}} \le \mu(u) + ||v||_{C_{\omega}}, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\omega} |v'(s)| ds = \int_0^{\omega} |u'(s)| ds,$$
 (2.8)

which together with the previous inequality yields

$$||u||_{C_{\omega}} \le \mu(u) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\omega} |u'(s)| ds \le \mu(u) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{\omega} |u'(s)|^{2} ds \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

On the other hand, by the Wirtinger inequality (see [32, Theorem 258] and [13, Lemma 1.1]), we have

$$\int_{0}^{\omega} |u'(s)|^{2} ds \le \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right)^{2n-4} \int_{0}^{\omega} |u^{(n-1)}(s)|^{2} ds \le \omega \left(\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right)^{2n-4} ||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_{\omega}}^{2}.$$
 (2.10)

Consequently, estimate (2.1) is valid.

If now we take into account that $u^{(k)} \in C^{n-1-k}_{\omega}$ and $\mu(u^{(k)}) = 0$ (k = 1, ..., m), then the validity of estimates (2.2) becomes evident.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u \in C^{n-1}_{\omega}$ and

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le c_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_k \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}},$$
 (2.11)

where c_0 and ℓ_k (k = 0, ..., n - 1) are nonnegative constants. If, moreover,

$$\delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_k \nu_k < 1, \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C} \le (1-\delta)^{-1}(c_0 + \ell_0\mu(u)),$$
 (2.13)

$$||u||_{C_{\omega}^{n-1}} \le \mu(u) + (1-\delta)^{-1} (c_0 + \ell_0 \mu(u)) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k.$$
 (2.14)

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the function u satisfies inequalities (2.1) and (2.2). In view of these inequalities from (2.11) we find

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le c_0 + \ell_0 \mu(u) + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_k \nu_k\right) \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}}.$$
 (2.15)

Hence, by virtue of condition (2.12), we have estimate (2.13). On the other hand, according to (2.13), inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) result in (2.14). \Box

Lemma 2.3. Let $u \in C^{n-1}_{\omega}$ and

$$\mu(u) \le \varphi(\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}}), \qquad \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_k \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}},$$
 (2.16)

where $\varphi: [0, +\infty[\to [0, +\infty[$ is a nondecreasing function, $c_0 \ge 0$, $\ell_k \ge 0$ (k = 1, ..., n - 1), and

$$\delta = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_k \nu_k < 1. \tag{2.17}$$

Then

$$||u||_{C^{n-1}} \le r_0, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$r_0 = \varphi((1-\delta)^{-1}c_0) + (1-\delta)^{-1}c_0\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\nu_k.$$
(2.19)

Proof. Inequalities (2.16) and (2.17) imply inequalities (2.11) and (2.12), where $\ell_0 = 0$. However, by Lemma 2.2, these inequalities guarantee the validity of the estimates

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le (1-\delta)^{-1}c_0, \qquad \|u\|_{C_{\omega}^{n-1}} \le \mu(u) + (1-\delta)^{-1}c_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k.$$
 (2.20)

On the other hand, according to the first inequality in (2.16), we have

$$\mu(u) \le \varphi((1-\delta)^{-1}c_0).$$
 (2.21)

Consequently, estimate (2.18) is valid, where r_0 is a number given by equality (2.19).

Analogously, from Lemma 2.2, the following hold.

Lemma 2.4. Let $u \in C^{n-1}_{\omega}$ and

$$\mu(u) \le c_0, \qquad \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le c_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_k \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}},$$
 (2.22)

where $c_0 \ge 0$, $\ell_k \ge 0$ (k = 0, ..., n - 1). If, moreover, inequality (2.12) holds, then estimate (2.18) is valid, where

$$r_0 = \left[1 + (1 - \delta)^{-1} (1 + \ell_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k \right] c_0.$$
 (2.23)

