## A. B. KHARAZISHVILI

# ERGODIC MEASURES AND THE DEFINABILITY OF SUBGROUPS VIA NORMAL EXTENSIONS OF SUCH MEASURES

It is shown that any subgroup H of an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact topological group  $\Gamma$  is completely determined by a certain family of left H-invariant extensions of the left Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $\Gamma$ . An abstract analogue of this fact is also established for a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite ergodic measure given on an uncountable commutative group.

In this paper we consider an abstract space E equipped with a transformation group  $\Gamma$  and also endowed with some  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  which is invariant with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Various subgroups of  $\Gamma$  will be described in terms of corresponding invariant extensions of  $\mu$ .

In the sequel, we use the following fairly standard notation.

 $\omega$  = the least infinite cardinality (equivalently, the cardinality of the set **N** of all natural numbers).

 $\mathbf{Z}$  = the set of all integers.

 $\mathbf{R}$  = the set of all real numbers.

 $X \triangle Y$  = the symmetric difference of two sets X and Y.

dom(f) = the domain of a given function f.

Let *E* be a base (ground) set. A measure  $\mu$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of *E* is called diffused (or continuous) if, for each  $x \in E$ , we have  $\{x\} \in \text{dom}(\mu)$  and  $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ .  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \text{the } \sigma\text{-ideal generated by all } \mu\text{-measure zero subsets of } E$ .

 $\mu^*$  and  $\mu_*$  denote, respectively, the outer and inner measures associated with a given measure  $\mu.$ 

A set  $X \subset E$  is called  $\mu$ -thick in E if  $\mu_*(E \setminus X) = 0$ . Clearly, for a probability measure  $\mu$  on E, the  $\mu$ -thickness of  $X \subset E$  is equivalent to  $\mu^*(X) = 1$ .

All measures considered below are assumed to be complete (without essential loss of generality).

Recall that a cardinal number **a** is two-valued measurable if there exists a two-valued diffused probability measure whose domain coincides with the family of all subsets of **a**. As is well known (see, for instance, [15], [16]), two-valued measurable cardinals are very large and their existence cannot be derived from the axioms of contemporary set theory. In other words, the assumption that there are no two-valued measurable cardinals does not contradict the axioms of set theory. Detailed information on these cardinals and other type of large cardinals can be found in [6], [8], [14], [15].

Let E be a ground set endowed with some group  $\Gamma$  of its transformations.

A measure  $\mu$  on E is called  $\Gamma$ -invariant if dom $(\mu)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E and  $\mu(g(A)) = \mu(A)$  for all transformations  $g \in \Gamma$  and all sets  $A \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ .

A measure  $\mu$  on E is called  $\Gamma$ -quasi-invariant if dom $(\mu)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E and, for any  $g \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\mu(g(X)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(X) = 0 \ (X \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu)).$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28A20, 28D05, 22B99.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Locally compact topological group, Haar measure, invariant extension of measure, ergodicity, commutative group.

A set  $B \subset E$  is called almost  $\Gamma$ -invariant (with respect to  $\mu$ ) if

$$(\forall g \in \Gamma)(\mu(B \triangle g(B)) = 0).$$

In our further considerations, we will use the following auxiliary proposition on almost invariant subsets of E.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -invariant (respectively,  $\Gamma$ -quasi-invariant) measure on E and let B be a  $\mu$ -thick almost  $\Gamma$ -invariant subset of E. Then there exists a  $\Gamma$ invariant (respectively,  $\Gamma$ -quasi-invariant) measure  $\mu'$  on E which extends  $\mu$  and whose support is B, i.e.,  $\mu'(E \setminus B) = 0$ .

For the proof of this lemma, see e.g. [9], [12] or [18].

