

SOME PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONS
FROM THE INTERSECTION OF
BESOV-MORREY TYPE SPACES
WITH DOMINANT MIXED DERIVATIVES

A. NAJAFOV

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we study differential properties of functions belonging to the intersection of Besov-Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომში შესწავლილია იმ ფუნქციათა დიფერენციალური თვისებები, რომლებიც კუკუტუნის ბესოვ-მორის ტიპის სივრცეთა თანაკვეთას მავორანტული შერეული წარმოებულებით.

Sobolev and Nikolskii's spaces with dominant mixed derivatives (with the difference) $S_p^l W$ and $S_p^l H$ have been introduced and studied by S.M. Nikolskii [1]. By different methods, A.D. Djabrailov [2] and T.I. Amanov [3] have studied the Besov space with dominant mixed derivatives $S_p^l B$. The Sobolev-Liouville space with dominant mixed derivatives $S_p^l L$ were investigated by P.I. Lizorkin and S.M. Nikolskii [4].

Later on, V.P. Il'yin [5] introduced and studied the Sobolev-Morrey space $W_{p,a,\mathfrak{a}}^l(G)$. The Nikolskii-Morrey spaces $H_{p,\lambda}^l$ were introduced and studied by I. Ross [6]. By Y.V. Netrusov [7] were introduced the Besov-Morrey space $B_{p,\theta,a,\mathfrak{a}}^l(G)$. The spaces of Besov-Morrey type $B_{p,\theta,a,\mathfrak{a},\tau}^l(G)$ and of Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey type $F_{p,\theta,a,\mathfrak{a},\tau}^l(G)$ were introduced by V.S. Guliev and studied in [8,9]. The space of Besov-Morrey type with dominant mixed derivatives $S_{p,\theta,a,\mathfrak{a},\tau}^l B(G)$ was introduced and studied in [10].

In this article, from the point of view of the imbedding theory we study some properties of functions $f(x)$ belonging to the intersection of Besov-Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives $S_{p_\mu,\theta_\mu,a,\mathfrak{a},\tau}^{\mu} B(G)$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$), where the domain $G \subset R^n$, $p_\mu \in [1, \infty)^n$; $\theta_\mu, \tau \in [1, \infty]$; $a \in [0, 1]^n$; $\mathfrak{a}, l^\mu \in (0, \infty)^n$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Let $e_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $e \subseteq e_n$, $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$, m_j be natural, $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, k_j be integers for $j \in e_n$; $k^e = (k_1^e, k_2^e, \dots, k_n^e)$, $k_j^e = k_j$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33, 42B35, 46E30, 46E35.

Key words and phrases. Besov-Morrey spaces, dominant mixed derivative, embedding theorem, Holder condition.

for $j \in e$; $k_j^e = 0$ for $j \in e_n \setminus e = e'$; $[t_j]_1 = \min\{1, t_j\}$, for $j \in e_n$; and let $h_0, t_0 \in (0, \infty)^n$ be fixed positive vectors and

$$\int_{a^e}^{b^e} f(x) dx^e = \left(\prod_{j \in e} \int_{a_j}^{b_j} dx_j \right) f(x),$$

i.e., the integration takes place only with respect to the variable x_j , whose indices belong to the set e .

We say that the open set $G \subset R^n$ satisfies the condition (A_1) , if for any $x \in G$ and $T \in (0, \infty)^n$ there exists the vector-function

$$\rho(t, x) = (\rho_1(t_1, x), \rho_2(t_2, x), \dots, \rho_n(t_n, x)), 0 \leq t_j \leq T_j, j \in e_n,$$

with the following properties:

