

**GLOBAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY FOR NONLINEAR
MULTI-DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF
FRACTIONAL ORDER**

S. ABBAS AND M. BENCHOHRA

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to study the existence and the stability of solutions for a system of nonlinear delay partial differential equations of fractional order. The Schauder fixed point theorem for the existence of solutions is used, and it is proved that all solutions are globally asymptotically stable.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომის მიზანს წარმოადგენს არაწრფივი დაგვიანებული წილადური ხარისხის კერძო წარმოებულებიანი დიფერენციალური განტოლებებისათვის ამონახსნების არსებობისა და მდგრადობის შესწავლა. გამოყენებულია შაუდერის უძრავი წერტილის თეორემა ამონახსნების არსებობისათვის და დამტკიცებულია, რომ ყველა ამონახსნი არის გლობალურად ასიმპტოტურად მდგრადი.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of fractional differential equations ranges from the theoretical aspects of existence and uniqueness of solutions to the analytic and numerical methods for finding solutions. Fractional differential equations appear naturally in a number of fields such as physics, polymer rheology, regular variation in thermodynamics, biophysics, blood flow phenomena, aerodynamics, electro-dynamics of complex medium, viscoelasticity, Bode's analysis of feedback amplifiers, capacitor theory, electrical circuits, electron-analytical chemistry, biology, control theory, fitting of experimental data, etc. There has been a significant development in ordinary and partial fractional differential and integral equations in recent years; see the monographs of Abbas et al. [3], Baleanu et al. [10], Diethelm [16], Hilfer [18], Kilbas et al. [19], Lakshmikantham et al. [24], Podlubny [28], Tarasov [30], and the papers by Abbas et al. [1, 2, 4], Agarwal et al. [5, 6, 7, 8], Araya et al. [9], Cuevas et al. [13, 14], Mophu and N'Guérékata [25, 26], N'Guérékata

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33.

Key words and phrases. Delay differential equation, left-sided mixed Riemann-Liouville integral of fractional order, Caputo fractional-order derivative, stability, solution, fixed point.

[27], Lakshmikantham et al. [20, 21, 22, 23], Vityuk and Golushkov [31]. Recently interesting results of the stability of the solutions of various classes of integral equations of fractional order have obtained by Banaś et al. [11], Darwish et al. [15] and the references therein.

In this paper we establish a sufficient condition for the existence and the stability of solutions of the following system of nonlinear delay differential equations of fractional order of the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_{\theta}^r u(t, x) &= p(t, x) - q(t, x) f(t, x, u(t - \tau_1, x - \xi_1), \dots, u(t - \tau_m, x - \xi_m)) \\ &\text{for } (t, x) \in J := \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$u(t, x) = \Phi(t, x) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \tilde{J} := [-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b] \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, b), \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(t, 0) = \varphi(t), & t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(0, x) = \psi(x), & x \in [0, b], \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $b > 0$, $\theta = (0, 0)$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $\tau_i, \xi_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $T = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \{\tau_i\}$, $\xi = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} \{\xi_i\}$, ${}^c D_{\theta}^r$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$, $p, q : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions, $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are absolutely continuous functions with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = 0$ and $\psi(x) = \varphi(0)$ for each $x \in [0, b]$, and $\Phi : \tilde{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t, 0)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $\psi(x) = \Phi(0, x)$ for each $x \in [0, b]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. By $L^1([0, a] \times [0, b])$, $a, b > 0$, we denote the space of Lebesgue-integrable functions $u : [0, a] \times [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_1 = \int_0^a \int_0^b |u(t, x)| dx dt.$$

By $BC := BC([-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b])$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded and continuous functions from $[-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b]$ into \mathbb{R} equipped with the standard norm

$$\|u\|_{BC} = \sup_{(t, x) \in [-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b]} |u(t, x)|.$$

For $u_0 \in BC$ and $\eta \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $B(u_0, \eta)$, the closed ball in BC centered at u_0 with radius η .

