

## SOME RAGUSA-TYPE EMBEDDING THEOREMS IN GENERALIZED SOBOLEV–MORREY SPACE

ALIK M. NAJAFOV<sup>1,2</sup> AND AHMET EROGLU<sup>3</sup>

*Dedicated to Professor Maria Alessandra Ragusa on the occasion of her 60th birthday*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we define generalized Sobolev–Morrey spaces, and using the method of integral representations, we study some properties of functions from these spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY NOTES

In this paper, we construct generalized Sobolev–Morrey spaces  $W_{p,A}^l(G)$ , and, with the integral representation method, we study some differential and differential-difference properties of functions from the constructed spaces.

Note that the spaces with parameters (later called Morrey spaces) were first introduced by C. B. Morrey [11, 12], and then studied and developed by many mathematicians, including the works of V. P. Ilyin [6], I. Ross [27], Y. V. Netrusov [23], A. Mazzucato [10], V. M. Kokilashvili, A. Meskhi, H. Rafeiro [9], V. S. Guliyev [3–5] and others [1, 3, 7, 8, 13–22, 24–26]. In addition, we note that unlike previous works, the norm introduced in this work, is generalized.

**Definition 1.1.** A generalized Sobolev–Morrey space, denoted by  $W_{p,A}^l(G)$ , is the space of locally summable functions  $f$  with weak derivatives  $D_i^{l_i} f$  ( $l_i > 0$  are integers,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) on  $G$  with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{W_{p,A}^l(G)} = \|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|D_i^{l_i} f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)} = \sup_{B \subset G} \left( \int_B |A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x))|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$[|B|]_{h_0} = \min\{h_0, |B|\}$ ,  $h_0$  is a positive fixed number,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A : (0, h_0) \times [1, \infty) \times L_1^{loc}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function on  $D = (0, h_0) \times [1, \infty) \times L_1^{loc}(G)$ .

Let  $A$  be a differentiable function with respect to the argument  $f$ , and there exist the constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for all  $B \subset G$  and  $f \in L_1^{loc}(G)$  the following double inequality

$$c_1 \left\| A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f^{(\nu)}) \right\|_{L_p(B)} \leq \left\| A^{(\nu)}([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f) \right\|_{L_p(B)} \leq c_2 \left\| A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f^{(\nu)}) \right\|_{L_p(B)} \quad (1.1)$$

holds.

Moreover, there exists a constant  $M = M([|B|]_{h_0}, p) > 0$  such that for all  $f \in L_1^{loc}(G)$  the following inequality

$$\left| A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f^{(\nu)}) \right| \geq M |f(x)|, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in G,$$

holds.

The space  $L_{p,A}(G)$  in case  $B \equiv G_{\psi(v)}(x) = G \cap \{y : |y_j - x_j| < \frac{1}{2}\psi_j(v)\}$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $v > 0$  and  $A(|B|, p, f) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\psi_j([v]_1))^{-\frac{\beta_j}{p}} f$ , coincides with the spaces  $L_{p,\psi,\beta}(G)$  introduced and studied in [17],

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 46E35, 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized Sobolev–Morrey spaces; Integral representation; Flexible  $\Phi$ -horn; Hölder condition.

where  $\beta_j \in [0, 1]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $[v]_1 = \min\{1, v\}$ ,  $\psi(v) = (\psi_1(v), \psi_2(v), \dots, \psi_n(v))$  are vector-functions,  $\psi_j(v) > 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are summable functions, and  $\lim_{v \rightarrow +0} \psi_j(v) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_j(v) = k_j \leq \infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In case  $B \equiv G_{v^\kappa}(x)$  ( $\kappa \in (0, \infty)^n$ ),  $A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f) \equiv [v]_1^{-\frac{|\kappa|a}{p}} f$ ;  $a \in [0, 1]$ , then the space  $L_{p,A}(G)$  coincides with spaces  $L_{p,\kappa,a}(G)$  introduced and studied by V. P. Ilyin in [6].

Let us note some properties of the spaces  $L_{p,A}(G)$  and  $W_{p,A}^l(G)$ .

