



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Algebra 275 (2004) 791–800

JOURNAL OF  
Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# On duality for partial differential (and difference) equations<sup>☆</sup>

Vakhtang Lomadze

*Institute of Mathematics, Tbilisi 0193, Republic of Georgia*

Received 25 April 2003

Communicated by Paul Roberts

---

## Abstract

In this paper we provide a simple version of Oberst's duality between finitely generated polynomial modules and the solution sets of partial differential (and difference) equations.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

---

The space  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , can be viewed in an obvious way as a module over  $\mathbb{R}[s] = \mathbb{R}[s_1, \dots, s_r]$ . If  $R$  is a matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{R}[s]$ , then the solution set of the associated partial differential equation  $R(D)\xi = 0$  is equal (as noticed by Malgrange [4]) to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[s]}(M, C^\infty(\Omega))$  with  $M = \text{Coker } R^{\text{tr}}$ . One is led therefore to consider the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}[s]}(-, C^\infty(\Omega))$  defined on finitely generated polynomial modules. It is a theorem of Ehrenpreis, Malgrange and Palamodov that this functor is exact, i.e., the module  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  is injective. (For this deep result we refer the reader to Hörmander [2], for example.) As is well known (see Matlis [5]), every injective module over a commutative noetherian ring is a direct sum of indecomposable injective modules, which are classified via the prime ideals. In [7] Oberst has proved that  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  is a “large” injective module (i.e.,  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  contains at least one indecomposable injective module of each type). From this (difficult) theorem, using the general duality theory developed in Oberst [6] and Roos [10], Oberst has obtained that the functor above establishes a duality between the category of finitely generated polynomial modules and the category of the solution sets of partial differential equations. Oberst has shown that

---

<sup>☆</sup> This research was supported in part by the INTAS grant 00-259.  
E-mail address: [loma@rmi.acnet.ge](mailto:loma@rmi.acnet.ge).

$k^{\mathbb{Z}_+^r}$ , where  $k$  is an arbitrary discrete field, also is a large injective module, and hence this function space also gives rise to a duality. (However, this case is considerably easier.)

The goal of this paper is to present a simple version of Oberst's duality.

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary field equipped with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and complete with respect to this absolute value. (One may assume that  $k$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , or an arbitrary discrete field.) Let  $r$  be an arbitrary positive integer, and let  $s_1, \dots, s_r$  be indeterminates. Put  $t_1 = s_1^{-1}, \dots, t_r = s_r^{-1}$ , and put  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ . By a multi-index we mean any element of  $\mathbb{Z}_+^r$ . If  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  is any sequence of rational functions, then for each multi-index  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ , we write  $f^i = f_1^{i_1} \cdots f_r^{i_r}$ . The degree of a polynomial  $f = \sum a_i s^i$ , denoted by  $\deg f$ , is the supremum of those multi-indices  $i$  for which  $a_i \neq 0$ . (We consider the componentwise order on the set of multi-indices.) Following [7, 6.60], we call a rational function  $f/g$  proper, if  $\deg f \leq \deg g$  and the coefficient at  $s^{\deg g}$  in  $g$  is distinct from 0. Let  $O$  denote the ring of proper rational functions. (It is interesting to note that  $O$  is the local ring of the "most infinite" point in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$ .) Clearly,  $O$  is contained in the ring of formal series  $k[[t]]$ . On the latter one has left shift operators  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  making it a  $k[s]$ -module. Throughout,  $O$  will be regarded as a  $k[s]$ -submodule of  $k[[t]]$ .

Call a (finite) point any sequence  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ , where  $p_1 \in k[s_1], \dots, p_r \in k[s_r]$  are monic irreducible univariate polynomials. Let  $I_p$  denote the ideal in  $k[s]$  generated by the entries of  $p$ . By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (see Proposition 2(iii) in [1, Chapter V]), points are in a canonical one-to-one correspondence with maximal ideals of  $k[s]$  (i.e., with closed points of the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^r$ ).

Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  be a point, and let  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  be the degrees of the polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ . For each nonnegative integer  $n$ , define

$$E_p(n) = \bigoplus_{i,j} k \cdot \frac{s^i}{p^j},$$

where

$$(1, \dots, 1) \leq i \leq (d_1, \dots, d_r) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, \dots, 1) \leq j \leq (n, \dots, n).$$

This is a  $k[s]$ -submodule of  $O$ . To see this it suffices to note that  $E_p(n)$  can be defined also as the image of the canonical embedding

$$\bigotimes_{l=1}^r \frac{s_l}{p_l^n} k[s_l] / s_l k[s_l] \rightarrow O.$$

Set  $E_p = \bigcup E_p(n)$ .

The module

$$E = \bigoplus_p E_p,$$

which is a submodule in  $O$ , will be of special significance for this paper. This module has been investigated comprehensively in [8]. In particular, it has been shown there that this is the minimal injective cogenerator over  $k[s]$ . For the sake of completeness, we include here the following

**Lemma 1.** *The module  $E$  is a cogenerator.*

**Proof.** By [3, Theorem 19.8], we have to show that for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $E$  contains a copy of the injective hull of  $k[s]/\mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be any maximal ideal of  $k[s]$ , and let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  be the associated point. Consider the canonical homomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{l=1}^r k[s_l]/p_l^n k[s_l] \rightarrow k[s]/I_p^n,$$

which clearly is injective. Because both of the modules have the same dimension over  $k$  it must be an isomorphism. It follows that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\bigotimes_{l=1}^r \text{Hom}(k[s_l]/p_l^n k[s_l], k) \simeq \text{Hom}(k[s]/I_p^n, k).$$

Further, for each  $1 \leq l \leq r$ , there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\frac{s_l}{p_l^n} k[s_l]/s_l k[s_l] \simeq \frac{1}{p_l^n} k[s_l]/k[s_l] \simeq k[s_l]/p_l^n k[s_l] \simeq \text{Hom}_k(k[s_l]/p_l^n k[s_l], k),$$

and we see that  $E_p(n)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_k(k[s]/I_p^n, k)$ . Thus, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$E_p \simeq \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_k(k[s]/I_p^n, k).$$

The right-hand side represents the functor

$$M \mapsto \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}_k(M/I_p^n M, k),$$

which is exact by the Artin–Rees lemma (see [1, Chapter III, §3, Proposition 1]). Hence, the module  $E_p$  is injective. Finally, since  $E_p(1)$  is isomorphic to  $k[s]/I_p$ , which obviously contains  $k[s]/\mathfrak{m}$ , we conclude that  $E_p$  contains the injective hull of  $k[s]/\mathfrak{m}$ . (It can be shown easily that, in fact,  $E_p$  coincides with this injective hull.)  $\square$

**Remark.** For  $f \in k[s]$  and  $g \in O$ , define  $\langle g, f \rangle$  to be the constant term of  $fg \in k((t))$ . We then get a  $k$ -bilinear form. Every  $g \in O$  determines therefore a canonical linear functional on  $k[s]$ . From the proof above we see that  $E_p$  consists of those  $g \in O$  for which the linear functional  $\langle g, - \rangle$  vanishes on some power of  $I_p$ .

Assume now we are given a triple  $(\mathcal{U}, L, ev)$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $k[s]$ -module,  $L$  an injective  $k[s]$ -homomorphism of  $E$  into  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $ev$  a  $k$ -linear functional on  $\mathcal{U}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{U} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & k \end{array}$$

is commutative. (By  $E \rightarrow k$  we mean the canonical  $k$ -linear functional on  $E$  determined by  $\sum b_i t^i \mapsto b_0$ .)

We shall think of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  as functions that we can differentiate as many times as we please. Multiplications by  $s_1, \dots, s_r$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  will be interpreted as partial differentiations and will be denoted by  $D_1, \dots, D_r$ , respectively. If  $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$  is a multi-index, we let  $D^h$  denote the partial differentiation operator  $D^h = D_1^{h_1} \cdots D_r^{h_r}$ . We shall think of  $L$  as the (inverse) Laplace transform and of functions of the form  $Lg$  as exponential functions. The map  $ev$  can be viewed as the “evaluation map at 0”. Given a function  $\xi \in \mathcal{U}$ , we shall write  $\xi(0)$  for  $ev(\xi)$ .