2.2. Lemma on the solvability of a periodic problem

Below, by $C^{n-1}([0,\omega])$ we denote the space of (n-1)-times continuously differentiable functions $u:[0,\omega]\to\mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$||u||_{C^{n-1}([0,\omega])} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \max\{ |u^{(k-1)}(t)| : 0 \le t \le \omega \},$$
(2.24)

and by $L([0,\omega])$ we denote the space of Lebesgue integrable functions $u:[0,\omega]\to\mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$||u||_{L([0,\omega])} = \int_0^\omega |u(t)| dt.$$
 (2.25)

Consider the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \overline{f}(u)(t) \tag{2.26}$$

with the periodic boundary conditions

$$u^{(i-1)}(0) = u^{(i-1)}(\omega) \quad (i = 1, ..., n),$$
 (2.27)

where $\overline{f}:C^{n-1}([0,\omega])\to L([0,\omega])$ is a continuous operator such that

$$\overline{f}_r(\cdot) = \sup\{|\overline{f}(u)(\cdot)| : ||u||_{C^{n-1}([0,\omega])} \le r\} \in L([0,\omega])$$
(2.28)

for any r > 0. The following lemma is valid.

Lemma 2.5. Let there exist a linear, bounded operator $p:C^{n-1}([0,\omega])\to L([0,\omega])$ and a positive constant r_0 such that the linear differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = p(u)(t) (2.29)$$

with the periodic conditions (2.27) has only a trivial solution and for an arbitrary $\lambda \in]0,1[$ every solution of the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \lambda p(u)(t) + (1 - \lambda)f(u)(t), \tag{2.30}$$

satisfying condition (2.27), admits the estimate

$$||u||_{C^{n-1}([0,\omega])} \le r_0. \tag{2.31}$$

Then problem (2.26), (2.27) has at least one solution.

For the proof of this lemma see [33, Corollary 2].

Lemma 2.6. Let $f: C_{\omega}^{n-1} \to L_{\omega}$ be a continuous operator satisfying condition (1.5) for any r > 0. Let, moreover, there exist constants $a \neq 0$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for an arbitrary $\lambda \in]0,1[$, every ω -periodic solution of the functional differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \lambda a u(0) + (1 - \lambda) f(u)(t)$$
(2.32)

admits estimate (2.18). Then (1.3) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Proof. Let c_1, \ldots, c_n be arbitrary constants. Then the problem

$$y^{(2n)}(t) = 0, \quad y^{(i-1)}(0) = 0, \quad y^{(i-1)}(\omega) = c_i \quad (i = 1, ..., n)$$
 (2.33)

has a unique solution. Let us denote by $y(t; c_1, \dots, c_n)$ the solution of that problem.

For any $u \in C^{n-1}([0, \omega])$, we set

$$z(u)(t) = u(t) - y(t; u(\omega) - u(0), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(\omega) - u^{(n-1)}(0)) \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \omega,$$
 (2.34)

and extend $z(u)(\cdot)$ to \mathbb{R} periodically with a period ω . Then, it is obvious that $z:C^{n-1}([0,\omega])\to C^{n-1}_{\omega}$ is a linear, bounded operator.

Suppose

$$\overline{f}(u)(t) = f(z(u))(t). \tag{2.35}$$

Consider the boundary value problem (2.26), (2.27). If the function u is an ω -periodic solution of (1.3), then its restriction to $[0,\omega]$ is a solution of problem (2.26), (2.27), and vice versa, if u is a solution of problem (2.26), (2.27), then its periodic extension to \mathbb{R} with a period ω is an ω -periodic solution of (1.3). Thus to prove the lemma, it suffices to state that problem (2.26), (2.27) has at least one solution.

By virtue of equalities (2.34), (2.35) and condition (1.5), $\overline{f}: C^{n-1}([0,\omega]) \to L([0,\omega])$ is a continuous operator, satisfying condition (2.28) for any r > 0. On the other hand, it is evident that if $p(u)(t) \equiv \alpha u(0)$, then problem (2.29), (2.27) has only a trivial solution. By these conditions and Lemma 2.5, problem (2.26), (2.27) is solvable if for any $\lambda \in]0,1[$ every solution u of problem (2.30), (2.27), where $p(u)(t) \equiv \alpha u(0)$, admits estimate (2.31).