- 1) for all $j \in e_n$, the functions $\rho_j(t_j, x)$ are absolutely continuous with respect to t_j on $[0, T_j]$, and $|\rho'_j(t_j, x)| \leq 1$ for almost all $t_j \in [0, T_j]$, where $\rho'_j(t_j, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \rho_j(t_j, x)$;
- 2) $\rho_j(0, x) = 0$ for all $j \in e_n$,

$$x + V(x, \omega) = x + \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq t_j \leq T_j, \\ j \in e_n}} [\rho(t, x) + t\omega I] \subset G,$$

where $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$, $\omega_j \in (0, 1]$ for $j \in e_n$, $I = [-1, 1]^n$, $t\omega I = \{(t_1\omega_1 y_1, t_2\omega_2 y_2, \dots, t_n\omega_n y_n) : y \in I\}$. If $t_1 = t^{\lambda_1}, \dots, t_n = t^{\lambda_n}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $\rho(t, x) = \rho(t^\lambda, x)$, $\omega^\lambda = (\omega^{\lambda_1}, \omega^{\lambda_2}, \dots, \omega^{\lambda_n})$, $\omega \in (0, 1]$, then $V(\lambda, x, \omega) = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\rho(t^\lambda, x) + t^\lambda \omega^\lambda I]$ is a flexible λ -horn introduced by O.V. Besov [11].

Definition. By the space of Becov-Morrey type with the dominant mixed derivatives $S_{p, \theta, a, \alpha, \tau}^l B(G_h)$ is meant the Banach space of locally summable on G functions f with the finite norm ($m_j > l_j - k_j > 0$, $j \in e_n$):

$$\|f\|_{S_{p, \theta, a, \alpha, \tau}^l B(G_h)} = \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \left\{ \int_0^{h_0^e} \left[\frac{\|\Delta^{m^e}(h, G_h) D^{k^e} f\|_{p, a, \alpha, \tau}}{\prod_{j \in e} h_j^{l_j - k_j}} \right]^\theta \frac{dh^e}{\prod_{j \in e} h_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta^{m^e}(h, G_h) f\|_{p, a, \alpha, \tau} &= \|\Delta^{m^e}(h) f\|_{p, a, \alpha, \tau; G_h}, \\ \Delta^{m^e}(h) f &= \left(\prod_{j \in e} \Delta_j^{m_j}(h_j) \right) f(x), \quad G_h = \{x : x + hI \subset G\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|f\|_{p, a, \alpha, \tau; G_h} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{x \in G} \left\{ \int_0^{t_{01}} \cdots \int_0^{t_{0n}} \left[\prod_{j \in e_n} [t_j]_1^{-\frac{\varkappa_j a_j}{p_j}} \|f\|_{p, (G_h)_{t^\varkappa}(x)} \right]^\tau \prod_{j \in e_n} \frac{dt_j}{t_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\tau}}, \quad 1 \leq \tau < \infty, \quad (2) \\
&\|f\|_{p, a, \varkappa, \infty; G_h} = \|f\|_{p, a, \varkappa; G_h} = \sup_{x \in G, t > 0} \left(\prod_{j \in e_n} [t_j]_1^{-\frac{\varkappa_j a_j}{p_j}} \|f\|_{p, (G_h)_{t^\varkappa}(x)} \right), \\
&(G_h)_{t^\varkappa}(x) = \{y : y + x + hI + t^\varkappa I \subset G\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we take $\Delta^{m^e}(h, G_h)f$ instead of $\Delta^{m^e}(h, G)f$ in the norm (1) we get the norm of the space $S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l B(G)$. When $\theta = \infty$ the spaces $S_{p, \infty, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l B(G_h) = S_{p, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l H(G_h)$ will be called the Nicolski-Morrey type spaces with dominant mixed derivatives, and if $\tau = \infty$, the spaces $S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa, \infty}^l B(G_h) = S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa}^l B(G_h)$ will be is called the Besov-Morrey spaces with dominant mixed derivatives.

Here we point out some properties of the spaces $S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l B(G_h)$.

1) The following embedding holds for arbitrary $\varkappa_j > 0$ and $0 \leq a_j \leq 1$, for $j \in e_n$:

$$S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l B(G_h) \rightarrow S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa}^l B(G_h) \rightarrow S_{p, \theta}^l B(G_h).$$

2) For all real $c > 0$ the expression

$$\|f\|_{S_{p, \theta, a, c\varkappa, \tau}^l B(G_h)} \approx \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta, a, \varkappa, \tau}^l B(G_h)} \quad (3)$$

is valid.

