

CONSTRUCTIVE MARTINGALE REPRESENTATION OF NON-SMOOTH EXPONENTIAL PATH-DEPENDENT BROWNIAN FUNCTIONALS

EKATERINE NAMGALAU¹ AND OMAR PURTUKHIA^{1,2}

Abstract. In this paper, we consider several classes of Brownian functionals, including non-smooth functionals (therefore, it is impossible to use the well-known Clark–Ocone formula), depending both on the trajectory and on the last moment of time. We also propose a new method for obtaining a constructive stochastic integral representation for smooth, as well as for non-smooth functionals.

1. INTRODUCTION AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

The martingale representation theorem is a cornerstone of stochastic calculus and is of great importance due to its profound implications for various areas of financial mathematics (option pricing, risk management), for understanding stochastic processes (the structure of martingales, relation to Brownian motion), and for solving problems in control theory and filtration theory. It provides a powerful framework for understanding and managing stochastic processes governed by Brownian motion. Its implications extend far beyond mathematical finance, influencing fields such as physics, engineering and economics. It serves as a fundamental tool for researchers and practitioners dealing with uncertainty and stochastic phenomena.

After Clark [1] obtained the formula for the stochastic integral representation for Brownian motion functionals, many authors tried to explicitly find the integrand. The works [7,9,10,14–17] are especially important in this direction. In the 80s of the past century (see [8]), it became clear that martingale representation theorems (along with Girsanov’s theorem on absolutely continuous change of measure) play an important role in modern financial mathematics.

Let a Brownian motion $B = (B_t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, be given on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$, and let $\mathfrak{F}_t^B = \sigma\{B_u : 0 \leq u \leq t\}$.

Let $C_p^\infty(R^n)$ be the set of all infinitely differentiable functions $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ such that f and all its partial derivatives have polynomial growth. Denote by Sm the class of so-called smooth random variables F of the form

$$F = f(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n}), \quad f \in C_p^\infty(R^n), \quad t_i \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, we denote by Pol the class of random variables F with polynomial f . It is known (see [12, Chapter 1]) that Sm and Pol are dense in $L_2(\Omega)$.

The stochastic derivative (derivative in the Malliavin sense) of a smooth random variable F is defined as a random process $D_t F$ defined by the relation (see [13])

$$D_t F = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n}) I_{[0, t_i]}(t).$$

D is closable as an operator from $L_2(\Omega)$ to $L_2(\Omega; L_2([0, T]))$. Denote its domain by $D_{1,2}$. This means that $D_{1,2}$ is equal to the closure of the class of smooth random variables in the norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2} := \{E[F^2] + E[\|DF\|_{L_2([0, T])}^2]\}^{1/2}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G46, 60H05, 60H07.

Key words and phrases. Brownian functional; Malliavin derivative; Stochastic integral representation; Martingale representation; Clark–Ocone formula.

Theorem 1.1 (Clark–Ocone formula, (see [14])). *If F is differentiable in the sense of Malliavin, $F \in D_{1,2}$, then the following integral representation*

$$F = E[F] + \int_0^T E[D_t F | \mathfrak{F}_t^B] dB_t \quad (P - a.s.) \quad (1.1)$$

holds.

Shiryaev and Yor [17] and Shiryaev, Yor and Graverson [7] proposed another method for finding the integrand based on the Itô formula and Levy theorem for the Levy martingale $M_t = E[F | \mathfrak{F}_t^B]$ associated with the above-considered functional F (as F , they considered the so-called “maximal” type functionals of Brownian motion). Later, using the Clarke–Ocone formula, Renaud and Remillard [16] established an explicit martingale representation for Brownian functionals that also depend on the trajectory (in particular, here, F is a continuously differentiable function of three smooth quantities: from the Brownian motion with drift and the processes of its maximum and minimum).

It is clear that the class of functionals to which the Clark–Ocone formula can be applied is limited by the condition that they must be Malliavin differentiable. We study the questions of the stochastic integral representation for stochastically non-smooth functionals. Glonti and Purtukhia [3] proposed a method for obtaining an integral representation for a non-smooth Brownian functional of a special form using the Trotter-Meyer theorem, which establishes a connection between the predictable quadratic characteristic of a semimartingale and its local time (see also [4, 6, 11]).