Let u be a solution of problem (2.30), (2.27) for some $\lambda \in]0,1[$. Then its periodic extension to \mathbb{R} with a period ω is a solution of (2.32), and according to one of the conditions of the lemma, admits estimate (2.18). Therefore, estimate (2.31) is valid.

3. Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that h(0) = 0. On the other hand, according to condition (1.15), we can choose a constant a so that $\sigma a > 0$ and the numbers

$$\ell_k = \ell_{1k} + \ell \ell_{2k} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-2), \quad \ell_{n-1} = \ell_{1n-1} + \ell \ell_{2n-1} + \omega \nu_0 |a|$$
 (3.1)

satisfy inequality (2.17).

Let

$$h_0(x) = \min\{|a|\omega x, h(x)\},\tag{3.2}$$

let h_0^{-1} be a function, inverse to h_0 ,

$$\varphi(x) = h_0^{-1} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} \nu_k \right) x + c \right), \quad c_0 = 2c,$$
 (3.3)

and let r_0 be a number given by equality (2.19). By virtue of Lemma 2.6, to prove the theorem, it suffices to state that for any $\lambda \in]0,1[$ every ω -periodic solution of (2.32) admits estimate (2.18).

Due to condition (1.12), from (2.32), we find

$$||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_{\omega}} \leq \max \left\{ \left| \int_{t}^{x} u^{(n)}(s) ds \right| : 0 \leq t \leq x \leq \omega \right\}$$

$$\leq \lambda \omega |a| |u(0)| + (1 - \lambda) \ell h(\mu(u)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{2k} ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega}} + c.$$
(3.4)

On the other hand, if $\mu(u) > 0$, then by condition (1.11) we have

$$0 = \left(\int_{0}^{w} u^{(n)}(s)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) \ge \lambda \omega |a| |u(0)| + (1 - \lambda)h(\mu(u)) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega}} - c, \quad (3.5)$$

and consequently,

$$\lambda \omega |a| |u(0)| + (1 - \lambda) h(\mu(u)) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega}} + c.$$
(3.6)

If $\mu(u) > 0$, then by Lemma 2.1 and notations (3.1)–(3.3), from (3.4) and (3.6), inequalities (2.16) hold. And if $\mu(u) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.1,

$$|u(0)| \le v_0 ||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_w}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, $h(\mu(u)) = h(0) = 0$. Thus from (3.4) we obtain

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le \omega v_0 |a| \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{2k} \|u^{(k)}\|_{C_{\omega}} + c.$$
(3.8)

If along with this we take into account notations (3.1) and (3.3), then it becomes evident that inequalities (2.16) are fulfilled also in the case $\mu(u) = 0$.

However, by Lemma 2.3, inequalities (2.16) and (2.17) guarantee the validity of estimate (2.18). \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Due to (1.16), inequality (2.12) holds. Let r_0 be a number given by equality (2.23) and

$$a = \frac{(\sigma \ell_0)}{\omega}. (3.9)$$

By Lemma 2.6, to prove the theorem, it suffices to state that for any $\lambda \in]0,1[$ every ω -periodic solution u of (2.32) admits estimate (2.18).

If we suppose

$$\mu(u) > c_0, \tag{3.10}$$

then in view of (1.13) and (3.9) from (2.32) we find

$$0 = \left(\int_0^w u^{(n)}(s)ds\right)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) = \lambda \ell_0 |u(0)| + (1-\lambda)\left(\int_0^w f(u)(s)ds\right)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) > 0.$$
(3.11)

The contradiction obtained proves that

$$\mu(u) \le c_0. \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, according to (1.14) and (3.9), we have

$$||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_{\omega}} \leq \max \left\{ \left| \int_{t}^{x} u^{(n)}(s) ds \right| : 0 \leq t \leq x \leq \omega \right\}$$

$$\leq \lambda \ell_{0} |u(0)| + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_{k} ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega}} + c_{0} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ell_{k} ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega}} + c_{0}.$$
(3.13)

Therefore, inequalities (2.22) are satisfied. However, by Lemma 2.4, inequalities (2.12) and (2.22) guarantee the validity of estimate (2.18).

