## On $T_2$ -Negligible $S_2$ -Absolutely Nonmeasurable Sets in the Euclidean Plane

Alexander Kharazishvili\*

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University 2 University St., 0186, Tbilisi, Georgia

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University 6 Tamarashvili St., 0177, Tbilisi, Georgia (Received May 04, 2020; Revised January 11, 2021; Accepted May 27, 2021)

It is proved that there exists a  $T_2$ -negligible set in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which simultaneously is  $S_2$ -absolutely nonmeasurable. This result answers one of the questions posed in [5].

Keywords: Central symmetry, Translation, Negligible set, Absolutely nonmeasurable set.

AMS Subject Classification: 28A05, 28D05.

Let (G, +) be a commutative group identified with the group of all its translations and let H be an uncountable subgroup of G. The following classes of subsets of Gwere studied in connection with some general questions of the theory of nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite H-invariant (H-quasi-invariant) measures on G:

(i) the class of all almost H-invariant subsets of G;

(ii) the class of all H-absolutely negligible sets in G;

(iii) the class of all H-negligible sets in G;

(iv) the class of all H-absolutely nonmeasurable sets in G.

For the precise definitions of these sets and more information about them and their properties, see [4], [5].

Notice that almost *H*-invariant subsets of *G* and *H*-absolutely negligible subsets of *G* turned out to be useful for constructing proper extensions of nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite *H*-invariant (*H*-quasi-invariant) measures on *G* (see [3], [4], [5], [8], and the references therein).

The following facts should also be mentioned:

(a) there exists a countable family  $\{X_i : i \in I\}$  of *G*-absolutely negligible sets in *G* such that  $G = \bigcup \{X_i : i \in I\}$ ;

(b) there exist three G-negligible sets X, Y, Z in G such that  $X \cup Y \cup Z = G$ ;

(c) there exist two G-negligible sets A and B in G such that  $A \cup B$  is a G-absolutely nonmeasurable set in G.

The proofs of these facts can be found in [3], [4], [5]. The most interesting cases occur when the role of H is played by one of the following two groups:

<sup>\*</sup> Email: kharaz2@yahoo.com

 $T_G$  = the group of all translations of G (which may be identified with the original group G);

 $S_G$  = the group, generated by all central symmetries of G.

Recall that a central symmetry of G is any transformation  $s_h$  of G having the form

$$s_h(g) = 2h - g \qquad (g \in G),$$

where h is a fixed element of G (the center of symmetry). If h = 0, then we have the central symmetry  $s_0$  of G whose center coincides with the neutral element 0 in G.

It is clear that the group  $S_G$  is generated by  $s_0$  and all translations of G. Besides, the equality

$$s_0 \circ g = (-g) \circ s_0$$

holds true for each element g of G.

**Remark 1:** Obviously, if for  $h \in G \setminus \{0\}$ , the relation 2h = 0 is valid, then  $s_h = s_0$ . It may happen that in an uncountable commutative group (G, +) the equality 2g = 0 is fulfilled for all elements g of G. In this case  $s_0$  coincides with the identity transformation of G, so  $T_G = S_G$ . The standard example of such a (G, +) is Cantor's discontinuum, i.e., the topological group  $(\{0, 1\}^{\omega}, +_2)$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the least infinite cardinal (ordinal) number and  $+_2$  denotes the addition operation modulo 2.

We have the following statement (see [3]).

**Theorem 1:** For every uncountable commutative group (G, +) and for any subset X of G, these two assertions are equivalent:

- (1) X is  $T_G$ -absolutely negligible in G;
- (2) X is  $S_G$ -absolutely negligible in G.

**Proof:** In fact, Theorem 1 is proved in [3]. Notice only that the argument presented in [3] is concerned with the case of the additive group  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the set of all real numbers. However, the same argument works in the general case of all uncountable commutative groups.

The equivalence of the assertions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1 fails to be true if we replace  $T_G$ -absolutely negligible subsets of G and  $S_G$ -absolutely negligible subsets of G, respectively, by  $T_G$ -negligible subsets of G and  $S_G$ -negligible subsets of G.

Consider the special case  $(G, +) = (\mathbf{R}^2, +)$ , where  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is the Euclidean plane. Let  $T_2$  be the group of all translations of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and let  $S_2$  be the group generated by all central symmetries of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . The following question was formulated in Chapter 14 of [5]:

Does there exist a  $T_2$ -negligible subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which, simultaneously, is  $S_2$ -absolutely nonmeasurable in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ?