Further, it turned out that the requirement for the smoothness of a functional can be weakened by the requirement for the smoothness of only its conditional mathematical expectation. It is known that if a random variable is stochastically differentiable in the sense of Malliavin, then its conditional mathematical expectation is also differentiable (see [12, Proposition 1.2.8])¹. On the other hand, the conditional mathematical expectation may be smooth even if the random variable is not stochastically smooth. For example, it is known that $I_{\{B_T \leq c\}} \notin D_{1,2}^2$, but for all $t \in [0, T)$:

$$E[I_{\{B_T \leq c\}} | \mathfrak{F}_t^B] = \Phi\left(\frac{c - B_t}{\sqrt{T - t}}\right) \in D_{1,2},$$

where c is some real constant and Φ is the standard normal distribution function.

Glonti and Purtukhia [5] generalized the Clark–Ocone formula to the case where the functional is not stochastically smooth, but its conditional mathematical expectation is stochastically differentiable, and proposed a method for finding the integrand.

In this paper, we consider several classes of Brownian functionals, including non-smooth functionals (hence, it is impossible to use the well-known Clark–Ocone formula (1.1)), depending on both the trajectory and the last moment of time. We propose a new method for obtaining a constructive stochastic integral representation for smooth, as well as for non-smooth functionals.

2. CONSTRUCTIVE MARTINGALE REPRESENTATIONS

Let $h_s \equiv h_s(\omega) \equiv h_s(B_s(\omega))$ be an integrable process adapted to the flow of σ -algebras \mathfrak{F}_s^B . Consider the functional

$$F(a, b) = \exp\left\{\int_a^b h_s ds\right\},$$

where $0 \leq a \leq b \leq T$.

¹In particular, if $F \in D_{1,2}$, then $E[F | \mathfrak{F}_s^B] \in D_{1,2}$ and $D_t[E[F | \mathfrak{F}_s^B]] = E[D_t F | \mathfrak{F}_s^B] I_{[0,s]}(t)$.

²The event indicator I_A is Malliavin differentiable if and only if the probability $P(A)$ equals zero or one (see [12, Proposition 1.2.6]).

Theorem 2.1. *If the function $V(t, x) = E[F(t, T)|B_t = x]$ satisfies the requirements of the classical Itô formula (i.e., $V(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times R)$), then the following stochastic integral representation*

$$F(0, T) = EF(0, T) + \int_0^T F(0, t) \cdot V'_x(t, B_t) dB_t \quad (P - a.s.). \quad (2.1)$$

holds.

Proof. According to Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, B_t) &= V(0, B_0) + \int_0^t [V'_s(s, B_s) + \frac{1}{2}V''_{xx}(s, B_s)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t V'_x(s, B_s) dB_s \quad (P - a.s.). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Due to the Markov property of the Brownian motion,

$$V(t, B_t) = E[F(t, T)|B_t = x]_{|x=B_t} = E[F(t, T)|B_t] = E[F(t, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B] \quad (P - a.s.),$$

and hence, under the conditions of the theorem, the process

$$F(0, t) \cdot V(t, B_t) = F(0, t) \cdot E[F(t, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B] = E[F(0, t) \cdot F(t, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B] = E[F(0, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B] := M_t$$

is a martingale. Since the martingale M_t is adapted to the filtration generated by the the Brownian filtration, it will be a continuous martingale.

Further, from (2.2), taking into account the fact that the product of functions of finite variation is again finite variation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= F(0, t) \cdot V(0, B_0) + F(0, t) \cdot \int_0^t [V'_s(s, B_s) + \frac{1}{2}V''_{xx}(s, B_s)] ds \\ &\quad + F(0, t) \cdot \int_0^t V'_x(s, B_s) dB_s = F(0, t) \cdot V(0, B_0) \\ &\quad + F(0, t) \cdot \int_0^t [V'_s(s, B_s) + \frac{1}{2}V''_{xx}(s, B_s)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t F(0, s) \cdot V'_x(s, B_s) dB_s - \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s V'_x(\theta, B_\theta) dB_\theta \right) dF(0, s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, a continuous martingale of bounded variation starting at 0 is identically equal to 0 (see [2, Theorem 39]). Therefore, in equality (2.3), the term of bounded variation is equal to zero and, taking into account the equalities

$$EF(0, T) = E[F(0, T)|\mathfrak{S}_0^B] = M_0 = F(0, 0) \cdot V(0, B_0) = V(0, B_0) \quad (P - a.s.)$$

and

$$M_T = E[F(0, T)|\mathfrak{S}_T^B] = F(0, T) \quad (P - a.s.),$$

the proof of the theorem is easily completed. \square

Remark 2.1. It should be noted that the result of Theorem 2.1 is especially interesting for nonsmooth functionals, although it is likewise useful for smooth functionals.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $F(0, t) \in D_{1,2}$ for almost all t . Then the Clark–Ocone representation (1.1) for the functional $F(0, T)$ follows from representation (2.1).*