Let  $(E, \Gamma)$  be a space with a transformation group, let  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -invariant ( $\Gamma$ quasi-invariant) measure on E, and let G be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

We say that  $\mu$  is G-ergodic (or G-metrically transitive) if, for any set  $A \in \text{dom}(\mu)$ with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , there exists a countable family  $\{g_i : i \in I\} \subset G$  such that

$$\mu(E \setminus \bigcup \{g_i(A) : i \in I\}) = 0.$$

Ergodic measures can frequently be met in various topics of mathematical analysis and probability theory (see e.g. [1], [4], [5], [16], [17]). The ergodicity also plays an essential role for the uniqueness of invariant measures on their domains. More precisely, suppose that  $G \subset \Gamma$  is an uncountable group acting freely in E and  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Ginvariant G-ergodic complete measure on E. Then, for every  $\sigma$ -finite G-invariant measure  $\nu$  with dom( $\nu$ ) = dom( $\mu$ ), there exists a non-negative coefficient  $t = t(\nu) \in \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\nu = t(\nu) \cdot \mu$ . The proof of this fact can be found in [9].

If we are given a space  $(E, \Gamma)$  with a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -invariant measure  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$  and if H is any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then the same  $\mu$  may be regarded as an H-invariant measure. So we can speak of H-invariant extensions of  $\mu$ . Let us denote by  $M(H, \mu)$  the class of all those H-invariant measures on E which extend  $\mu$ . It is clear that, for any two subgroups  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $\Gamma$ , the following implication holds:

$$H_1 \subset H_2 \Rightarrow M(H_2, \mu) \subset M(H_1, \mu).$$

In this paper we will be concerned with the question of whether the converse implication is also true. First, we wish to discuss the situation when a non-discrete  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact topological group  $\Gamma$  is given.

Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be a non-discrete  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group. Then, as is widely known,  $\Gamma$  can be equipped with a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite left  $\Gamma$ -invariant Borel measure  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$ , which is called the left Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ . This measure is  $\Gamma$ -ergodic and is unique with exactness to a constant non-negative coefficient (see, for instance, [1], [4], [5]).

**Remark 1.** It directly follows from the Baire theorem on category that the nondiscreteness of a  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group  $\Gamma$  is equivalent to its uncountability.

**Remark 2.** Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group and let G be an everywhere dense subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then the left Haar measure  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$  is G-ergodic. Conversely, if the same  $\mu$  is G-ergodic for some subgroup G of  $\Gamma$ , then G is everywhere dense in  $\Gamma$  (cf. [10]).

**Remark 3.** Let *E* be an infinite-dimensional (equivalently, non-locally compact) topological vector space. In general, there exists no nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on *E* quasi-invariant with respect to the group of all translations of *E* (see e.g. [1], [2] and, especially, [19] where the case of an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space is considered in detail).

### A. B. KHARAZISHVILI

Dealing with the left Haar measure  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$  on an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group  $\Gamma$ , one may pose the question about the existence of proper left  $\Gamma$ -invariant extensions of  $\mu$  (of course, here we mean only those extensions of  $\mu$  which themselves are measures). As was demonstrated by several authors, there are many such extensions (see, for instance, [3], [5], [7], [9], [13], [18]). Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  is an uncountable Polish locally compact group, then there exist even nonseparable left  $\Gamma$ -invariant extensions of  $\mu$  (see [3], [5], [7], [13]).

On the other hand, as was already mentioned, if H is an arbitrary subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mu$  being left  $\Gamma$ -invariant, is automatically left H-invariant. So it makes sense to speak of left H-invariant extensions of  $\mu$ . Among all such extensions, we are especially interested in those ones which are closely connected with  $\mu$ .

We shall say that a left *H*-invariant extension  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  is a normal extension of  $\mu$  if, for any set  $X \in \text{dom}(\nu)$ , there exists a set  $Y \in \text{dom}(\mu)$  such that  $\nu(X \triangle Y) = 0$ .

In other words, left invariant normal extensions of  $\mu$  do not change the metrical structure of  $\mu$ .

Let us introduce the notation:

 $M_0(H,\mu)$  = the family of all left *H*-invariant normal extensions of  $\mu$ .

Notice that if H is an everywhere dense subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then all measures from  $M_0(H,\mu)$  are H-ergodic (cf. Remark 2).

Our first goal is to show that, for any two subgroups H and H' of  $\Gamma$ , the inclusion  $M_0(H',\mu) \subset M_0(H,\mu)$  implies the inclusion  $H \subset H'$ .

As a byproduct, we obtain that the equality  $M_0(H', \mu) = M_0(H, \mu)$  implies the equality H = H'. Thus, we conclude that even minimal invariant extensions of  $\mu$  allow to distinguish between the subgroups of  $\Gamma$ .

