

**Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics**

VOLUME 74, 2018, 79–92

---

**O. O. Chepok**

**ASYMPTOTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF A CLASS  
OF REGULARLY VARYING SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS OF THE SECOND ORDER WITH RAPIDLY  
AND REGULARLY VARYING NONLINEARITIES**

**Abstract.** The asymptotic representations of solutions of a class of differential equations of the second order with rapidly and regularly varying nonlinearities are established.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 34C41, 34 10.

**Key words and phrases.** Asymptotic representations of solutions, rapidly varying functions, regularly varying functions,  $P_\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions of the equation, regularly varying solutions.

**რეზიუმე.** მიღებულია გარკვეული კლასის სწრაფად და რეგულარულად ცვლადი არაწრფივობის მქონე მეორე რიგის დიფერენციალური განტოლებების ამონახსნთა ასიმპტოტური წარმოდგენები.

## 1 Introduction

We consider the differential equation

$$y'' = \alpha_0 p(t) \varphi_0(y) \varphi_1(y'), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha_0 \in \{-1; 1\}$ , the functions  $p : [a; \omega[ \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  ( $-\infty < a < \omega \leq +\infty$ ), and  $\varphi_i : \Delta_{Y_i} \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  ( $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ) are continuous,  $Y_i \in \{0, \pm\infty\}$ ,  $\Delta_{Y_i}$  is either an interval  $[y_i^0, Y_i[$ <sup>1</sup> or an interval  $]Y_i; y_i^0]$ . We suppose that  $\varphi_1$  is a regularly varying function of index  $\sigma_1$  as  $y \rightarrow Y_1$  ( $y \in \Delta_{Y_1}$ ) [7, pp. 10–15], and the function  $\varphi_0$  is strongly monotonous on  $\Delta_{Y_0}$ , twice continuously differentiable on  $\Delta_{Y_0}$  and satisfies the following conditions:

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \varphi_0(y) \in \{0, +\infty\}, \quad \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \frac{\varphi_0(y) \varphi_0''(y)}{(\varphi_0'(y))^2} = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

The second order differential equations with both power-type and exponential-type nonlinearities in the right-hand side play an important role in the qualitative theory of differential equations. Such equations have a lot of applications in practice. The fact takes place, for example, during investigations of distribution of electrostatic potential in a cylindrical plasma volume of combustion products. The corresponding equation can be reduced to the following one:

$$y'' = \alpha_0 p(t) e^{\sigma y} |y'|^\lambda.$$

This equation is of type (1.1), in which  $\varphi_1(z) = |z|^\lambda$ ,  $\varphi_0(z) = e^{\sigma z}$ . Under some restrictions on the function  $p(t)$ , certain results for the asymptotic behavior of all regular solutions of that equation have been obtained in the papers by V. M. Evtukhov and N. G. Dric (see, for example, [2]).

The differential equation

$$y'' = \alpha_0 p(t) \varphi(y)$$

with a rapidly varying function  $\varphi$  has been considered in the paper by V. M. Evtukhov and V. M. Khar'kov [3]. But in that paper the introduced class of solutions of the equation depends on the function  $\varphi$  that in most cases not useful for practical applications.

Equation (1.1) is a natural generalization of two previous ones.

The solution  $y$  of equation (1.1) defined on the interval  $[t_0, \omega[ \subset [a, \omega[$  is called  $P_\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solution ( $-\infty \leq \lambda_0 \leq +\infty$ ) if the conditions

$$y^{(i)} : [t_0, \omega[ \rightarrow \Delta_{Y_i}, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} y^{(i)}(t) = Y_i \quad (i = 0, 1), \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{(y'(t))^2}{y''(t)y(t)} = \lambda_0 \quad (1.3)$$

are satisfied.

The goal of the present paper is to find for  $\lambda_0 \in R \setminus \{0; 1\}$  the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of  $P_\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions of equation (1.1) together with asymptotic representations of those solutions and their first order derivatives as  $t \uparrow \omega$ . According to the definition, such solutions are the regularly varying functions as  $t \uparrow \omega$  of index  $\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}$ .