Here are examples that are of interest.

**Example 1.** Let  $k = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a connected open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . (Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Omega$  contains the origin.) Set  $\mathcal{U} = C^\infty(\Omega)$ . For  $g = \sum b_i t^i \in E$ , define  $Lg \in \mathcal{U}$  by the formula

$$(Lg)(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i \frac{x^i}{i!}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

(Here  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ ,  $x^i = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$ ,  $i! = i_1! \cdots i_r!$ .) Finally, for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{U}$ , put

$$ev(\xi) = \xi(0).$$

**Example 2.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = k^{\mathbb{Z}_+^r}$ . For  $g = \sum b_i t^i \in E$ , define  $Lg \in \mathcal{U}$  by the formula

$$(Lg)(i) = b_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r.$$

For every  $\xi \in \mathcal{U}$ , put

$$ev(\xi) = \xi(0).$$

**Remark.** In view of Example 2, all what follows is applicable to difference equations as well, and this justifies the title of the paper.

For each multi-index  $n$  and positive number  $\delta$ , define

$$\mathcal{U}_{n,\delta} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{U} \mid |D^i \xi(0)| < \delta \text{ for all } i \leq n \}.$$

We declare the sets  $\mathcal{U}_{n,\delta}$  as a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 for a topology on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Remark that this topology, in general, is not separate. (This is the case in Example 1.) Note that

$$\{\bar{0}\} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{U} \mid D^i \xi(0) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 0\}.$$

Obviously,  $D^h \mathcal{U}_{n+h,\delta} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{n,\delta}$ . It immediately follows from this that  $D^h : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is continuous, and hence all differential operators of the form  $R(D) : \mathcal{U}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^p$ , where  $R$  is a polynomial  $p \times q$  matrix, are continuous.

For each multi-index  $n$ , set

$$\mathcal{U}(n) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{U} \mid D^i \xi(0) = 0 \text{ for all } i \leq n\}.$$

The Taylor polynomial of degree  $n$  of a function  $\xi \in \mathcal{U}$  is defined to be

$$T_n(\xi) = \sum_{i \leq n} D^i \xi(0) t^i.$$

For a fixed  $n$ ,  $\xi \mapsto T_n(\xi)$  is a  $k$ -linear map into  $k[t]_{\leq n}$ , the space of polynomials in  $t$  of degree  $\leq n$ . Because  $E$  contains  $E_s = k[t]$ , this map must be surjective. The kernel obviously is  $\mathcal{U}(n)$ , and hence  $T_n$  induces a canonical  $k$ -linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(n) \simeq k[t]_{\leq n}.$$

Given a topological  $k$ -linear space  $\mathcal{X}$ , let us write  $\mathcal{X}^*$  for  $\text{Hom}_k^{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{X}, k)$ . Likewise, if  $\phi$  is a continuous linear map of topological  $k$ -linear spaces, write  $\phi^*$  to denote  $\text{Hom}_k^{\text{cont}}(\phi, k)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *We have*

$$\mathcal{U}^* = k[s] \quad \text{and} \quad (D^h)^* = s^h.$$

**Proof.** One can see that a linear functional on  $\mathcal{U}$  is continuous if and only if it vanishes on some  $\mathcal{U}(n)$ . Linear functionals vanishing on  $\mathcal{U}(n)$  can be identified with linear functionals on  $\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(n)$  and hence with linear functionals on  $k[t]_{\leq n}$ . Linear functionals on this latter can be identified with polynomials in  $k[s]_{\leq n}$ , the space of polynomials in  $s$  of degree  $\leq n$ . The union of all  $k[s]_{\leq n}$  is  $k[s]$ , and the first equality is shown.