Proof. If, in addition to the conditions of this theorem, the functional $F(0, T)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1, then, according to [12, Propositions 1.2.3 and 1.2.8], taking into account [12, Corollary 1.2.1], equality (2.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(0, T) &= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T F(0, t) \cdot V'_x(t, B_t) dB_t \\
&= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T F(0, t) \cdot D_t[V(t, B_t)] dB_t \\
&= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T F(0, t) \cdot D_t\{E[F(t, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B]\} dB_t \\
&= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T D_t\{F(0, t) \cdot E[F(t, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B]\} dB_t \\
&= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T D_t\{E[F(0, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B]\} dB_t \\
&= EF(0, T) + \int_0^T E[D_t F(0, T)|\mathfrak{S}_t^B] dB_t \quad (P - a.s.). \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider a sequence of smooth random variables $F_n(0, T) \in Pol$ converging to $F(0, T)$ in $D_{1,2}$. Due to the well-known properties of Brownian motion and the conditional mathematical expectation, it is not difficult to see that the conditional mathematical expectation of the polynomial $f(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n})$ with respect to the σ -algebras \mathfrak{S}_t^B is again a polynomial, but relative already only to B_t itself and those B_s , where $s < t$. To verify the validity of this proposition, it is easy to see that it suffices to consider the case $t < u < v$. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[B_u^m B_v^n | \mathfrak{S}_t^B] &= E\{E[B_u^m B_v^n | \mathfrak{S}_u^B] | \mathfrak{S}_t^B\} \\
&\quad - E\{B_u^m E[(B_v - B_u + B_u)^n | \mathfrak{S}_u^B] | \mathfrak{S}_t^B\} \\
&= E\left\{B_u^m \sum_{i=0}^n C_n^i E(B_v - B_u)^i B_u^{n-i} | \mathfrak{S}_t^B\right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C_n^{2i} E(B_v - B_u)^{2i} E\{B_u^{m+n-2i} | \mathfrak{S}_t^B\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C_n^{2i} (2i-1)!! (v-u)^i E\{(B_u - B_t + B_t)^{m+n-2i} | \mathfrak{S}_t^B\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} C_n^{2i} (2i-1)!! (v-u)^i \times \sum_{j=0}^{[(m+n-2i)/2]} C_{m+n-2i}^{2j} (2j-1)!! (u-t)^j B_t^{m+n-2i-2j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is not difficult to see that the smooth random variables $F_n(0, T) \in Pol$ satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.1. Consequently, due to relation (2.4), we have

$$F_n(0, T) = EF_n(0, T) + \int_0^T E[D_t F_n(0, T) | \mathfrak{S}_t^B] dB_t \quad (P - a.s.). \tag{2.5}$$

Next, by the assumption:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|F_n(0, T) - F(0, T)\|_{L_2(\Omega)} + \|DF_n(0, T) - DF(0, T)\|_{L_2(\Omega; L_2([0, T]))} \} = 0,$$

whence, based on the Jensen inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|E[DF_n(0, T)|\mathfrak{F}_t^B] - E[DF(0, T)|\mathfrak{F}_t^B]\|_{L_2(\Omega; L_2([0, T]))} \} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|DF_n(0, T) - DF(0, T)\|_{L_2(\Omega; L_2([0, T]))} \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the limit in (2.4), we obtain the Clark–Ocone representation (1.1). \square

Remark 2.2. Note that to satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.2 it is sufficient, for example, that the process h be smooth, and for the process h itself and its stochastic derivative be bounded.

Consider now another form of the path-dependent and non-smooth Brownian functional

$$G(a, b) = I_{\{\max_{s \in [a, b]} B_s \leq c\}}.$$

Since $\max_{s \in [0, T]} B_s = \max\{\max_{s \in [0, t]} B_s, \max_{s \in [t, T]} B_s\}$ ($0 < t < T$) and for any pair of events A and B : $I_{A \cap B} = I_A \cdot I_B$, it is obvious that the functional $G(a, b)$, like the functional $F(a, b)$ considered above, has the property of multiplicative expansion: $G(0, T) = G(0, t) \cdot G(t, T)$.