## 2 Main results

First of all, we introduce some notations that will be necessary in the sequel. We consider

$$\pi_\omega(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } \omega = +\infty, \\ t - \omega, & \text{if } \omega < +\infty, \end{cases} \quad \theta_1(y) = \varphi_1(y) |y|^{-\sigma_1},$$

<sup>1</sup>If  $Y_i = +\infty$  (resp.  $Y_i = -\infty$ ), we will take  $y_i^0 > 0$  (resp.  $y_i^0 < 0$ ).

$$\Phi_0(y) = \int_{A_\omega}^y |\varphi_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1-1}} dz, \quad A_\omega = \begin{cases} y_0^0, & \text{if } \int_{y_0^0}^{Y_0} |\varphi_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1-1}} dz = \pm\infty, \\ Y_0, & \text{if } \int_{y_0^0}^{Y_0} |\varphi_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1-1}} dz = \text{const}, \end{cases}$$

$$Z_0 = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \frac{\Phi_0(y)}{y}, \quad \Phi_1(y) = \int_{A_\omega}^y \frac{\Phi_0(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau, \quad Z_1 = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \Phi_1(y),$$

$$F(t) = \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)) \Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)))}{\pi_\omega(t) I_1'(t)}.$$

If  $y_1^0 \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} |\pi_\omega(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} = Y_1$ , we put

$$I(t) = |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot y_1^0 \cdot \int_{B_\omega^0}^t \left| \pi_\omega(\tau) p(\tau) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} y_1^0 \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} d\tau,$$

$$B_\omega^0 = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } \int_b^\omega \left| \pi_\omega(\tau) p(\tau) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} y_1^0 \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} d\tau = +\infty, \\ \omega, & \text{if } \int_b^\omega \left| \pi_\omega(\tau) p(\tau) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} y_1^0 \right) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} d\tau = < +\infty, \end{cases}$$

$$I_1(t) = \int_{B_\omega^1}^t \frac{\lambda_0 I(\tau)}{(\lambda_0 - 1) \pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau, \quad B_\omega^1 = \begin{cases} b, & \text{if } \int_b^\omega \frac{\lambda_0 I(\tau)}{(\lambda_0 - 1) \pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau = \pm\infty, \\ \omega, & \text{if } \int_b^\omega \frac{\lambda_0 |I(\tau)|}{(\lambda_0 - 1) \pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau = \text{const}. \end{cases}$$

Here, the number  $b \in [a, \omega[$  is chosen in such a way that  $y_1^0 |\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} \in \Delta_{Y_1}$  as  $t \in [b; \omega]$ .

**Note 2.1.** From conditions (1.2) it follows that  $Z_0, Z_1 \in \{0, +\infty\}$  and

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \frac{\Phi_0''(y) \cdot \Phi_0(y)}{(\Phi_0'(y))^2} = 1, \quad \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \frac{\Phi_1''(y) \cdot \Phi_1(y)}{(\Phi_1'(y))^2} = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

**Note 2.2.** The following statements are valid:

1)

$$\Phi_0(y) = (\sigma_1 - 1) \frac{\varphi_0^{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_1-1}}(y)}{\varphi_0'(y)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{when } y \rightarrow Y_0 \quad (y \in \Delta_{Y_0})$$

and therefore

$$\text{sign}(\varphi_0'(y) \Phi_0(y)) = \text{sign}(\sigma_1 - 1), \quad \text{when } y \in \Delta_{Y_0}.$$

2)

$$\Phi_1(y) = \frac{\Phi_0^2(y)}{y \Phi_0'(y)} [1 + o(1)], \quad \text{when } y \rightarrow Y_0 \quad (y \in \Delta_{Y_0})$$

and therefore

$$\text{sign}(\Phi_1(y)) = y_0^0 \quad \text{when } y \in \Delta_{Y_0}.$$

Note that, by (2.1), the relation

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow Z_0} \frac{\Phi''(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))z}{(\Phi'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z)))^2} = \lim_{y \rightarrow Y_0} \frac{\Phi_1''(\Phi_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(y)))\Phi_1(y)}{(\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(y))))^2} = \lim_{y \rightarrow Y_0} \frac{\Phi_1''(y)\Phi_1(y)}{(\Phi_1'(y))^2} = 1$$

is valid, and from the latter it follows that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow Z_0} \frac{z \cdot \left( \frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}{\Phi_1(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))} \right)'}{\frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}{\Phi_1(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}} = \lim_{y \rightarrow Z_0} \frac{\Phi_1''(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))z}{(\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z)))^2} - 1 = 0.$$

Thus the function  $\frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}{\Phi_1(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}$  is slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Z_0$ . The function  $\Phi_1^{-1}(z)$  is also slowly varying as an inverse to the rapidly varying function. So, we have the following

**Note 2.3.** The function  $\Phi^{-1}(z) \cdot \frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}{z}$  is slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Z_1$ .