Further, for each  $n$ , we have a canonical linear map

$$D^h : \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(n+h) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{U}(n),$$

which can be identified with

$$\sigma^h : k[t]_{\leq n+h} \rightarrow k[t]_{\leq n}.$$

(Here  $\sigma^h = \sigma_1^{h_1} \cdots \sigma_r^{h_r}$ .) The dual of this latter can be identified with

$$s^h : k[s]_{\leq n} \rightarrow k[s]_{\leq n+h}.$$

The direct limit of these linear maps is  $s^h : k[s] \rightarrow k[s]$ , and the proof of the second equality is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** For each nonnegative integer  $l$  and for each polynomial matrix  $R$  we have

$$(\mathcal{U}^l)^* = k[s]^l \quad \text{and} \quad R(D)^* = R^{\text{tr}}.$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear subspace in  $\mathcal{U}^q$  (equipped with the induced topology). Then

$$(\mathcal{U}^q)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$$

is surjective.

**Proof.** We have injective linear maps of finite dimensional linear spaces

$$\mathcal{X}/(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{U}^q(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^q/\mathcal{U}^q(n).$$

Consequently, we have surjective linear maps

$$(\mathcal{U}^q/\mathcal{U}^q(n))^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}/(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{U}^q(n)))^*.$$

Taking the direct limit, we complete the proof.  $\square$

We remark that there are sufficiently many continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}^q$  (in other words, for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{X}$  that does not belong to  $\{0\}$ , there exists a continuous linear functional  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow k$  such that  $f(\xi) \neq 0$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be topological  $k$ -linear spaces, and let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be two continuous linear maps from the first one to the other. Let us say that  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are essentially equal (and denote this by  $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_2$ ) if

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \phi_1(x) \equiv \phi_2(x) \pmod{\{0\}}.$$

Assuming that  $\mathcal{Y}$  has sufficiently many continuous linear functionals, we have the following obvious

**Lemma 5.**  $\phi_1 \equiv \phi_2$  if and only if  $\phi_1^* = \phi_2^*$ .

The ring  $k[s]$  can be viewed as a topological ring. (The topology on  $k[s]$  is the inductive limit of the canonical topologies on  $k[s]_{\leq n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^r$ .) One therefore has the notion of a topological  $k[s]$ -module. It is worth noting that giving a topological  $k[s]$ -module is equivalent to giving a topological  $k$ -linear space together with  $r$  pairwise commuting continuous  $k$ -linear endomorphisms.

Let  $R$  be a polynomial matrix, say, of size  $p \times q$ . Then, the solution set of the differential equation

$$R(D)\xi = 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{U}^q$$

(together with the topology induced from  $\mathcal{U}^q$ ) is a topological  $k[s]$ -module. We define a linear (dynamical) system as a topological  $k[s]$ -module that is isomorphic to the solution set of a differential equation. (*Warning*: This definition is not exactly the same as that given in [7,9], since it takes into account a specific topology.) Define a differential operator from one linear system to another as an equivalence class of essentially equal continuous  $k[s]$ -homomorphisms. The set of all differential operators from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{T}$  denote by  $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ . The following example, where differential operators from  $\mathcal{U}^q$  to  $\mathcal{U}^p$  are described, can serve as a justification of this definition.

**Example 3.** Let  $\phi$  be any continuous  $k[s]$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{U}^q$  to  $\mathcal{U}^p$ . By Corollary 3, there exists a polynomial matrix  $R$  such that  $\phi^* = R^{\text{tr}}$ . We clearly have  $R(D)^* = \phi^*$  and, using Lemma 5, we see that  $\phi \equiv R(D)$ . Let now  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be polynomial matrices of size  $p \times q$  such that  $R_1(D) \equiv R_2(D)$ . Then,  $R_1(D)^* = R_2(D)^*$ . Applying again Corollary 3, we see that  $R_1^{\text{tr}} = R_2^{\text{tr}}$ . Hence,  $R_1(D) = R_2(D)$ . We conclude that

$$\text{Diff}(\mathcal{U}^q, \mathcal{U}^p) = \{R(D) \mid R \in k[s]^{p \times q}\}.$$

One can define in an obvious way compositions of differential operators, and one can check easily that linear systems (together with differential operators) form a category. Let us denote this category by Syst.