In order to demonstrate this fact, we need several auxiliary propositions.

**Lemma 2.** If  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  is an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group, then  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) = 2^{w(\Gamma)}$ , where  $w(\Gamma)$  denotes the topological weight of  $\Gamma$ .

The above lemma is one of the most important results in the classical theory of topological groups (see [3]). It readily implies the equality

$$\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$  denotes, as usual, the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Gamma$ . In its turn, this equality allows to carry out some Bernstein type transfinite construction for  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group,  $\mu$  be the left Haar measure on  $\Gamma$ , and let G be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  represented in the form

$$G = \cup \{G_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\},\$$

where  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal number of cardinality card( $\Gamma$ ). Suppose also that the following relations are satisfied:

(1) {G<sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < α} is an increasing α-sequence of subgroups of Γ;</li>
(2) card(G<sub>ξ</sub>) ≤ card(ξ) + ω for each ordinal ξ < α. Then there exists an α-sequence of points {x<sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < α} ⊂ Γ such that:</li>
(a) the set {x<sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < α} is μ-thick in Γ;</li>
(b) (G<sub>ξ</sub> · x<sub>ξ</sub>) ∩ (G<sub>ζ</sub> · x<sub>ζ</sub>) = Ø for any two distinct ordinals ξ < α and ζ < α. Therefore, the set X = ∪{G<sub>ξ</sub> · x<sub>ξ</sub> : ξ < α} is μ-thick in Γ and</li>

$$(\forall g \in G)(\operatorname{card}((g \cdot X) \triangle X) < \operatorname{card}(\Gamma))$$

As mentioned above, the proof of Lemma 3 is based on the standard argument that is usually utilized in various Bernstein type constructions. So we omit this proof here (cf. [5], [7], [9], [11], [12], [18]).

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group, G be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and let X be as in Lemma 3. Then there exists a left G-invariant normal extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  such that  $\mu'(\Gamma \setminus X) = 0$ .

The proof of Lemma 4 is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemmas 2-4 enable us to establish the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group and let H and H' be any two subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that  $M_0(H', \mu) \subset M_0(H, \mu)$ . Then we have  $H \subset H'$ .

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there exists an element  $h \in H \setminus H'$ . Denote by G the group generated by  $\{h\} \cup H'$ . We are going to apply Lemma 3 to G. For this purpose, take

$$\{G_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}, \ \{x_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}, \ X = \cup \{G_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}$$

as in Lemma 3 and denote

$$H'_{\xi} = G_{\xi} \cap H' \ (\xi < \alpha).$$

Obviously, the  $\alpha$ -sequence of groups  $\{H'_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\}$  is increasing by inclusion and

$$H' = \bigcup \{ H'_{\mathcal{E}} : \xi < \alpha \}.$$

Further, since  $h \in G$ , there exists an ordinal  $\xi_0$  such that  $h \in G_{\xi}$  for all ordinals  $\xi \in [\xi_0, \alpha[$ . Consider the set

$$Y = \bigcup \{ H'_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha \}.$$

According to Lemma 3, the set Y is  $\mu\text{-thick}$  in  $\Gamma$  and

$$(\forall g \in H')(\operatorname{card}((g \cdot Y) \triangle Y) < \operatorname{card}(\Gamma)).$$

In view of Lemma 4, there exists a left H'-invariant normal extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  such that

$$\mu'(\Gamma \setminus Y) = 0.$$

Now, by taking into account the relations

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot G_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi} &= G_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi} \ (\xi_0 < \xi < \alpha), \\ (h \cdot H'_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi}) \cap (H'_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi}) &= \emptyset \ (\xi < \alpha), \\ (G_{\xi} \cdot x_{\xi}) \cap (G_{\zeta} \cdot x_{\zeta}) &= \emptyset \ (\xi < \alpha, \ \zeta < \alpha, \ \xi \neq \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

it is not difficult to verify that

$$\operatorname{card}((h \cdot Y) \cap Y) < \operatorname{card}(\Gamma),$$

whence it follows that  $\mu'$  cannot be left *H*-invariant (moreover, the same argument yields that  $\mu'$  cannot be even left *H*-quasi-invariant). We thus obtain that  $\mu'$  belongs to the class  $M_0(H',\mu)$  but does not belong to the class  $M_0(H,\mu)$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $M_0(H',\mu) \subset M_0(H,\mu)$ . The obtained contradiction completes the proof.

