

A new note on an extension of absolute summability

Şebnem YILDIZ YAR

Department of Mathematics, Ordu University, Ordu, Turkey

e-mail: sebnemyildizyar@odu.edu.tr

Abstract In this paper, we have an absolute matrix generalization theorem by using quasi- β -power increasing sequences. This theorem also includes some new and known results dealing with some basic summability methods.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 26D15, 40C05, 40D15, 40F05, 40D05.

Keywords: Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality, absolute matrix summability, summability factors, infinite series

1 Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). A positive sequence $a = (a_n)$ is said to be a quasi- β -power increasing if there exists a constant $K = K(\beta, a) \geq 1$ such that

$$Kn^\beta a_n \geq m^\beta a_m$$

holds for $n \geq m$. If $Kn^\beta a_n \geq m^\beta a_m$ stays with $\beta = 0$ then a is called a quasi increasing sequence. It should be noted that every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- β -power increasing sequence for any nonnegative β , but the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking $a_n = n^{-\beta}$.

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given series with partial sums (s_n) and let (p_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

($P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 1$). The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$w_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v,$$

defines the sequence (w_n) of the weighted mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [4]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |w_n - w_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

If we take $\delta = 0$, then we have $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability method (see [2]).

Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It may be noted that \bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v.$$

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix. Then A defines a sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping of the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$, and $\delta \geq 0$ if (see [5])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s).$$

If we take $\delta = 0$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method is reduced to $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method. That is, A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [6])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty.$$

If we take weighted mean matrix,

$$a_{nv} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_v}{P_n}, & 0 \leq v \leq n \\ 0 & v > n, \end{cases}$$

where (p_n) is a sequence of positive numbers with $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method is reduced to $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method and also if we put $\delta = 0$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method is reduced to $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability method.

Let ω be the class of all matrices $A = (a_{nv})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} A & \text{ is a normal matrix,} \\ a_{n,v} & \geq 0, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \bar{a}_{n0} & = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ a_{n-1,v} & \geq a_{nv}, \quad n \geq v + 1. \end{aligned}$$

2 The Known Results

Sulaiman [7] proved the following theorem dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem 2.1 If the sequences (X_n) is a quasi- β -power increasing sequence $0 < \beta < 1$, and (λ_n) is a sequence of constants both satisfying conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} P_n = O(P_m), \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n(\beta) |\Delta| \Delta \lambda_n| < \infty, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(m^\beta X_m), \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{1}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(m^\beta X_m), \quad (5)$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

3 The Main Results

The aim of this paper is to generalize Sulaiman's theorem concerning $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series to $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method which is more general matrix summability method. So,

we have generalized Theorem 2.1 by using normal matrix as follow:

Theorem 3.1 Let $A \in \omega$ satisfying

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right) \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v}| = O(a_{nn}). \quad (7)$$

Let (X_n) be a quasi- β -power increasing sequence $0 < \beta < 1$ and (λ_n) be a sequence of constants. If the condition (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.1 and

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O\left(\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k}\right) \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = O\left(\frac{p_v}{P_v} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k}\right) \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{n(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(m^\beta X_m), \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{1}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} |t_n|^k = O(m^\beta X_m) \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (11)$$

are satisfied, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \lambda_n$$

is summable $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$.

The following lemma is required to prove our theorem.

Lemma 3.2 [7] Let (X_n) be a quasi- β -power increasing sequence such that $0 < \beta < 1$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n X_n(\beta) |\Delta| \Delta \lambda_n| < \infty$ are satisfied. Then

$$n^{\beta+1} X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n^\beta X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty,$$

$$n^\beta X_n |\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $X_n(\beta) = n^\beta X_n$.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let (W_n) denotes the A-transform of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$. Then, by the definition, we have that

$$\bar{\Delta} W_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v = \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v v^{-1} \lambda_v.$$