Let  $Y \in \{0, \infty\}$ ,  $\Delta_Y$  be some one-sided neighborhood of  $Y$ . The continuously differentiable function  $L : \Delta_Y \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  is called [6, p. 2-3] normalized slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Y$  ( $z \in \Delta_Y$ ), if

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y \\ y \in \Delta_Y}} \frac{yL'(y)}{L(y)} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We say that a slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Y$  ( $z \in \Delta_Y$ ) function  $\theta : \Delta_Y \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  satisfies the condition  $S$  as  $z \rightarrow Y$ , if for any normalized slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Y$  ( $z \in \Delta_Y$ ) function  $L : \Delta_{Y_i} \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  the following equality takes place:  $z \rightarrow Y$  ( $z \in \Delta_Y$ )

$$\theta(zL(z)) = \theta(z)(1 + o(1)).$$

We will consider that a slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Y$  ( $z \in \Delta_Y$ ) function  $L_0 : \Delta_Y \rightarrow ]0; +\infty[$  satisfies the condition  $S_1$  as  $z \rightarrow Y$ , if for any finite segment  $[a; b] \subset ]0; +\infty[$  the inequality

$$\limsup_{\substack{z \rightarrow Y \\ z \in \Delta_Y}} \left| \ln |z| \cdot \left( \frac{L(\lambda z)}{L(z)} - 1 \right) \right| < +\infty \text{ for all } \lambda \in [a; b]$$

is true.

Conditions  $S$  and  $S_1$  are satisfied by the functions  $\ln |y|$ ,  $|\ln |y||^\mu$  ( $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ),  $\ln |\ln |y||$  and by many others.

The following theorem has been obtained.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let for equation (1.1)  $\sigma_1 \neq 1$ , the function  $\theta_1(z)$  satisfy the condition  $S$  as  $z \rightarrow Y_1$  ( $z \in \Delta_{Y_1}$ ), and the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}(z) \cdot \frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(z))}{z}$  satisfy the condition  $S_1$  as  $z \rightarrow Z_1$ . Then for the existence of  $P_\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions of equation (1.1), where  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , it is necessary and, if

$$I(t)I_1(t)\lambda_0(\sigma_1 - 1) > 0 \text{ as } t \in ]b, \omega[, \quad (2.3)$$

and the finite or infinite limits

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \pi_\omega(t)F'(t) \text{ and } \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}}}{\ln |I_1(t)|} \text{ exist,} \quad (2.4)$$

sufficient the fulfilment of the following conditions:

$$\pi_\omega(t)y_1^0 y_0^0 \lambda_0(\lambda_0 - 1) > 0; \quad \pi_\omega(t)y_1^0 \alpha_0(\lambda_0 - 1) > 0 \text{ as } t \in [a; \omega[, \quad (2.5)$$

$$y_1^0 \cdot \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} |\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}} = Y_1, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} I_1(t) = Z_1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{I_1''(t)I_1(t)}{(I_1'(t))^2} = 1, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} F(t) = \frac{\lambda_0 - 1}{\lambda_0}. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, for each such solution there take place the following asymptotic representations as  $t \uparrow \omega$ :

$$\Phi_1(y(t)) = I_1(t)[1 + o(1)], \quad \frac{\pi_\omega(t)y'(t)}{y(t)} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} [1 + o(1)]. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof. Necessity.* Let the function  $y : [t_0, \omega[ \rightarrow \Delta_{Y_0}$  be a  $P_\omega(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solution of equation (1.1), for which  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Then, according to the properties of such solutions established by V. M. Evtukhov (see, e.g., [4]), we have

$$\frac{y(t)}{y'(t)} = \frac{\lambda_0}{(\lambda_0 - 1)\pi_\omega(t)} [1 + o(1)], \quad \frac{y''(t)}{y'(t)} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_0 - 1)\pi_\omega(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \in [a; \omega[. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus we obtain (2.5).

From (2.9), it also follows that  $y'(t)$  as  $t \in [a; \omega[$  is a regularly varying function of index  $\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}$ . It can be represented in the form

$$y'(t) = |\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}} L_1(t) \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $L_1(t)$  is a regularly varying function as  $t \uparrow \omega$  (see [7, p. 10]).

Hence, taking into account the properties of regularly varying functions [7, p. 10–15], we obtain the first of conditions (2.6).

From (1.1) and (2.9), it follows that as  $t \uparrow \omega$

$$\frac{|y'(t)|^{1-\sigma_1} \text{sign } y_1^0}{\varphi_0(y(t))} = \alpha_0 (\lambda_0 - 1) \pi_\omega(t) \varphi_1(y'(t)) |y'(t)|^{-\sigma_1} p(t) [1 + o(1)]. \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.10) into (2.11), we get as  $t \uparrow \omega$  the equality

$$\frac{y'(t)}{|\varphi_0(y(t))|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}} = y_1^0 |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \left| \pi_\omega(t) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}} L_1(t) y_1^0 \right) p(t) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} [1 + o(1)]. \quad (2.12)$$

In (2.10), the function  $L_1$  is a slowly varying when its argument tends to  $Y_1$ . The function  $\theta_1$  satisfies the condition  $S$ . So, from (2.12), we have as  $t \uparrow \omega$

$$\frac{y'(t)}{|\varphi_0(y(t))|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}} = y_1^0 |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \left| \pi_\omega(t) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}} y_1^0 \right) p(t) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} [1 + o(1)]. \quad (2.13)$$

