## Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics

Volume 95, 2025, 71–82

Kattar Enada Bensatal, Abdelkrim Salim, Mouffak Benchohra

# MEASURE OF WEAK NONCOMPACTNESS AND INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH FINITE DELAY

**Abstract.** This paper discusses the existence of solutions for integro-differential equations via resolvent operators in Banach space. Our approach is based on a Mönch's type fixed point theorem with measure of weak noncompactness. An example is given to show the application of our result.

### 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 45J05, 47H10, 34G20, 37C25, 54H25, 58C30, 35D30.

**Key words and phrases.** Integro-differential equation, resolvent operator, fixed point theorem, Pettis integral, measure of weak noncompactness, weak solution.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომში ბანახის სივრცეში რეზოლვენტური ოპერატორების მეშვეობით განხილულია ინტეგრო-დიფერენციალური განტოლებების ამონახსნების არსებობა. ჩვენი მიდგომა ეფუმნება Mönch-ის ტიპის უძრავი წერტილის თეორემას სუსტი არაკომპაქტურობის ზომით. მიღებული შედეგის სადემონსტრაციოდ მოყვანილია მაგალითი.

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this work is to study the existence of solutions for the following integrodifferential equation with delay:

$$\begin{cases} \phi'(\delta) = \mathfrak{K}(\delta)\phi(\delta) + \aleph(\delta,\phi_{\delta}) + \int_{0}^{\delta} \gamma(\delta,\varrho)\phi(\varrho) \, d\varrho & \text{if } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q} = [0,\varkappa], \\ \phi(\delta) = \Phi(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in [-\zeta,0]. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{F}}, \mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{Q} \times C([-\zeta, 0], \mathfrak{F}) \to \mathfrak{F}$  is a continuous function,  $\Phi \in C([-\zeta, 0], \mathfrak{F}), \mathfrak{K}(\delta) : D(\mathfrak{K}(\delta)) \subset \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{F}$  is a closed linear operator on  $\mathfrak{F}$ , with dense domain  $D(\mathfrak{K}(\delta))$ , and  $\gamma(\delta, \varrho)$  is a family of linear operators in  $\mathfrak{F}$  with  $D(\mathfrak{K}) \subset D(\gamma(\delta, \varrho))$  for  $\delta \geq 0$  and of bounded linear operators from  $D(\mathfrak{K})$  into  $\mathfrak{F}, C([-\zeta, 0], \mathfrak{F})$  is the space of all continuous functions on  $[-\zeta, 0]$  with values in  $\mathfrak{F}$  and with the uniform norm topology  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . For  $\phi \in C([-\zeta, \varkappa], \mathfrak{F})$  and for every  $\delta \geq 0, \ \phi_{\delta} \in C([-\zeta, 0], \mathfrak{F})$  is given by

$$\phi_{\delta}(\vartheta) = \phi(\delta + \vartheta) \text{ for } \vartheta \in [-\zeta, 0].$$

Integro-differential equations are effective mathematical models for explaining a wide range of phenomena in physics, biology and engineering, as demonstrated in [1, 8, 9, 13, 15]. The analysis of such equations presents major difficulties. Because of the existence of both differential and integral variables, sophisticated dynamics and complex behavior of solution result. The discovery and analysis of fixed points, which provide significant insights into the long-term behavior of the system, is a vital part of researching integro-differential equations. (For further information, read [4, 6, 7, 14, 25, 26]).

The resolvent operator plays a crucial role in addressing equation (1.1) in both weak and strong senses, serving as a replacement for the  $C_0$ -semigroup in evolution equations. Numerous researchers have devoted significant efforts in recent years to explore diverse aspects, including the existence and regularity, stability, (almost) periodicity of solutions, and control problems associated with semi-linear integro-differential evolution equations. This exploration is conducted through the utilization of the theory of the resolvent operator, as highlighted in works such as [10, 16, 21] and the references provided therein.

In particular, Dos Santos *et al.* [17] studied neutral integro-differential equations with infinite delay of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{d\delta} \left[ \beta(\delta) + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\delta} N(\delta-\varrho)\beta(\varrho) \, d\varrho \right] = \Re\beta(\delta) + \aleph(\delta,\beta_{\delta}) + \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\delta} \gamma(\delta-\varrho)\beta(\varrho) \, d\varrho & \text{if } \delta \in [0,\varkappa], \\ \beta_0 = \jmath & \text{if } \delta \in [-\infty,0], \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathfrak{K}$  and  $\gamma(\delta), \delta \geq 0$ , are closed linear operators on a common domain  $D(\mathfrak{K})$  which is dense in  $\Psi$ , and  $N(\delta), \delta \geq 0$ , is a bounded linear operator on a Banach space  $\Psi$ , the history  $\beta_{\delta} : (-\infty, 0] \to \Psi$ belongs to some abstract phase space  $\mathcal{B}$  defined axiomatically.