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For an arbitrary $u \in C_w^{n-1}$, we set

$$f(u)(t) = g(t, u(\tau_1(t)), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(\tau_n(t))).$$
 (3.14)

Then (1.4) takes the form (1.3). It is obvious that $f: C_{\omega}^{n-1} \to L_{\omega}$ is a continuous operator, satisfying condition (1.8), since $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Carathéodory class and conditions (1.6) and (1.7) are satisfied.

Let $u \in C_w^{n-1}$ and $\mu(u) = u(t_0)$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$0 \le |u(\tau_1(t))|^{\lambda} - \mu^{\lambda}(u) \le |u(\tau_1(t)) - u(t_0)|^{\lambda} \le \nu_0^{\lambda} ||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_0}^{\lambda} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (3.15)

If along with this we take into account inequalities (1.17), then from (3.14) we get

$$\left(\int_{0}^{w} f(u)(s)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) \geq h(\mu(u)) - \nu_{0}^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{w} \left[p_{11}(s)\right]_{-} ds \times \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}}^{\lambda}
- \sum_{k=2}^{n} \int_{0}^{w} p_{1k}(s)ds \|u^{(k-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} - \int_{0}^{w} q(s)ds \quad \text{for } \mu(u) > 0,
\left|\int_{t}^{x} f(u)(s)ds\right| \leq \ell h(\mu(u)) + \nu_{0}^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{w} p_{21}(s)ds \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}}^{\lambda}
+ \sum_{k=2}^{n} \int_{0}^{w} p_{2k}(s)ds \|u^{(k-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} + \int_{0}^{w} q(s)ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq x \leq \omega,$$
(3.16)

where

$$h(x) = x^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{w} p_{11}(s) ds, \tag{3.17}$$

and, in view of condition (1.18), h is increasing and $h(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to +\infty$.

If λ < 1, then in view of (1.19) there exists ε > 0 such that

$$\varepsilon v_0^{\lambda} \int_0^w \left(\ell \left[p_{11}(s) \right]_- + p_{21}(s) \right) ds + \sum_{k=2}^n v_{k-1} \int_0^w \left(\ell p_{1k}(s) + p_{2k}(s) \right) ds < 1. \tag{3.18}$$

Set

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda} = \varepsilon, \quad c_{0} = \int_{0}^{w} q(s)ds + \varepsilon^{-\lambda/(1-\lambda)} v_{0}^{\lambda} \left(\int_{0}^{w} ([p_{11}(s)]_{-} + p_{21}(s))ds \right) \quad \text{for } \lambda < 1, \\
\varepsilon_{\lambda} = 1, \quad c_{0} = \int_{0}^{w} q(s)ds \quad \text{for } \lambda = 1, \\
\ell_{1k} = \int_{0}^{w} p_{1k+1}(s)ds \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-2), \\
\ell_{1n-1} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} v_{0}^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{w} [p_{11}(s)]_{-} ds + \int_{0}^{w} p_{1n-1}(s)ds, \\
\ell_{2k} = \int_{0}^{w} p_{2k+1}(s)ds \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-2), \\
\ell_{2n-1} = \varepsilon_{\lambda} v_{0}^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{w} p_{21}(s)ds + \int_{0}^{w} p_{2n-1}(s)ds.$$
(3.19)

Then by the Young inequality, inequalities (3.16) result in inequalities (1.11) and (1.12). On the other hand, the numbers ℓ_{ik} ($i=1,2;\ k=1,\ldots,n-1$) satisfy inequality (1.15) since for $\lambda < 1$ (for $\lambda = 1$) the functions p_{ik} ($i=1,2;\ k=1,\ldots,n$) satisfy inequality (3.18) (inequality (1.20)).

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are fulfilled which guarantee the existence of at least one ω -periodic solution of (1.4).