The main goal of the present paper is to obtain the positive answer to this question not only for  $(G, +) = (\mathbf{R}^2, +)$ , but also for a more general class of uncountable commutative groups.

Below, the symbol  $\triangle$  stands for the operation of the symmetric difference of two sets.

As usual,  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the set (field) of all rational numbers and  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$  denotes the additive group of all integer numbers.

We need several auxiliary statements.

**Lemma 1:** If E is an uncountable vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then there exists a subset K of E satisfying these two conditions:

(1) K is almost translation invariant, i.e., for each  $e \in E$ , the set  $K \triangle (K + e)$  has cardinality strictly less than card(E);

(2)  $s_0(K) \cap K = \emptyset$  and  $s_0(K) \cup K = E \setminus \{0\}.$ 

**Proof:** Let  $\alpha$  denote the least ordinal number whose cardinality equals card(*E*) and let  $\{e_{\xi} : \xi < \alpha\}$  be a Hamel basis of *E*. For every nonzero vector  $e \in E$ , we have a unique representation of *e* in the form

$$e = q_1 e_{\xi_1} + q_2 e_{\xi_2} + \dots + q_m e_{\xi_m},$$

where m is a nonzero natural number,  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_m$  are some nonzero rational numbers, and  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m$  are some ordinal numbers such that

$$\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_m < \alpha$$

Denote  $q(e) = q_m$ , and put  $K = \{e \in E : q(e) > 0\}.$ 

A straightforward verification shows that K satisfies both conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 1, which ends the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2:** Sets analogous to the set K of Lemma 1 were considered by several authors (cf., for instance, [1], [7]). Note that, in the case  $E = \mathbf{R}^n$ , where  $n \ge 1$ , a Hamel basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  can be chosen to be a Bernstein subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . So both sets K and -K also turn out to be Bernstein subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Lemma 2:** If E is an uncountable vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then there exists a set Z in G such that:

(1)  $\cup \{e_i + Z : i \in I\} = E$  for some countable family  $\{e_i : i \in I\}$  of vectors from E;

(2) there exists an uncountable family  $\{f_j : j \in J\}$  of vectors from E such that, for any two distinct indices  $j \in J$  and  $k \in J$ , in E there are uncountably many pairwise disjoint translates of the set  $(f_j + Z) \cap (f_k + Z)$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 is given in [5]. It follows from (1) and (2) that the abovementioned set Z is  $T_E$ -absolutely nonmeasurable in E.

**Lemma 3:** Let E be an uncountable vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$  whose cardinality is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , let K be as in Lemma 1, and let Z be as in Lemma 2. Consider the set

$$X = K \cap Z.$$

Then the following two assertions are valid:

(1)  $\operatorname{card}(K \triangle (\cup \{e_i + X : i \in I\})) < \operatorname{card}(E)$  for some countable family  $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ of vectors from E;

(2) there exists an uncountable family  $\{f_j : j \in J\}$  of vectors from E such that, for any two distinct indices  $j \in J$  and  $k \in J$ , in E there are uncountably many pairwise disjoint translates of the set  $(f_j + X) \cap (f_k + X)$ .

**Proof:** Taking into account the almost  $T_E$ -invariance of K, assertion (1) of Lemma 3 easily follows from assertion (1) of Lemma 2.

Assertion (2) of Lemma 3 is implied by assertion (2) of Lemma 2, because the set X is contained in the set Z.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2:** The set Z of Lemma 3 is  $T_E$ -negligible and, simultaneously,  $S_E$ -absolutely nonmeasurable.

**Proof:** First of all, observe that the properties of K described in Lemma 1 imply that the  $T_E$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets generated by  $\{K\}$  is proper, i.e., differs from the family of all subsets of E (for example, the set -K does not belong to this  $\sigma$ -ideal).

Since  $X \subset K$ , the same is true for the  $T_E$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets generated by  $\{X\}$ . The latter circumstance implies that there exists a probability  $T_E$ -invariant measure  $\nu$  on E such that  $X \in \operatorname{dom}(\nu)$  and  $\nu(X) = 0$ .

If now  $\mu$  is an arbitrary  $\sigma$ -finite  $T_E$ -quasi-invariant measure on E, then from assertion (2) of Lemma 3 we have

$$X \in \operatorname{dom}(\mu) \Rightarrow \mu(X) = 0.$$

Consequently, X turns out to be a  $T_E$ -negligible subset of E.

