



Original article

One-dimensional Fourier series of a function of many variables[☆]

Omar Dzagnidze

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University 6, Tamarashvili Str., Tbilisi 0177, Georgia

Received 16 December 2016; received in revised form 2 March 2017; accepted 7 March 2017

Available online 1 April 2017

Abstract

It is well known that to each summable in the n -dimensional cube $[-\pi, \pi]^n$ function f of variables x_1, \dots, x_n there corresponds one n -multiple trigonometric Fourier series $S[f]$ with constant coefficients.

In the present paper, with the function f we associate n one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1, \dots, S[f]_n$, with respect to variables x_1, \dots, x_n , respectively, with nonconstant coefficients and announce the preliminary results. In particular, if a continuous function f is differentiable at some point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then all one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1, \dots, S[f]_n$ converge at x to the value $f(x)$.

For illustration we consider the well known example of Ch. Fefferman's function $F(x, y)$ whose double trigonometric Fourier series $S[F]$ diverges everywhere in the sense of Prinsheim. Namely, we establish the simultaneous convergence of the one-dimensional Fourier series $S[F]_1$ and $S[F]_2$ at almost all points $(x, y) \in [-\pi, \pi]^2$ to the values $F(x, y)$.

© 2017 Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: One-dimensional Fourier series; Nonconstant Fourier coefficients

1. Notions of one-dimensional fourier series of a function of many variables

Let some function f of variables x_1, \dots, x_n be defined and summable in the n -dimensional cube $[-\pi, \pi]^n$ and, in addition, be 2π -periodic with respect to each variable.

By Fubini's theorem we know that f is summable on $[-\pi, \pi]$ as a function of one variable x_1 for almost all $(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in [-\pi, \pi]^{n-1}$. We denote by E^1 the set of such (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) and by X^1 the point (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) , i.e. $X^1 = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, $X^1 \in E^1$.

Thus we have the function $f(x_1, X^1)$ which is summable with respect to the variable x_1 on $[-\pi, \pi]$ for each $X^1 \in E^1$.

[☆] The results of this paper were announced in the author's report on one-dimensional Fourier Series of Several Variable Functions, Book of Abstracts, VIIth International Joint Conference of the Georgian Mathematical Union and Georgian Mechanical Union Dedicated to the 125th Birthday Anniversary of Academician N. Muskhelishvili, September 5–9, 2016, Batumi, Georgia, p. 118.

E-mail address: odzagni@rmi.ge.

Peer review under responsibility of Journal Transactions of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute.

Let us consider a Fourier series corresponds to the function $f(x_1, X^1)$ with respect to the variable x_1 on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and we denote it by $S[f]_1$, i.e.

$$S[f]_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_0(X^1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(X^1) \cos kx_1 + b_k(X^1) \sin kx_1,$$

where the coefficients $a_0(X^1)$, $a_k(X^1)$ and $b_k(X^1)$ are defined by the Fourier formulas

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(X^1) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, X^1) dt, & a_k(X^1) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, X^1) \cos kt dt, \\ b_k(X^1) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, X^1) \sin kt dt, & k &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In these relations, anyone of the variables x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n may play the role of x_1 .

Therefore to each summable function f in the n -dimensional cube $[-\pi, \pi]^n$ there correspond one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1, \dots, S[f]_n$ with nonconstant coefficients.

In what follows we will discuss only the series $S[f]_1$.

2. Necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of a one-dimensional Fourier series of a function of many variables

Let us consider the partial sum of the one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1$

$$S_m(f; (x_1, X^1)) = \frac{1}{2}a_0(X^1) + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(X^1) \cos kx_1 + b_k(X^1) \sin kx_1,$$

which, after substituting in it the coefficients (1), takes the form

$$S_m(f; (x_1, X^1)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, X^1) D_m(t - x_1) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x_1 + y_1, X^1) D_m(y_1) dy_1,$$

where D_m is the Dirichlet kernel, i.e.