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that

$$\int_{0}^{w} q(s)ds < c_{0}. \tag{3.20}$$

Then in view of (1.21), (1.22) from (3.14), inequalities (1.13) and (1.14) hold, where

$$\ell_k = \int_0^w p_{k+1}(s) ds \quad (k = 0, \dots, n-1). \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, according to (1.23), the numbers ℓ_k (k = 0, ..., n - 1) satisfy (1.16). Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are fulfilled.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. For $v(t) \equiv 0$, inequalities (1.24) and (1.25) yield inequalities (1.11) and (1.12), where

$$h(x) = \ell_{10}x, \qquad c = \int_0^w |f(0)(s)| ds.$$
 (3.22)

Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied which guarantee the existence of at least one ω -periodic solution of (1.3).

It remains to prove that (1.3) has no more than one ω -periodic solution. Let u_1 and u_2 be arbitrary ω -periodic solutions of (1.3) and

$$u(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t). (3.23)$$

If we assume that $\mu(u) > 0$, then from (1.24) we find

$$\ell_{10}\mu(u) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} \| u^{(k)} \|_{C_{\omega}}.$$
(3.24)

It is obvious that this inequality is valid also for $\mu(u) = 0$. Due to (3.24), from (1.25) it follows

$$||u^{(n-1)}||_{C_{\omega}} \le \max \left\{ \left| \int_{t}^{x} (f(u_1 + u)(s) - f(u_1)(s)) ds \right| : 0 \le t \le x \le \omega \right\} \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_k ||u^{(k)}||_{C_{\omega'}}$$
(3.25)

where $\ell_k = \ell \ell_{1k} + \ell_{2k}$ (k = 1, ..., n - 1). Moreover, in view of (1.15) the numbers ℓ_k (k = 1, ..., n - 1) satisfy inequality (2.17). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1 from (3.24) we have

$$\ell_{10}\mu(u) \le \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{1k} \nu_k\right) \|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}}.$$
(3.26)

Consequently, inequalities (2.16) are satisfied, where

$$\varphi(x) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \ell_{10}^{-1} \ell_{1k} \nu_k\right) x, \qquad c_0 = 0.$$
(3.27)

If now we apply Lemma 2.3, then it becomes evident that $u(t) \equiv 0$, that is, $u_1(t) \equiv u_2(t)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.7. To prove the corollary, it is sufficient to state that the operator f, given by equality (3.14), satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.5.

Let u and $v \in C_{\omega}^{n-1}$. Then by virtue of conditions (1.29), and Lemma 2.1, from (3.14), we obtain inequalities (1.24) and (1.25), where

$$\ell_{1k} = \int_{0}^{w} p_{1k+1}(s) ds \quad (k = 0, ..., n-2), \quad \ell_{1n-1} = v_0 \int_{0}^{w} [p_{11}(s)]_{-} ds + \int_{0}^{w} p_{1n-1}(s) ds,$$

$$\ell_{2k} = \int_{0}^{w} p_{2k+1}(s) ds \quad (k = 0, ..., n-2), \quad \ell_{2n-1} = v_0 \int_{0}^{w} [p_{21}(s)]_{-} ds + \int_{0}^{w} p_{2n-1}(s) ds.$$
(3.28)

On the other hand, in view of (1.18) and (1.20), $\ell_{10} > 0$ and condition (1.15) holds, where $\ell = \ell_{20}/\ell_{10}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For $v(t) \equiv 0$, (1.26)–(1.28) yield conditions (1.13), (1.14), and (1.16), where

$$c_0 = \int_0^w |f(0)(s)| ds, \quad \ell_k = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n - 1).$$
 (3.29)

Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied which guarantee the existence of at least one ω -periodic solution of (1.3).

Suppose now that u_1 and u_2 are arbitrary ω -periodic solutions of (1.3) and $u(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t)$. If we assume that $\mu(u) > 0$, then in view of (1.26) we obtain the contradiction

$$0 = \left(\int_{0}^{w} u^{(n)}(s)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) = \left(\int_{0}^{w} \left(f(u_{1} + u)(s) - f(u_{1})(s)\right)ds\right) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma u(0)) > 0.$$
 (3.30)

Thus, it is proved that $\mu(u) = 0$.