Integrating the relation from  $t_0$  to  $t$ , we get as  $t \uparrow \omega$

$$\int_{y(t_0)}^{y(t)} \frac{dz}{|\varphi_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}} = y_1^0 |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \int_{t_0}^t \left| \pi_\omega(\tau) \theta_1 \left( |\pi_\omega(\tau)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}} y_1^0 \right) p(\tau) \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} [1 + o(1)] d\tau.$$

Taking into account the choice of  $A_\omega$ , and that  $y \rightarrow Y_0$  ( $Y_0 \in \Delta_{Y_0}$ ), we have

$$\Phi_0(y(t)) = I(t) [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega. \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), according to (2.9), we get

$$\frac{\pi_\omega(t) y'(t)}{y(t)} \cdot \frac{y(t) \Phi_0'(y(t))}{\Phi_0(y(t))} = \frac{\pi_\omega(t) I'(t)}{I(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega. \quad (2.15)$$

By conditions (1.2), the function  $\Phi_0(y)$  is rapidly varying as  $y \rightarrow Y_0$  ( $Y_0 \in \Delta_{Y_0}$ ). Thus from (2.15) it follows that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\pi_\omega(t) I'(t)}{I(t)} = \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

Taking into account equalities (2.14) and (2.9), we get

$$\frac{y'(t) \Phi_0(y(t))}{y(t)} = \frac{\lambda_0 I(t)}{(\lambda_0 - 1) \pi_\omega(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega. \quad (2.17)$$

From here in the same way as equality (2.14) was obtained, we get the equality

$$\Phi_1(y(t)) = I_1(t) [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega. \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, the correctness of the first representation of (2.8) and the first equality of (2.6) are justified. We get the correctness of the second representation of (2.8) as a result of division (2.17) by (2.18). The second representation of (2.8) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\pi_\omega(t)y'(t)}{y(t)} \cdot \frac{y(t)\Phi_1'(y(t))}{\Phi_1(y(t))} = \frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega.$$

With the help of (2.9), from the above representation we get

$$\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \cdot \frac{y(t)\Phi_1'(y(t))}{\Phi_1(y(t))} = \frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega. \quad (2.19)$$

From conditions (1.2) imposed on the function  $\varphi_0(y(t))$  and Note 2.2, we find that  $\Phi_1(y)$  is a rapidly varying function as  $y \rightarrow Y_0$  ( $Y_0 \in \Delta_{Y_0}$ ). Then, taking into account (2.19), we get

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} = \infty. \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.1), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{I_1''(t)I_1(t)}{(I_1'(t))^2} = \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}}{\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}} = \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\frac{y(t)\Phi_0'(y(t))}{\Phi_0(y(t))}}{\frac{y(t)\Phi_1'(y(t))}{\Phi_1(y(t))}} = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow Y_0 \\ y \in \Delta_{Y_0}}} \frac{\Phi_1''(y) \cdot \Phi_1(y)}{(\Phi_1'(y))^2} = 1. \quad (2.21)$$

It means that the first of conditions (2.7) holds.

Note that the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}(y)$  is slowly varying as  $y \rightarrow Z_0$ , since it is inverse to a rapidly varying as  $y \rightarrow Y_0$  ( $Y_0 \in \Delta_{Y_0}$ ) function  $\Phi_1$ . Taking into account this fact and (2.18), we get as  $t \uparrow \omega$

$$y(t) = \Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t))[1 + o(1)].$$

The correctness of the second of conditions (2.6) follows from this fact.

Note that (2.19) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \cdot \Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)) \cdot \frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)))}{\Phi_1(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)))} = \frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \uparrow \omega.$$

The validity the second of conditions (2.7) is justified, and hence the necessity is proved.

*Sufficiency.* Let us suppose that conditions (2.3)–(2.7) of the theorem take place.

We apply to equation (1.1) the transformation

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1(y(t)) = I_1(t)[1 + v_1(x)], \\ \frac{y'(t)}{y(t)} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} [1 + v_2(x)] \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

