

R. KOPLATADZE AND G. KVNIKADZE

OSCILLATION CRITERIA OF SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER OF LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

(Reported on 08.10.2008)

Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u(k) + \sum_{j=1}^m p_j(k) u(\tau_j(k)) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number, $p_j : N \rightarrow R_+$, $\tau_j : N \rightarrow N$, ($j = 1, \dots, m$) are functions defined on the set of natural numbers $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$ and $\Delta^2 = \Delta \circ \Delta$. Everywhere below it is assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_j(k) &= +\infty \quad (j = 1, \dots, m), \\ \sup \{p_j(i) : i \geq k\} &> 0 \quad \text{for } k \in N \quad (j = 1, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

For each $n \in N$ denote $N_n = \{n, n+1, \dots\}$.

Definition 1. For each $n \in N$ denote $n_0 = \min \{k \geq n : \bigcup_{j=1}^m \tau_j(N_k) \subset N_n\}$. We will call a function $u : N_n \rightarrow R$ a proper solution of the equation (1) if it satisfies (1) on N_{n_0} and $\sup\{|u(i)| : i \geq k\} > 0$ for any $k \in N_n$.

Definition 2. We say that a proper solution $u : N_n \rightarrow R$ of the equation (1) is oscillatory if for any $k \in N_n$ there are $n_1, n_2 \in N_k$ such that $u(n_1)u(n_2) \leq 0$. Otherwise the solution is called nonoscillatory.

The problem of oscillation of solutions of the equation of the type (1) has been studied by several authors, see e.g. [1–6] and the references therein. Everywhere below it is assumed that the conditions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(k) \right) = +\infty, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tau_j(k) p_j(k) \right) = +\infty \quad (3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34K15.

Key words and phrases. Difference equations, oscillation criteria, proper solution.

are fulfilled.

Using the fixed point principle, one can easily show that the conditions (2) and (3) are necessary for oscillation of all solutions of the equation (1) [6].

The obtained results make those obtained in [6] more precise even in the case considered there when the conditions

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\tau_j(k)}{k} > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

is fulfilled. Besides the paper covers also the cases where the latter inequality does not hold.

Lemma 1. *Let $\tau_j : N \rightarrow N$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and (1) be fulfilled. Then there exists a nondecreasing function $\sigma : N \rightarrow N$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(k) = +\infty, \\ 2) \quad & \sigma(k) \leq \min\{k, \tau_j(k) : j = 1, \dots, m\}, \\ 3) \quad & \sigma(N_k) \supset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \tau_j(N_k) \text{ for any } k \in N. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let $k_0 \in N$. Denote by U_{k_0} the set of all proper solutions of (1) satisfying $u(k) > 0$ for $k \in N_{k_0}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $k_0 \in N$, $U_{k_0} \neq \emptyset$ and σ be any nondecreasing function satisfying (4) (such a function exists due to Lemma 1). Then there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(k, \varepsilon, \lambda) \right) \leq 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k, \varepsilon, \lambda) = & k^{-\lambda - h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\sigma(i))^{h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda) + h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \times \\ & \times \sum_{l=i}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(l) (\tau_j(l))^{\lambda - h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \lambda = 0, \\ \varepsilon & \text{for } \lambda \in (0, 1], \end{cases} \quad h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \lambda = 1, \\ \varepsilon & \text{for } \lambda \in [0, 1). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Theorem 2. *Let σ be any nondecreasing function satisfying (4) (such a function exists due to Lemma 1), and for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(k, \varepsilon, \lambda) \right) > 1.$$

where the function ρ is defined by (5), (6). Then any proper solution of the equation (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha_j \in (0, +\infty)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\tau_j(k)}{k^{\alpha_j}} > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m). \quad (7)$$

Then for all proper solutions of (1) to be oscillatory it is sufficient that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^{-\lambda - h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i^{\alpha(h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda) + h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda))} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{l=i}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(l) (\tau_j(l))^{\lambda - h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \right) \right) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha = \min\{1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 4. Let the conditions (7) be fulfilled and for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^{1 - \lambda + \alpha h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda) + (\alpha - 1)h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(i) (\tau_j(i))^{\lambda - h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \right) \right) > \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $h_{1\varepsilon}$, $h_{2\varepsilon}$ and α are given by (6) and (8). Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 5. Let the conditions (7) hold and for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^{1 + (\alpha - 1)(h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda) + h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda))} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(i) \left(\frac{\tau_j(i)}{i} \right)^{\lambda - h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \right) \right) > \lambda(1 - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 5'. Let the condition (7) be fulfilled with $\alpha_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Then for any proper solution of (1) to be oscillatory it is sufficient that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(i) \left(\frac{\tau_j(i)}{i} \right)^{\lambda - h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} \right) \right) > \lambda(1 - \lambda).$$

Theorem 5' makes Theorem 3.2 of [1] more precise.