In [5], Benchohra *et al.* studied the fractional differential equations with boundary nonlinear integral conditions in reflexive Banach spaces of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}D^{\zeta}\beta(\delta) = \aleph(\delta,\beta(\delta)) \text{ a.e } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q} := [0,\varkappa],\\ \beta(0) - \beta'(0) = \int_{0}^{\varkappa} \aleph_{1}(\varrho,\beta(\varrho)) \, d\varrho,\\ \beta(\varkappa) + \beta'(\varkappa) = \int_{0}^{\varkappa} \aleph_{2}(\varrho,\beta(\varrho)) \, d\varrho, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^{C}D^{\zeta}$ ,  $1 < \zeta \leq 2$ , is the Caputo fractional derivative,  $\aleph$ ,  $\aleph_1$  and  $\aleph_2 : \mathfrak{Q} \times \mathfrak{I} \to \mathfrak{I}$  are the given functions, and  $\mathfrak{I}$  is a reflexive Banach space endowed with the weak topology.

The methodology involving the measure of weak noncompactness and the fixed point theorem of Mönch type originated primarily from the monograph authored by Bana's and Goebel [2]. Subsequently, it underwent further development and application in numerous publications. Notable examples include the works of Banaś and Sadarangani [3], Guo *et al.* [20], Krzyska and Kubiaczyk [22], Lakshmikantham and Leela [24], Mönch [27,28], O'Regan [29,30], Szufla and Szukala [34], along with the references provided therein. Recent papers on the existence results of boundary value problems of fractional order involving the Pettis integral can be found in [32,33] and the references therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some necessary concepts and important definitions and lemmas are given. In Section 3, we show the existence of weak solutions for problem (1.1) by applying the Mönch fixed point theorem combined with the technique of weakly measure of non-compactness. An example is also given in Section 4 to illustrate the theory of the abstract main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a real Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{F}}$  and dual space  $\mathfrak{F}^*$ , let  $C(\mathfrak{Q},\mathfrak{F})$  be the space of all continuous functions from  $\mathfrak{Q}$  into  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  be the space of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathfrak{F}$  into  $\mathfrak{F}$  with

$$\|\aleph\|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})} = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \|\aleph(\phi)\|_{\mathfrak{F}}.$$

A measurable function  $\phi : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{F}$  is Bochner integrable if and only if  $\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is Lebesgue integrable. Let  $L^1(\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{F})$  denote the Banach space of measurable functions  $\phi : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{F}$ , which are Bochner integrable, with

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1} = \int_0^{\varkappa} \|\phi(\delta)\|_{\Im} \, d\delta.$$

By  $C(\mathfrak{Q},\mathfrak{F})$  we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $\mathfrak{Q}$  into  $\mathfrak{F}$  with

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}} \|\phi(\delta)\|_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

and by  $C([-\zeta, 0], \Im)$  we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $[-\zeta, 0]$  into  $\Im$  with

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\delta \in [-\zeta, 0]} \|\phi(\delta)\|_{\Im}.$$

### **2.1** The weak topology $\varpi(\Psi, \Psi^*)$

In this section,  $\Psi$  is a normed space and  $\Psi^*$  is its dual.

**Definition 2.1** ([11]). The weak topology on  $\Psi$  is the topology  $\varpi(\Psi, (\aleph)_{\aleph \in \Psi^*})$ . For convenience, it is simply denoted by  $\varpi(\Psi, \Psi^*)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([11]). The topology  $\varpi(\Psi, \Psi^*)$  is Hausdorff.

**Proposition 2.1** ([11]). The weak topology and the strong topology on  $\Psi$  coincide if and only if  $\Psi$  is finite-dimensional.

**Theorem 2.2** ([11]). Let C be a nonempty convex set in  $\Psi$ . Then C is strongly closed if and only if it is weakly closed.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega} = (\mathfrak{T}, \varpi(\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}^*))$  be the Banach space  $\mathfrak{T}$  with its weak topology.