Definition 2.1 ([31]). Let $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, $\theta = (0, 0)$ and $u \in L^1([0, a] \times [0, b])$. The left-sided mixed Riemann-Liouville integral of

order r of u is defined by

$$(I_{\theta}^r u)(t, x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x - s)^{r_2-1} u(s, \tau) ds d\tau,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the (Euler's) Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{\nu-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \nu > 0.$$

In particular,

$$(I_{\theta}^{\theta} u)(t, x) = u(t, x), \quad (I_{\theta}^{\sigma} u)(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_0^x u(\tau, s) ds d\tau$$

for almost all $(t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b]$,

where $\sigma = (1, 1)$. For instance, $I_{\theta}^r u$ exists for all $r_1, r_2 \in (0, \infty)$, when $u \in L^1([0, a] \times [0, b])$. Note also that when $u \in C([0, a] \times [0, b])$, then $(I_{\theta}^r u) \in C([0, a] \times [0, b])$, moreover

$$(I_{\theta}^r u)(t, 0) = (I_{\theta}^r u)(0, x) = 0, \quad t \in [0, a], \quad x \in [0, b].$$

Example 2.2. Let $\lambda, \omega \in (-1, \infty)$ and $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, then

$$I_{\theta}^r t^{\lambda} x^{\omega} = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda)\Gamma(1 + \omega)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda + r_1)\Gamma(1 + \omega + r_2)} t^{\lambda+r_1} x^{\omega+r_2}$$

for almost all $(t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b]$.

By $1 - r$ we mean $(1 - r_1, 1 - r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$. Denote by $D_{tx}^2 := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x}$, the mixed second order partial derivative.

Definition 2.3 ([31]). Let $r \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$ and $u \in L^1([0, a] \times [0, b])$. The Caputo fractional-order derivative of order r of u is defined by the expression ${}^c D_{\theta}^r u(t, x) = (I_{\theta}^{1-r} D_{tx}^2 u)(t, x)$.

The case $\sigma = (1, 1)$ is included and we have

$$({}^c D_{\theta}^{\sigma} u)(t, x) = (D_{tx}^2 u)(t, x) \quad \text{for almost all } (t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b].$$

Example 2.4. Let $\lambda, \omega \in (-1, \infty)$ and $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$, then

$${}^c D_{\theta}^r t^{\lambda} x^{\omega} = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \lambda)\Gamma(1 + \omega)}{\Gamma(1 + \lambda - r_1)\Gamma(1 + \omega - r_2)} t^{\lambda-r_1} x^{\omega-r_2}$$

for almost all $(t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b]$.

Let $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subset BC$, and let $G : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, and consider the solutions of equation

$$(Gu)(t, x) = u(t, x). \quad (4)$$

Inspired by the definition of the attractivity of solutions of integral equations (for instance [2]), we introduce the following concept of attractivity of solutions for equation (4).

Definition 2.5. Solutions of equation (4) are locally attractive if there exists a ball $B(u_0, \eta)$ in the space BC such that, for arbitrary solutions $v = v(t, x)$ and $w = w(t, x)$ of equations (4) belonging to $B(u_0, \eta) \cap \Omega$, we have that, for each $x \in [0, b]$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (v(t, x) - w(t, x)) = 0. \quad (5)$$

When the limit (5) is uniform with respect to $B(u_0, \eta) \cap \Omega$, solutions of equation (4) are said to be uniformly locally attractive (or equivalently that solutions of (4) are locally asymptotically stable).

Definition 2.6 ([2]). The solution $v = v(t)$ of equation (4) is said to be globally attractive if (5) hold for each solution $w = w(t)$ of (4). If condition (5) is satisfied uniformly with respect to the set Ω , solutions of equation (4) are said to be globally asymptotically stable (or uniformly globally attractive).

Lemma 2.7 ([12], p. 62). *Let $D \subset BC$. Then D is relatively compact in BC if the following conditions hold:*

- (a) *D is uniformly bounded in BC ,*
- (b) *The functions belonging to D are almost equicontinuous on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b]$, i.e. equicontinuous on every compact of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b]$,*
- (c) *The functions from D are equiconvergent, that is, given $\epsilon > 0$, $x \in [0, b]$ there corresponds $T(\epsilon, x) > 0$ such that $|u(t, x) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x)| < \epsilon$ for any $t \geq T(\epsilon, x)$ and $u \in D$.*

3. MAIN RESULTS

Let us start by defining what we mean by a solution of the problem (1)–(3).