The following statement is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be an uncountable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact group and let Hand H' be two subgroups of G such that  $M_0(H', \mu) = M_0(H, \mu)$ . Then we have H = H'.

In other words, Theorem 2 says that every subgroup H of  $\Gamma$  is completely determined by the corresponding class  $M(H,\mu)$  of all left H-invariant normal extensions of  $\mu$ .

Notice now that the considerations leading to the proof of Theorem 1 are substantially based on some topological properties of the Haar measure. Our second goal in this paper is to obtain a certain abstract analogue of Theorem 1 for the case of an uncountable commutative group ( $\Gamma$ , +) equipped with a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\Gamma$ -ergodic measure  $\mu = \mu_{\Gamma}$ . We will establish this analogue under some natural set-theoretical assumptions on  $\Gamma$ .

In the sequel, we will assume that  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$  is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$  cannot be represented as a countable sum of cardinal numbers all of which are strictly less than  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$ . So we may suppose that

$$\{A \subset \Gamma : \operatorname{card}(A) < \operatorname{card}(\Gamma)\} \subset \mathcal{I}(\mu).$$

Let H and H' be two subgroups of  $(\Gamma, +)$  such that  $\mu$  is H-ergodic and H'-ergodic simultaneously. Suppose that there exists at least one element  $h \in H \setminus H'$ . We may represent the given group  $\Gamma$  in the form of a transfinite sequence

$$\Gamma = \{g_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\},\$$

where  $\alpha$  is the least ordinal number with  $\operatorname{card}(\alpha) = \operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$ . We may also assume (without loss of generality) that  $g_0 = h$ . Further, let us denote:

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{\xi}^{*} = \text{the group generated by } \{g_{\zeta}: \zeta \leq \xi\}; \\ &\Gamma_{\xi} = \text{the group generated by } \{g_{\zeta}: \zeta < \xi\}; \\ &H_{\xi} = \Gamma_{\xi} \cap H \text{ for any } \xi < \alpha; \\ &H_{\xi}' = \Gamma_{\xi} \cap H' \text{ for any } \xi < \alpha; \\ &T_{\xi} = \Gamma_{\xi}^{*} \setminus \Gamma_{\xi} \text{ for any } \xi < \alpha. \\ &\text{Then we obviously have the following relations:} \\ &(a) \ \Gamma = \{0\} \cup (\cup \{T_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}); \\ &(b) \text{ for each } \xi < \alpha, \text{ the set } T_{\xi} \text{ is } \Gamma_{\xi}\text{-invariant}; \\ &(c) \text{ for every set } \Xi \subset [0, \alpha[, \text{ the set } \cup \{T_{\xi}: \xi \in \Xi\} \text{ is almost } \Gamma\text{-invariant with respect to } \mu; \\ &(d) \ H = \cup \{H_{\xi}: \xi < \alpha\}; \end{split}$$

(e)  $H' = \bigcup \{ H'_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha \}.$ 

For every  $\xi < \alpha$ , denote by  $F_{\xi}$  the group generated by  $\{h\} \cup H'_{\xi}$ .

Obviously, if  $1 \leq \xi < \alpha$ , then  $H'_{\xi} \subset F_{\xi} \subset \Gamma_{\xi}$ .

Also, it can easily be seen the validity of the next auxiliary proposition.

**Lemma 5.** For any nonzero ordinal number  $\xi < \alpha$ , the factor-group  $F_{\xi}/H'_{\xi}$  is at most countable.

**Proof.** Indeed, fix a nonzero ordinal  $\xi < \alpha$ . In view of the commutativity of  $\Gamma$ , we may write

$$F_{\xi} = \{mh + h' : m \in \mathbf{Z}, h' \in H'_{\xi}\} = \mathbf{Z}h + H'_{\xi},$$

whence the assertion of the lemma trivially follows.