1. The following embeddings:

$$L_{p,A}(G) \rightarrow L_p(G), \quad W_{p,A}^l(G) \rightarrow W_p^l(G)$$

hold, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{L_p(G)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{W_p^l(G)} \leq C \|f\|_{W_{p,A}^l(G)}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)}^p &= \sup_{B \subset G} \left( \int_B |A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x))|^p dx \right) \\ &\geq \left( \int_G |A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x))|^p dx \right) \geq C \left( \int_G |f(x)|^p dx \right) = C \|f\|_{L_p(G)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the integral representation for  $f \in W_{p,A}^l(G)$ , defined in domains satisfying the  $\Phi$ -horn condition, obtained in the form

$$D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)(x) = A_{\Phi(h)}^{(\nu)}([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_i^{L_i} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x+y)) \tilde{L}_i^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+L_i} \Phi_i'(v) dy dv, \quad (1.2)$$

$$A_{\psi(h)}^{(\nu)}([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{-1-\nu_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f(x+y)) \Omega^{(\nu)}\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(h)}\right) dy, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,  $\frac{y}{\Phi(v)} = \left(\frac{y_1}{\Phi_1(v)}, \frac{y_2}{\Phi_2(v)}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\Phi_n(v)}\right)$ ,  $\Phi_j(v)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are arbitrary differentiable positive nondecreasing functions defined for  $v > 0$ , and  $\lim_{v \rightarrow +0} \Phi_j(v) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_i(v) = K_i \leq \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $L_i, \Omega \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$S(M) = \text{supp} M = I_{\Phi(h_0)} = \left\{ y : |y_j| < \frac{1}{2} \Phi_j(h_0), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

and the  $\Phi$  horn  $x + V = x + \bigcup_{0 < h \leq h_0} \left\{ y : \frac{y}{\Phi(h)} \in S(\Omega) \right\}$  is the support of the representations (1.2),

(1.3) and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $\nu_j \geq 0$  are integers ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

Suppose that  $U$  is an open set contained in the domain  $G$ , and  $U + V \subset B \subset G$ .

In the text,  $\|\cdot\|_{q,U}$  is understood as  $\|\cdot\|_{L_q(U)}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \eta$ ,  $v \leq h \leq h_0$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $\nu_j \geq 0$  be integers ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\varphi \in L_{p,A}(G)$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\eta^i(x) &= \int_0^\eta \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+L_i} \Phi_i'(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x+y) K\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) dy dv, \\ E_{\eta,h}^i(x) &= \int_\eta^h \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+L_i} \Phi_i'(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x+y) K\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) dy dv, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_\eta^i = \int_0^\eta \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-\nu_j - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \Phi_i'(v) dv. \quad (1.4)$$

Then

$$\|E_\eta^i\|_{q,U} \leq C_1 |R_\eta^i| \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G} \quad (R_\eta^i < \infty), \quad (1.5)$$

$$\|E_{\eta,h}^i\|_{q,U} \leq C_2 |R_{\eta,h}^i| \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G} \quad (R_{\eta,h}^i < \infty), \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$R_{\eta,h}^i(x) = \int_\eta^h \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-\nu_j - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \Phi_i'(v) dv.$$

*Proof.* Applying the generalized Minkowskii inequality, we obtain

$$\|E_\eta^i\|_{q,U} \leq \int_0^\eta \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \Phi_i'(v) \|F(\cdot, v)\|_{q,U} dv, \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$F(x, v) = \int_{R^n} \varphi(x+y) K\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) dy. \quad (1.8)$$

Estimate the norm  $\|F(\cdot, v)\|_{q,U}$ . From Hölder's inequality ( $q \leq r$ ), we obtain

$$\|F(\cdot, v)\|_{q,U} \leq \|F(\cdot, v)\|_{r,U} |U|^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function  $S(K)$ , and let the integrand function  $\varphi K$ , expressed in formula (1.8) be represented as follows:

$$|\varphi K| = (|\varphi|^p |K|^s)^{\frac{1}{r}} (|\varphi|^p \chi)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} (|K|^s)^{\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{s} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$ . Applying Hölder's inequality  $\left(\frac{1}{r} + \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{r}\right) = 1\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(\cdot, v)\|_{r,U} &\leq \sup_{x \in U} \left( \int_{R^n} |\varphi(x+y)|^p \chi\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{y \in V} \left( \int_U |\varphi(x+y)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \int_{R^n} \left| K\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) \right|^s dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