Corollary 1. *Let there exist α_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) such that $\alpha_j \in (0, +\infty)$ and*

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\tau_j(i)}{i} = \alpha_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m). \quad (9)$$

Then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the condition

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j(i) \alpha_j^\lambda \right) > \lambda(1 - \lambda)$$

is sufficient for oscillation of all proper solution of (1).

Corollary 2. *Let the condition (9) be fulfilled and there exist $c_j \in (0, +\infty)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and a function $p : N \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $p_j(k) \geq c_j p(k)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Then the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k \sum_{i=k}^{+\infty} p(i) > \\ & > \max \left\{ \lambda(1 - \lambda) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \alpha_j^\lambda \right)^{-1} : \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

is sufficient for oscillation of all proper solutions of (1).

It should be noted that for any $m \in N$ the inequality (10) can not be changed by the nonstrict one. Otherwise Corollary 2, in general, will not be valid.

Corollary 3. *Let the condition (7) be fulfilled, there exist a nonincreasing function $\tilde{p} \in C(R_+; R_+)$ and a nondecreasing function $\tilde{\tau} \in C(R_+; R_+)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\tau}(k) = +\infty$ and*

$$p_j(k) \geq c_j \tilde{p}(k), \quad \tau_j(k) \geq d_j \tilde{\tau}(k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m), \quad (11)$$

where $c_j, d_j \in (0, +\infty)$. Let, moreover, for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^{1+(\alpha-1)(h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)+h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda))} \int_{k-1}^{+\infty} \tilde{p}(1+\xi) (\tilde{\tau}(\xi))^{\lambda-h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)} d\xi \right) > \\ & > \lambda(1 - \lambda) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j^\lambda \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

be fulfilled, where α is given by (8). Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 4. *Let $c_j, d_j, \alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and*

$$p_j(i) \geq \frac{c_j}{i^2}, \quad \tau_j(i) \geq d_j i^{1+\alpha} \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 5. Let the conditions (7) be fulfilled and there exist nondecreasing functions $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{p} \in C(R_+; R_+)$ such that the conditions (11) are fulfilled, where $c_j, d_j \in (0, +\infty)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Let, moreover, for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the condition

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k^{1+(\alpha-1)(h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)+h_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda))} \int_k^{+\infty} \tilde{p}(s) \tilde{\tau}^{\lambda-h_{1\varepsilon}(\lambda)}(s) ds \right) > \lambda(1-\lambda) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j^\lambda \right)^{-1}$$

be fulfilled. Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 6. Let $c_j, d_j, \alpha \in (0, +\infty)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and

$$p_j(i) \geq \frac{c_j}{i^\beta}, \quad \tau_j(i) \geq d_j i^{1-\alpha} \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

where $\beta < 2 - \alpha$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then any proper solution of (1) is oscillatory.

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Cheng, T. Ch. Yan and H. J. Li, Oscillation criteria for second order difference equation. *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **34**(1991), No. 2, 223–239.
2. A. R. Grace and B. S. Lalli, Oscillation theorems for second order delay and neutral difference equations. *Utilitas Math.* **45**(1994), 197–211.
3. I. Györi and G. Ladas, Oscillation theory of delay differential equations. With Applications. *Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York*, 1991.
4. D. Hankerson and G. A. Harris, Oscillation of first and second order delay difference equations. *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **4**(1995), No. 2, 251–262.
5. E. Hille, Non-oscillation theorems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64**(1948), 234–252.
6. R. Koplatadze, G. Kvinikadze and I. P. Stavroulakis, Oscillation of second-order linear difference equations with deviating arguments. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* **12**(2002), No. 1, 217–226.

Authors' addresses:

R. Koplatadze
Department of Mathematics of
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
2, University St., Tbilisi 0143
Georgia

G. Kvinikadze
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
1, M. Aleksidze St., Tbilisi 0193
Georgia