3) For every $\varkappa_j > 0$, where $j \in e_n$, the relation

$$\|f\|_{S_{p, \theta, 0, \varkappa, \infty}^l B(G_h)} = \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^l B(G_h)} \quad (4)$$

is valid.

Let $\beta_\mu \geq 0$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$), $\sum_{\mu=1}^N \beta_\mu = 1$, $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\beta_\mu}{p_\mu}$, $\frac{1}{\theta} = \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\beta_\mu}{\theta_\mu}$, $l = \sum_{\mu=1}^N l^\mu \beta_\mu$, $\varepsilon_j = \sum_{\mu=1}^N l_j^\mu \beta_\mu - \nu_j - (1 - \varkappa_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right)$, $\varepsilon_j^0 = \sum_{\mu=1}^N l_j^\mu \beta_\mu - \nu_j - (1 - \varkappa_j a_j) \frac{1}{p_j}$.

Let $\xi_e \in C_0^\infty(R^{|e|})$, $M_e \in C_0^\infty(R^n \times R^n)$ be uniformly finite with respect to z from an arbitrary compact, i.e., $S(M_e) = \text{supp}(M_e) \subset I_1 = \{x : |x_j| < 1, j \in e_n\}$, and let $0 < T_j \leq 1$, $j \in e_n$. Suppose

$$V = \bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq t_j \leq T_j, \\ j \in e_n}} \left\{ y : \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \in S(M_e) \right\}.$$

Clearly, $V \subset I_T = \{x : |x_j| < T_j, j \in e_n\}$. By U we denote an open set contained in the domain G , and henceforth it will be always assumed that $U + V \subset G$. Further, let $G_{T^\varkappa}(U) = \bigcup_{x \in U} G_{T^\varkappa}(x)$; note that if $0 < \varkappa_j \leq 1$,

$0 < T_j \leq 1, j \in e_n$, then $I_T \subset I_{T^{\mathfrak{a}}}$, since $U+V \subset G$ and $U+V \subset G_{T^{\mathfrak{a}}}(U) = Q$.

Lemma 1. *Let $1 \leq p_{\mu j} \leq q_{\mu j} \leq r_{\mu j} \leq \infty, \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N, 0 < \mathfrak{a}_j \leq 1, 0 < t_j \leq T_j \leq 1, j \in e_n; \eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n), 0 < \eta_j \leq T_j, \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n), \nu_j \geq 0$ -are integers, $j \in e_n, \Delta^{m^e}(t)f \in L_{p_\mu, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \tau}(G)$,*

$$B_\eta^e(x) = \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \int_{0^e}^{\eta^e} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-3-\nu_j} K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'}) dt^e, \quad (5)$$

$$B_{\eta, T}^e(x) = \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \int_{\eta^e}^{T^e} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-3-\nu_j} K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'}) dt^e, \quad (6)$$

$$K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'}) = \int_{R^n} \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} M_e^{(\nu)} \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \times \\ \times \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e dy.$$

Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\sup_{\bar{x} \in U} \|B_\eta^e\|_{q, U_{\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\bar{x})} \leq C_1 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \times \\ \times \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-\nu_j - (1-\mathfrak{a}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} [\gamma_j]_1^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}_j a_j}{p_j}} \prod_{j \in e} \eta_j^{\varepsilon_j} (\varepsilon_j > 0), \quad (7)$$

$$\sup_{\bar{x} \in U} \|B_{\eta, T}^e\|_{q, U_{\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\bar{x})} \leq C_2 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \times \\ \times \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-\nu_j - (1-\mathfrak{a}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} [\gamma_j]_1^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}_j a_j}{p_j}} \begin{cases} \prod_{j \in e} T_j^{\varepsilon_j}, \varepsilon_j > 0, \\ \prod_{j \in e} \ln \frac{T_j}{\eta_j}, \varepsilon_j = 0, \\ \prod_{j \in e} \eta_j^{\varepsilon_j}, \varepsilon_j < 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are the constants independent of f, γ, η, T .

