Transactions of A. Razmadze
Mathematical Institute
Vol. **180** (2026), issue 1, 153–156

INVOLUTIONS AND ASSOCIATED PARTITIONS OF A MEASURE SPACE INTO TWO CONGRUENT NONMEASURABLE SETS

ALEXANDER KHARAZISHVILI

Abstract. Under certain conditions, it is shown that if a ground set E is equipped with an involution s and a measure μ, then there exists a partition of E into two s-congruent μ-nonmeasurable subsets. On the other hand, no such partition consists of sets that are absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class $\mathcal{M}_\mu$.

Let E be a ground set and f be a mapping of E into itself such that $f(x) \neq x$ for all $x \in E$. It is easy to check that the following two assertions are equivalent:

($*$) f is an involution (i.e., $f^2 = \mathrm{Id}_E$);

($**$) the family of sets $\{\{x, f(x)\} : x \in E\}$ forms a partition of E into two-element subsets.

Conversely, if we have any partition $\mathcal{P}$ of E into two-element subsets of E, then $\mathcal{P}$ determines uniquely an involution $f : E \to E$ without fixed elements such that $\mathcal{P} = \{\{x, f(x)\} : x \in E\}$. This fact is a theorem of **ZF** set theory, i.e., it does not require the Axiom of Choice (**AC**).

Example 1. Let $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ denote the additive group of real numbers, let $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$ denote the subgroup of all rational numbers, and let $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}$ be the quotient group. In [6], Sierpiński considered the ground set $E = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{\mathbf{Q}\}$ and a canonically associated with E involution $s : E \to E$ defined by

$$s(z + \mathbf{Q}) = -z + \mathbf{Q} \ (z \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}).$$

Clearly, the mapping s does not have fixed elements. Using this involution, it was shown in [6] that the existence of a selector of the family of two-element sets

$$\{\{z + \mathbf{Q}, -z + \mathbf{Q}\} : z \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}\}$$

implies (in **ZF** & **DC** theory) the existence of a non-Lebesgue measurable subset of $\mathbf{R}$. In particular, it is impossible to define (in the same theory) a linear ordering of the family $\{z + \mathbf{Q} : z \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

Common examples of involutions in the geometry of n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^n$ are various types of symmetries. In particular, the central symmetry $s : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is given by the formula $s(x) = -x$. The analogous formula describes the central symmetry of the n-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^n$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Obviously, the central symmetry of $\mathbf{R}^n$ has a single fixed point 0 and the central symmetry of $\mathbf{S}^n$ does not possess fixed points in $\mathbf{S}^n$.

A. Kolmogorov conjectured that the circle group $\mathbf{S}_1$ does not admit a partition into two congruent nonmeasurable subsets, where nonmeasurability is meant with respect to the standard Lebesgue measure λ_1 on $\mathbf{S}_1$. V. Uspensky disproved Kolmogorov's conjecture by giving an example of such a partition of $\mathbf{S}_1$. For more details about this fact, see, e.g., [8]; as far as we know, Uspensky's example was never published. Since one of the elements of $\mathbf{S}_1$ is the symmetry (corresponding to the angle π), it makes sense to consider a more general situation when instead of $\mathbf{S}_1$ there are given a ground set E, an involution s of E and a nonzero σ-finite measure μ on E.

It turns out that if the triple (E, s, μ) satisfies certain conditions, then Uspensky's example admits a substantial generalization. A few preliminary notions are necessary to formulate the generalized result.

A family $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ of μ-measurable subsets of E is called a pseudo-base of μ if $\mu(X_i) > 0$ for each index $i \in I$ and, for every μ-measurable set Y with $\mu(Y) > 0$, there exists an index $j = j(Y) \in I$ such that $X_j \subset Y$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A05, 28A20.
Key words and phrases. Involution; Invariant measure; Nonmeasurable set.

Accordingly, the pseudo-weight of μ is defined as the minimum of the cardinalities of all pseudo-bases of μ.

A subset Z of E is called μ-thick (or μ-massive) if the equality $\mu_*(E \setminus Z) = 0$ holds true, where μ_* denotes the inner measure on E produced by μ.