On the other hand, (1.27) implies

$$\|u^{(n-1)}\|_{C_{\omega}} \le \ell_0 \|u\|_{C_{\omega}}. \tag{3.31}$$

Therefore, inequalities (2.22) are satisfied, where $c_0 = 0$, $\ell_k = 0$ (k = 1, ..., n - 1), and $\ell_0 \nu_0 < 1$. Hence by Lemma 2.4 it follows that $u(t) \equiv 0$, that is, $u_1(t) \equiv u_2(t)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.8. For any $u \in C_{\omega}^{n-1}$, we set

$$f(u)(t) = g(u)(t).$$
 (3.32)

Then conditions (1.33) and (1.34) imply conditions (1.26)–(1.28), where $\ell_0 = \int_0^\omega p(s) ds$. Consequently, the operator f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.6.

4. Examples

From the main results of the present paper new (and optimal in some sense) sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions of linear and sublinear differential equations with

deviated arguments and differential equations with bounded right-hand sides follow. To illustrate the above mentioned, let us consider the differential equations

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(t) \left| u^{(k-1)} \left(\tau_k(t) \right) \right|^{\lambda_k} \operatorname{sgn} \left(u^{(k-1)} \left(\tau_k(t) \right) \right) + g_0(t), \tag{4.1}$$

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(t) \left| u^{(k-1)} \left(\tau_k(t) \right) \right|^{\lambda_{0k}} \left(1 + \left| u \left(\tau_1(t) \right) \right| \right)^{-\lambda_k} u \left(\tau_1(t) \right) + g_0(t), \tag{4.2}$$

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k(t)u^{(k-1)}(\tau_k(t)) + g_0(t), \tag{4.3}$$

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{0k}(t) \frac{\left| u(\tau(t)) \right|^{\lambda_k}}{1 + \left| u(\tau(t)) \right|^{\lambda_k}} \operatorname{sgn} u(\tau(t)) + g_0(t), \tag{4.4}$$

where m is a natural number, $\lambda_k > 0$ (k = 1, ..., m), $\lambda_{0k} \ge 0$ (k = 1, ..., n) are constants, $g_k \in L_\omega$ (k = 0, ..., n), $g_{0k} \in L_\omega$ (k = 1, ..., m), while $\tau_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (k = 1, ..., n) and $\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are measurable on every finite interval functions satisfying, respectively, conditions (1.7) and (1.32).

Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4 imply the following corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. Let

$$\int_{0}^{w} g_{1}(s)ds \neq 0, \tag{4.5}$$

and either

$$0 < \lambda_k < 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n) \tag{4.6}$$

or

$$0 < \lambda_1 < 1, \quad 0 < \lambda_k \le 1 \quad (k = 2, \dots, n), \quad \sum_{k=2}^n \nu_{k-1} \int_0^w \left| g_{2k}(s) \right| ds < (1 + \ell)^{-1}, \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$\ell = \frac{\int_0^1 |g_1(s)| ds}{\left| \int_0^1 g_1(s) ds \right|}.$$
 (4.8)

Then (4.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Corollary 4.2. Let

$$\int_{0}^{w} g_{0}(s)ds = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

and there exists a constant $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ such that

$$\sigma g_k(t) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R} \ (k = 1, \dots, n).$$
 (4.10)

Let, moreover, either

$$0 \le \lambda_{01} < \lambda_1, \quad 0 \le \lambda_{0k} < 1, \quad \lambda_k \ge 1 \quad (k = 2, ..., n),$$
 (4.11)

16

or

$$0 \le \lambda_{01} \le \lambda_1, \quad 0 \le \lambda_{0k} \le 1, \quad \lambda_k \ge 1 \quad (k = 2, ..., n),$$
 (4.12)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu_{k-1} \int_{0}^{w} |g_{k}(s)| ds < 1.$$
(4.13)

Then (4.2) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Remark 4.3. If

$$g_k(t) \equiv 0 \quad (k = 1, ..., n), \qquad \int_0^w g_0(s) ds \neq 0,$$
 (4.14)

then (4.1) has no ω -periodic solution. Consequently, conditions (1.18) and (4.5) in Corollaries 1.3 and 4.1 are essential and they cannot be omitted.