**Definition 2.2** ([30]). The mapping  $\beta$  is said to be weakly continuous (measurable) at  $\delta_0 \in \mathfrak{Q}$  if for every  $j \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ ,  $j(\beta)$  is continuous (measurable) at  $\delta_0$ . We denote by  $C(\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{F}_{\omega})$  the space of weakly continuous functions defined on  $\mathfrak{Q}$  with values in the Banach space  $\mathfrak{F}_{\omega}$ . **Definition 2.3** ([30]). Let  $\aleph : \mathfrak{Q} \times \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{T}$ . Then  $\aleph(\delta, \beta)$  is said to be weakly continuous at  $(\delta_0, \beta_0)$  if for the given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $j \in \mathfrak{T}^*$ , there exist a constant  $\kappa > 0$  and a weakly open set U containing  $\beta_0$  such that

$$|j(\aleph(\delta,\beta) - \aleph(\delta_0,\beta_0))| < \epsilon \text{ for } |\delta - \delta_0| < \kappa \text{ and } \beta \in U$$

**Definition 2.4** ([30]). A function  $\aleph : \Im \to \Im$  is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if  $\aleph$  takes each weakly convergent sequence in  $\Im$  to weakly convergent sequence in  $\Im$  (i.e., for any  $\{\beta_n\}$  in  $\Im$ with  $\{\beta_n\} \to \beta$  in  $\Im_{\omega}$ , there is  $\aleph(\beta_n) \to \aleph(\beta)$  in  $\Im_{\omega}$ ).

**Definition 2.5** ([31]). The function  $\beta : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{P}$  is said to be Pettis integrable on  $\mathfrak{Q}$  if and only if there is an element  $\beta_I \in \mathfrak{P}$  corresponding to each  $I \subset \mathfrak{Q}$  such that

$$j(\beta) = \int_{I} j(\beta(\varrho)) d\varrho \text{ for all } j \in \mathfrak{I}^*,$$

where the integral on the right-hand side is supposed to exist in the sense of Lebesgue. By definition,

$$\beta_I = \int_I \beta(\varrho) \, d\varrho.$$

We denote the space of Pettis integrable functions by  $P[\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{I}]$ .

The immediate consequence of the definition of the Pettis integral is that if  $\beta : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{F}$  is Pettis integrable on  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , then  $j(\beta(\cdot))$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $\mathfrak{Q}$  for every  $j \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ . We point out that a bounded weakly measurable function  $\beta : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{F}$  need not be Pettis integrable even  $\mathfrak{F}$  is reflexive.

**Lemma 2.1** ([31]). In reflexive Banach space  $\Im$ , the weakly measurable function  $\beta : \mathfrak{Q} \to \Im$  is Pettis integrable if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}(\beta(\cdot))$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $\mathfrak{Q}$  for every  $\mathfrak{g} \in \Im^*$ .

### 2.2 Measure of weak noncompactness

Given a Banach space  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  will denote the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F})$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  consisting of all compact subsets of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

The first measure of noncompactness was first introduced by Kuratowski [23] in 1930. For any subset  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ , the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is defined by

 $\alpha(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \Omega \text{ can be covered by finitely many sets of diameter } \leq \epsilon \right\}.$ 

The second important example of measure of noncompactness in the Banach space endowed with the weak topology has been defined by De Blasi [12] in 1977 as follows: for a subset  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  and all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$ ,

 $\chi(\Psi) = \inf \Big\{ \epsilon > 0 : \text{ there exists a weakly compact subset } \widehat{\Omega} \in \mathcal{W}(\Im) : \Psi \subset \widehat{\Omega} + \epsilon \Omega \Big\}.$ 

 $\chi$  is called the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness. We can check that  $\chi$  verifies the following properties (see [12] for more details).

**Lemma 2.2** ([27]). Let  $\widehat{\Omega}, \Omega \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S})$ . We have:

- (a)  $\chi(\widehat{\Omega}) \leq \chi(\Omega)$  whenever  $\widehat{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ ;
- (b)  $\chi(\widehat{\Omega}) = 0$  if and only if  $\widehat{\Omega}$  is weakly relatively compact;
- (c)  $\chi(\widehat{\Omega} \cup \Omega) = \max{\{\chi(\widehat{\Omega}), \chi(\Omega)\}};$
- (d)  $\chi(\overline{\widehat{\Omega}}^{\,\omega}) = \chi(\widehat{\Omega})$ , where  $\overline{\widehat{\Omega}}^{\,\omega}$  denotes the weak closure of  $\widehat{\Omega}$ ;

(e) 
$$\chi(\widehat{\Omega} + \Omega) \le \chi(\widehat{\Omega}) + \chi(\Omega);$$

(f) 
$$\chi(\lambda\Omega) = |\lambda|\chi(\Omega), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

(g)  $\chi(\operatorname{conv}(\widehat{\Omega})) = \chi(\widehat{\Omega})$ , where  $\operatorname{conv}(\widehat{\Omega})$  refers to the convex hull of  $\widehat{\Omega}$ .

