

I. Bukhnikashvili

On an Approximate Solution of the Matric Equation with a Matrix Spectrum on Two Segments

Presented by Member of the Academy I. Kiguradze, September 5, 2002

ABSTRACT. The iterative method of finding an approximate solution for the linear matrix equation with a symmetric matrix A of nonfixed sign is suggested. The method does not provide us with the generally accepted transfer to the matrix A^2 , but allows one to apply to the corresponding iterative scheme the single and k -multiple zeros of specially chosen polynomial which is constructed on two spectral segments of the matrix located on the negative and positive numerical semiaxes. The above-mentioned method makes it possible to attain significant acceleration of iterative convergence to the exact solution.

Key words: modulus-maximum, k -multiple zero, iteration, cycle, polynomial, matrix.

Consider the equation

$$A\varphi = f \tag{1}$$

with the symmetric matrix A whose eigennumbers $\{\lambda_s\}$ are located on the segments

$$[-N, -m] \cup [m, M], \quad M > N > m > 0. \tag{2}$$

To solve equation (1) approximately, according to the general method of V. Lebedev [1] we have to pass from segments (2) to the adjusted in length segments

$$[-M, -m] \cup [m, M] \tag{3}$$

and then, having constructed on segments (3) the normalized Chebyshev second degree polynomial [2]

$$T_2(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{M^2 + m^2} x^2, \tag{4}$$

to apply zeros γ_1 and γ_2 of polynomial (4) to the cyclic iterative scheme [1]

$$\varphi_s = \varphi_{s-1} - \frac{1}{\gamma_s} (A\varphi_{s-1} - f), \quad \varphi_0 = 0 \tag{5}$$

for the conditions $\gamma_{2-s} = \gamma_s$ ($s=1, 2$). The scheme described above is equivalent both to the passage from equation (1) to the equation $A^2\varphi = Af$ and to the iteration of the obtained equation. Moreover, if we take into account that

$$\max |T_2(x)| = \frac{M^2 - m^2}{M^2 + m^2}, \tag{6}$$

then after n cycles we obtain for the error φ_{2n} of approximation to the exact solution φ [1] the following estimate:

$$\|\varphi_{2n} - \varphi\| \leq \frac{\|f\|}{m} \max |T_2^n(x)| = \frac{\|f\|}{m} \left(\frac{M^2 - m^2}{M^2 + m^2} \right)^n \tag{7}$$

Remark. In formulas (6) and (7) as well as in those below, the modulus-maxima for the polynomial (4) is assumed to be taken on segments (3).

Suppose that in the iterative scheme those of the polynomial of the kind

$$P_{k+1}$$

It can be easily seen that if

$$a = -$$

and

then the correlations

$$P_{k+1}(-m) = P_{k+1}(m) = m$$

are valid.

Note that a number of iterative steps zeros (with regard for the multiplicity raised to the appropriate power. Taking the polynomials of type (4) and (8) polynomial (4) raised in power $k+1$ and with the squared polynomial (8): in b

Remark. In correlations (11) as the polynomial (8) is assumed to be According to the above-said, we **Theorem.** For all natural number

$$\max P_k$$

is valid.

Taking into account inequality (7) of polynomial (8) to those of polynomials required to perform a great number of instead of inequality (12) we shall

$$\max P_k$$

with a possible significant decrease of sufficiently large l . Alongside with the above apply in scheme (5) zeros of polynomial length increases from two to $k+1$ (a to two), and therefore when constructing large k .

The fifth and the sixth columns

Suppose that in the iterative scheme (5) we take instead of zeros of polynomial (4) those of the polynomial of the kind

$$P_{k+1}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{a}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{M}\right)^k \quad (8)$$

It can be easily seen that if

$$a = - \frac{m \left[1 + \left(\frac{M-m}{M+m} \right)^k \right]}{1 - \left(\frac{M-m}{M+m} \right)^k} \quad (9)$$

$$|a| > N, \quad (10)$$

$$P_{k+1}(-m) = P_{k+1}(m) = \max |P_{k+1}(x)| = \frac{2 \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{M^2} \right)^k}{\left(1 + \frac{m}{M} \right)^{k+1} + \left(1 - \frac{m}{M} \right)^k} \quad (11)$$

are valid.