Therefore, following statements similar to those we used in proving Theorem 2.1, it is not difficult to prove an analogue of Theorem 2.1 for the functional $G(0, T)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $G(0, T) = I_{\{\max_{s \in [0, T]} B_s \leq c\}}$, then the function $V(t, x) = E[G(t, T)|B_t = x]$ satisfies the requirements of the Ito formula, and the following stochastic integral representation*

$$G(0, T) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{T}}\right) - 1 - 2 \int_0^T G(0, t) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \varphi\left(\frac{c-B_t}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) dB_t \quad (P - a.s.)$$

is valid, where φ is the density of the standard normal distribution.

Proof. According to the Reflection Principle, the probability that the maximum of the Brownian motion over the interval $[0, T]$ is greater than or equal to x is equal to twice the probability that a standard normal variable is greater than or equal to x/\sqrt{T} , therefore

$$P\left\{ \max_{s \in [0, T]} B_s \leq x \right\} = 2\Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{T}}\right) - 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$E[I_{\{\max_{s \in [0, T]} B_s \leq c\}}] = P\left\{ \max_{s \in [0, T]} B_s \leq c \right\} = 2\Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{T}}\right) - 1.$$

Next, we recall that the distribution function of the maximum value of the increment of Brownian motion is equal to

$$P\left\{ \max_{s \in [t, T]} (B_s - B_t) \leq x \right\} = 1 - 2\Phi\left(-\frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right).$$

Therefore, we easily conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) &= E[I_{\{\max_{s \in [t, T]} B_s \leq c\}} | B_t = x] \\ &= E[I_{\{\max_{s \in [t, T]} (B_s - B_t) \leq c-x\}} | B_t = x] = E[I_{\{\max_{s \in [t, T]} (B_s - B_t) \leq c-x\}}] \\ &= P\left\{ \max_{s \in [t, T]} (B_s - B_t) \leq c-x \right\} = 1 - 2\Phi\left(-\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that there are continuous derivatives

$$V'_x(t, x) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{T-t}} \varphi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)$$

and

$$V''_{xx}(t, x) = -\frac{2(c-x)}{\sqrt{(T-t)^3}} \varphi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right).$$

Let us now check the continuous differentiability with respect to t . Suppose that

$$\theta \in \left[\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t-\Delta t}} \right].$$

Then, according to the mean value theorem for integrals, it is easy to verify that for some θ the sequence of relations

$$\begin{aligned} V'_t(t, x) &= 2 \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t-\Delta t}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)}{\Delta t} \\ &= 2 \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\theta) \left[\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t-\Delta t}} - \frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right]}{\Delta t} \\ &= 2 \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(\theta)(c-x) \left[T-t - (T-t-\Delta t) \right]}{\Delta t \sqrt{T-t-\Delta t} \sqrt{T-t} (\sqrt{T-t} + \sqrt{T-t-\Delta t})} \\ &= \frac{c-x}{\sqrt{(T-t)^3}} \varphi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

is true. Therefore, the continuity with respect to t of the function $V'_t(t, x)$ is obvious on the interval $[0, T)$.

On the other hand, for any positive numbers α and β , we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\alpha/s}}{s^\beta} = \lim_{1/s \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^\beta e^{-\alpha \frac{1}{s}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^\beta / e^{\alpha x} = 0,$$

which, together with the above relation, shows the continuous differentiability with respect to t of the functions $V(t, x)$ on the segment $[0, T]$.

Moreover, it is obvious that

$$V'_t(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} V''_{xx}(t, x) = 0.$$

Combining now all of the above on the basis of an analogue of Theorem 2.1, we complete the proof of the proposition. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that an approach similar to Theorem 2.1 can also be used for functionals depending on the last moment of time. There is the following statement.