Proof. Assume first that $p_{\mu 1} = p_{\mu 2} = \dots = p_{\mu n} = p_\mu, q_{\mu 1} = q_{\mu 2} = \dots = q_{\mu n} = q_\mu$, and $r_{\mu 1} = r_{\mu 2} = \dots = r_{\mu n} = r_\mu$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$). For the given $\bar{x} \in U$, applying the generalized Minkovskii inequality, we obtain

$$\|B_\eta^e\|_{q, U_{\gamma^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\bar{x})} \leq$$

$$\leq C_1 \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \int_{0^e}^{\eta^e} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-3-\nu_j} \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} dt^e, \quad (9)$$

Estimate the norm $\left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})}$. Applying the Hölder inequality with the exponents

$$\alpha_\mu = \frac{q_\mu}{\beta_\mu q}, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{1}{\alpha_\mu} = q \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\beta_\mu}{q_\mu} = 1 \right),$$

for $\left| K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'}) \right|$ we obtain

$$\left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} \leq C_2 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q_\mu, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}. \quad (10)$$

By virtue of the Hölder's inequality, with regard for $q_\mu \leq r_\mu$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we have

$$\left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q_\mu, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} \leq \prod_{j \in e_n} \gamma_j^{\left(\frac{1}{q_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}\right)} \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{r_\mu, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})}. \quad (11)$$

Let χ be the characteristic function of the set $S(M_e)$. Note that $1 \leq p_\mu \leq r_\mu \leq \infty$, $s_\mu \leq r_\mu$ $\left(\frac{1}{s_\mu} = 1 - \frac{1}{p_\mu} + \frac{1}{r_\mu}\right)$, and if we represent the integrand in the expression $K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'})$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} M_e^{(\nu)} \zeta_e \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f du^e \right| &= \left(\left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f du^e \right|^{p_\mu} \left| M_e^{(\nu)} \right|^{s_\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{r_\mu}} \times \\ &\times \left(\left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f du^e \right|^{p_\mu} \chi\left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}} \left(\left| M_e^{(\nu)} \right|^{s_\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

and apply Hölder's inequality for $\left| K_e(x, t^e + T^{e'}) \right|$ (by virtue of $\frac{1}{r_\mu} + \left(\frac{1}{p_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{s_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}\right) = 1$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{r_\mu, U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} &\leq \sup_{x \in U_{\gamma^e}(\bar{x})} \left(\int_{R^n} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \times \right. \right. \\ &\times \left. \left. \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} \chi\left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}\right) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_\mu} - \frac{1}{r_\mu}} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sup_{y \in V} \left(\int_{U_{\gamma^{\mathfrak{x}}}(\bar{x})} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \times \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \times \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_\mu}} \times \\
& \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| M_e^{(\nu)} \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \right|^{s_\mu} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s_\mu}}. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $U + V \subset Q$, $Q_{t^e + T^{e'}}(x) \subset Q_{t^{\mathfrak{x}^e} + T^{\mathfrak{x}^{e'}}}(x)$ for arbitrary $0 < \mathfrak{x}_j \leq 1$, $0 < t_j \leq T_j \leq 1$, $j \in e_n$, and $x \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} \times \\
& \times \chi \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) dy \leq \int_{Q_{t^{\mathfrak{x}^e} + T^{\mathfrak{x}^{e'}}}(x)} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} dy \leq \\
& \leq \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{p_\mu l_j^{\mathfrak{x}} + p_\mu} \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^{\mathfrak{x}}} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, \mathfrak{x}}^{p_\mu} \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{\mathfrak{x}_j a_j} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{\mathfrak{x}_j a_j}, \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where $y \in V$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U_{\gamma^{\mathfrak{x}}}(\bar{x})} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} dx \leq \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{t^{\mathfrak{x}^e} + T^{\mathfrak{x}^{e'}}}(\bar{x} + y)} \left| \int_{-\infty^e}^{\infty^e} \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e \right|^{p_\mu} dx \leq \\
& \leq \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{p_\mu l_j^{\mathfrak{x}} + p_\mu} \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^{\mathfrak{x}}} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, \mathfrak{x}}^{p_\mu} \prod_{j \in e_n} [\gamma_j]_1^{\mathfrak{x}_j a_j}, \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| M_e^{(\nu)} \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \right|^{s_\mu} dy = \prod_{j \in e'} T_j \prod_{j \in e} t_j \left\| M_e^{(\nu)} \right\|_{s_\mu}^{s_\mu}. \quad (15)$$