$$D_m(t) = \frac{\sin(m + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} \quad \text{for } t \neq 2k\pi$$

and

$$D_m(2k\pi) = m + \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Since the function f is summable with respect to the variable x_1 on $[-\pi, \pi]$ for any $X^1 \in E^1$, the well known necessary and sufficient condition for the Fourier series $S[\varphi]$ of a function $\varphi \in L[-\pi, \pi]$ to be convergent at some point $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$ to the value $\varphi(t)$ (see [1], Ch. I, §37, equality (37.5); [2], p.55)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\delta} [\varphi(t+u) + \varphi(t-u) - 2\varphi(t)] \frac{\sin mu}{u} du = 0 \quad (2)$$

takes in our case the form

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\delta} [f(x_1 + y_1, X^1) + f(x_1 - y_1, X^1) - 2f(x_1, X^1)] \frac{\sin my_1}{y_1} dy_1 = 0, \quad X^1 \in E^1.$$

Hence we can formulate

Proposition 2.1. *For a one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1$ to converge at a point (x_1, X^1) to the value $f(x_1, X^1)$ for some $x_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $X^1 \in E^1$ it is necessary and sufficient that the equality*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\delta} \frac{f(x_1 + y_1, X^1) + f(x_1 - y_1, X^1) - 2f(x_1, X^1)}{y_1} \sin my_1 dy_1 = 0 \quad (3)$$

be fulfilled.

3. Sufficient conditions for the convergence of a one-dimensional Fourier series of a function of many variables

As far back as 1853 B. Riemann considered the problem of representation of functions by trigonometric series. In connection with this problem Riemann introduced into consideration a function, say, φ with the property ([3], p. 245; [1], Ch. I, §66)

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x_0 + h) + \varphi(x_0 - h) - 2\varphi(x_0)}{h} = 0 \tag{4}$$

at a point x_0 .

Later, A. Zygmund called the function φ having the property (4) a smooth function at the point x_0 ([4]; [2], p. 43).

It is obvious that a smooth function φ at a point x_0 has the property $\varphi(x_0 + h) + \varphi(x_0 - h) - 2\varphi(x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ which is called the symmetry of the function φ at x_0 .

It is the well-established fact that almost all points of symmetry of any function is the point of its continuity ([5], p. 266) and the converse statement is obvious.

Therefore almost all points of smoothness of any function is the point of its continuity. In addition, a smooth function at separate points may be discontinuous, for example, a discontinuous odd function.

It should be said that if the function φ has the finite derivative $\varphi'(x_0)$ at some point x_0 , then φ is smooth at x_0 ([3], p. 43; [1], Ch.I, §66), but the converse statement is not true ([2], p. 48).

Note that if a 2π -periodic and summable function on $[-\pi, \pi]$ is smooth at some point x_0 , in particular if φ has the finite derivative $\varphi'(x_0)$, then the Fourier series $S[\varphi]$ of the function φ converges at the point x_0 to the value $\varphi(x_0)$ (see the equality (2)).

Following Riemann, we introduce the following notion of smoothness of a function of many variables (the case $n = 2$ is considered in [6]).

Definition 3.1. A function f of n variables x_1, \dots, x_n is called smooth at a point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ if the equality

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + h) + f(x - h) - 2f(x)}{|h|} = 0 \tag{5}$$

is fulfilled, where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ and $|h| = |h_1| + \dots + |h_n|$.

Proposition 3.2. If a function f is differentiable at some point x , then f is smooth at x .

Indeed, that this is so follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(x_1 + h_1, \dots, x_n + h_n) + f(x_1 - h_1, \dots, x_n - h_n) - 2f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|} \\ &= \frac{f(x_1 + h_1, \dots, x_n + h_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - A_1(h_1) - \dots - A_n(h_n)}{|h_1| + \dots + |h_n|} \\ &+ \frac{f(x_1 - h_1, \dots, x_n - h_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - A_1(-h_1) - \dots - A_n(-h_n)}{|-h_1| + \dots + |-h_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

The converse to Proposition 3.2 is not true (for the case $n = 2$ see [6]).