Note that a number of iterative steps made by the scheme (5) is equal to a number of zeros (with regard for the multiplicity of each of zeros) of the corresponding polynomial raised to the appropriate power. Taking all the above said into consideration and writing the polynomials of type (4) and (8) equal to the power orders, we first consider the polynomial (4) raised in power $k+1$ and then compare it by the value of modulus-maxima with the squared polynomial (8); in both cases we obtain the polynomial of order $2(k+1)$.

Remark. In correlations (11) as well as in what follows, the modulus-maximum of the polynomial (8) is assumed to be taken on segments (2).

According to the above-said, we can prove the following

Theorem. For all natural numbers $k > 1$, if condition (10) is fulfilled, the inequality

$$\max P_{k+1}^2(x) < \max |T_2^{k+1}(x)| \quad (12)$$

is valid.

Taking into account inequality (7), inequality (12) gives all grounds to prefer zeros of polynomial (8) to those of polynomial (4) in the iterative scheme (5) in case we are required to perform a great number of cycles equal, for instance, to lk . In the latter case instead of inequality (12) we shall have the inequality

$$\max P_{k+1}^{2l}(x) < \max |T_2^{k+1}(x)|^l$$

with a possible significant decrease of the corresponding modulus-maxima for sufficiently large l . Alongside with the above-said, it should be taken into account that if we apply in scheme (5) zeros of polynomial (8) instead of those of polynomial (4), the cycle length increases from two to $k+1$ (a number of different zeros remains unchanged, equal to two), and therefore when constructing the polynomials (8) it is not advisable to take large k .

The fifth and the sixth columns of the table reproduce the values of the modulus-

Table 1

M	a	k	l	$\max T_2^{(k+1)l}(x) $	$\max P_{k+1}^{2l}(x)$
10	-1.3106	10	67	$4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-29}$
20	-6.7111	3	800	10^{-7}	$4 \cdot 10^{-11}$
20	-5.0624	4	605	$3 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-14}$
20	-4.0797	5	470	$8 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-16}$
20	-3.43	6	460	10^{-7}	$2 \cdot 10^{-21}$
20	-2.63	8	350	10^{-7}	$2 \cdot 10^{-27}$
20	-2.3685	9	310	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-30}$
20	-2.1624	10	280	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-33}$
30	-10.0296	3	1800	10^{-7}	$4 \cdot 10^{-11}$
30	-6.0532	5	1150	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3 \cdot 10^{-17}$
30	-5.0647	6	1000	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-21}$
30	-4.3616	7	870	$2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-24}$
30	-3.4315	9	720	10^{-7}	10^{-31}
40	-5.7713	7	1600	10^{-7}	$6 \cdot 10^{-25}$

maxima of polynomials $T_2^{(k+1)l}(x)$ and $P_{k+1}^{2l}(x)$ for the values M, k and l which are respectively given in the first, third and fourth columns of the table; the second column shows the values of a (see formula (9)).

Let us introduce into consideration the value

$$\sqrt{\sum_i R_n^2(\lambda_i)} \tag{13}$$

in which the nodes $\{\lambda_i\}$ are distributed uniformly on segments (2). To a considerable extent the value (13) represents the degree of fitness of the polynomial $R_n(\lambda)$ to the Richardson's method, since it is the error norm of the n -th approximation to the exact solution of equation (1), if the correlation

$$f = A \sum_i v_i = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i$$

is fulfilled and all zeros of the polynomial $R_n(\lambda)$ are correlated with the iterative scheme (5).

Table 2 gives the quantities of value (13) for the polynomials $P_{k+1}^{2l}(x)$ and $T_2^{(k+1)l}(x)$ (in the fifth and sixth columns, respectively) for fixed $M=40$ and $m=1$, but for different values k, l and N (in the first, second and third columns, respectively); the fourth column

Table 2

k	l	N	h	$\sqrt{\sum_i P_{k+1}^{4l}(\lambda_i)}$	$\sqrt{\sum_i T_2^{2l(k+1)}(\lambda_i)}$	$2l(k+1)$
6	150	-6	1	$7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	2100
5	540	-8	0.5	$4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-4}$	6480
8	150	-5	0.25	$5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.1063	2700
9	150	-4	0.25	$4 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$8 \cdot 10^{-2}$	3000
9	170	-4	0.125	$9 \cdot 10^{-6}$	0.1124	3400
10	260	-4	0.125	$4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5720
7	320	-5	0.0625	$5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	5120
3	400	-13	0.0625	$6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.2224	3200

of the table gives the quantities of the subin
(2) and, finally, the seventh column repro
equal to $2l(k+1)$.

Georgian Academy of Sciences
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute

Uchenye Zapiski Kazanskogo Universiteta. Seriya Fiziko-Matematicheskie Nauki. 1969, 11(1), 1247-1252 (Russian)
Kazan Phys.-Mat. Sci., 1928.

I. Bukhnikashvili. Soobshch. AN GSSR, 1951, 2, 199

წრფივ ალგებრულ განტოლ
ამოხსნა ორ მონაკვეთზე
სპექტრის

რეზიუმე. წრფივ ალგებრულ განტოლ
განუხლებადი A მატრიცით შემოსა
რადიუსული მეთოდი, რომელიც გვერდს უ
მატრიცაზე და სათანადო იტერაციულ
სხეულურად შერჩეული პოლინომისა
ორ მონაკვეთზე (სათანადოდ, უარყოფი
ლინომული მეთოდის გამოყენებით შეს
უძლებს მისი შენელოვნად დაჩქარდეს იტ
ამოხსნისაკენ.

of the table gives the quantities of the subinterval value h for uniformly divided segments $2k$ and, finally, the seventh column reproduces the power order values of polynomials, equal to $2k(k+1)$.

Georgian Academy of Sciences
A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute

REFERENCES

1. *Zhurnal Vyssh. MF*, 9, 6, 1969, 1247-1252 (Russian).
 2. *Schwarz*. Ueber einige Functionen die gegebenen integralen am wenigsten von null abweichen. *Izv. Kazan Phys.-Mat. Sci.*, 1928.
 3. *Matematicheski. Soobshch. AN GSSR*, 151, 2, 1995, 173-180 (Russian).

ამთხმამბამ

ი. ბუნნიკაშვილი

წრფივ ალგებრულ განტოლებათა სისტემის მიახლოებითი ამოხსნა ორ მონაკვეთზე განლაგებული მატრიცის სპექტრის შემთხვევაში

რეზიუმე. წრფივ ალგებრულ განტოლებათა სისტემისათვის სიმეტრიული ნიშნ-
მუხარზღერელი A მატრიცით შემოთავაზებულია ამოხსნის მიახლოებითი იტე-
რაციული მეთოდი, რომელიც გვერდს უვლის ამ შემთხვევაში მიღებულ გადასვლას
სპექტრის და სათანადო იტერაციულ სქემაში იყენებს ერთ- და k -ჯერად ნულებს
შედეგად შერჩეული პოლინომისა, რომელიც აკვებულია მატრიცის სპექტრის
მონაკვეთზე (სათანადოდ, უარყოფით და დადებით რიცხვით ნახევარღერძებზე).
ამ მეთოდის გამოყენებით შესაძლებელია გარკვეული პირობების შესრუ-
ლებისას მნიშვნელოვნად დაჩქარდეს იტერაციული მიახლოებების კრებადობა ზუსტი
ამოხსნისაკენ.

espec-
shows
(13)
erable
to the
exact

e (5).
V(x)
ferent
lumn

(1)