Let  $\theta$  be an arbitrary nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $S_E$ -quasi-invariant measure on E and suppose for a moment that  $X \in \text{dom}(\theta)$ . Then condition (2) of Lemma 1 and assertion (1) of Lemma 3 imply that

$$E = \cup \{h_i(X) : i \in I\}$$

for a certain countable family  $\{h_i : i \in I\}$  of transformations of E, all of which belong to  $S_E$ . So, we must have  $\theta(X) > 0$ . On the other hand, assertion (2) of Lemma 3 implies that  $\theta(X)$  must be equal to zero. The obtained contradiction shows that X cannot belong to dom $(\theta)$  and, consequently, X is  $S_E$ -absolutely nonmeasurable in E.

Theorem 2 has thus been proved.

**Remark 3:** Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number. Consider the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a vector space E over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since the cardinality of the continuum is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , we may apply Theorem 2 to this E. Consequently, there exists a  $T_n$ -negligible set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which simultaneously is  $S_n$ -absolutely non-measurable (here  $T_n$  denotes the group of all translations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $S_n$  denotes the group generated by all central symmetries of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

In [5] the following statement was proved:

Let g be a rotation of the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  (about its origin), which differs from the identity transformation of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and differs from the central symmetry  $s_0$  of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then there exists a  $T_2$ -negligible subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which is  $\Gamma$ -absolutely nonmeasurable, where  $\Gamma$  stands for the group generated by  $T_2 \cup \{g\}$ .

Theorem 2 enables us to strengthen the above statement in the following form.

**Theorem 3:** Let g be a rotation of the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  (about its origin), distinct from the identity transformation of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Then there exists a  $T_2$ -negligible subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which is  $\Gamma$ -absolutely nonmeasurable, where  $\Gamma$  stands for the group generated by  $T_2 \cup \{g\}$ .

**Lemma 4:** Let (G, +) and (H, +) be two commutative groups and let  $\phi$  be a surjective homomorphism from G onto H.

If Y is an H-negligible subset of H, then  $\phi^{-1}(Y)$  is a G-negligible subset of G.

For a proof of Lemma 4, see [4] or [5].

Using Theorem 3 and Lemma 4, it is not difficult to obtain the next statement (cf. Chapter 14 of [5]).

**Theorem 4:** Let g be a rotation of the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (about its origin) distinct from the identity transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Then there exists a  $T_3$ -negligible subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is  $\Gamma$ -absolutely nonmeasurable, where  $\Gamma$  stands for the group, generated by  $T_3 \cup \{g\}$ .

**Remark 4:** The statement analogous to Theorem 2 can be proved for any commutative group (G, +), satisfying the following conditions:

(\*) the cardinality of G is not cofinal with  $\omega$ ;

 $(^{**})$  (G, +) is a direct sum of a family of groups, all of which are isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$ .

It would be interesting to characterize all those uncountable commutative groups (G, +) in which there exists at least one  $T_G$ -negligible  $S_G$ -absolutely nonmeasurable set (cf. Remark 1). In this context, it makes sense to recall a profound result of Kulikov (see [2], [6]). According to Kulikov's theorem, any commutative group (G, +) admits a representation in the form

$$G = \bigcup \{G_m : m < \omega\},\$$

where  $\{G_m : m < \omega\}$  is an increasing (by inclusion) countable family of subgroups of G, all of which are direct sums of cyclic groups.

## A cknowledgements.

This work was partially supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia, Grant FR-18-6190.

## References

- [1] P. Erdös, S. Kakutani, On non-denumerable graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1943), 457-461
- [2] L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York-London, 1970
  [3] A.B. Kharazishvili, Invariant Extensions of the Lebesgue Measure (Russian), The Publishing House of Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, 1983
- [4] A.B. Kharazishvili, Transformation Groups and Invariant Measures, World Scientific Publ. Co., London-Singapore, 1998
- [5] A. Kharazishvili, Nonmeasurable Sets and Functions, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004
- [6] A.G. Kurosh, The Theory of Groups (Russian), Izd. Nauka, Moscow, 1967
- [7] W. Sierpiński, Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers, PWN, Warszawa, 1958
- P. Zakrzewski, Measures on algebraic-topological structures, in: Handbook of Measure Theory, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, (2002), 1091-1130