Denote by Mod the category of finitely generated  $k[s]$ -modules. We are going to show that Mod and Syst are dual to each other.

Let  $M \in \text{Mod}$ . Choose generators  $m_1, \dots, m_q$  of  $M$ . It is easy to see that the sets

$$\{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{U}) \mid \varphi(m_1), \dots, \varphi(m_q) \in \mathcal{U}_{n,\delta}\},$$

where  $n$  is a multi-index and  $\delta$  a positive number, determine a topology on  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{U})$  for which they constitute a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of 0. One can check easily that this topology does not depend on the choice of a set of generators and the module  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{U})$  together with this topology is a topological  $k[s]$ -module. We call it the behavior of  $M$  and denote by  $\text{Bh}(M)$ .

The following simple nice fact was observed by Malgrange (see [4]).

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $R$  be a polynomial matrix. Then  $\text{Ker } R(D)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Bh}(M)$ , where  $M$  denotes the cokernel of  $R^{\text{tr}}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $p \times q$  be the size of  $R$ . We then have an exact sequence

$$k[s]^p \xrightarrow{R^{\text{tr}}} k[s]^q \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

From this we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^q \xrightarrow{R(D)} \mathcal{U}^p,$$

which yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(M, \mathcal{U}) \simeq \text{Ker } R(D).$$

Taking the generators of  $M$  determined by the epimorphism  $k[s]^q \rightarrow M$ , one can easily see that the isomorphism is valid in the topological sense as well. The proof is complete.  $\square$

The lemma above implies immediately that if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $k[s]$ -module, then  $\text{Bh}(M)$  is a linear system. Given a  $k[s]$ -homomorphism  $f$  of finitely generated modules, define  $\text{Bh}(f)$  to be  $\text{Hom}_{k[s]}(f, \mathcal{U})$ . Clearly this is a continuous homomorphism. Thus  $\text{Bh}$  is a functor from  $\underline{\text{Mod}}$  to the category of topological  $k[s]$ -modules.

It is clear that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a topological  $k[s]$ -module, then  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a  $k[s]$ -module. Likewise, if  $\phi$  is a continuous  $k[s]$ -homomorphism, then  $\phi^*$  is a  $k[s]$ -homomorphism. The following says that the composition  $\text{I} = * \circ \text{Bh}$  is canonically isomorphic to the identity functor (of  $\underline{\text{Mod}}$ ).

**Theorem 7.** (a) *If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $k[s]$ -module, then the canonical homomorphism*

$$M \rightarrow \text{I}(M)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

(b) *If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a  $k[s]$ -homomorphism of finitely generated modules, then the canonical diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \simeq \text{I}(M) & & \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{I}(f) \\ N \simeq \text{I}(N) & & \end{array}$$

*is commutative.*

**Proof.** (a) By Corollary 3, the assertion is true when  $M$  is of the form  $k[s]^l$ . In the general case,  $M$  admits a finite presentation

$$k[s]^p \rightarrow k[s]^q \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor  $\text{I}$  to this exact sequence (and using again Corollary 3), we get a sequence

$$k[s]^p \rightarrow k[s]^q \rightarrow \text{I}(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

This is a complex, of course. By Lemma 4, this complex is exact at  $\text{I}(M)$ , and hence our homomorphism is surjective.

To show the injectivity, take any  $0 \neq x \in M$ . Because  $E$  is a cogenerator we can find a homomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $g(x) \neq 0$ . At least one of the coefficients in the



expansion of  $g(x)$ , say, the coefficient at  $t^i$ , is distinct from 0. Because  $s^i g$  is also a homomorphism of  $M$  into  $E$  and  $(s^i g(x))(0) \neq 0$ , we see that the image of  $x$  under our homomorphism is not zero.

(b) The homomorphism  $f$  can be included into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k[s]^p & \longrightarrow & k[s]^q & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ k[s]^l & \longrightarrow & k[s]^m & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. From this we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k[s]^p & \longrightarrow & k[s]^q & \longrightarrow & I(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ k[s]^l & \longrightarrow & k[s]^m & \longrightarrow & I(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

also having exact rows. (The exactness is seen from the proof of (a).) This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following important result was obtained by Oberst (see [7, Theorem 2.61]).