Applying Abel's transformation to this sum, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Delta}W_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v v^{-1}) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n n^{-1} \sum_{v=1}^n v a_v \\ \bar{\Delta}W_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v+1)t_v \left(\frac{1}{v(v+1)} \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v + \frac{1}{(v+1)} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v + \frac{1}{(v+1)} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v \right) + \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n \\ \bar{\Delta}W_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v v^{-1} t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} t_v \Delta \lambda_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n \frac{n+1}{n}\end{aligned}$$

by the formula for the difference of products of sequences (see [4]) we have

$$\bar{\Delta}W_n = W_{n,1} + W_{n,2} + W_{n,3} + W_{n,4}.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |W_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Firstly, in view of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |W_{n,1}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v} \lambda_v t_v v^{-1} \right|^k \\ & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v}| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \frac{1}{v} \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} |\hat{a}_{n,v}| \right\}^{k-1} \\ & = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v}| \lambda_v^k |t_v|^k \frac{1}{v} \\ & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{v} \frac{|\lambda_v| (v^\beta X_v |\lambda_v|)^{k-1} |t_v|^k}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v}| \\ & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} \frac{|\lambda_v| |t_v|^k}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \\ & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{r} \frac{|t_r|^k}{(r^\beta X_r)^{k-1}} \right) \Delta |\lambda_v| + O(1) \left(\sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} \frac{|t_v|^k}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \right) |\lambda_m| \\ & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^\beta X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) m^\beta X_m |\lambda_m| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

in view of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,2}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v t_v \right|^k \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \right\}^{k-1} \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \times \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_v}{P_v} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \frac{(v^\beta X_v |\lambda_v|)^{k-1}}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_r}{P_r} \frac{|t_r|^k}{(r^\beta X_r)^{k-1}} \right) \Delta |\lambda_v| + O(1) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{|t_n|^k}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} \right) |\lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^\beta X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) m^\beta X_m |\lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

in view of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,3}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v t_v \right|^k \\
& \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v| \right)^k \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|\Delta \lambda_v|}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} |t_v|^k \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| v^\beta X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| \right)^{k-1} \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|\Delta \lambda_v|}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} |t_v|^k \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^\beta X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| \right)^{k-1} \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\Delta \lambda_v|}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{v |\Delta \lambda_v|}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^v \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{r} \frac{|t_r|^k}{(r^\beta X_r)^{k-1}} \right) \Delta(v|\Delta \lambda_v|) + O(1) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{n} \frac{|t_n|^k}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} \right) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^\beta X_v \Delta(v|\Delta \lambda_v|) + O(1) m^{\beta+1} X_m |\Delta \lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^\beta X_v (v+1) \Delta|\Delta \lambda_v| - |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) m^{\beta+1} X_m |\Delta \lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^\beta X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v^{\beta+1} X_v |\Delta|\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) m^{\beta+1} X_m |\Delta \lambda_m| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |W_{n,4}|^k \leq \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k+1} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right) \frac{|t_n|^k}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} (n^\beta X_n |\lambda_n|)^{k-1} |\lambda_n| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_v}{P_v} \frac{|t_v|^k}{(v^\beta X_v)^{k-1}} \right) \Delta|\lambda_n| + O(1) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{|t_n|^k}{(n^\beta X_n)^{k-1}} \right) |\lambda_m| \\
& = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^\beta X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| + O(1) m^\beta X_m |\lambda_m| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

5 Conclusions

1. If we take $\delta = 0$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have a result for $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability method.
2. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have a result for $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability method.
3. If we take $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have a result for $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method (see [8]).

References

- [1] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stechkin, Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch. vol. 5 (1956) 483-522.
- [2] H. Bor, On two summability methods, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 97 (1985) 147-149.
- [3] H. Bor, On local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n, \delta|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (1993), 646-649.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Clarendon Press. Oxford (1949).
- [5] H.S. Özarslan and H.N. Ögdük, Generalizations of two theorems on absolute summability methods. Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1 (2004) 7 pp.
- [6] W. T. Sulaiman, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series (IV), Indian J. Pure Appl.Math., 34 (11) (2003) 1547-1557.
- [7] W. T. Sulaiman, A recent note on the absolute Riesz summability factor of infinite series, Int. J. Math. Archive 2 (3) (2011) 339-344.
- [8] Ş. Yıldız, A recent extension of the weighted mean summability of infinite series, J. Appl. Math and Inf., 39 (2021) 117-124.