**Lemma 6.** If card( $\Gamma$ ) is not a two-valued measurable cardinal number, then there exists a subset  $\Xi_0$  of  $[0, \alpha]$  such that the set

$$X(\Xi_0) = \bigcup \{ T_{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi_0 \}$$

is not  $\mu$ -measurable. Moreover,  $X(\Xi_0)$  turns out to be a  $\mu$ -thick set in  $\Gamma$  and its complement  $\Gamma \setminus X(\Xi_0)$  is  $\mu$ -thick, too.

**Proof.** The argument is similar to that of [18]. Suppose to the contrary that all the sets  $X(\Xi) = \bigcup \{T_{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi\}$ , where  $\Xi \subset [0, \alpha]$ , are  $\mu$ -measurable. Denote by  $\nu$  a probability measure which is equivalent to  $\mu$  and introduce the functional  $\nu'$  as follows:

$$\nu'(\Xi) = \nu(\bigcup\{T_{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi\}) \ (\Xi \subset [0, \alpha])$$

Keeping in mind that  $\mu$  is  $\Gamma$ -ergodic, it can readily be shown that  $\nu'$  is a two-valued diffused probability measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of  $[0, \alpha[$ , which is impossible in view of the equality  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{card}(\alpha)$  and the assumption on  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$ . Consequently, there exists  $\Xi_0 \subset [0, \alpha[$  for which  $X(\Xi_0)$  is not  $\mu$ -measurable. But the same  $X(\Xi_0)$  is almost  $\Gamma$ -invariant with respect to  $\mu$ . Utilizing once again the  $\Gamma$ -ergodicity of  $\mu$ , we obtain that

$$\mu_*(X(\Xi_0)) = \mu_*(\Gamma \setminus X(\Xi_0)) = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Let  $\Xi_0 \subset [0, \alpha]$  be as in Lemma 6 and let  $X(\Xi_0)$  be the corresponding  $\mu$ -nonmeasurable set. We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $0 \notin \Xi_0$ . For each  $\xi \in \Xi_0$ , consider the set  $T_{\xi}$ . From the definition of  $T_{\xi}$  it directly follows that this set is  $F_{\xi}$ -invariant, so can be written as

$$T_{\xi} = \bigcup \{ T_{\xi,j} : j \in J(\xi) \},$$

where all  $T_{\xi,j}$  are some pairwise disjoint  $F_{\xi}$ -orbits. Furthermore, each  $F_{\xi}$ -orbit is a countable union of pairwise disjoint  $H'_{\xi}$ -orbits. We thus may write

$$T_{\xi,j} = \bigcup \{ T_{\xi,j,k} : k < \omega \},$$

where all  $T_{\xi,j,k}$   $(k < \omega)$  are pairwise disjoint  $H'_{\xi}$ -orbits. Now, since the set

$$X(\Xi_0) = \bigcup \{ T_{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi_0 \} = \bigcup \{ T_{\xi, j, k} : k < \omega, j \in J(\xi), \xi \in \Xi_0 \}$$

is nonmeasurable with respect to  $\mu$ , there exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that the set

$$Y(\Xi_0, k_0) = \cup \{T_{\xi, j, k_0} : j \in J(\xi), \ \xi \in \Xi_0\}$$

is also nonmeasurable with respect to  $\mu$ . In addition,  $Y(\Xi_0, k_0)$  is almost H'-invariant. Since  $\mu$  is H'-ergodic, we conclude that  $Y(\Xi_0, k_0)$  and its complement  $\Gamma \setminus Y(\Xi_0, k_0)$  are  $\mu$ -thick subsets of  $\Gamma$ .

Summarizing all the said above and keeping in mind Lemma 1, we obtain the next proposition.

**Lemma 7.** There exists an H'-invariant normal extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  such that

$$\mu'(\Gamma \setminus Y(\Xi_0, k_0)) = 0.$$

Since  $\mu$  is H'-ergodic, the measure  $\mu'$  is H'-ergodic too.

We now are able to prove the following statement (preserving the notation used above).

**Theorem 3.** Let H and H' be two subgroups of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mu$  is H-ergodic and H'ergodic simultaneously. Then the inclusion  $M_0(H', \mu) \subset M_0(H, \mu)$  implies the inclusion  $H \subset H'$ .

Consequently, the equality  $M_0(H',\mu) = M_0(H,\mu)$  implies the equality H = H'.