Definition 3.1. A function $u \in BC$ is said to be a solution of (1)–(3) if u satisfies equation (1) on J , equation (2) on \tilde{J} and condition (3) is satisfied.

Lemma 3.2 ([1]). *Let $f \in L^1([0, a] \times [0, b])$, $a, b > 0$. A function $u \in AC([0, a] \times [0, b])$ is a solution of problem*

$$\begin{cases} ({}^c D_{0^+}^\alpha u)(t, x) = f(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b], \\ u(t, 0) = \varphi(t), & t \in [0, a], \quad u(0, x) = \psi(x), \quad x \in [0, b], \\ \varphi(0) = \psi(0), \end{cases}$$

if and only if $u(t, x)$ satisfies

$$u(t, x) = \mu(t, x) + (I_\theta^r f)(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in [0, a] \times [0, b],$$

where

$$\mu(t, x) = \varphi(t) + \psi(x) - \varphi(0).$$

The following hypotheses will be used in the sequel:

(H₁) The functions Φ , p and q are in BC . Moreover, assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_\theta^r p(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in [0, b],$$

(H₂) There exist continuous functions $d_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|\right) |f(t, x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i| d_i(t, x)$$

for $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b]$ and for $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Moreover, assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_\theta^r d_i(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in [0, b], \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Remark 3.3. Set

$$\Phi^* := \sup_{(t,x) \in \tilde{J}} \Phi(t, x), \quad \varphi^* := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(t), \quad p^* := \sup_{(t,x) \in J} I_\theta^r p(t, x),$$

$$q^* := \sup_{(t,x) \in J} q(t, x) \quad \text{and} \quad d_i^* := \sup_{(t,x) \in J} I_\theta^r d_i(t, x), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

From hypotheses, we infer that Φ^* , φ^* , p^* , q^* and d_i^* , $i = 1, \dots, m$ are finite.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem concerning the existence and the stability of a solution of problem (1)–(3).

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that the hypotheses (H₁) and (H₂) hold, then the problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution in the space BC . Moreover, solutions of problem (1)–(3) are globally asymptotically stable.*

Proof. Let us define the operator N such that, for any $u \in BC$,

$$(Nu)(t, x) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t, x), & (t, x) \in \tilde{J}, \\ \varphi(t) + I_\theta^r \left[p(t, x) - \right. \\ \quad \left. - q(t, x) f(t, x, u(t-\tau_1, x-\xi_1), \dots \right. \\ \quad \left. \dots, u(t-\tau_m, x-\xi_m)) \right], & (t, x) \in J. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The operator N maps BC into BC . Indeed the map $N(u)$ is continuous on $[-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b]$ for any $u \in BC$, and for each $(t, x) \in J$ we have

$$|(Nu)(t, x)| \leq |\varphi(t)| + I_\theta^r |p(t, x) - q(t, x)| \times$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times g(t, x, u(t - \tau_1, x - \xi_1), \dots, u(t - \tau_m, x - \xi_m)) \Big| \leq \\
& \leq |\varphi(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x - s)^{r_2-1} \times \\
& \times \left[|p(t, x)| + |q(t, x)| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |u(\tau - \tau_i, s - \xi_i)| d_i(\tau, s) \right) \times \right. \\
& \times \left. \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m |u(\tau - \tau_i, s - \xi_i)| \right)^{-1} \right] ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq \varphi^* + p^* + q^* \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^*,
\end{aligned}$$

and for $(t, x) \in \tilde{J}$, we have

$$|(Nu)(t, x)| = |\Phi(t, x)| \leq \Phi^*.$$

Thus,

$$\|N(u)\|_{BC} \leq \max \left\{ \Phi^*, \varphi^* + p^* + q^* \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^* \right\} := \eta. \quad (7)$$

Hence, $N(u) \in BC$. This proves that the operator N maps BC into itself.

By Lemma 3.2, the problem of finding the solutions of the problem (1)–(3) is reduced to finding the solutions of the operator equation $N(u) = u$. Equation (7) implies that N transforms the ball $B_\eta := B(0, \eta)$ into itself. We shall show that $N : B_\eta \rightarrow B_\eta$ satisfies the assumptions of Schauder's fixed point theorem [17]. The proof will be given in several steps.