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be polynomial matrices with sizes  $m_1 \times q$  and  $m_2 \times q$ , respectively, and let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  be the corresponding linear systems. Then  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$  if and only if there is a polynomial matrix  $X$  such that  $R_2 = X R_1$ .*

**Proof.** The “if” part is obvious.

“Only if”. Let  $M_1 = \mathcal{S}_1^*$  and  $M_2 = \mathcal{S}_2^*$ . From  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{U}^q$ , using the functoriality of  $*$  and Corollary 3, we see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k[s]^{m_2} & \xrightarrow{R_2^*} & k[s]^q & \longrightarrow & M_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ k[s]^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{R_1^*} & k[s]^q & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. By the theorem above, the rows in this diagram are exact. This implies the assertion.  $\square$

The following can be viewed as a generalization of Example 3.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated  $k[s]$ -modules.*

- (a) Every continuous  $k[s]$ -homomorphism  $\phi : \text{Bh}(N) \rightarrow \text{Bh}(M)$  is essentially equal to  $\text{Bh}(f)$  for some  $k[s]$ -homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ .
- (b) If  $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow N$  are two  $k[s]$ -homomorphisms, then  $\text{Bh}(f_1)$  and  $\text{Bh}(f_2)$  are essentially equal if and only if they are equal.

**Proof.** The arguments are as in Example 3. (We need only apply Theorem 7 instead of Corollary 3.)  $\square$

The theorem above says that differential operators from  $\text{Bh}(N)$  to  $\text{Bh}(M)$  can be identified with continuous  $k[s]$ -homomorphisms of the form  $\text{Bh}(f)$ .

We call an observable of a linear system  $\mathcal{S}$  any continuous linear functional on it. (The term is borrowed from [9].) Denote by  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{S})$  the module of observables of  $\mathcal{S}$  (i.e., the module  $\mathcal{S}^*$ ). Given a differential operator  $\Phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , define  $\text{Ob}(\Phi)$  to be  $\phi^*$ , where  $\phi$  is a representative of  $\Phi$ .

**Theorem 10.** *The functors  $\text{Bh}$  and  $\text{Ob}$  establish a duality between the categories  $\underline{\text{Mod}}$  and  $\underline{\text{Syst}}$ .*

**Proof.** By the Malgrange lemma, every object of  $\underline{\text{Syst}}$  is isomorphic to an object of the form  $\text{Bh}(M)$  with  $M \in \underline{\text{Mod}}$ . By the previous theorem, if  $M, N \in \underline{\text{Mod}}$ , then the canonical map

$$\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\text{Bh}(N), \text{Bh}(M))$$

is bijective. The proof is complete.  $\square$

An important consequence of this theorem is that the category  $\underline{\text{Syst}}$  is abelian. This means, in particular, that one can speak about the kernels, images and cokernels of differential operators of linear systems.

## References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [2] L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [3] T.Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [4] B. Malgrange, *Sur les systèmes différentiels à coefficients constants*, Coll. CNRS, Paris, 1963, pp. 113–122.
- [5] E. Matlis, *Injective modules over noetherian rings*, *Pacific J. Math.* 8 (1958) 511–528.
- [6] U. Oberst, *Duality theory for Grothendieck categories and linearly compact rings*, *J. Algebra* 17 (1970) 473–542.
- [7] U. Oberst, *Multidimensional constant linear systems*, *Acta Appl. Math.* 20 (1990) 1–175.
- [8] U. Oberst, *Variations on the fundamental principle for linear systems of partial differential and difference equations with constant coefficients*, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* 6 (1995) 211–243.
- [9] H. Pillai, J. Wood, E. Rogers, *On homomorphisms of nD behaviors*, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Systems I, Fund. Theory Appl.* 49 (2000) 732–743.
- [10] J.E. Ross, *Locally noetherian categories and generalized strictly linearly compact rings. Applications*, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 92, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1969, pp. 197–277.