It follows from (10)-(15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q, U_{\gamma, \bar{x}}(\bar{x})} &\leq C_3 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \times \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-\nu_j - (1 - \bar{x}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} [\gamma_j]_1^{\frac{\bar{x}_j a_j}{p}} \times \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e_n} \gamma_j^{\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r} \right)} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{l_j + 2 - (1 - \bar{x}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Taking into account the inequality $\|\cdot\|_{p, a, \bar{x}} \leq c \|\cdot\|_{p, a, \bar{x}, \tau}$ and using (16) with respect to every variable, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| K_e(\cdot, t^e + T^{e'}) \right\|_{q, U_{\gamma, \bar{x}}(\bar{x})} &\leq C_4 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \times \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-\nu_j - (1 - \bar{x}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{r_j} \right)} \prod_{j \in e_n} [\gamma_j]_1^{\frac{\bar{x}_j a_j}{p_j}} \times \\ &\times \prod_{j \in e_n} \gamma_j^{\left(\frac{1}{q_j} - \frac{1}{r_j} \right)} \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{l_j + 2 - (1 - \bar{x}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{r_j} \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for $p_\mu = (p_{\mu 1}, p_{\mu 2}, \dots, p_{\mu n})$, $q_\mu = (q_{\mu 1}, q_{\mu 2}, \dots, q_{\mu n})$ and $r_\mu = (r_{\mu 1}, r_{\mu 2}, \dots, r_{\mu n})$, ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$) Substituting (17) in (9) for $r_j = q_j, j \in e_n$, we arrive at (7). In a similar way we can prove inequality (8). \square

Lemma 2. *Let $1 \leq p_{\mu j} \leq q_{\mu j} < \infty$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$; $0 < \bar{x}_j \leq 1$, $0 < t_j \leq T_j \leq 1$, $j \in e_n$; $1 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \infty$ and $\varepsilon_j > 0$. Then for every function $B_T^e(x)$ defined by (5) the estimate*

$$\|B_T^e\|_{q, b, \bar{x}, \tau_2; U} \leq C \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, Q) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \quad (18)$$

is valid, where C is the constant, independent of f , and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$, b_j is an arbitrary number satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq b_j \leq 1, \text{ if } \varepsilon_{j,0} > 0 \text{ for } j \in e; \\ 0 &\leq b_j < 1, \text{ if } \varepsilon_{j,0} = 0 \text{ for } j \in e; \quad 0 \leq b_j \leq a_j \text{ for } j \in e_n \setminus e = e'; \\ 0 &\leq b_j < 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_{j,0} q_j (1 - a_j)}{1 - \bar{x}_j a_j}, \text{ if } \varepsilon_{j,0} < 0 \text{ for } j \in e. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Assume that an open set G satisfies the condition (A_1) , $1 \leq p_{\mu j} \leq q_{\mu j} \leq \infty$, $j \in e_n$, $1 \leq \theta_\mu \leq \infty$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$; $\bar{x} = c\bar{x}$, $\frac{1}{c} = \max_{j \in e_n} l_j \bar{x}_j$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$, $\nu_j \geq 0$ be integers, $j \in e_n$, $1 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \infty$, $f \in \prod_{\mu=1}^N S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \tau}^{l_\mu} B(G_h)$, and let $\varepsilon_j > 0$ for $j \in e_n$. Then there exists the*

generalized derivative $D^\nu f$ in the domain G and the following inequalities are valid:

$$\|D^\nu f\|_{q,G} \leq C_1 A(T) \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \|f\|_{S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \mathfrak{a}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \quad (19)$$

$$\|D^\nu f\|_{q, b, \mathfrak{a}, \tau_2; G} \leq C_2 \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \|f\|_{S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \mathfrak{a}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \quad (20)$$