For every  $I_{\Phi(v)}(x) \equiv B$ ,  $x \in U$ , we get

$$\int_{R^n} |\varphi(x+y)|^p \chi\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) dy \leq \int_{I_{\Phi(v)}(x)} |\varphi(y)|^p dy \leq \|\varphi\|_{p,G}^p \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G}^p. \quad (1.11)$$

Also, for every  $y \in V$ , we obtain

$$\int_U |\varphi(x+y)|^p dx \leq \|\varphi\|_{p,U}^p \leq \|\varphi\|_{p,G}^p \leq C \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G}^p, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\int_{R^n} \left| K\left(\frac{y}{\Phi(v)}\right) \right|^s dy = \prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_j(v) \|k\|_s^s. \quad (1.13)$$

Let  $r = q$ . It follows from (1.9)–(1.13) that

$$\|F(\cdot, v)\|_{q,U} \leq \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G} \|K\|_s \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{\frac{1}{s}}. \quad (1.14)$$

Taking into account inequality (1.14) in inequality (1.7), we get inequality (1.5). So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\eta^i\|_{q,U} &\leq \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G} \|K\|_s \int_0^\eta \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j+1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\times (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \Phi_i'(v) dv \leq C_1 |R_\eta^i| \|\varphi\|_{p,A,G} \quad (R_\eta^i < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (1.6) is proved similarly.  $\square$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we prove the following theorems on the properties of the functions from the spaces  $W_{p,A}^l(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set satisfying the  $\Phi$ -horn condition, and let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ ,  $\nu_j \geq 0$  be integers ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $R_h^i < \infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Suppose that  $f \in W_{p,A}^l(G)$ .*

*Then  $D^\nu : W_{p,A}^l(G) \hookrightarrow L_q(G)$ , i.e., for all  $f \in W_{p,A}^l(G)$  on the domain  $G$  there exist weak mixed derivatives  $D^\nu f \in L_q(G)$  and there are positive numbers  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that*

$$\|D^\nu f\|_{L_{q,A}(G)} \leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)} + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n |R_h^i| \|D_i^{l_i} f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)}. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular,

$$R_n^{i,0} = \int_0^h \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-\nu_j-\frac{1}{p}} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1-l_i} \Phi_i'(v) dv < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then  $D^\nu f(x)$  is continuous on  $G$  and

$$\sup_{x \in G} |D^\nu f(x)| \leq C_1 \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)} + C_2 \sum_{i=1}^n |R_h^{i,0}| \|D_i^{l_i} f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)},$$

where  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the constants, independent of  $h$  and  $f$ .

*Proof.* First, we note that under the conditions of our theorem, there exist generalized derivatives  $D^\nu f$  on  $G$ . Indeed, since  $p \leq q$ ,  $R_h^i < \infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), there exist weak mixed derivatives  $D^\nu f$ , and for them, the following integral representations (1.2), (1.3) are valid with the same kernels.

Applying the generalized Minkowskii inequality, we have

$$\|D^\nu A\|_{q,G} \leq \|A_{\Phi(h)}^{(\nu)}\|_{q,G} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_h^i\|_{q,G}. \quad (2.2)$$

By inequality (1.13), for  $U \equiv G$ ,  $\varphi = A([B]_{h_0}, p, f)$ , we get

$$\|A_{\Phi(h)}^{(\nu)}\|_{q,G} \leq C_1 \|A([B]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{q,G} \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Also, by inequality (1.4), for  $U \equiv G$ ,  $\varphi = D_i^{l_i} A([B]_{h_0}, p, f)$ , we have

$$\|E_h^i\|_{q,G} \leq C_2 \|D_i^{l_i} A([B]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{p,G} |R_h^i|.$$

Using inequalities (1.1), (2.2), (2.3) and taking into account the lower boundedness of the function  $A$ , we obtain inequality (2.1).