Step 1: N is continuous. Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in B_η . Then, for each $(t, x) \in [-T, \infty) \times [-\xi, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Nu_n)(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x - s)^{r_2-1} |q(\tau, s)| \times \\
& \times \left| f(\tau, s, u_n(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u_n(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) - \right. \\
& \left. - f(\tau, s, u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau. \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $(t, x) \in \tilde{J} \cup ([0, a] \times [0, b])$, $a > 0$, then, since $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and f is continuous, (8) gives

$$\|N(u_n) - N(u)\|_{BC} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Case 2. If $(t, x) \in (a, \infty) \times [0, b]$, $a > 0$, then from (H_2) and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Nu_n)(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{2q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |u(\tau - \tau_i, s - \xi_i)| d_i(\tau, s) \right) \times \\
& \times \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i(\tau - \tau_i, s - \xi_i)| \right)^{-1} ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{2q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq 2q^* \sum_{i=1}^m I_\theta^r d_i(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|(Nu_n)(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)| \leq 2q^* \sum_{i=1}^m I_\theta^r d_i(t, x). \quad (9)$$

Thus (9) gives

$$\|N(u_n) - N(u)\|_{BC} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 2: $N(B_\eta)$ is uniformly bounded. This is clear since $N(B_\eta) \subset B_\eta$ and B_η is bounded.

Step 3: $N(B_\eta)$ is equicontinuous on every compact subset $[-T, a] \times [-\xi, b]$ of $[-T, a] \times [-\xi, \infty)$, $a > 0$. Let $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in [0, a] \times [0, b]$, $t_1 < t_2$, $x_1 < x_2$ and let $u \in B_\eta$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Nu)(t_2, x_2) - (Nu)(t_1, x_1)| \leq |\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1)| + |p(t_2, x_2) - p(t_1, x_1)| + \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{x_1} \left[(t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} - (t_1 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_1 - s)^{r_2-1} \right] \times \\
& \times |q(\tau, s)| \cdot \left| f(\tau, s, I_{0,s}^{r_2} u(\tau, s), u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau + \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} |q(\tau, s)| \times \\
& \times \left| f(\tau, s, I_{0,s}^{r_2} u(\tau, s), u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} |q(\tau, s)| \times \\
& \times \left| f(\tau, s, I_{0,s}^{r_2} u(\tau, s), u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau + \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^{x_1} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} |q(\tau, s)| \times \\
& \times \left| f(\tau, s, I_{0,s}^{r_2} u(\tau, s), u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Nu)(t_2, x_2) - (Nu)(t_1, x_1)| \leq |\varphi(t_2) - \varphi(t_1)| + |p(t_2, x_2) - p(t_1, x_1)| + \\
& + \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{x_1} [(t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} - (t_1 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_1 - s)^{r_2-1}] \times \\
& \times \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau + \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau + \\
& + \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau + \\
& + \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^{x_1} (t_2 - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x_2 - s)^{r_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

From continuity of $\varphi, p, d_i, i = 0, \dots, m$ and as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ and $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. The equicontinuity for the cases $t_1 < t_2 < 0, x_1 < x_2 < 0$ and $t_1 \leq 0 \leq t_2, x_1 \leq 0 \leq x_2$ is obvious.

Step 4: $N(B_\eta)$ is equiconvergent. Let $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, b]$ and $u \in B_\eta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Nu)(t, x)| \leq |\varphi(t)| + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x - s)^{r_2-1} [p(\tau, s) - q(\tau, s) \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times f(\tau, s, u(\tau - \tau_1, s - \xi_1), \dots, u(\tau - \tau_m, s - \xi_m))] ds d\tau \right| \leq \\
& \leq |\varphi(t)| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t - \tau)^{r_1-1} (x - s)^{r_2-1} |p(\tau, s)| ds d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq |\varphi(t)| + I_\theta^r p(t, x) + q^* \sum_{i=0}^m I_\theta^r d_i(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $x \in [0, b]$, we get

$$|(Nu)(t, x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence,

$$|(Nu)(t, x) - (Nu)(+\infty, x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

As a consequence of Steps 1 to 4 together with the Lemma 2.7, we can conclude that $N : B_\eta \rightarrow B_\eta$ is continuous and compact. From an application of Schauder's theorem [17], we deduce that N has a fixed point u which is a solution of the problem (1)–(3).