Remark 4.4. If

$$\lambda_{0k} = 0, \quad \lambda_k \ge 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n), \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^w \left| g_k(s) \right| ds \le \varepsilon, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\left| \int_0^w g_0(s) ds \right| = \varepsilon, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, then (4.2) has no ω -periodic solution. Consequently, conditions (1.21) and (4.9) in Corollaries 1.4 and 4.2 cannot be replaced by condition (4.16) no matter how small ε would be.

Corollary 1.7 yields the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Let there exist a constant* $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ *such that*

$$\sigma \int_{0}^{w} g_{1}(s)ds > 0,$$

$$\nu_{0} \int_{0}^{w} (\ell \left[\sigma g_{1}(s)\right]_{-} + \left|g_{1}(s)\right|)ds + (1 + \ell) \sum_{k=2}^{n} \nu_{k-1} \int_{0}^{w} \left|g_{k}(s)\right| ds < 1,$$

$$(4.17)$$

where ℓ is a number given by equality (4.8). Then (4.3) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

Suppose

$$\eta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} (\lambda - 1)^{(\lambda - 1)/\lambda} (\lambda + 1)^{(\lambda + 1)/\lambda} \quad \text{for } \lambda > 1, \qquad \eta(\lambda) = 1 \quad \text{for } \lambda = 1.$$
 (4.18)

Theorem 1.6 results in the following.

Corollary 4.6. *Let there exist a constant* $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ *such that*

$$\sigma g_{0k}(t) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R} \ (k = 1, \dots, m).$$
 (4.19)

If, moreover,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{w} |g_{0k}(s)| ds > 0, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \eta(\lambda_k) \int_{0}^{w} |g_{0k}(s)| ds < \frac{1}{\nu_0}, \tag{4.21}$$

and equality (4.9) holds, then (4.4) has one and only one ω -periodic solution.

Remark 4.7. If $g_{0k}(t) \equiv 0$ (k = 1, ..., m) and equality (4.9) is fulfilled, then (4.4) has an infinite set of ω -periodic solutions. Consequently, the strict inequality (1.26) (the strict inequality (4.20)) in Theorem 1.6 (in Corollary 4.6) cannot be replaced by nonstrict one.

Acknowledgments

The first two authors are supported by the Georgian National Science Foundation (Grant no. GNSF/ST06/3-002), and the third author is supported by the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic (Research Project no. MSM0021622409).

References

- [1] A. Lasota and Z. Opial, "Sur les solutions périodiques des équations diffèrentielles ordinaires," *Annales Polonici Mathematici*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 69–94, 1964.
- [2] M. A. Krasnosel'skij, The Operator of Translation along the Trajectories of Differential Equations, Nauka, Moscow, Russia, 1966.
- [3] S. R. Bernfeld and V. Lakshmikantham, An Introduction to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems, vol. 10 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1974.
- [4] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, *Coincidence Degree, and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, vol. 56 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1977.
- [5] L. A. Kipnis, "On periodic solution of higher order nonlinear differential equations," *Prikladnaya Matematika i Mekhanika*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 362–365, 1977, (Russian).
- [6] F. W. Bates and J. R. Ward Jr, "Periodic solutions of higher order systems," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 275–282, 1979.
- [7] G. T. Gegelia, "On boundary value problems of periodic type for ordinary odd order differential equations," *Archivum Mathematicum*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 195–204, 1984.
- [8] I. Kiguradze, "Bounded and periodic solutions of higher-order linear differential equations," *Matematicheskie Zametki*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 48–62, 138, 1985, English translation in *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 37, no.1, pp. 28–36, 1985, (Russian).
- [9] G. T. Gegelia, "On bounded and periodic solutions of even-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations," *Differentsial nye Uravneniya*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 390–396, 547, 1986, (Russian).
- [10] G. T. Gegelia, "On periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations," in Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations (Szeged, 1988), vol. 53 of Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, pp. 211–217, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1990.
- [11] Yu. V. Trubnikov and A. I. Perov, *Differential Equations with Monotone Nonlinearities*, Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, Russia, 1986.
- [12] I. Kiguradze, Initial and Boundary Value Problems for Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations. I, Metsniereba, Tbilisi, Russia, 1997.
- [13] I. Kiguradze and T. Kusano, "On periodic solutions of higher-order nonautonomous ordinary differential equations," *Differential 'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 72–78, 142, 1999, English translation in *Differential Equations*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 71–77, 1999, (Russian).
- [14] I. Kiguradze, "On periodic solutions of *n*-th order ordinary differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 40, no. 1–8, pp. 309–321, 2000.