Showing that  $D^\nu f$  is continuous on  $G$ . By (2.1) and (2.2), for  $q = \infty$ , we obtain

$$\|D^\nu f - f_{\Phi(h)}^{(\nu)}\|_{\infty,G} \leq \bar{C} \sum_{i=1}^n |R_h^i| \|D_i^{l_i} f\|_{L_{p,A}(G)}.$$

It follows that the left-hand part of the last inequality tends to zero as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $f_{\Phi(h)}^{(\nu)}$  is continuous on  $G$ , the convergence in  $L_\infty(G)$  coincides in our case with the uniform convergence; consequently,  $D^\nu f$  is continuous on  $G$ .

Theorem 2.1 has been proven.  $\square$

Let  $\gamma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that a domain  $G$ , the parameters  $p, q$  and the vector  $\nu$  satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 2.1. If  $R_h^i < \infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then  $D^\nu f$  satisfies the Hölder condition on  $G$  in the metric of  $L_q$ , more exactly,*

$$\|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu f\|_{q, G} \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{W_{p, A}^l(G)} |R_{\gamma, h}^1|. \quad (2.4)$$

If  $R_h^{i,0} < \infty$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then

$$\sup_{x \in G} |\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu f(x)| \leq \bar{C} \|f\|_{W_{p, A}^l(G)} |R_{\gamma, h}^{1,0}|,$$

where

$$R_{\gamma, h}^1 = \max_i \{|\gamma|, |\gamma| |R_h^i|, |\gamma| |R_{\gamma, h}^i|\},$$

$$R_{\gamma, h}^{1,0} = \max_i \{|\gamma|, |\gamma| |R_h^{i,0}|, |\gamma| |R_{\gamma, h}^{i,0}|\}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2 in [2], there is a domain  $G_\delta \subset G$  ( $G_\delta = \xi \rho(x), \xi > 0, \rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial G), x \in G$ ) and  $|\gamma| < \delta$ . Then for every  $x \in G_\delta$ , the segment joining the point  $x$  and  $x + \gamma$  is contained in  $G$ . After some simple transformations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x))| \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{-1-\nu_j} \int_{R^n} |A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x+y))| \left| \Omega^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y-\gamma}{\Phi(h)} - \frac{y}{\Phi(h)} \right) \right| dy \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_0^{|\gamma|} \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \right. \\ & \quad \times \int_{R^n} \left| D_i^{l_i} A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x+\gamma+y)) \right| + \left| D_i^{l_i} A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x+y)) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| L_i^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y}{\Phi(v)} \right) \right| \Phi_i'(v) dv dy \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{|\gamma|}^h \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} \int_{R^n} \left| D_i^{l_i} A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x+y)) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \times \left| L_i^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y-\gamma}{\Phi(v)} \right) - L_i^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y}{\Phi(v)} \right) \right| \Phi_i'(v) dv dy \Big\} = F(x, \gamma) + \sum_{i=1}^n (F_1^i(x, \gamma) + F_2^i(x, \gamma)), \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < h \leq h_0$ ,  $h_0$  is a fixed positive number. We also assume that  $|\gamma| < h$ , hence  $|\gamma| \leq \min\{\delta, h\}$ . If  $x \in G \setminus G_\delta$ , then by definition of  $\Delta(\gamma, G)$ ,  $D^\nu f(x) = 0$ . By (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(\gamma, G_\delta) D^\nu A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x))\|_{q, G} &= \|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu A([B]_{h_0}, p, f(x))\|_{q, G_\delta} \\ &\leq \|F(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q, G_\delta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \|F_1^i(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q, G_\delta} + \|F_2^i(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q, G_\delta} \right). \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Omega^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y - \gamma}{\Phi(h)} \right) - \Omega^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y}{\Phi(h)} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^{|\gamma|} \frac{d}{d\xi} \Omega^{(\nu)} \left( \left( y - \xi \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|} : \Phi(h) \right) \right) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\Phi(h))^{-1} \int_0^{|\gamma|} \left| D_j \Omega^{(\nu)}((y - \xi e_\gamma) : \Phi(h)) \right| d\xi, \quad e_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{|\gamma|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$F(x, \gamma) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(h))^{-1-\nu_j} \sum_{j=1}^n |\Phi_j(h)|^{-1} \int_0^{|\gamma|} d\xi \int_{R^n} |f(x + \xi e_\gamma + y)| \left| D_j \Omega^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y}{\Phi(h)} \right) \right| dy,$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x, \gamma) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j(v))^{-1} \int_0^{|\gamma|} d\xi \int_{|\gamma|}^h \prod_{j=1}^n (\Phi_j(v))^{-1-\nu_j} (\Phi_i(v))^{-1+l_i} (\Phi'_i(v)) dv \\ &\quad \times \int_{R^n} \left| D_i^{l_i} f(x + \xi e_\gamma + y) \right| \left| D_j L_i^{(\nu)} \left( \frac{y}{\Phi(v)} \right) \right| dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Using inequality (2.7), for  $U = G$ ,  $\varphi = A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)$ ,  $K = \Omega^{(\nu)}$ , we obtain

