

## ON COCHAIN OPERATIONS FORMING HIRSCH ALGEBRAS

T. Kadeishvili

UDC 512.57

ABSTRACT. Cochain operations that determine the structure of Hirsch algebras are characterized.

Many constructions that work successfully for commutative differential graded (dg) algebras fail in the noncommutative case. There exists a classical tool that measures the noncommutativity of a dg algebra  $(A, d, \cdot)$ , namely, the Steenrod  $\smile_1$  product satisfying the condition

$$d(a \smile_1 b) = da \smile_1 b + a \smile_1 db + a \cdot b - b \cdot a \tag{1}$$

(the signs are ignored in the whole text). The existence of  $\smile_1$  guarantees the commutativity of  $H(A)$ , but the  $\smile_1$  product satisfying just this condition is too pure for most applications. In many constructions, some deeper properties of  $\smile_1$  are needed, for example, compatibility with the product of  $A$  (the Hirsch formula)

$$a \smile_1 (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \smile_1 c) + (a \smile_1 b) \cdot c. \tag{2}$$

Perhaps, the following structure is a good notion of a “good”  $\smile_1$  product.

**Definition 1.** A Hirsch algebra is defined as a dg-algebra  $(A, d, \cdot)$  equipped with a sequence of operations

$$\left\{ E_{p,q} : A^{\otimes p} \otimes A^{\otimes q} \rightarrow A, \quad p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad \deg E_{p,q} = -(p + q - 1) \right\},$$

which satisfies the conditions

$$E_{0,0} = 0, \quad E_{0,q>1} = 0, \quad E_{0,1} = \text{id}, \quad E_{p>1,0} = 0, \quad E_{1,0} = \text{id}, \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & dE_{p,q}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q) \\ & + \sum_i E_{p,q}(a_1, \dots, da_i, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q) + \sum_i E_{p,q}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, db_i, \dots, b_q) \\ & = \sum_i E_{p-1,q}(a_1, \dots, a_i \cdot a_{i+1}, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q) + \sum_i E_{p,q-1}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_i \cdot b_{i+1}, \dots, b_q) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q E_{i,j}(a_1, \dots, a_i; b_1, \dots, b_j) \cdot E_{m-p,n-q}(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_p; b_{j+1}, \dots, b_q). \tag{4} \end{aligned}$$

---

Translated from *Sovremennaya Matematika i Ee Prilozheniya (Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications)*, Vol. 74, Proceedings of the International Conference “Modern Algebra and Its Applications” (Batumi, 2010), Part 1, 2011.

A Hirsch algebra  $(A, d, \cdot, \{E_{p,q}\})$  is called associative if, in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^{l+m} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_r=l, \\ m_1+\dots+m_r=m}} E_{k,r}(a_1, \dots, a_k; E_{l_1,m_1}(b_1, \dots, b_{l_1}; c_1, \dots, c_{m_1}), \dots, \\ & \quad E_{l_r,m_r}(b_{l_1+\dots+l_{r-1}+1}, \dots, b_{l_r}; c_{m_1+\dots+m_{r-1}+1}, \dots, c_m) \\ & = \sum_{s=1}^{k+l} \sum_{\substack{k_1+\dots+k_s=k, \\ l_1+\dots+l_s=l}} E_{s,m}(E_{k_1,l_1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k_1}; b_1, \dots, b_{l_1}), \dots, \\ & \quad E_{k_s,l_s}(a_{k_1+\dots+k_{s-1}+1}, \dots, a_k; b_{l_1+\dots+l_{s-1}+1}, \dots, b_l); c_1, \dots, c_m). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the bar construction  $BA$ , this structure determines a multiplication  $\mu_E : BA \otimes BA \rightarrow BA$ , which turns  $BA$  into a dg-bialgebra (see [3–5]). An associative Hirsch algebra with  $E_{p>1,q} = 0$  is called a homotopy  $G$ -algebra (see [2, 7]). The component  $E_{1,1}$  plays the role of a “good”  $\smile_1$  product. Conditions (1) and (2) are a part of (4).

To define such a structure on a dg-algebra  $A$ , one must solve the “differential equation” (4) with the “initial value” (3).

Condition (4) can be reformulated in operadic terms as follows.