Proposition 3.3. If a function f is smooth at a point x , then it is smooth at x with respect to each variable x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

To verify that this is so it suffices to put (5) $h_i = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Proposition 3.4. If a function f has at a point x the finite partial derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ with respect to the variable x_j , then f is smooth at x with respect to the same variable x_j .

That this is so follows from the corresponding statement for functions of one variable.

Proposition 3.5. If a function f is smooth with respect to the variable x_1 at the point (x_1, X^1) for some $x_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and $X^1 \in E^1$, then the Fourier series $S[f]_1$ converges at (x_1, X^1) to the value $f(x_1, X^1)$.

This assertion follows from the equality (3).

Propositions 3.3 and 3.5 give rise to

Theorem 3.6. *If a continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]^n$ function f is smooth at a point x , in particular if f is differentiable at x , then all one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_1, \dots, S[f]_n$ converge at the point x to one and the same value $f(x)$.*

Indeed, the function f as a function of the variable x_j is summable on $[-\pi, \pi]$ for any point $X^j = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$ from $[-\pi, \pi]^{n-1}$. By virtue of Propositions 3.3 and 3.5, the one-dimensional Fourier series $S[f]_j$ converges at the point $(x_j, X^j) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to the value $f(x_j, X^j) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

4. Almost everywhere convergence of one-dimensional Fourier series $S[F]_1$ and $S[F]_2$ for Ch. Fefferman's function F

It is well known that there exists an everywhere continuous function $F(x, y)$ of two variables and a 2π -periodic with respect to x and y double trigonometric Fourier series $S[F]$ which diverges everywhere in the Prinsheim sense [7].

The function $F(x, y)$ as function of the variable $x_1 \in [-\pi, \pi]$ belongs to the class $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ for each $y \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Therefore by L. Carleson's theorem [8] we have

Proposition 4.1. *A one-dimensional Fourier series $S[F]_1$ converges to values $F(x, y)$ for almost all $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and all $y \in [-\pi, \pi]$.*

Analogously, the following assertion is true.

Proposition 4.2. *The one-dimensional Fourier series $S[F]_2$ converges to the values $F(x, y)$ for all $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and almost all $y \in [-\pi, \pi]$.*

Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 give rise to

Theorem 4.3. *The one-dimensional Fourier series $S[F]_1$ and $S[F]_2$ simultaneously converges to the values $F(x, y)$ for almost all $(x, y) \in [-\pi, \pi]^2$.*

Finally, Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 can be made stronger as follows.

Theorem 4.4. *For any function $f \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]^2$ there exist measurable sets E_1, E_2 and E_3 from the square $[-\pi, \pi]^2$ with the properties $|E_1| = |E_2| = |E_3| = 4\pi^2$, at whose points the following equalities are fulfilled:*

$$S[f]_1(x, y) = f(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in E_1,$$

$$S[f]_2(x, y) = f(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in E_2,$$

$$S[f]_1(x, y) = f(x, y) = S[f]_2(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in E_3.$$

References

- [1] N.K. Bari, A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, vol. 1, A Pergamon Press Book The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964. Authorized translation by Margaret F. Mullins.
- [2] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, vol. 1, second ed., Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.
- [3] G.F.B. Riemann, Collected Works, OGIZ, Gos. Izd. Tekhniko-Teoretich. Literaturi, Moscow-Leningrad, 1948 (in Russian).
- [4] A. Zygmund, Smooth functions, Duke Math. J. 12 (1945) 47–76.
- [5] E.M. Stein, A. Zygmund, On the differentiability of functions, Studia Math. 22 (3) (1964) 247–283.
- [6] O. Dzagnidze, The smoothness of functions of two variables and double trigonometric series, Real Anal. Exchange 34 (2) (2008/2009) 451–470.
- [7] Ch. Fefferman, On the divergence of multiple Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971) 191–195.
- [8] L. Carleson, On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, Acta Math. 116 (1966) 135–157.