- [15] I. Kiguradze and T. Kusano, "On conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a periodic solution of nonautonomous differential equations," *Differential nye Uravneniya*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1301–1306, 1436, 2000, English translation in *Differential Equations*, vol. 36, no.10, pp. 1436–1442, 2000, (Russian).
- [16] I. Kiguradze and T. Kusano, "On periodic solutions of even-order ordinary differential equations," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 180, no. 3, pp. 285–301, 2001.
- [17] S. R. Baslandze and I. Kiguradze, "On the unique solvability of a periodic boundary value problem for third-order linear differential equations," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 153–158, 285, 2006, English translation in *Differential Equations*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 165–171, 2006, (Russian).
- [18] M. Schechter, "Periodic solutions of second-order nonautonomous dynamical systems," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2006, Article ID 25104, 9 pages, 2006.
- [19] I. Kiguradze and B. Půža, "On periodic solutions of nonlinear functional differential equations," *Georgian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 47–66, 1999.
- [20] I. Kiguradze and B. Půža, "On periodic solutions of systems of differential equations with deviating arguments," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 229–242, 2000.
- [21] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and B. Půža, "On periodic solutions of first order nonlinear functional differential equations of non-Volterra's type," *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 24, pp. 83–105, 2001.
- [22] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and B. Půža, "On periodic solutions of first order linear functional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 929–945, 2002.
- [23] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and J. Šremr, "On a periodic type boundary-value problem for first order linear functional differential equations," *Nonlinear Oscillations*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 416–433, 2002.
- [24] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and J. Šremr, "On a periodic type boundary value problem for first order nonlinear functional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 425–447, 2002.
- [25] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and J. Šremr, "On constant sign solutions of a periodic type boundary value problem for first order scalar functional differential equations," *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 26, pp. 65–90, 2002.
- [26] R. Hakl, A. Lomtatidze, and J. Šremr, *Some Boundary Value Problems for First Order Scalar Functional Differential Equations*, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 2002.
- [27] I. Kiguradze and B. Půža, Boundary Value Problems for Systems of Linear Functional Differential Equations, vol. 12 of Folia Facultatis Scientiarium Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Mathematica, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 2003.
- [28] S. Mukhigulashvili, "On a periodic boundary value problem for second-order linear functional differential equations," *Boundary Value Problems*, no. 3, pp. 247–261, 2005.
- [29] S. Mukhigulashvili, "On the solvability of a periodic problem for second-order nonlinear functional-differential equations," *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 356–365, 430, 2006, (Russian).
- [30] S. Mukhigulashvili, "On periodic solutions of second order functional differential equations," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 20, pp. 29–50, 2006.
- [31] S. Mukhigulashvili, "On a periodic boundary value problem for cyclic feedback type linear functional differential systems," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 255–260, 2006.
- [32] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 1952.
- [33] I. Kiguradze and B. Půža, "On boundary value problems for functional differential equations," *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 12, pp. 106–113, 1997.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on

Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

| Manuscript Due | December 1, 2008 |
|------------------------|------------------|
| First Round of Reviews | March 1, 2009 |
| Publication Date | June 1, 2009 |

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Josè dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk