# DENSITY PROPERTY FOR A PRODUCT OF TRANSLATION INVARIANT DENSITY DIFFERENTIATION BASES

#### IRAKLI JAPARIDZE

**Abstract.** We prove that if  $B_1, \ldots, B_k$  are translation invariant density differentiation bases in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ , respectively, then their product  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$  (i.e., the basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+\cdots+n_k}$  for which  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$   $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  consists of all sets  $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$  with  $R_1 \in B_1(x_1), \ldots, R_k \in B_k(x_k)$ ) is a density basis, as well.

#### 1. Definitions and Notation

A mapping B defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *differentiation basis* (briefly, basis) if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the value B(x) is a collection of bounded measurable sets of positive measure which contain x and there exists a sequence  $(R_k)$  of sets from B(x) with  $\lim \operatorname{diam} R_k = 0$ .

Let B be a basis. For  $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the upper and lower limits of the integral means  $\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R f$ , where R is an arbitrary set from B(x) and diam  $R \to 0$ , are called the *upper and lower deriva-*

tives with respect to B of the integral of f at the point x, and denoted by  $\overline{D}_B(\int f, x)$  and  $\underline{D}_B(\int f, x)$ , respectively. If the two derivatives coincide, then their common value is called the derivative of  $\int f$  at x and denoted by  $D_B(\int f, x)$ . We say that B differentiates  $\int f$  (or  $\int f$  is differentiable with respect to B) if  $\overline{D}_B(\int f, x) = \underline{D}_B(\int f, x) = f(x)$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If this is true for each f in a class  $F \subset L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of functions, we say that B differentiates F.

For a basis B, we denote by  $\overline{B}$  the collection  $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} B(x)$ .

A basis B is called:

• homothecy invariant if for every 
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
, every  $R \in B(x)$  and every homothecy  $H : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
we have  $H(R) \in B(H(x))$ :

- translation invariant if for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , every  $R \in B(x)$  and every translation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $T(R) \in B(T(x))$ ;
- convex if it is formed by convex sets, i.e., each set from  $\overline{B}$  is convex;
- density basis if B differentiates  $\int \chi_E$  for every bounded measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that each homothecy invariant basis is also translation invariant.

The maximal operator  $M_B$  and the truncated maximal operator  $M_B^r$   $(r \in (0, \infty])$  corresponding to a basis B are defined as follows:

$$M_B(f)(x) = \sup_{R \in B(x)} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f|,$$
$$M_B^r(f)(x) = \sup_{R \in B(x), \text{ diam } R < r} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f|,$$

where  $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Obviously,  $M_B = M_B^{\infty}$  and  $M_B^r = M_{B^r}$ , where  $B^r$  denotes the truncation of the basis B at the level r, i.e.,  $B^r(x) = \{R \in B(x) : \operatorname{diam} R < r\}$   $(x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $B_1, \ldots, B_k$  be the bases in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$ , respectively. Denote by  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$  the product of the basis  $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ , i.e., the basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 + \cdots + n_k}$  for which  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$   $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  consists of all sets  $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$  with  $R_1 \in B_1(x_1), \ldots, R_k \in B_k(x_k)$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A15, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Differentiation of integrals; Maximal operator; Density basis; Product basis.

It is easy to see that the product of translation invariant (homothecy invariant) bases is translation invariant (homothecy invariant) basis, as well.

#### 2. Result

The following characterizations for homothecy invariant density bases and translation invariant density bases are known.

## **Theorem 2.1.** Let B be a homothecy invariant basis. Then the following two properties are equivalent:

- (a) B is a density basis.
- (b) For each  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , there exists a positive constant  $c(B,\lambda)$  such that

$$\left|\left\{M_B(\chi_E) > \lambda\right\}\right| \le c(B,\lambda)|E|,$$

for each bounded measurable set E.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let B be a translation invariant basis. Then the following two properties are equivalent:

- (a) B is a density basis.
- (b) For each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exist positive constants  $r(B, \lambda)$  and  $c(B, \lambda)$  such that

$$\left|\left\{M_B^{r(B,\lambda)}(\chi_E) > \lambda\right\}\right| \le c(B,\lambda)|E|,$$

for each bounded measurable set E.

Theorem 2.1 belongs basically to Busemann and Feller (see, e.g., [1, Chapter II, Theorem 1.2]). Theorem 2.2 under some additional restrictions is proved in the works of Oniani [4] and Hagelstein and Parissis [2]. Although the method of proving given in these works allows to establish the statement of Theorem 2.2 in a full generality.

Some other fundamental properties of density bases can be found in [4, Chapter III] and [3,5,6]. The main result of the paper is the following

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $B_1, \ldots, B_k$  be translation invariant density bases. Then their product  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_k$  is a density basis, as well.

Note that in the proof of Theorem 2.3 the key tool is the above given characterization of translation invariant density bases.

## 3. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

**Lemma 3.1.** Let B be a translation invariant basis. Then for every  $f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\lambda > 0$  the set  $\{M_B(f) > \lambda\}$  is open.

*Proof.* First note that for an arbitrary bounded measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|E \cap (t+E)| \longrightarrow |E| \quad (t \to 0). \tag{3.1}$$

Expression (3.1) is clear if E is an elementary set (i.e., a union of a finite number of cubes). After taking into account the possibility of approximation of a bounded measurable set by elementary sets, we derive (3.1) for the general case.

Take an arbitrary point x from the set  $\{M_B(f) > \lambda\}$ . Then there is  $R \in B(x)$  with  $\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f| > \lambda$ . Using (3.1) and the property of an absolute continuity of the integral, we have  $\frac{1}{|t+R|} \int_{t+R} |f| > \lambda$  for every t with the norm small enough. Hence, by the translation invariance of B, some neighbourhood of x is included in the set  $\{M_B(f) > \lambda\}$ . The lemma is proved.

For a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and the points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we denote

$$E_x = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^m : (x, t) \in E \}, \quad E^y = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^n : (t, y) \in E \}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let B and S be translation invariant bases in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Then for every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$  the sets

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : M_B(\chi_{E^y})(x) > \lambda\}, \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : M_S(\chi_{E_x})(y) > \lambda\}$$

are open.

Proof. Denote

$$G = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : M_B(\chi_{E^y})(x) > \lambda \right\}.$$

Suppose  $(x, y) \in G$ . We have to prove that (x, y) is an interior point of G. Let  $R \in B(x)$  be such that

$$\frac{|R \cap E^y|}{|R|} = \frac{1}{|R|} \int\limits_R \chi_{E^y} > \lambda.$$

Here,  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Taking into account the fact that  $E^y$  is open, by the approximation argument we can find a compact set  $K \subset E^y$  for which

$$\frac{|R \cap K|}{|R|} = \frac{1}{|R|} \int_{R} \chi_{\kappa} > \lambda.$$

By the reasoning similar to the one given in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can find an open ball V in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with center at the origin such that

$$\frac{|(t+R)\cap K|}{|t+R|} = \frac{1}{|t+R|} \int_{t+R} \chi_{\kappa} > \lambda, \qquad (3.2)$$

for every  $t \in V$ .

On the other hand, there is an open ball U in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with center at the origin such that

$$K \subset E^{y+\tau}$$
, for every  $\tau \in U$ . (3.3)

Indeed, assuming the opposite, we find the sequences  $\tau_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$  with  $\tau_j \to 0$   $(j \to \infty)$  and  $x_j \in K$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$  such that  $x_j \notin E^{y+\tau_j}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by virtue of the compactness of K, we can choose a subsequence  $(x_{j_p})$  which tends to some point  $x^*$  from K. Hence we have

$$(x_{j_p}, y + \tau_{j_p}) \to (x^*, y) \in E \ (p \to \infty)$$

and

$$(x_{i_n}, y + \tau_{i_n}) \notin E \ (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

But this is impossible, since  $(x^*, y)$  is an interior point for E.

Now, using (3.2) and (3.3), we conclude that

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|t+R|} \int_{t+R} \chi_{\kappa} \le \frac{1}{|t+R|} \int_{t+R} \chi_{E^{y+\tau}},$$

for every  $t \in V$  and  $\tau \in U$ . Clearly, this implies that  $(x, y) + V \times U \subset G$ . Hence (x, y) is an interior point for the set G.

The reasoning is analogous for the second set  $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : M_s(\chi_{E_x})(y) > \lambda\}$ . The lemma is complete.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let B and S be translation invariant bases in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Then for every open set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and a number  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\left\{M_{B\times S}(\chi_{E})>\lambda\right\}\subset\left\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+m}:\ M_{S}(\chi_{F_{x}})(y)>\frac{\lambda}{2}\right\},$$

where F is the set

$$\left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : \ M_B(\chi_{E^y})(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\}$$

(which is open by Lemma 3.2).

*Proof.* Suppose a point  $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  is such that

$$M_S(\chi_{F_{x_0}})(y_0) \le \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
 (3.4)

Let us consider an arbitrary set  $I \times J \in B \times S(x_0, y_0)$ . By (??) we have

$$\frac{|J \cap F_{x_0}|_m}{|J|_m} = \frac{1}{|J|_m} \int_J \chi_{F_{x_0}} \le \frac{\lambda}{2} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that if  $y \in J \setminus F_{x_0}$ , then  $M_B(\chi_{E^y})(x_0) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}$  and, consequently,

$$\frac{|I \cap E^y|_n}{|I|_n} = \frac{1}{|I|} \int\limits_I \chi_{E^y} \le \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$
(3.6)

By (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{split} \left|E \cap (I \times J)\right| &= \int_{J} |E^{y} \cap I|_{n} \, dy \\ &= \int_{J \cap F_{x_{0}}} |E^{y} \cap I|_{n} \, dy + \int_{J \setminus F_{x_{0}}} |E^{y} \cap I|_{n} \, dy \leq |I|_{n} \cdot |J \cap F_{x_{0}}|_{n} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} |I|_{n}\right) |J \setminus F_{x_{0}}|_{m} \\ &\leq |I|_{n} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} |J|_{m}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} |I|_{n} |J|_{m} = \lambda \cdot |I|_{n} |J|_{m} = \lambda |I \times J|. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{|I \times J|} \int_{I \times J} \chi_E = \frac{|E \cap (I \times J)|}{|I \times J|} \le \lambda.$$

Hence,  $M_{B \times S}(\chi_E)(x_0, y_0) \leq \lambda$ . The lemma is complete.

# 4. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Without loss of generality, let us consider the case of two bases  $B_1 = B$  and  $B_2 = S$  in the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively.

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Since B and S are translation invariant bases, by Theorem 2.2, there exist positive constants  $c(B, \lambda/2)$ ,  $c(S, \lambda/2)$ ,  $r(B, \lambda/2)$  and  $r(S, \lambda/2)$  such that

$$\left|\left\{M_B^{r(B,\lambda/2)}(\chi_P) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right| \le c\left(P,\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)|P| \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\left|\left\{M_{S}^{r(S,\lambda/2)}(\chi_{Q}) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right| \le c\left(Q,\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)|Q|,\tag{4.2}$$

for every bounded measurable sets P and Q in the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m,$  respectively. Set

$$r(B \times S, \lambda) = \min\left(r\left(B, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right), r\left(S, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right)$$

and

$$c(B \times S, \lambda) = c\left(B, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)c\left(S, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right).$$

Let us show that for any bounded open set E in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ,

$$\left\{ M_{B\times S}^{r(B\times S,\lambda)}(\chi_E) > \lambda \right\} \Big| \le c(B\times S,\lambda)|E|.$$

$$\tag{4.3}$$

It is clear that from (4.3), by Theorem 2.2, it follows that  $B \times S$  is a density basis. Note that

$$(B \times S)^{r(B \times S,\lambda)} \subset B^{r(B \times S,\lambda)} \times S^{r(B \times S,\lambda)}.$$
(4.4)

Denote

$$F = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : \ M_B^{r(B \times S, \lambda)}(\chi_{E^y})(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, F is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

By (4.1) and the estimation  $r(B \times S, \lambda) \leq r(B, \lambda/2)$ , for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |F^{y}|_{n} &= \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M_{B}^{r(B \times S, \lambda)}(\chi_{E^{y}})(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|_{n} \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : M_{B}^{r(B, \lambda/2)}(\chi_{E^{y}})(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|_{n} \\ &\leq c \left( B, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) |E^{y}|_{n}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$|F| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |F^y|_n \, dy \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} c\left(B, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) |E^y|_n \, dy = c\left(B, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) |E|. \tag{4.5}$$

Now, let us consider the set

$$G = \Big\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : \ M_S^{r(B \times S,\lambda)}(\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle E_x})(y) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \Big\},$$

which by Lemma 3.2 is open and by Lemma 3.3 and (4.4) contains the set  $\{M_{B\times S}^{r(B\times S,\lambda)}(\chi_E) > \lambda\}$ . Using (4.2) and the estimation  $r(B \times S, \lambda) \leq r(S, \lambda/2)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} |G_x|_m &= \left| \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \ M_S^{r(B \times S, \lambda)}(\chi_{F_x})(y) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|_m \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \ M_S^{r(S, \lambda/2)}(\chi_{F_x})(y) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right|_m \\ &\leq c \left( S, \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) |F_x|_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4.5), we have

$$|G| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |G_x|_m \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c\left(S, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) |F_x|_m \, dx = c\left(S, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) |F| = c\left(S, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) c\left(B, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) |E|.$$

Thus from (4.3) and all written within it, we conclude that the theorem is complete.

### References

- 1. M. de Guzmán, Differentiation of Integrals in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 481. Springer-Verlag, Berlin– New York, 1975.
- 2. P. Hagelstein, I. Parissis, Tauberian constants associated to centered translation invariant density bases. Fund. Math. 243 (2018), no. 2, 169-177.
- 3. P. Hagelstein, A. Stokolos, Tauberian conditions for geometric maximal operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no. 6, 3031-3040.
- 4. G. Oniani, Some statements connected with the theory of differentiation of integrals. (Russian) Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzii 152 (1995), no. 1, 49-53 (1996).
- 5. G. Oniani, On the non-compactness of maximal operators. Real Anal. Exchange 28 (2002/03), no. 2, 439-446.
- 6. G. Oniani, On the differentiation of integrals with respect to translation invariant convex density bases. Fund. Math. 246 (2019), no. 2, 205-216.

### (Received 29.08.2022)

Akaki Tsereteli State University, 59 Tamar Mepe Str., Kutaisi 4600, Georgia Email address: irakli.japaridze@atsu.edu.ge