It is not hard to see that if $\{P, Q\}$ is a partition of E into two μ-thick subsets, then both members P and Q of this partition are nonmeasurable with respect to μ. The converse assertion is not true in general.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (E, s, μ) satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) *E is an infinite ground set and s is an involution of E without fixed elements;*

(2) *μ is a nonzero σ-finite s-quasi-invariant measure on E whose pseudo-weight does not exceed* $\mathrm{card}(E)$*;*

(3) *for every μ-measurable set Z with $\mu(Z) > 0$, one has* $\mathrm{card}(Z) = \mathrm{card}(E)$*.*

Then there exists a partition $\{P_1, P_2\}$ of E such that:

(a) *$s(P_1) = P_2$ (hence $s(P_2) = P_1$);*

(b) *both sets P_1 and P_2 are μ-thick in E (so none of them is μ-measurable).*

The proof of this theorem uses a Bernstein type argument based on the method of transfinite induction.

Example 2. Fix a nonzero natural number n, consider the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}_n$ in the space $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and equip $\mathbf{S}_n$ with the standard Lebesgue measure λ_n. For this sphere, we have a canonical involution $s : \mathbf{S}_n \to \mathbf{S}_n$ given by the formula $s(x) = -x$. Obviously, s is an isometric transformation of $\mathbf{S}_n$ onto itself, without fixed points. The conditions of Theorem 1 are trivially fulfilled for

$$E = \mathbf{S}_n, \quad s : \mathbf{S}_n \to \mathbf{S}_n, \quad \mu = \lambda_n,$$

so we come to a partition of $\mathbf{S}_n$ into two s-congruent λ_n-thick subsets.

Example 3. Following the argument of [7], denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ the set of all integers and let $\mathbf{Q}$ stand again for the set of all rational numbers. Consider a selector K of the quotient group $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ and define

$$A_1 = \cup\{K + 2n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}, \quad A_2 = \cup\{K + 2n + 1 : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$A_1 \cup A_2 = \mathbf{Q}, \quad A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset, \quad A_1 + 1 = A_2.$$

Further, since $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$ is a divisible subgroup of $(\mathbf{R}, +)$, it follows that $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$ is a direct summand in $(\mathbf{R}, +)$. Therefore, we come to a representation

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Q} + V \ (\mathbf{Q} \cap V = \{0\}),$$

where V is a vector subspace (over $\mathbf{Q}$) of $\mathbf{R}$. In fact, V is a Vitali subset of $\mathbf{R}$ (for more detailed information about the properties of Vitali sets see, e.g., [1,3–5,9,10]). It is not difficult to verify that the two sets

$$P_1 = A_1 + V, \quad P_2 = A_2 + V$$

satisfy the following relations:

(1) $P_1 \cup P_2 = \mathbf{R}$ and $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$;

(2) $P_1 + 1 = P_2$;

(3) both sets P_1 and P_2 are thick with respect to the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}$ (hence none of them is measurable with respect to this measure).

Using a similar argument, it can be proved that for every natural number $k > 1$, there exists a partition $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k\}$ of $\mathbf{R}$ such that all sets P_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, k)$ are pairwise translation-congruent and thick with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}$. Moreover, arguing analogously, one obtains a partition of $\mathbf{R}$ of the form $\{P_i : i \in I\}$, where $1 < \mathrm{card}(I) \le \mathrm{card}(\mathbf{R})$, all sets P_i are pairwise translation-congruent and thick with respect to the same Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}$. In [11], closely related questions are discussed for the more general case of uncountable non-discrete locally compact commutative topological groups (cf. also [2]).

Theorem 2. *Let E be an infinite ground set equipped with a bijection $f : E \to E$ and a σ-finite measure μ. Suppose also that a partition $\{P_1, P_2\}$ of E satisfies the equality $f(P_1) = P_2$ (hence $f(P_2) = P_1$ and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ is an invariant partition with respect to the group G_f of transformations of E, generated by $\{f\}$).*

Then there exists a measure μ' on E such that:

(a) *μ' extends μ;*

(b) *$\{P_1, P_2\} \subset \mathrm{dom}(\mu')$;*

(c) *if the initial measure μ is G_f-invariant (respectively, G_f-quasi-invariant), then μ' is also G_f-invariant (respectively, G_f-quasi-invariant).*

Let E be a ground set and $\mathcal{M}$ be a class of measures on E (the domains of members of $\mathcal{M}$ may be various σ-algebras of subsets of E). By the definition, a subset X of E is relatively measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if there exists at least one measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $X \in \mathrm{dom}(\nu)$. Otherwise, X is called absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}$.