and reduce system (2.22) to the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} v_1' = \frac{I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} [1 + v_1] \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \cdot F(t) \cdot M(t, v_1)[1 + v_2] - 1 \right), \\ v_2' = \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} [1 + v_2] \cdot \left[ Q(t, v_1, v_2)(1 + v_1)^{\sigma_1 - 1} (1 + v_2)^{\sigma_1 - 1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_0} - v_2 \right]. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} M(t, v_1) &= \frac{Y(t, v_1) \frac{\Phi_1'}{\Phi_1}(\Phi_1^{-1}(Y(t, v_1)))}{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)) \frac{\Phi_1'}{\Phi_1}(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)))}, \quad Y(t, v_1) = \Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)[1 + v_1]), \\ Q(t, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{N(t, v_1, v_2)}{\lambda_0} \left( F(t) \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \right)^2 \cdot M(t, v_1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left( \frac{L(t)}{1+L(t)} + F(t)M(t, v_1) \cdot \frac{\Phi_1''(Y(t, v_1))\Phi_1(Y(t, v_1))}{(\Phi_1(Y(t, v_1)))^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{I_1(t)I_1''(t)}{(I_1'(t))^2} + G(t)} \right)^{\sigma_1-1}, \\ N(t, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{\theta_1 \left( \frac{\lambda_0 Y(t, v_1)}{(\lambda_0-1)\pi_\omega(t)} \cdot [1+v_2] \right)}{\theta_1(|\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0-1}} \text{sign } y_1^0)}, \quad G(t) = \frac{I_1(t)}{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}, \quad L(t) = \frac{I_1''(t)}{\pi_\omega(t)I_1''(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the first of conditions (2.7) we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} G(t) = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

We have already proved that the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}(z)$  is slowly varying as  $z \rightarrow Z_1$ . So, taking into account the second of conditions (2.6), we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} Y(t, v_1) = Y_0 \quad \text{uniformly by } v_1 : |v_1| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.25)$$

By Note 2.3, we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} M(t, v_1) = 1 \quad \text{uniformly by } v_1 : |v_1| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.26)$$

From the second of conditions (2.7), we get

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} F(t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1}. \quad (2.27)$$

Now, we can prove that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} N(t, v_1, v_2) = 1 \quad \text{uniformly by } v_1 : |v_1| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and uniformly by } v_2 : |v_2| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.28)$$

From (2.26) and (2.27), it follows that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\left( \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t))}{|\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0-1}}} \right)' \cdot \pi_\omega(t)}{\frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t))}{|\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0-1}}}} = \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{1}{F(t)M(t, v_1)} - \frac{\lambda_0}{(1-\gamma_0)(\lambda_0-1)} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\left( \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t))}{|\pi_\omega(t)|^{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0-1}}} \right)$$

is a normalized slowly varying function as  $t \uparrow \omega$ . Statement (2.28) follows from the above according to the fact that the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}$  is slowly variable as its argument tends to  $Z_1$ , and the function  $\theta_1$  satisfies condition  $S$ .

Taking into account the first of conditions (2.7), we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} L(t) = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

From (2.24)–(2.29), it follows that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} Q(t, v_1, v_2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \quad \text{uniformly by } v_1 : |v_1| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and uniformly by } v_2 : |v_2| < \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.30)$$

By (2.6), from the fact that the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}$  is slowly varying as the argument tends to  $Z_1$ , it follows that there exists a number  $t_0 \in [a, \omega[$  such that

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)(1+v_1)) \in \Delta_{Y_0} \quad \text{as } t \in [t_0, \omega[, \quad |v_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$



Further, we consider the system of differential equations (2.23) on the set

$$\Omega = [t_0, \omega[ \times D, \quad D = \left\{ (v_1, v_2) : |v_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, i = 1, 2 \right\}$$

and rewrite the system in the form

$$\begin{cases} v_1' = \frac{I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} \left[ A_{11}(t)v_1 + A_{12}(t)v_2 + R_1(x, v_1, v_2) + R_2(x, v_1, v_2) \right], \\ v_2' = \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} \left[ A_{21}v_1 + A_{22}v_2 + R_3(x, v_1, v_2) + R_4(x, v_1, v_2) \right], \end{cases} \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}(t) &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t) - 1, & A_{12}(t) &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t), \\ R_1(t, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t) - 1 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t)(M(t, v_1) - 1)(1 + v_1 + v_2), \\ R_2(t, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t)M(t, v_1)v_1v_2, \\ A_{21} &= \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{\lambda_0}, & A_{22} &= \frac{\sigma_1 - 1 - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0}, \\ R_3(t, v_1, v_2) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} (1 + (\sigma_1 - 1)v_1 + \sigma_1v_2) \cdot (\lambda_0 Q(t, v_1, v_2) - 1), \\ R_4(t, v_1, v_2) &= Q(t, v_1, v_2) \left[ (1 + \sigma_1v_2)((1 + v_1)^{\sigma_1 - 1} - 1 - (\sigma_1 - 1)v_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma_1(\sigma_1 - 1)v_1v_2 + ((1 + v_2)_1^\sigma - 1 - \sigma_1v_2)(1 + v_1)_1^\sigma \right] - v_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of equalities (2.24)–(2.29), for  $k \in \{2, 4\}$ , we get

$$\lim_{|v_1|+|v_2| \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_k(t, v_1, v_2)}{|v_1| + |v_2|} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly by } t \text{ as } t \in [t_0, \omega[, \quad (2.32)$$

and for  $k \in \{1, 3\}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} R_k(t, z_1, z_2) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly by } v_1, v_2 \text{ as } (v_1, v_2) \in D. \quad (2.33)$$