Proposition 2.2. *If $F(T) = \exp\{I_{\{B_T \leq c\}}\}$, then the function $V(t, x) = E[F(T)|B_t = x]$ satisfies the requirements of the Ito formula, and the following stochastic integral representation*

$$\exp\{I_{\{B_T \leq c\}}\} = 1 + (e-1)\Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{T}}\right) - \int_0^T \frac{e-1}{\sqrt{T-t}} \varphi\left(\frac{c-B_t}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) dB_t \quad (P - a.s.)$$

is valid, where e is the Euler number.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t, x) &= E[\exp\{I_{\{B_T \leq c\}}\} | B_t = x] = E[\exp\{I_{\{B_T - B_t \leq c-x\}}\} | B_t = x] \\ &= E[\exp\{I_{\{B_T - B_t \leq c-x\}}\}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{I_{y \leq c-x}\} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2(T-t)}\right\} dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \\ &\times \left[e \int_{-\infty}^{c-x} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2(T-t)}\right\} dy + \int_{c-x}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2(T-t)}\right\} dy \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[e \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} \right\} du + \int_{\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} \right\} du \right] \\
&= e\Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) + 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right) = 1 + (e-1)\Phi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, following the statements similar to those we used to prove Proposition 2.1, and taking into account the relationships

$$E[\exp\{I_{\{B_T \leq c\}}\}] = 1 + (e-1)\Phi\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

and

$$V'_x(t, x) = -\frac{e-1}{\sqrt{T-t}}\varphi\left(\frac{c-x}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right),$$

it is not difficult to complete the proof of the proposition. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. C. Clark, The representation of functionals of Brownian motion by stochastic integrals. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** (1970), 1282–1295.
2. C. Dellacherie, P. Meyer, *Probabilities et Potentiel*. Theorie des Martingales, Chaps. V-VIII, 2-eme ed. Hermann, Paris, 1980.
3. O. Glonti, O. Purtukhia, Hedging of European option of integral type. *Bull. Georgian Natl. Acad. Sci. (N.S.)* **8** (2014), no. 3, 4–13.
4. O. Glonti, O. Purtukhia, Hedging of One European Option of Integral Type in Black-Scholes Model. *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)* **4** (2014), no. (5), 51–61.
5. O. Glonti, O. Purtukhia, On an integral representation of a Brownian functional. (Russian) *translated from Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.* **61** (2016), no. 1, 158–164; *Theory Probab. Appl.* **61** (2017), no. 1, 133–139.
6. O. Glonti, O. Purtukhia, European option hedging with a nonsmooth payment function. (Russian) *translated from Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* **70** (2018), no. 6, 773–787; *Ukrainian Math. J.* **70** (2018), no. 6, 890–905.
7. S. E. Graversen, A. N. Shyriaev, M. Yor, On the question of stochastic integral representations of Brownian Motion functionals II. (Russian) *Theory Probab. Appl.* **56** (2007), no. 1, 65–77.
8. J. M. Harrison, S. R. Pliska, Martingales and stochastic integrals in the theory of continuous trading. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **11** (1981), no. 3, 215–260.
9. U. G. Haussmann, On the integral representation of functionals of Itô processes. *Stochastics* **3** (1979), no. 1, 17–27.
10. I. Karatzas, D. Ocone, J. Li, An extension of Clark’s formula. *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.* **37** (1991), no. 3, 127–131.
11. H. Livinska, O. Purtukhia, Stochastic integral representation of one stochastically non-smooth Wiener functional. *Bull. TICMI* **20** (2016), no. 2, 24–36.
12. D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Second edition. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
13. D. Nualart, E. Pardoux, Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **78** (1988), no. 4, 535–581.
14. D. Ocone, Malliavin’s calculus and stochastic integral representations of functionals of diffusion processes. *Stochastics* **12** (1984), no. 3-4, 161–185.
15. D. Ocone, I. Karatzas, A generalized Clark representation formula, with application to optimal portfolios. *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.* **34** (1991), no. 3-4, 187–220.
16. J-F. Renaud, B. Remillard, Explicit martingale representations for Brownian functionals and applications to option hedging. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* **25** (2007), no. 4, 801–820.
17. A. N. Shyriaev, M. Yor, On stochastic integral representations of functionals of Brownian motion. I. (Russian) *translated from Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.* **48** (2003), no. 2, 375–385; *Theory Probab. Appl.* **48** (2004), no. 2, 304–313.

(Received: 20.01.2025; Accepted: 25.06.2025; Published online: 10.02.2026)

¹I. JAVAKHISHVILI TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TBILISI, GEORGIA

²A. RAZMADZE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF I. JAVAKHISHVILI TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, 2 MERAB ALEKSIDZE II LANE, TBILISI 0193, GEORGIA

Email address: ekanamgalauri96@gmail.com

Email address: o.purtukhia@gmail.com