$$\|F(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q,G} \leq C_1 |\gamma| \|A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{p,G}, \quad (2.8)$$

and with the help of (1.5), for  $U = G$ ,  $\varphi \equiv D_i^{l_i} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)$ ,  $\eta = |\gamma|$ ,  $K = L_i^{(\nu)}$ , we have

$$\|F_1(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q,G} \leq C_2 |\gamma| \left\| D_i^{l_i} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f) \right\|_{p,G} |R_h^i|. \quad (2.9)$$

Also, by inequality (1.6), for  $U = G$ ,  $\varphi \equiv D_i^{l_i} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)$ ,  $\eta = |\gamma|$ ,  $K = L_i^{(\nu)}$ , we get

$$\|F_2(\cdot, \gamma)\|_{q,G} \leq C_3 |\gamma| \left\| D_i^{l_i} A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f) \right\|_{p,G} |R_{\gamma,h}|. \quad (2.10)$$

It follows from inequalities (2.6), (2.8)–(2.10) that

$$\|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{q,G} \leq C \|A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{W_p^l(G)} |R_{\gamma,h}^1|,$$

where

$$R_{\gamma,h}^1 = \max_i \{|\gamma|, |\gamma| |R_h^i|, |\gamma| |R_{\gamma,h}^i|\}.$$

Suppose that  $|\gamma| \geq \{\delta, h\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(\gamma, G) D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, G)\|_{q,G} &\leq 2 \|D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, G)\|_{q,G} \\ &\leq C(\delta, h) \|D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, f)\|_{q,G} |R_{\gamma,h}^1|. \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (1.1), estimating for  $\|D^\nu A([|B|]_{h_0}, p, q)\|_{q,G}$  and taking into account the lower boundedness of the function  $A$ , we obtain inequality (2.4).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