#### 2.3 Resolvent operators

We consider the following linear Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \phi'(\delta) = \Re \phi(\delta) + \int_{0}^{\delta} \gamma(\delta - \varrho) \phi(\varrho) \, d\varrho & \text{for } \delta \ge 0, \\ \phi(0) = \phi_0 \in \Im. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

The existence and properties of a resolvent operator have been discussed in [18].

**Definition 2.6** ([19]). A resolvent operator for the Cauchy problem (2.1) is a bounded linear operatorvalued function  $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  for  $\delta \geq 0$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\Theta(0) = I$  (the identity map of  $\Im$ ) and  $\|\Theta(\delta)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)} \leq Me^{\eta\delta}$  for some constants M > 0 and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) For each  $\phi \in \mathfrak{S}, \ \delta \to \Theta(\delta)\phi$  is strongly continuous for  $\delta \ge 0$ .
- (3)  $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$  for  $\delta \geq 0$ . For  $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}, \Theta(\cdot)\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathfrak{F}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathfrak{F})$  and

$$\Theta'(\delta)\phi = \Re\Theta(\delta)\phi + \int_{0}^{\delta} \gamma(\delta-\varrho)\Theta(\varrho)\phi \,d\varrho = \Theta(\delta)\Re\phi + \int_{0}^{\delta} \Theta(\delta-\varrho)\gamma(\varrho)\phi \,d\varrho$$

for  $\delta \geq 0$ .

From now on, we assume that:

- (P1) The operator  $\mathfrak{K}$  is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup  $\{T(\delta)\}_{\delta>0}$ .
- (P2) For all  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma(\delta)$  is a closed linear operator from  $D(\mathfrak{K})$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\gamma(\delta) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})$ . For any  $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}$ , the map  $\delta \to \gamma(\delta)\phi$  is bounded, differentiable and the derivative  $\delta \to \gamma'(\delta)\phi$  is bounded uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

The following theorem gives a satisfactory answer to the problem of existence of a solution.

**Theorem 2.3** ([19]). Assume that (P1)–(P2) hold, then there exists a unique resolvent operator for the Cauchy problem (2.1).

## 3 Main result

In this section, we prove the existence of weak solutions of problem (1.1).

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a continuous function  $\phi(\cdot) : \mathfrak{Q} \to \mathfrak{P}$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if  $\phi$  satisfies the following integral equation:

$$\phi(\delta) = \begin{cases} \Theta(\delta, 0)\Phi(0) + \int_{0}^{\delta} \Theta(\delta, \varrho)\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho}) \, d\varrho & \text{if } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}, \\ \Phi(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in [-\zeta, 0]. \end{cases}$$

#### The hypotheses:

(H1) The function  $u \to \aleph(\delta, u)$  is weakly sequentially continuous for each  $u \in C([-\zeta, 0], \Im)$ , and there exist  $p_{\aleph} \in L^1(\mathfrak{Q}, \mathbb{R}^+)$  and a nondecreasing continuous function  $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\|\aleph(\delta, u)\|_{\mathfrak{T}} \leq p_{\aleph}(\delta)\psi(\|u\|_{\infty})$$
 for  $u \in C([-\zeta, 0], \mathfrak{T})$  and for a.e.  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ .

(H2) The function  $\delta \to \aleph(\delta, u_{\delta})$  is Pettis integrable for each  $u \in C([-\zeta, \varkappa], \Im)$ .

(H3) There exist  $\Xi \geq 1$  and  $\varsigma \geq 0$  such that

$$\|\Theta(\delta,\varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})} \leq \Xi e^{-\varsigma\delta}.$$

(H4) There exists  $\widehat{\zeta} > 0$  such that

$$\Xi\widehat{\zeta} + \Xi\psi(\widehat{\zeta}) \|p_{\aleph}\|_{L^1} \le \widehat{\zeta}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that the conditions (H1)-(H4) are satisfied. Then system (1.1) has at least one weak solution.