At the next stage of the proof we apply to system (2.31) the following transformation:

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = r_1, \\ v_2 = r_2 - H(t). \end{cases} \quad (2.34)$$

Here,

$$H(t) = \frac{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t) - 1}{\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t)}.$$

By (2.27), we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} H(t) = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

Thus get a system

$$\begin{cases} r_1' = \frac{I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)} \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t) [r_2 + r_1r_2 + R(t; r_1; r_2)], \\ r_2' = \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} [A_{21}r_1 + A_{22}r_2 + V_3(t, r_1, r_2) + V_4(t, r_1, r_2)], \end{cases} \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(t, r_1, r_2) &= (M(t, r_1) - 1)(1 + r_1)(1 + r_2 - H(t)), \\ V_3(t, r_1, r_2) &= R_4(t, r_1, r_2 - H(t)) - R_4(t, r_1, r_2) + \pi_\omega(t)H'(t) - A_{22}H(t) + R_3(t, r_1, r_2 - H(t)), \\ V_4(t, r_1, r_2) &= R_4(t, r_1, r_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \pi_\omega(t)H'(t) = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

According to condition (2.4) of the theorem, there exists the following finite or infinite limit

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \pi_\omega(t)H'(t).$$

Let

$$\pi_\omega(t)H'(t) = q(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} q(t) \neq 0. \quad (2.38)$$

Then

$$H'(t) = \frac{q(t)}{\pi_\omega(t)}.$$

As a result of integration of the above equality from  $t_0$  to  $t$ , we have

$$H(t) - H(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{q(\tau)}{\pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau. \quad (2.39)$$

From (2.35) and (2.39), it follows that the integral  $\int_{t_0}^{\omega} \frac{q(\tau)}{\pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau$  must be finite. But this is possible only if

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} q(t) = 0.$$

Thus, taking into account (2.38), we have proved the correctness of statement (2.37).

Owing to the properties of the function  $R_4$ , by (2.28) and (2.35), it follows that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} [R_4(t, r_1, r_2 - H(t)) - R_4(t, r_1, r_2)] = 0 \quad \text{uniformly by } r_1 \text{ and } r_2 \text{ as } |r_i| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.40)$$

Applying the transformation

$$\begin{cases} r_1 = w_1, \\ r_2 = \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} w_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.41)$$

where

$$x = \beta \ln |I_1(t)|, \quad \beta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} I_1(t) = \infty, \\ -1, & \text{if } \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} I_1(t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.42)$$

to system (2.31) and taking into account (2.3), we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} w_1' = \beta \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t(x))w_2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t(x))w_1w_2 + W(x; w_1; w_2) \right], \\ w_2' = \beta \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} \left[ \text{sign } G(t(x))A_{21}w_1 \right. \\ \left. + \left( \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} \text{sign } G(t(x))A_{22}(x) - \tilde{N}(x) \right) w_2 + W_3(x, w_1, w_2) + W_4(x, w_1, w_2) \right], \end{cases} \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$W(x; w_1; w_2) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} F(t(x)) \cdot \frac{(M(t(x), w_1) - 1)}{\sqrt{|G(t(x))|}} (1 + w_1) \left( 1 + \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} w_2 - H(t(x)) \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(x, w_1, w_2) &= V_3\left(t(x), w_1, \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} w_2\right), \\ W_4(x, w_1, w_2) &= V_4\left(t(x), w_1, \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} w_2\right), \\ \tilde{N}(x) &= \frac{\text{sign}(G(t(x)))G'(t(x))I(t(x))}{2G(t(x))\sqrt{|G(t(x))|}I'(t(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\tilde{N}(x) = \frac{\text{sign}(G(t(x)))G'(t(x))I(t(x))}{2G(t(x))\sqrt{|G(t(x))|}I'(t(x))} = \frac{\text{sign}(G(t(x)))G'(t(x))\pi_\omega(t(x))}{2\sqrt{|G(t(x))|}}.$$

At the same time, the equality

$$\frac{(M(t, w_1) - 1)}{\sqrt{|G(t(x))|}} = \ln |I_1(t)| \cdot \left( \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)[1 + v_1])\psi(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)[1 + v_1]))}{\Phi^{-1}(I_1(t))\psi(\Phi^{-1}(I_1(t)))} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\left|\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}\right|}}{\ln |I_1(t)|}$$

is true. Next, let us prove that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\sqrt{\left|\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}\right|}}{\ln |I_1(t)|} = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