$$p_{\mu j} \leq q_{\mu j} < \infty, \quad j \in e_n,$$

where

$$A(T) = \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e_n} T_j^{s_{e,j}}, \quad s_{e,j} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_j, & j \in e \\ -\nu_j - (1 - \mathfrak{a}_j a_j) \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{q_j} \right), & j \in e'. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $\varepsilon_{j,0} > 0$, $j \in e_n$, then $D^\nu f$ is continuous in G and

$$\sup_{x \in G} |D^\nu f| \leq C_1 A(T^0) \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \|f\|_{S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \mathfrak{a}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{where } A(T^0) = \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e_n} T_j^{s_{e,j}^0}, \quad s_{e,j}^0 = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{j,0}, & j \in e \\ -\nu_j - (1 - \mathfrak{a}_j a_j) \frac{1}{p_j}, & j \in e', \end{cases},$$

$T_j \in (0, \min(1, t_{0,j})]$, $j \in e_n$, $C_1 C_2$ are the constants independent of f , and C_1 is independent of T as well.

Proof. First of all, it should be noted that since $\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = c\mathfrak{a}$, $c > 0$, using Property 2), we can assume that $f \in \bigcap_{\mu=1}^N S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, c\mathfrak{a}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)$, and in inequalities (19)-(21) and in the expression for ε_j we can replace \mathfrak{a}_j , $j \in e_n$, by $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}_j$, $j \in e_n$. Here we will prove these very inequalities (the greater \mathfrak{a}_j , the greater ε_j , $j \in e_n$). Let $f \in \bigcap_{\mu=1}^N S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \overline{\mathfrak{a}}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)$, then $f \in S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \overline{\mathfrak{a}}, \tau}^{l^\mu} B(G_h)$ for all $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$. The existence of the generalized derivative $D^\nu f$ under the conditions of the theorem follows from Theorem 1 of [10]. Then for almost every point $x \in G$ the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\nu f(x) &= \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} (-1)^{|\nu|} \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \times \\ &\times \int_{0^e}^{T^e} \frac{dt^e}{\prod_{j \in e} t_j^{3+\nu_j}} \int_{R^n - \infty^e}^{\infty^e} M_e^{(\nu)} \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \times \\ &\times \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) du^e dy, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

the support of the representation (22) is contained in the set $x + V \subset G$ and the parameter of the representation $\delta > 0$ is assumed to be sufficiently small. Therefore $\Delta^{m^e}(\delta u, G_{\delta u})f = \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u)f$. On the basis of Minkovski's inequality, we have

$$\|D^\nu f\|_{q,G} \leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \|B_T^e\|_{q,G}. \quad (23)$$

By means of (7), for $U = G$, $\eta = T$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$\|B_T^e\|_{q,G} \leq C_2 \prod_{j \in e_n} T_j^{s_{e,j}} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t)f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \overline{\alpha}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}.$$

Then from inequality (23) we have

$$\|D^\nu f\|_{q,G} \leq C_3 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e_n} T_j^{s_{e,j}} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t)f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \overline{\alpha}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu},$$

whence with regard for $1 \leq \theta_\mu \leq \infty$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we get inequality (19). Similarly, using (18), we obtain estimate (20).

Assume now that $\varepsilon_{j,0} > 0$, $j \in e_n$. Let us show that $D^\nu f$ is continuous in G . On the basis of identity (22) and inequality (18), for $q_j = \infty$, $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j,0} > 0$, $j \in e_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^\nu f - D^\nu f_T\|_{\infty,G} \leq \\ & \leq C_1 \sum_{\emptyset \neq e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e_n} T_j^{s_{e,j}} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t)f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \overline{\alpha}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

whence it follows that the left-hand side of the inequality tends to zero as $T_j \rightarrow 0$, $j \in e$. Since $D^\nu f_T$ is continuous in G , in this case the convergence of $L_\infty(G)$ coincides with the uniform convergence and, consequently, the limit function $D^\nu f$ is continuous in G . \square

Let ξ be an n -dimensional vector.