*Proof.* We consider the set

$$\mathbb{k} = \left\{ \phi \in C([-\zeta, \varkappa], \Im) : \|\phi\|_{\mathbb{k}} \le \widehat{\zeta} \text{ and } \|\phi(\delta_2) - \phi(\delta_1)\| \le \|\Theta(\delta_2, 0) - \Theta(\delta_1, 0)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)} \widehat{\zeta} \right. \\ \left. + \|p_{\aleph}\|_{L^1} \psi(\widehat{\zeta}) |\delta_2 - \delta_1| \left[ \Xi + \int_0^{\delta_1} \|\Theta(\delta_2, \varrho) - \Theta(\delta_1, \varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)} \, d\varrho \right] \text{ for } \delta_1, \delta_2 \in [-\zeta, \varkappa] \right\}.$$

We note that  $\Bbbk$  is closed, convex and equicontinuous, with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{\Bbbk} = \sup_{\delta \in [-\zeta,\varkappa]} \|\phi(\delta)\|_{\Im}$$

Firstly, transform problem (1.1) into a fixed point problem and define the operator

$$\wp\phi(\delta) = \begin{cases} \Theta(\delta, 0)\Phi(0) + \int\limits_{0}^{\delta} \Theta(\delta, \varrho)\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho}) \, d\varrho & \text{if } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}, \\ \Phi(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in [-\zeta, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Step 1:  $\wp$  maps k into itself.

Take  $\phi \in \mathbb{k}$  and assume that  $\wp \phi(\delta) \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $j \in \mathfrak{S}^*$  such that  $\|\wp \phi(\delta)\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = \mathfrak{g}(\wp \phi(\delta))$ . Thus if  $\delta \in [-\zeta, 0]$ , we have

$$\|\wp\phi(\delta)\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = \mathfrak{g}(\wp\phi(\delta)) = \mathfrak{g}(\Phi(\delta)) = \|\Phi(\delta)\|_{\infty} \le \|\Phi(\delta)\|_{\mathbb{K}} \le \widehat{\zeta}.$$

If  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\wp\phi(\delta)\|_{\mathfrak{F}} &= \jmath(\wp\phi(\delta)) \\ &= \jmath\Big(\Theta(\delta,0)\Phi(0) + \int_{0}^{\delta} \Theta(\delta,\varrho)\aleph(\varrho,\phi_{\varrho})\,d\varrho\Big) \leq \jmath(\Theta(\delta,0)\Phi(0)) + \int_{0}^{\delta} \jmath(\Theta(\delta,\varrho)\aleph(\varrho,\phi_{\varrho}))\,d\varrho \\ &\leq \|\Theta(\delta,0)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})}\|\Phi(0)\|_{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\delta} \|\Theta(\delta,\varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{F})}\|\aleph(\varrho,\phi_{\varrho})\|_{\mathfrak{F}}\,d\varrho \\ &\leq \Xi \|\Phi(0)\|_{\Bbbk} + \Xi \int_{0}^{\delta} p_{\aleph}(\varrho)\psi(\|\phi\|_{\Bbbk})\,d\varrho \leq \Xi \widehat{\zeta} + \Xi \int_{0}^{\delta} p_{\aleph}(\varrho)\psi(\widehat{\zeta})\,d\varrho \\ &\leq \Xi \widehat{\zeta} + \Xi \psi(\widehat{\zeta}) \int_{0}^{\delta} p_{\aleph}(\varrho)\,d\varrho \leq \Xi \widehat{\zeta} + \Xi \psi(\widehat{\zeta})\|p_{\aleph}\|_{L^{1}} \leq \widehat{\zeta} \end{split}$$

Then

$$\|\wp(\phi(\delta))\|_{\Bbbk} \leq \widehat{\zeta} \text{ for } \delta \in [-\zeta, \varkappa].$$

Let  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in [-\zeta, \varkappa], \delta_1 < \delta_2, \phi \in \mathbb{k}$ , so  $\wp \phi(\delta_2) - \wp \phi(\delta_1) \neq 0$ , then there exists  $j \in \mathfrak{I}^*$  such that:

If  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in [-\zeta, 0]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\wp\phi(\delta_2) - \wp\phi(\delta_1)\|_{\mathfrak{S}} &= \mathfrak{g}(\wp\phi(\delta_2) - \wp\phi(\delta_1)) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}(\xi(\delta_2) - \xi(\delta_1)) = \|\xi(\delta_2) - \xi(\delta_1)\|_{\infty} \to 0 \text{ as } \delta_1 \to \delta_2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \|\wp\phi(\delta_{2}) - \wp\phi(\delta_{1})\|_{\Im} &= j(\wp\phi(\delta_{2}) - \wp\phi(\delta_{1})) \\ &\leq j \left( (\Theta(\delta_{2}, 0) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, 0))\xi(0) + \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta_{2}} \Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho)\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho}) \, d\varrho \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} (\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, \varrho))\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho}) \, d\varrho \right) \\ &\leq j ((\Theta(\delta_{2}, 0) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, 0))\xi(0)) + \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta_{2}} j (\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho)\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho})) \, d\varrho \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} j ((\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, \varrho))\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho})) \, d\varrho \\ &\leq \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, 0) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, 0)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}\|\xi(0)\|_{\infty} + \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta_{2}} \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}\|\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho})\|_{\Im} \, d\varrho \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, \varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}\|\aleph(\varrho, \phi_{\varrho})\|_{\Im} \, d\varrho \\ &\leq \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, 0) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, 0)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}\|\xi(0)\|_{\Bbbk} + \int_{\delta_{1}}^{\delta_{2}} \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}p_{\aleph}(\varrho)\psi(\|\phi\|_{\Bbbk}) \, d\varrho \\ &\leq \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, 0) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, 0)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)}\widehat{\zeta} + \Xi\|p_{\aleph}\|_{L^{1}}\psi(\widehat{\zeta})|\delta_{2} - \delta_{1}| \\ &\quad + \|p_{\aleph}\|_{L^{1}}\psi(\widehat{\zeta}) \int_{0}^{\delta_{1}} \|\Theta(\delta_{2}, \varrho) - \Theta(\delta_{1}, \varrho)\|_{\mathcal{A}(\Im)} \, d\varrho \to 0 \text{ as } \delta_{1} \to \delta_{2}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\wp(\Bbbk) \subset \Bbbk$ .

Step 2:  $\wp$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

Let  $\{\phi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\Bbbk$  and let  $\phi_n(\delta) \to \phi(\delta)$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$  for each  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ . Fix  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ . Since  $\aleph$  satisfies assumption (H1), we have  $\aleph(\delta, \phi_{\delta n})$  converging weakly uniformly to  $\aleph(\delta, \phi_{\delta})$ . Hence the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis integral implies  $\wp \phi_n(\delta)$  converging weakly uniformly to  $\wp \phi(\delta)$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega}$ . We do it for each  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , so  $\wp \phi_n(\delta) \to \wp \phi$ . Then  $\wp : \Bbbk \to \Bbbk$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

#### Step 3:

Now, let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be a subset of  $\Bbbk$  such that  $\mathfrak{Z} = \operatorname{conv}(\wp(\mathfrak{Z}) \cup 0)$ . Obviously,  $\mathfrak{Z}(\delta) \subset \operatorname{conv}(\wp(\mathfrak{Z}) \cup 0), \forall \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ .  $\wp \mathfrak{Z}(\delta) \subset \wp \Bbbk(\delta), \ \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , is bounded in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . So,  $\wp \mathfrak{Z}(\delta)$  is weakly relatively compact since a subset of a reflexive Banach space is weakly relatively compact if and only if it is bounded in the norm topology. So,

$$\chi(\mathfrak{Z}(\delta)) \leq \chi(\operatorname{conv}(\wp(\mathfrak{Z})) \cup 0) \leq \chi(\wp\mathfrak{Z}(\delta)) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is relatively weakly compact. Applying now the Mönch type fixed point theorem [30], we conclude that  $\wp$  has a fixed point which is a solution of problem (1.1).

*Remark* 3.1. In Theorem 3.1, we have presented an existence result of weak solutions for problem (1.1) in the case when the Banach space  $\Im$  is reflexive.

However, in the nonreflexive case, hypotheses (H1)-(H4) are not sufficient for the application of the Mönch type fixed point theorem [30].

Let us introduce the following conditions:

- (C1) For  $\Bbbk \subset \mathfrak{F}$  and each  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ , the set  $\aleph(\delta, \Bbbk)$  is weakly relatively compact in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .
- (C2) For  $\Bbbk \subset \Im$  and each  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$ ,

$$\chi(\aleph(\delta, \Bbbk)) \le p_{\aleph}(\delta)\chi(\Bbbk).$$

We then have the following results.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Im$  be a Banach space, and assume that assumptions (H1)–(H4), (C1) are satisfied, then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\Im$  be a Banach space, and assume that assumptions (H1)–(H4), (C2) are satisfied, then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