Assume that  $P$  is a dg operad with an element  $\mu \in P(2)_0$  satisfying the conditions  $d\mu = 0$  and  $\mu \circ_1 \mu = \mu \circ_2 \mu$ . If  $A$  is an algebra over  $P$ , then it is a dg algebra with multiplication  $\mu$ . The above differential equation in operadic terms has the form

$$\begin{aligned} dE_{p,q} &= \sum_i E_{p-1,q} \circ_i \mu + \sum_i E_{p,q-1} \circ_{p+i} \mu \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q \left[ (1, \dots, i, p+1, \dots, p+j, i+1, \dots, p, p+j+1, \dots, q) \right] \mu \\ & \quad \circ (E_{i,j}, E_{p-i,q-j}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $P$  is an acyclic dg operad with an element  $\mu \in P(2)_0$  satisfying the conditions  $d\mu = 0$  and  $\mu \circ_1 \mu = \mu \circ_2 \mu$ , and with a given contraction homotopy*

$$s : P(n)_k \rightarrow P(n)_{k+1}, \quad ds(x) + s(dx) = x - \eta x.$$

*Then there is an explicit solution of (6) satisfying (3).*

*Sketch of the proof.* Rewrite Eq. (6) in the form  $dE_{p,q} = U_{p,q}$ . It is easy to prove by induction that  $dU_{p,q} = 0$ . Then we define  $E_{p,q} = sU_{p,q}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *An algebra over an  $E_\infty$  operad is a Hirsch algebra.*

In particular, for the surjection operad  $\mathcal{X}$  this process gives the solution

$$E_{1,k} = (1, 2, 1, 3, 1, \dots, 1, k, 1, k+1), \quad E_{p>1,q} = 0,$$

the McClure–Smith elements (see [1, 6]), which automatically satisfies the associativity condition (5); thus, this is the homotopy  $G$ -algebra structure.

As for the Barrat–Eccles operad  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have the following components of solution:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,k} &= \left( (1, 2, \dots, k+1), \dots, (2, 3, \dots, i, 1, i+1, \dots, k+1), \dots, (2, 3, \dots, k+1, 1) \right); \\ E_{k,1} &= \left( (1, 2, \dots, k+1), \dots, (1, 2, \dots, i, k+1, i+1, \dots, k), \dots, (k+1, 1, 2, \dots, k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2,2} = & \left( (1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 4, 2), (3, 1, 4, 2), (3, 4, 1, 2) \right) \\ & + \left( (1, 2, 3, 4), (3, 1, 2, 4), (3, 1, 4, 2), (3, 4, 1, 2) \right) \\ & + \left( (1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 2, 4), (3, 1, 2, 4), (3, 1, 4, 2) \right) \\ & + \left( (1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 3, 2, 4), (1, 3, 4, 2), (3, 1, 4, 2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgement.** This work was partially supported by the Georgian National Science Foundation (project No. GNSF/ST08/3-398).

## REFERENCES

1. C. Berger and B. Fresse, *Combinatorial operad actions on cochains*, Preprint, [arXiv:math.AT/0109158v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0109158v1) (2001).
2. M. Gerstenhaber and A. A. Voronov, “Higher-order operations on the Hochschild complex,” *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.*, **29**, No. 1, 1–6 (1995).
3. T. Kadeishvili, “Measuring the noncommutativity of DG-algebras,” *J. Math. Sci.*, **119**, No. 4, 494–512 (2004).
4. T. Kadeishvili, *Twisting elements in homotopy G-algebras*, [arXiv:math/0709.3130](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0709.3130).
5. T. Kadeishvili and S. Saneblidze, “On a multiplicative model of a fibration,” *Bull. Georgian Acad. Sci.*, **153**, No. 3, 345–346 (1996).
6. J. McClure and J. H. Smith, *A solution of Deligne’s conjecture*, Preprint, [arXiv:math,QA/9910126](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9910126) (1999).
7. A. Voronov, “Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras,” in: *Conference Moshe Flato 1999, Dijon, Vol. II*, Math. Phys. Stud., **22**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2000), pp. 307–331.

T. Kadeishvili

A. Razmadze Institute of Mathematics, Tbilisi, Georgia

E-mail: [kade@rmi.ge](mailto:kade@rmi.ge)