Step 5: *The global asymptotic stability of solutions.* Now we investigate the global asymptotic stability of solutions of problem (1)–(3). Let us assume that u and v are solutions of problem (1)–(3). Then for each $(t, x) \in J$

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t, x) - v(t, x)| & = |(Nu)(t, x) - (Nv)(t, x)| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} \times \\
& \times \left| f(\tau, s, u(\tau-\tau_1, s-\xi_1), \dots, u(\tau-\tau_m, s-\xi_m)) - \right. \\
& \left. - f(\tau, s, v(\tau-\tau_1, s-\xi_1), \dots, v(\tau-\tau_m, s-\xi_m)) \right| ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq \frac{2q^*}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} \sum_{i=1}^m d_i(\tau, s) ds d\tau \leq \\
& \leq 2q^* \sum_{i=1}^m I_\theta^r d_i(t, x). \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (10) and the fact that $I_\theta^r d_i(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 0, \dots, m$ we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |u(t, x) - v(t, x)| = 0.$$

Consequently, all solutions of problem (1)–(3) are globally asymptotically stable. \square

4. AN EXAMPLE

As an application and to illustrate our results, we consider the following system of delay differential equations of fractional order

$${}^c D_{\theta}^r u(t, x) = p(t, x) - q(t, x) f\left(t, x, u\left(t-1, x - \frac{1}{4}\right), u\left(t - \frac{2}{3}, x - \frac{1}{5}\right)\right) \\ \text{for } (t, x) \in J := [0, \infty) \times [0, 1], \quad (11)$$

$$u(t, x) = e^{-t} \text{ for } (t, x) \in \tilde{J} := [-1, \infty) \times \left[-\frac{1}{4}, 1\right] \setminus (0, \infty) \times (0, 1], \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(t, 0) = e^{-t}, & t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(0, x) = 1, & x \in [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $r = (r_1, r_2) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, $q(t, x) = \frac{1}{1+t^2+x^2}$, $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{cases} p(t, x) = xt^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sin t, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1], \\ p(0, x) = 0, & x \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} f(t, x, u, v) = \frac{xt^{-\frac{3}{4}} (|u| \sin t + |v| e^{-\frac{1}{t}})}{2+|u|+|v|}, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \text{ and } u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \\ f(0, x, u, v) = 0, & x \in [0, 1] \text{ and } u, v \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

We have for each $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 1]$, $\Phi(t, x) = e^{-t}$ and $\varphi(t) = e^{-t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi^* = \varphi^* = 1$, $p^* = 1$, then the assumption (H_1) is satisfied. Let us notice that the function f satisfies assumption (H_2) , where

$$\begin{cases} d_1(t, x) = xt^{-\frac{3}{4}} |\sin t|, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1], \\ d_1(0, x) = 0, & x \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d_2(t, x) = xt^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{t}}, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 1], \\ d_2(0, x) = 0, & x \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Also, for each $x \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta}^r p(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} p(\tau, s) ds d\tau = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (x-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s\tau^{-\frac{3}{4}} |\sin \tau| ds d\tau \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (x-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s\tau^{-\frac{3}{4}} ds d\tau = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$I_{\theta}^r d_1(t, x) = I_{\theta}^r p(t, x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\theta}^r d_2(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{r_1-1} (x-s)^{r_2-1} d_2(\tau, s) ds d\tau = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (x-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s\tau^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}} ds d\tau \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^t \int_0^x (t-\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}} (x-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s\tau^{-\frac{3}{4}} ds d\tau = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{4})\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{\theta}^r p(t, x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{\theta}^r d_i(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Hence by Theorem 3.4, the problem (11)–(13) has a solution defined on $[-1, \infty) \times [-\frac{1}{4}, 1]$ and all solutions are globally asymptotically stable on $[-1, \infty) \times [-\frac{1}{4}, 1]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for carefully reading the paper and for the suggested remarks that considerably improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, Partial hyperbolic differential equations with finite delay involving the Caputo fractional derivative. *Commun. Math. Anal.* **7** (2009), No. 2, 62–72.
2. S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and J. Henderson, On global asymptotic stability of solutions of nonlinear quadratic Volterra integral equations of fractional order, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **19** (2012), No. 1, 79–89.
3. S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and G. M. N'Guérékata, Topics in Fractional Differential Equations. *Developments in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, **27**, 2012.