By de L'Hospital rule we have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\sqrt{\left|\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}\right|}}{\ln |I_1(t)|} = -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{G'(t)\pi_\omega(t)}{\sqrt{|G(t)|}}.$$

The last limit has a finite or infinite boundary, since the second limit in (2.4) exists. Now let us prove that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{G'(t)\pi_\omega(t)}{\sqrt{|G(t)|}} = 0. \quad (2.45)$$

According to condition (2.4), there exists the following finite or infinite limit

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{G'(t)\pi_\omega(t)}{\sqrt{|G(t)|}}.$$

Suppose that

$$\frac{G'(t)\pi_\omega(t)}{\sqrt{|G(t)|}} = q_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} q_1(t) \neq 0. \quad (2.46)$$

Then

$$\frac{G'(t)}{\sqrt{|G(t)|}} = \frac{q_1(t)}{\pi_\omega(t)}.$$

As a result of integration of this equality from  $t_0$  to  $t$ , we have

$$2\sqrt{|G(t)|} - 2\sqrt{|G(t_0)|} = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{q_1(\tau)}{\pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau. \quad (2.47)$$

From (2.24) and (2.47), it follows that the integral  $\int_{t_0}^{\omega} \frac{q_1(\tau)}{\pi_\omega(\tau)} d\tau$  must be finite. But this is possible only if

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} q_1(t) = 0. \quad (2.48)$$

The last one is in contradiction with assumption (2.46). So, statement (2.44) is true.

Let us now prove that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{N}(x) = 0. \quad (2.49)$$

The function  $\Phi^{-1}(z) \cdot \frac{\Phi'_1(\Phi^{-1}(z))}{z}$  satisfies condition  $B$ , hence

$$\left| \ln |I_1(t(x))| \cdot \left( \frac{\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)[1+v_1])\psi(\Phi_1^{-1}(I_1(t)[1+v_1]))}{\Phi^{-1}(I_1(t))\psi(\Phi^{-1}(I_1(t)))} - 1 \right) \right| < \infty.$$

From the above equality and statement (2.49), it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W(x; w_1; w_2) = 0 \text{ uniformly towards } w_1 \text{ and } w_2 \text{ if } |w_i| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.50)$$

Note that the characteristic equation of a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ \beta \operatorname{sign}(\lambda_0(\sigma_1 - 1))A_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the form

$$\mu^2 - \frac{|\sigma_1 - 1|}{|\lambda_0|} = 0.$$

This equation has no roots with real part equal to zero. Let us consider  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} G(t(x)) dx$ . Taking into account the presentation  $G(t(x)) = \frac{I(t(x))}{\pi_{\omega}(t(x))I'_1(t(x))}$ , we have

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} G(t(x)) dx = \int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{I_1(t(x))}{\pi_{\omega}(t(x))I'_1(t(x))} dx = \int_{t(x_0)}^{\omega} \frac{I_1(t)}{\pi_{\omega}(t)I'_1(t)} \frac{I'_1(t)}{I_1(t)} dt = \ln |\pi_{\omega}(t)|_{d_1}^{\omega} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \omega.$$

Since in some neighborhood of zero the inequality

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} dx \geq \operatorname{sign}(G(t(x))) \int_{x_0}^{\infty} G(t(x)) dx$$

takes place, it is true that

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \sqrt{|G(t(x))|} dx \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We have got that for the system of differential equations (2.43) all conditions of Theorem 2.2 from [5] are fulfilled. According to this theorem, system (2.43) has a one-parameter family of solutions  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^2 : [x_1, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $x_1 \geq x_0$ ,  $x_0 = \beta \ln |I_1(t_0)|$ ) that tend to zero as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . By (2.42), (2.22) these solutions correspond to those solutions  $y$  of equation (1.1) that admit asymptotic representations (2.8) as  $t \uparrow \omega$ .

By representations (2.8) and inequality (2.3) it is clear that the obtained solutions are indeed the  $P_{\omega}(Y_0, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions. The theorem is proved completely.  $\square$

### 3 Illustration of the results

To illustrate the results obtained above, we consider the following differential equation for  $t \in [2, +\infty[$

$$y'' = \psi(t) \exp(\exp(|y|^a) - \exp(t^d)) |y|^{\sigma_0} |y'|^{\sigma_1}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here,  $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma_1 > 1$ ,  $a, d \in ]0, +\infty[$ , the function  $\psi : [2, +\infty[ \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  is continuous, regularly varying at infinity of index  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

This equation is of type (1.1) for which

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \quad p(t) = \psi(t) \exp(-\exp(t^d)), \quad \varphi_0(y) = |y|^{\sigma_0} \exp(\exp(|y|^a)), \quad \varphi_1(y') = |y'|^{\sigma_1}.$$

Using the above proven theorem, let us investigate the question of the existence and asymptotic behavior as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  of  $P_{+\infty}(\infty, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions of equation (3.1) for which  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ .

In our case,

$$\pi_\omega(t) = t, \quad \theta_1(y) = 1.$$

Thus the function  $\theta_1$  satisfies condition  $S$ .

Taking into account the choice of  $B_{+\infty}^0$ , as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$I(t) = |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot y_0^1 \cdot \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{d} \cdot t^{1-d+\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot |\psi(t)|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(t^d)}{\sigma_1 - 1} - t^d\right) [1 + o(1)].$$

In the same way, as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$I_1(t) = |\lambda_0 - 1|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot y_0^1 \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{d}\right)^2 \cdot t^{1-2d+\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot |\psi(t)|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(t^d)}{\sigma_1 - 1} - 2t^d\right) [1 + o(1)].$$

In addition, in our case, since  $Y_0 = \infty$ , taking into account the choice of  $A_\infty^0$ , we get

$$\Phi_0(y) = \frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{a} \cdot y^{\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1 - 1} + 1 - a} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(|y|^a)}{\sigma_1 - 1} - |y|^a\right) [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\Phi_1(y) = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 - 1}{a}\right)^2 \cdot y^{\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1 - 1} + 1 - 2a} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(|y|^a)}{\sigma_1 - 1} - 2|y|^a\right) [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

We have

$$\lim_{t \uparrow +\infty} F(t) = \frac{a}{d}. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.3) and the second condition of (2.7), it follows that equation (3.1) may have only  $P_{+\infty}(\infty, Y_1, \lambda_0)$ -solutions with

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{d}{d - a}.$$

Taking into account asymptotic representations for functions  $I$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $\Phi_0$ ,  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_1^{-1}$ , we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} tF'(t) = 0.$$

So, the first condition of (2.4) is valid.

Note that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\left|\frac{\pi_\omega(t)I_1'(t)}{I_1(t)}\right|}}{\ln |I_1(t)|} = \sqrt{d(\sigma_1 - 1)} \frac{t^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\exp\left(\frac{t^d}{2}\right)} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

from which the second condition of (2.4) takes place.

At the same time,

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(y) \cdot \frac{\Phi_1'(\Phi_1^{-1}(y))}{y} = \frac{(\sigma_1 - 1)^2}{a} \ln y \cdot (\ln((\sigma_1 - 1) \ln y))^{\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1 - 1} - 2a + 1} [1 + o(1)] \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that condition  $S_1$  is satisfied.

Thus, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. By virtue of this theorem, equation (3.1) may have only  $P_{+\infty}(+\infty, +\infty, \frac{d}{d-a})$ -solutions. From Theorem 2.1 it also follows that equation (3.1) has one-parameter family of  $P_{+\infty}(+\infty, +\infty, \frac{d}{d-a})$ -solutions.

Also, using the known asymptotic behavior of the function  $\Phi_1^{-1}$ , it is easy to find that every  $P_{+\infty}(+\infty, +\infty, \frac{d}{d-a})$ -solution of equation (3.1) and its derivative satisfy the following asymptotic representations

$$\begin{aligned} & (y(t))^{\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1-1}+1-2a} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(|y(t)|^a)}{\sigma_1-1} - 2|y(t)|^a\right) \\ = & \left|\frac{a}{d-a}\right|^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^2 \cdot t^{1-2d+\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}} \cdot \psi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_1}}(t) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\exp(t^d)}{\sigma_1-1} - 2t^d\right)[1+o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \\ & y'(t) = \frac{y(t)}{t} [1+o(1)] \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] V. M. Evtukhov and N. G. Drik, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a second-order nonlinear differential equation. *Georgian Math. J.* **3** (1996), no. 2, 101–120.
- [3] V. M. Evtukhov and V. M. Khar'kov, Asymptotic representations of solutions of second-order essentially nonlinear differential equations. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **43** (2007), no. 10, 1311–1323.
- [4] V. M. Evtukhov and A. M. Klopot, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of  $n$ th-order ordinary differential equations with regularly varying nonlinearities. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **50** (2014), no. 5, 584–600; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **50** (2014), no. 5, 581–597.
- [5] V. M. Evtukhov and A. M. Samoilenko, Conditions for the existence of solutions of real nonautonomous systems of quasilinear differential equations vanishing at a singular point. (Russian) *Ukr. Mat. Zh.* **62** (2010), no. 1, 52–80; translation in *Ukr. Math. J.* **62** (2010), no. 1, 56–86.
- [6] V. Marić, *Regular Variation and Differential Equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1726. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [7] E. Seneta, *Regularly Varying Functions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 508. Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1976.

(Received 31.10.2017)

### Author's address:

Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, 2 Dvoryanskaya St., Odessa 65082, Ukraine.  
*E-mail:* olachepok@ukr.net