Example 4. Let E be a ground set equipped with a transformation group G and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the class of all nonzero σ-finite G-invariant (G-quasi-invariant) measures on E. Any subset of E, absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to this $\mathcal{M}$, is called G-absolutely nonmeasurable. If E itself is an uncountable commutative group identified with the group of all its translations, then there exist E-absolutely nonmeasurable subsets of E (see [3]).

As a consequence of Theorem 2, we obtain

Theorem 3. *Let E be an infinite ground set equipped with a bijection f onto itself, let G_f denote the group of transformations of E generated by $\{f\}$, and let μ be a σ-finite G_f-invariant (G_f-quasi-invariant) measure on E.*

Further, let $\{P_1, P_2\}$ be a partition of E such that $f(P_1) = P_2$ and let $\mathcal{M}_\mu$ denote the class of all those G_f-invariant (G_f-quasi-invariant) measures on E that extend μ.

Then both sets P_1 and P_2 are relatively measurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}_\mu$.

In terms of absolute nonmeasurability, Kolmogorov's conjecture can be reformulated as follows: there does not exist a partition $\{P_1, P_2\}$ of E satisfying the condition $f(P_1) = P_2$ both whose members are absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to $\mathcal{M}_\mu$.

In fact, if θ is a nonzero finite finitely additive G_f-invariant measure on E and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ is a G_f-invariant partition of E, then there exists a finitely additive G_f-invariant measure θ' on E extending θ and satisfying $\{P_1, P_2\} \subset \mathrm{dom}(\theta')$. Moreover, θ' can be defined for all subsets of E (see [10]).

Example 5. Let E be an uncountable ground set, G be a group of transformations of E generated by two distinct bijections of E onto itself, and let $\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ be a partition of E into three G-congruent subsets. From the classical result of Hausdorff (widely known as the Hausdorff paradox; see, e.g., [10]), one can conclude that a situation is realizable where each of the sets P_1, P_2 and P_3 is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class of all nonzero finite finitely additive G-invariant measures on E.

References

1. B. R. Gelbaum, J. M. H. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in Analysis.* The Mathesis Series. Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.-London-Amsterdam, 1964.
2. A. Kharazishvili, On countable almost invariant partitions of G-spaces. *Reprint of Ukraïn. Mat. Zh.* **66** (2014), no. 4, 510–517. *Ukrainian Math. J.* **66** (2014), no. 4, 572–579.
3. A. Kharazishvili, *Nonmeasurable Sets and Functions.* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 195. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2004.
4. J. C. Morgan, II, *Point Set Theory.* Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 131. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.
5. J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category.* A survey of the analogies between topological and measure spaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 2. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971.
6. W. Sierpiński, L'axiome de M. Zermelo et son role dans la Théorie des Ensembles et l'Analyse. *Bull. Acad. Cracovie, C. S. Math. Ser. A.* 1918, 97–152.
7. W. Sierpiński, Sur la Question de la Mesurabilité de la Base de M. Hamel. *Fund. Math.* **1** (1920), 105–111.

8. V. Uspensky, Kolmogorov as I remember him. (Russian) In: *Kolmogorov in the Memoirs of his Students*, The Publishing House of MCCME, 2006.
9. G. Vitali, *Sul Problema Della Misura dei Gruppi di Punti di Una Retta*. Tip. Gamberini e Parmeggiani, Bologna, 1905.
10. S. Wagon, *The Banach-Tarski Paradox*. With a foreword by Jan Mycielski. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 24. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
11. H. Weber, E. Zoli, Finite partitions of topological groups into congruent thick subsets. *J. Appl. Anal.* **14** (2008), no. 1, 1–12.

(Received: 16.11.2025; Published online: 10.02.2026)

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, 2 Merab Aleksidze II Lane, Tbilisi 0193, Georgia

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, 2 University Str., Tbilisi 0186, Georgia
Email address: kharaz2@yahoo.com