4. S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and A. N. Vityuk, On fractional order derivatives and Darboux problem for implicit differential equations. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **15** (2012), No. 2, 168–182.
5. R. P. Agarwal, M. Benchohra and S. Hamani, A survey on existence results for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations and inclusions, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109** (2010), No. 3, 973–1033.
6. R. P. Agarwal, M. Belmekki and M. Benchohra, A survey on semilinear differential equations and inclusions involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2009), Art. ID 981728.
7. R. P. Agarwal, B. de Andrade and C. Cuevas, On type of periodicity and ergodicity to a class of fractional order differential equations, *Adv. Difference Equ.* (2010), Art. ID 179750.
8. R. P. Agarwal, B. de Andrade and C. Cuevas, Weighted pseudo-almost periodic solutions of a class of semilinear fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11** (2010), No. 5, 3532–3554.
9. D. Araya and C. Lizama, Almost automorphic mild solutions to fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), No. 11, 3692–3705.
10. D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas and J. Trujillo, Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods. *World Scientific Publishing, New York*, 2012.
11. J. Banaś and T. Zajac, A new approach to the theory of functional integral equations of fractional order. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **375** (2011), No. 2, 375–387.
12. C. Corduneanu, Integral equations and stability of Feedback systems, *Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York-London*, **104** (1973).
13. C. Cuevas and C. Lizama, Almost automorphic solutions to a class of semilinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21** (2008), No. 12, 1315–1319.
14. C. Cuevas, G. M. N'Guérékata and A. Sepulveda, Pseudo almost automorphic solutions to fractional differential and integro-differential equations. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **16** (2012), No. 1, 131–152.
15. M. A. Darwish, J. Henderson and D. O'Regan, Existence and asymptotic stability of solutions of a perturbed fractional functional-integral equation with linear modification of the argument. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **48** (2011), No. 11, 539–553.
16. K. Diethelm, The analysis of fractional differential equations. *An application-oriented exposition using differential operators of Caputo type. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 2004, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 2010.
17. A. Granas and J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2003.
18. R. Hilfer, Applications of fractional calculus in physics. *World Sci. Publ. River Edge, NJ*, (2000) 87–130.
19. A. A. Kilbas, Hari M. Srivastava and Juan J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations. *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, 204. *Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam*, 2006.
20. V. Lakshmikantham, Theory of fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), No. 10, 3337–334.
21. V. Lakshmikantham and J. V. Devi, Theory of fractional differential equations in Banach spaces. *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **1** (2008), No. 3, 38–45.
22. V. Lakshmikantham and A. Vatsala, Basic theory of fractional differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **69** (2008), No. 8, 2677–2682.
23. V. Lakshmikantham and A. Vatsala, Theory of fractional differential inequalities and applications. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* **11** (2007), No. 3–4, 395–402.
24. V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela and J. Vasundhara, Theory of fractional dynamic systems. *Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge*, 2009.

25. G. M. Mophou and G. M. N'Guérékata, Mild solutions for semilinear fractional differential equations. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2009**, No. 21.
26. G. M. Mophou and G. M. N'Guérékata, Existence of the mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions. *Semigroup Forum* **79** (2009), No. 2, 315–322.
27. G. M. N'Guérékata, A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with non local conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), No. 5, 1873–1876.
28. I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equation. *Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA*, 1999.
29. S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications. *Gordon and Breach, Yverdon*, 1993.
30. V. E. Tarasov, Fractional Dynamics: Application of Fractional Calculus to Dynamics of Particles, Fields and Media. *Springer, Heidelberg; Higher Education Press, Beijing*, 2010.
31. A. N. Vityuk and A. V. Golushkov, Existence of solutions of systems of partial differential equations of fractional order. (Russian) *Nelineini Koliv.* **7** (2004), No. 3, 328–335.

(Received 4.04.2012; revised 25.08.2012)

Authors' addresses:

S. Abbas

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Saïda
B.P. 138, 20000, Saïda, Algérie
e-mail: abbasmsaid@yahoo.fr

M. Benchohra

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Sidi Bel-Abbès
B.P. 89, 22000, Sidi Bel-Abbès, Algérie
e-mail: benchohra@univ-sba.dz