## 4 An example

Consider the following class of partial integro-differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} z(\delta,\beta) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} z(\delta,\beta) - \pi z(\delta,\beta) - \int_{0}^{\delta} \Gamma(\delta-\varrho) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} z(\varrho,\beta) + \pi z(\varrho,\beta)\right) d\varrho \\ + (e^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + 1) \left(\frac{1}{(\delta^{2}+1)^{2}} + \arctan\left(|z(\delta,\beta)|\right)\right) & \text{if } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q} = [0,1], \ \beta \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$

$$z(\delta,0) = z(\delta,1) = 0 \text{ for } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}, \\ z(\vartheta,\beta) = \Phi(\vartheta,\beta) & \text{if } \vartheta \in [-\zeta,0] \text{ and } \beta \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{K}$  be defined by

$$(\mathfrak{K}z)(\beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} z(\delta,\beta) + \pi z(\delta,\beta),$$

and

$$D(\mathfrak{K}) = \left\{ z \in L^2(0,1) : \ z, \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ z \in L^2(0,1), \ z(0) = z(1) = 0 \right\}.$$

The operator  $\mathfrak{K}$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2(0,1)$  with domain  $D(\mathfrak{K})$ , and with more appropriate conditions on the operator  $\gamma(\cdot) = \Gamma(\cdot)\mathfrak{K}$ , problem (4.1) has a resolvent operator  $(\Theta(\delta))_{\delta \geq 0}$  on  $L^2(0,1)$ , which is norm continuous.

Now, define

$$\phi(\delta)(\beta) = z(\delta,\beta),$$

and  $\aleph : \mathfrak{Q} \times L^2(0,1) \to L^2(0,1)$  given by

$$\aleph(\delta, z)(\beta) = (e^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + 1) \left( \frac{1}{(\delta^2 + 1)^2} + \arctan\left( |z(\delta, \beta)| \right) \right) \text{ for } \delta \in \mathfrak{Q}, \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Now, for  $\delta \in \mathfrak{Q}$  and  $j \in L^2(0,1)$  and by (H1), (H2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\aleph(\delta, z_{\delta})\| &= \jmath(\aleph(\delta, z_{\delta})) = \jmath\Big((e^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + 1)\Big(\frac{1}{(\delta^2 + 1)^2} + \arctan\big(|z(\delta, \beta)|\big)\Big)\Big) \\ &\leq (e^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + 1)\Big(1 + \|z(\delta, \beta)\|\Big) = p_{\aleph}(\delta)\psi\big(\|z(\delta, \beta)\|\big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, assumption (H1) is satisfied with

$$p_{\aleph}(\delta) = e^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + 1, \ \delta \in \mathfrak{Q} \ \text{and} \ \psi(\beta) = 1 + \beta, \ \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Now, we will check that condition of (H4) is satisfied. Indeed, we have

$$\widehat{\zeta} \geq \Xi \widehat{\zeta} + 2\Xi (1 + \widehat{\zeta}).$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\zeta} \ge \frac{2\Xi}{1-3\Xi} \,.$$

Then  $\hat{\zeta}$  can be any positive constant.

Consequently, all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and we conclude that problem (4.1) has at least one solution  $\phi \in C(\mathfrak{Q}, L^2(0, 1))$ .

## References

- [1] S. Abbas, B. Ahmad, M. Benchohra and A. Salim, *Fractional Difference, Differential Equations and Inclusions: Analysis and Stability.* Morgan Kaufmann, Cambridge, Elsevier, 2024.
- [2] J. Banaś and K. Goebel, Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 60. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1980.
- [3] J. Banaś and K. Sadarangani, On some measures of noncompactness in the space of continuous functions. Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), no. 2, 377–383.
- [4] M. Benchohra, S. Bouriah, A. Salim and Y. Zhou, Fractional Differential Equations a coincidence Degree Approach. Fractional Calculus in Applied Sciences and Engineering, 12. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2024.
- [5] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef and F.-Z. Mostefai, Weak solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations on reflexive Banach spaces. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2010, no. 54, 10 pp.
- [6] M. Benchohra, E. Karapınar, J. E. Lazreg and A. Salim, Advanced Topics in Fractional Differential Equations – a Fixed Point Approach. Synthesis Lectures on Mathematics and Statistics. Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [7] M. Benchohra, E. Karapınar, J. E. Lazreg and A. Salim, Fractional Differential Equations New Advancements for Generalized Fractional Derivatives. Synthesis Lectures on Mathematics and Statistics. Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [8] N. Benkhettou, K. Benkhettou, A. Salim, M. Benchohra and C. Tunc, Controllability of fractional integro-differential equations with infinite delay and non-instantaneous impulses. *Appl. Anal. Optim.* 6 (2022), no. 1, 79–94.
- [9] N. Benkhettou, A. Salim, K. Aissani, M. Benchohra and E. Karapinar, Non-instantaneous impulsive fractional integro-differential equations with state-dependent delay. *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.* **19** (2022), no. 3, 93–109.
- [10] P. Chen, X. Zhang and Y. Li, A blowup alternative result for fractional nonautonomous evolution equation of Volterra type. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 17 (2018), no. 5, 1975–1992.
- J. B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [12] F. S. De Blasi, On a property of the unit sphere in a Banach space. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.) 21(69) (1977), no. 3-4, 259–262.