Theorem 2. *Let the domain G , the vectors p_μ, q_μ , ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$), $\overline{\alpha}$, ν and parameters τ, θ_μ , ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$), satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. If $\varepsilon_j > 0, j \in e_n$, then the derivative $D^\nu f$ satisfies the Holder condition in G in the metric of L_q with exponent σ_j^1 , or more exactly,*

$$\|\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f\|_{q,G} \leq C \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \|f\|_{S_{p_\mu, \theta_\mu, a, \overline{\alpha}, \tau}^{l_\mu} B(G_h)} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\sigma_j^1}, \quad (24)$$

where σ_j^1 is an arbitrary number satisfying the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \sigma_j^1 \leq 1, \text{ if } \varepsilon_j > 1 \text{ for } j \in e; \\ & 0 \leq \sigma_j^1 < 1, \text{ if } \varepsilon_j = 1 \text{ for } j \in e; \quad 0 \leq \sigma_j^1 \leq 1 \text{ for } j \in e'; \\ & 0 \leq \sigma_j^1 \leq \varepsilon_j, \text{ if } \varepsilon_j < 1 \text{ for } j \in e. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_{j,0} > 0$ ($j \in e_n$), then

$$\sup_{x \in G} |\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f| \leq C \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \|f\|_{S_{\nu\mu, \theta\mu, \alpha, \varepsilon, \tau}^{l\mu} B(G_h)} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\sigma_j^{1,0}}, \quad (25)$$

where $\sigma_j^{1,0}$ satisfy the same conditions as σ_j^1 , but with the substitution of ε_j by $\varepsilon_{j,0}$.

Proof. Just as in the proof of Theorem 1, below we can replace the vector ε by $\bar{\varepsilon} = c\varepsilon$. By Lemma 8.6 [11], there is a domain $G_z \subset G$ ($z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$, $z_j = \alpha_j r(x)$, $\alpha_j > 0$, $j \in e_n$, $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial G)$, $x \in G$). Suppose that $|\xi_j| < z_j$ ($j \in e_n$), then for every $x \in G_z$ the segment with endpoints x and $x + \xi$ lies in G . Consequently, (22) is valid for all points of that segment with the same kernels. After several transformations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f| &\leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \times \\ &\times \int_{0^e}^{\frac{|\xi^e|}{\prod_{j \in e} t_j^{3+\nu_j}}} \frac{dt^e}{\prod_{j \in e} t_j^{3+\nu_j}} \int_{R^n - \infty^e}^{\infty^e} \left| M_e^\nu \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \right| \times \\ &\times \left| \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \right| \left| \Delta(\xi, G) \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e) \right| du^e dy + \\ &+ C_2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \prod_{j \in e'} T_j^{-1-\nu_j} \prod_{j \in e} |\xi_j| \int_{|\xi^e|}^{T^e} \frac{dt^e}{\prod_{j \in e} t_j^{4+\nu_j}} \times \\ &\times \int_{R^n - \infty^e}^{\infty^e} \left| M_e^{(\nu+1)} \left(\frac{y}{t^e + T^{e'}}, \frac{\rho(t^e + T^{e'}, x)}{t^e + T^{e'}} \right) \right| \left| \zeta_e \left(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{\rho(t, x)}{2t}, \frac{1}{2} \rho'(t, x) \right) \right| \times \\ &\times \int_0^1 \Delta^{m^e}(\delta u) f(x + y + u^e + v_1 \xi_1 + v_2 \xi_2 + \dots + v_n \xi_n) dv du^e dy = \\ &= C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} B_1^e(x, \xi) + C_2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} B_2^e(x, \xi); \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $|\xi^e| = (|\xi_1^e|, |\xi_2^e|, \dots, |\xi_n^e|)$, $|\xi_j^e| = |\xi_j|$ for $j \in e$, $|\xi_j^e| = 0$ for $j \in e'_n$ and $0 < T_j \leq \min(1, t_{0,j})$ for $j \in e_n$. We can consider, that $|\xi_j| < T_j$ for $j \in e_n$, cosequently, $|\xi_j| < \min(z_j, T_j)$, $j \in e_n$. if $x \in G \setminus G_z$, then by definition,

$$\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f(x) = 0.$$

By (26) we have

$$\|\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f\|_{q, G} = \|\Delta(\xi, G)D^\nu f\|_{q, G_z} \leq$$

$$\leq C_1 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \|B_1^e(\cdot, \xi)\|_{q, G_z} + C_2 \sum_{e \subseteq e_n} \|B_2^e(\cdot, \xi)\|_{q, G_z}. \quad (27)$$