**Proof.** Indeed, suppose otherwise, i.e., the inclusion  $M_0(H', \mu) \subset M_0(H, \mu)$  holds true but H is not contained in H'. Then we may choose some element  $h \in H \setminus H'$ . For this element h, the construction made earlier yields the H'-invariant normal extension  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  concentrated on the  $\mu'$ -measurable set  $Y(\Xi_0, k_0)$  (see Lemma 7). So  $\mu'$  belongs to the class  $M_0(H', \mu)$ . We know the structure of  $Y(\Xi_0, k_0)$ , namely, this set admits a representation

$$Y(\Xi_0, k_0) = \cup \{T_{\xi, j, k_0} : j \in J(\xi), \ \xi \in \Xi_0\},\$$

where all  $T_{\xi,j,k_0}$  are some  $H'_{\xi}$ -orbits. Notice now that

$$T_{\xi} \cap T_{\zeta} = \emptyset \ (\xi < \alpha, \ \zeta < \alpha, \ \xi \neq \zeta).$$
$$(h + T_{\xi,j,k_0}) \cap T_{\xi,j,k_0} = \emptyset \ (j \in J(\xi)),$$

$$(h + T_{\xi,j,k_0}) \cap T_{\xi,i,k_0} = \emptyset \ (j \in J(\xi), i \in J(\xi), i \neq j).$$

We thus conclude that

$$(h+Y(\Xi_0,k_0))\cap Y(\Xi_0,k_0)=\emptyset,$$

#### A. B. KHARAZISHVILI

whence it follows that  $\mu'$  is not *H*-invariant, so  $\mu'$  does not belong to the class  $M_0(H, \mu)$ . The obtained contradiction finishes the proof.

**Remark 4.** Theorem 3 can be directly generalized to the case where an abstract set E is equipped with an uncountable commutative transformation group  $\Gamma$  acting freely in E, and E is also endowed with a nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\Gamma$ -ergodic measure  $\mu$ . The proof of this generalized version of Theorem 3 substantially remains the same as above.

**Remark 5.** It would be interesting to get some analogue of Theorem 3 for uncountable non-commutative transformation groups acting freely on an abstract set E.

## References

- 1. V. Bogachev, Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2007.
- 2. V.V. Buldygin, A.B. Kharazishvili, Geometric Aspects of Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- 3. W.W. Comfort, *Topological groups*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, edited by K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1984.
- 4. P.R. Halmos, Measure Theory, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
- 5. E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, v. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- 6. T. Jech, Set Theory, Academic Press, New York London, 1978.
- S. Kakutani, J.C. Oxtoby, Construction of a nonseparable invariant extension of the Lebesgue measure space, Ann. Math., v. 52, 1950, pp. 580-590.
- 8. A. Kanamori, The Higher Infinite, Springer-Verlag, Heifdelberg, 2003.
- 9. A.B. Kharazishvili, *Invariant Extensions of the Lebesgue Measure*, Tbilisi State University Press, Tbilisi, 1983 (in Russian).
- 10. A.B. Kharazishvili, *To the uniqueness property of the Haar measure*, Reports of Seminar of I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics TSU, v. 18, 1984 (in Russian).
- 11. A.B. Kharazishvili, Nonmeasurable Sets and Functions, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.
- A.B. Kharazishvili, *Topics in Measure Theory and Real Analysis*, Atlantis Press and World Scientific, Amsterdam-Paris, 2009.
- K. Kodaira, S. Kakutani, A nonseparable translation invariant extension of the Lebesgue measure space, Ann. Math., v. 52, 1950, pp. 574-579.
- 14. K. Kunen, Set Theory, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1980.
- 15. K. Kuratowski, A. Mostowski, Set Theory, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1967.
- 16. J.C. Oxtoby, Measure and Category, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- J.C. Oxtoby, S. Ulam, Measure-preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, Annals of Mathematics, (2), v. 42, 1941, pp. 874-920.
- Sh. Pkhakadze, *The theory of Lebesgue measure*, Trudy Tbilis. Mat. Inst. im. A. Razmadze Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR, v. 25, 1958, pp. 3-272 (in Russian).
- 19. A.V. Skorokhod, Integration in Hilbert space, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1974.

A. RAZMADZE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY STREET, 2, TBILISI 0186, GEORGIA E-mail address: kharaz2@yahoo.com