- [13] W. Desch, R. Grimmer and W. Schappacher, Some considerations for linear integro-differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 104 (1984), no. 1, 219–234.
- [14] M. Dieye, M. A. Diop and K. Ezzinbi, Necessary conditions of optimality for some stochastic integrodifferential equations of neutral type on Hilbert spaces. *Appl. Math. Optim.* 77 (2018), no. 2, 343–375.
- [15] M. A. Diop, K. Ezzinbi and M. P. Ly, Nonlocal problems for integrodifferential equations via resolvent operators and optimal controls. *Discuss. Math. Differ. Incl. Control Optim.* 42 (2022), no. 1, 5–25.
- [16] M. A. Diop, T. Caraballo and M. M. Zene, Existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for neutral stochastic partial integrodifferential equations with infinite delays. *Stoch. Dyn.* 16 (2016), no. 6, 1650014, 17 pp.
- [17] J. P. C. Dos Santos, H. Henríquez and E. Hernández, Existence results for neutral integrodifferential equations with unbounded delay. J. Integral Equations Appl. 23 (2011), no. 2, 289– 330.
- [18] R. C. Grimmer and A. J. Pritchard, Analytic resolvent operators for integral equations in Banach space. J. Differential Equations 50 (1983), no. 2, 234–259.
- [19] R. C. Grimmer, Resolvent operators for integral equations in a Banach space. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), no. 1, 333–349.
- [20] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Liu, Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces. Mathematics and its Applications, 373. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [21] E. Hernández and D. O'Regan, On a new class of abstract neutral integro-differential equations and applications. Acta Appl. Math. 149 (2017), 125–137.
- [22] S. Krzyśka and I. Kubiaczyk, On bounded pseudo and weak solutions of a nonlinear differential equation in Banach spaces. *Demonstratio Math.* 32 (1999), no. 2, 323–330.
- [23] K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets. Fundam. Math. 15 (1930), 301–309.
- [24] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, Nonlinear Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces. International Series in Nonlinear Mathematics: Theory, Methods and Applications, 2. Pergamon Press, Oxford–New York, 1981.
- [25] J. Liang, J. H. Liu and T.-J. Xiao, Nonlocal problems for integrodifferential equations. Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal. 15 (2008), no. 6, 815–824.
- [26] J. Liang and T.-J. Xiao, Semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal initial conditions. Comput. Math. Appl. 47 (2004), no. 6-7, 863–875.
- [27] H. Mönch, G.-F. von Harten, On the Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces. Arch. Math. (Basel) 39 (1982), no. 2, 153–160.
- [28] H. Mönch, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 4 (1980), no. 5, 985–999.
- [29] D. O'Regan, Fixed-point theory for weakly sequentially continuous mappings. Math. Comput. Modelling 27 (1998), no. 5, 1–14.
- [30] D. O'Regan, Weak solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 12 (1999), no. 1, 101–105.
- [31] B. J. Pettis, On integration in vector spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), no. 2, 277–304.
- [32] H. A. H. Salem, Fractional order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions involving Pettis integral. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) 31 (2011), no. 2, 661–672.
- [33] H. A. H. Salem, Quadratic integral equations in reflexive Banach space. Discuss. Math. Differ. Incl. Control Optim. 30 (2010), no. 1, 61–69.
- [34] S. Szufla and A. Szukała, Existence theorems for weak solutions of nth order differential equations in Banach spaces. Dedicated to Julian Musielak. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 26 (1998), 313– 319.

(Received 11.03.2024; accepted 17.08.2024)

### Authors' addresses:

#### Kattar Enada Bensatal

Laboratory of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria

E-mail: nadabensatal@gmail.com

#### Abdelkrim Salim

1. Laboratory of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria

2. Faculty of Technology, Hassiba Benbouali University of Chlef, P.O. Box 151 Chlef 02000, Algeria *E-mail:* salim.abdelkrim@yahoo.com

#### Mouffak Benchohra

Laboratory of Mathematics, Djillali Liabes University of Sidi Bel-Abbès, P.O. Box 89, Sidi Bel-Abbès 22000, Algeria

*E-mail:* benchohra@yahoo.co