Using inequality (7) for $U = G$, $\eta_j = |\xi_j|$, as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_1^e(\cdot, \xi)\|_{q, G_z} \leq \\ & \leq C_3 \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\varepsilon_j} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \infty} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and by inequality (8) for $U = G$, $\eta_j = |\xi_j|$, as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_2^e(\cdot, \xi)\|_{q, G_z} \leq C_4 \prod_{j \in e'_n} |\xi_j|^{\varepsilon_j} \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\varepsilon_j - 1} \times \\ & \times \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \infty} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} \leq \\ & \leq C_5 \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\sigma_j} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \infty} \right\}^{\beta_\mu} = \\ & = C_6 \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\sigma_j^1} \prod_{\mu=1}^N \left\{ \left\| \prod_{j \in e} t_j^{-l_j^\mu} \Delta^{m^e}(t, G_t) f \right\|_{p_\mu, a, \bar{x}, \tau} \right\}^{\beta_\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\sigma_j^1 > \sigma_j$ for $j \in e_n$. From inequalities (27)-(29), under the condition $1 \leq \theta_\mu \leq \infty$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we obtain inequality (24).

Suppose now that $|\xi_j| \geq \min(z_j, T_j)$, $j \in e_n$. Then

$$\|\Delta(\xi, G) D^\nu f\|_{q, G} \leq 2 \|D^\nu f\|_{q, G} \leq C(z, T) \|D^\nu f\|_{q, G} \prod_{j \in e_n} |\xi_j|^{\sigma_j^1}.$$

In this case, estimating $\|D^\nu f\|_{q, G}$ by means of (19), we again obtain the desired inequality. \square

REFERENCES

1. S. M. Nikolskii, Functions with dominant mixed derivative, satisfying a multiple Hölder condition. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.* **4**(1963), 1342–1364.
2. A. D. Djabrailov, On Some functions of spaces. (Russian) *DAN SSSR*, **159**(1964), No. 2, 254–257.
3. T. I. Amanov, Representation and embedding theorems for function spaces $S_{p, \theta}^{(r)} B(R_n)$ and $S_{p, \theta^*}^{(r)}$ ($0 \leq x_j \leq 2\pi$; $j = 1, \dots, n$). (Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **77**(1965), 5–34.
4. P. I. Lizorkin and S. M. Nikolskii, Classification of differentiable functions on the basis of spaces with dominant mixed derivatives. (Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **77**(1965), 143–167.
5. V. P. Ilyin, On some properties of the functions of spaces $W_{p, a, \bar{x}}^l(G)$. (Russian) *Zap. Nauch. sem. LOMI AN SSSR* **23**(1971), 33–40.

6. I. Ross, A Morrey-Nikolskii inequality. *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* **78**(1980), 97–102.
7. Y. V. Netrusov, Some imbedding theorems for spaces of Besov-Morrey type. (Russian) *Numerical methods and questions in the organization of calculations*, 7. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **139**(1984), 139–147.
8. V. S. Guliev and A. M. Najafov, On some imbeddings theorems of Besov-Morrey and Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey type spaces. (Russian) *Voronejsk. Zimm. math. shkola*, 1999, 71.
9. V. S. Guliev and A. M. Najafov, The imbedding theorems on the Lizorkin-Triebel-Morrey type space. *Progress in analysis*, **I, II** (Berlin, 2001), 23–30, *World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ*, 2003.
10. A. M. Najafov, The imbedding theorems for the space of Besov-Morrey type with dominant mixed derivatives. *Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Acad. Sci. Azerb.* **12**(2000), 97–104, 190.
11. O. V. Besov, V. P. Ilyin, and S. M. Nikolskii, Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems. (Russian) *Second edition. Nauka, Moscow*, 1996.

(Received 12.05.2005)

Author's address:

Azerbaijan University of
Architecture and Construction
Ayna Sultanova str., 5
Az 1073, Baku,
Azerbaijan