### 3. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

### 4. DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

## 5. FUNDING

The authors have not any support for this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Akbulut, A. Eroglu, A. M. Najafov, Some embedding theorems on the Nikolskii–Morrey type spaces. *Advances in analysis* **1** (2016), no. 1, 18–26.
2. O. V. Besov, V. P. Ilyin, S. M. Nikolskii, *Integral Representations of Functions, and Embedding Theorems*. Second edition. (Russian) Fizmatlit, Nauka, Moscow, 1996.
3. F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, M. N. Omarora, M. A. Ragusa, Calderón–Zygmund operators and their commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz–Morrey spaces. *Bull. Math. Sci.* **13** (2023), no. 1, Paper no. 2250004, 26 pp.
4. V. S. Guliyev, Generalized weighted Morrey spaces and higher order commutators of sublinear operators. *Eurasian Math. J.* **3** (2012), no. 3, 33–61.
5. V. S. Guliyev, Y. Sawano, Linear and sublinear operators on generalized Morrey spaces with non-doubling measures. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **83** (2013), no. 3, 303–327.
6. V. P. Ilyin, On some properties of functions from spaces  $W_{p,\chi,a}^l(G)$ . *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **23** (1971), 33–40.
7. G. Imerlishvili, A. Meskhi, M. A. Ragusa, One-sided potentials in weighted central Morrey spaces. *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **280** (2024), no. 3, 374–384.
8. A. Kassumos, M. A. Ragusa, M. Ruzhansky, D. Suragan, Stein–Weiss–Adams inequality on Morrey spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **285** (2023), no. 11, 110152.
9. V. M. Kokialishvili, A. Meskhi, H. Rafeiro, Sublinear operators in generalized weighted Morrey spaces. (Russian) *translated from Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **470** (2016), no. 5, 502–504; *Dokl. Math.* **94** (2016), no. 2, 558–560.
10. A. I. Mazzucato, Besov–Morrey spaces: function space theory and applications to non-linear PDE. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **355** (2003), no. 4, 1297–1364.
11. C. B. Jr. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), no. 1, 126–166.
12. C. B. Jr. Morrey, Second order elliptic equations in several variables and Hölder continuity. *Math. Z.* **72** (1959/60), 146–164.
13. A. M. Najafov, On some properties of functions in the Sobolev–Morrey-type spaces  $W_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{a},\kappa,\tau}^l(G)$ . (Russian) *translated from Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **46** (2005), no. 3, 634–648; *Siberian Math. J.* **46** (2005), no. 3, 501–513.
14. A. M. Najafov, On some properties of the functions from Sobolev–Morrey type spaces. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **3** (2005), no. 3, 496–507.
15. A. M. Najafov, Some properties of functions from the intersection of Besov–Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives. *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **139** (2005), 71–82.
16. A. M. Najafov, On some properties of fractional order Sobolev spaces. *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci.* **30** (2010), no. 7, 47–56.
17. A. M. Najafov, The embedding theorems of space  $W_{p,\varphi,\beta}^l(G)$ . *Mathematica Aeterna* **3** (2013), no. 4, 299–308.
18. A. M. Najafov, The differential properties of functions from Sobolev–Morrey type spaces of fractional order. *Jour. of Mathematics Research* **7** (2015), no. 3, 149–158.
19. A. M. Najafov, S. T. Alekberli, Some properties of grand Sobolev–Morrey spaces with dominant mixed derivatives. *J. Math. Inequal.* **13** (2019), no. 4, 1171–1180.
20. A. M. Najafov, A. Eroglu, L. Sh. Gadimova, A study of a class of  $p$ -type equations. *Filomat* **38** (2024), no. 11, 3907–3916.
21. A. M. Najafov, A. Eroglu, F. F. Mustafayeva, On some differential properties of functions in generalized grand Sobolev–Morrey spaces. *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat.* **72** (2023), no. 2, 429–437.
22. A. M. Najafov, N. R. Rustamova, On properties of functions from Sobolev–Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives. *Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci.* **37** (2017), no. 4, 132–141.
23. Yu. V. Netrusov, Some imbedding theorems for spaces of Besov–Morrey type. (Russian) Numerical methods and questions in the organization of calculations, 7. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **139** (1984), 139–147.
24. M. A. Ragusa, On some trends on regularity results in Morrey spaces. *AIP Conference proceedings* **1493** (2012), no. 1, 770–777.
25. M. A. Ragusa, Operators in Morrey type spaces and applications. *Eurasian Math. J.* **3** (2012), no. 3, 94–109.
26. M. A. Ragusa, A. Scapellato, Mixed Morrey spaces and their applications to partial differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **151** (2017), 51–65.
27. I. Ross, A Morrey–Nikol’skii inequality. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **78** (1980), no. 1, 97–102.

(Received: ???; Accepted: ???; Published online: ???)

<sup>1</sup>HIGHER MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, AZERBAIJAN UNIVERSITY OF ARCHITECTURE AND CONSTRUCTION, BAKU, AZERBAIJAN

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND EDUCATION, REPUBLIC OF AZERBAIJAN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, BAKU, AZERBAIJAN

<sup>3</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIGDE OMER HALISDEMIR UNIVERSITY, NIGDE, TURKIYE

*Email address:* `alikhajafov@gmail.com`

*Email address:* `aeroglu@ohu.edu.tr`