

G. BERIKELASHVILI

**ON A WEAK SOLUTION OF ONE NONLOCAL
BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM**

Nonlocal boundary value problems are a very interesting generalization of classical boundary value problems (e.g., see [1, 2]); at the same time, they naturally arise in the mathematical modeling of contaminant propagation in watercourses and atmosphere, and in other problems of ecology, physics, and engineering when it is impossible to determine the boundary values of the unknown function.

Our goal is to study the existence of weak solutions of nonlocal boundary value problem for the Poisson equation. Analogous question is investigated in [3].

Let $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 < x_k < 1, k = 1, 2\}$ be a square with a boundary Γ , and let $\Gamma_* = \{(x_1, 1), (1, x_2) : 0 \leq x_k \leq 1, k = 1, 2\}$.

Consider the nonlocal boundary-value problem

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} = -f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u|_{x_k=1} = 0, \quad 0 \leq x_{3-k} \leq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (2)$$

$$l_k(u) := \int_0^1 \beta(x_k)u(x) dx_k = 0, \quad 0 \leq x_{3-k} \leq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (3)$$

where $\beta(t) = \varepsilon t^{\varepsilon-1}$, $\varepsilon \in (0; 1)$.

We introduce weighted Hardy operators, associated to conditions (3):

$$H_1 v = \frac{1}{\rho(x_1)} \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t)v(t, x_2) dt, \quad H_2 v = \frac{1}{\rho(x_2)} \int_0^{x_2} \beta(t)v(x_1, t) dt,$$

where $\rho(t) = \int_0^t \beta(\xi) d\xi = t^\varepsilon$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J25; 35D05.

Key words and phrases. Poisson equation, nonlocal, Hardy operator, weak solution.

By $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$ we denote the weighted Lebesgue space of all real-valued functions $u(x)$ on Ω with the inner product and the norm

$$(u, v)_\rho = \int_{\Omega} \rho(x_1)\rho(x_2)u(x)v(x) dx, \quad \|u\|_\rho = (u, u)_\rho^{1/2}.$$

The weighted Sobolev space $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ is usually defined as a linear set of all functions $u(x) \in L_2(\Omega, \rho)$, whose derivatives $\partial u/\partial x_k$, $k = 1, 2$ (in the generalized sense) belong to $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$. It is a normed linear space if equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)} = \left(\|u\|_\rho^2 + |u|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad |u|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}^2 = \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right\|_\rho^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right\|_\rho^2.$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., [5, p. 10], [6, Theorem 3.1]) that $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ is a Banach space and $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ and in $L_2(\Omega, \rho)$. As an immediate consequence, we can define the space $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ as a closure of $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}$, and these both definitions are equivalent.

Define the subspace of space $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$ which can be obtained by closing the set

$$C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})^* = \{u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) : \text{supp } u \cap \Gamma_* = \emptyset, \quad l_k(u) = 0, \quad 0 < x_{3-k} < 1, \quad k = 1, 2\}$$

with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}$. Denote it by $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)^*$.

Define the identity minus Hardy operators:

$$G_1 = I - H_1, \quad G_2 = I - H_2, \quad Iv := v.$$

Let the right-hand side $f(x)$ in equation (1) be a linear continuous functional on $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)^*$ which can be represented as

$$f = f_0 + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}, \quad f_k(x) \in L_2(\Omega, \rho), \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (4)$$

We say that the function $u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)^*$ is a weak solution of problem (1)–(3), if the relation

$$a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)^* \quad (5)$$

holds, where

$$a(u, v) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, G_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right)_\rho + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}, G_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right)_\rho, \quad (6)$$

$$\langle f, v \rangle = (f_0, G_1 G_2)_\rho - \left(f_1, G_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right)_\rho - \left(f_2, G_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right)_\rho. \quad (7)$$

Equality (5) formally follows from $(\Delta u + f, G_1 G_2 v)_\rho = 0$ by integration by parts, taking into account that

$$\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k}, G_1 G_2 u \right) = - \left(v, G_{3-k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

To prove the existence of the unique solution of problem (5) (weak solution of problem (1)–(3)) we will apply the Lax-Milgram lemma [4].

Lemma 1. *If the function $v \in L_2(\Omega, \rho)$ satisfies the condition $l_k(v) = 0$, $k = 1, 2$, then*

$$(v, G_k v)_\rho \geq \|v\|_\rho^2, \quad (8)$$

$$\|G_k v\|_\rho \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \|v\|_\rho, \quad (9)$$

$$\|G_1 G_2 v\|_\rho \leq \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \right)^2 \|v\|_\rho. \quad (10)$$

Proof. By the definition of the operator G_k

$$(v, G_k v)_\rho = \|v\|_\rho^2 - (v, H_k v)_\rho. \quad (11)$$

Further,

$$\|H_k v\|_\rho^2 = \frac{-2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} (v, H_k v)_\rho. \quad (12)$$

Indeed, in the case $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_1 v\|_\rho^2 &= \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \rho(x_2) \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} \beta(t) v(t, x_2) dt \right)^2 dx_1^{1-\varepsilon} \right) dx_2 = \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \rho(x_2) \left(0 - 2 \int_0^1 x_1^{1-\varepsilon} \int_0^{x_1} \beta(t) v(t, x_2) dt \beta(x_1) v(x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 = \\ &= \frac{-2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} (v, H_1 v)_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Using (12), from (11) we obtain

$$(v, G_k v)_\rho = \|v\|_\rho^2 + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} \|H_k v\|_\rho^2 \quad (13)$$

which proves (8).

From (12) it is easy to get

$$\|H_k v\|_\rho \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \|v\|_\rho, \quad (14)$$

therefore

$$\|G_k v\|_\rho \leq \|v\|_\rho + \|H_k v\|_\rho,$$

which together with (14) implies (9).

(10) is a consequence of (9), taking into account that $l_1(G_2 v) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2. Let $u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$. Then

$$|u|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)} \leq \|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)} \leq c_1 |u|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}, \quad c_1 = \sqrt{5}.$$

Proof. The left inequality of the lemma is obvious.

Integration by parts results

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_\rho^2 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon + 1} \int_0^1 \rho(x_2) \left(\int_0^1 v^2(x) dx_1^{\varepsilon+1} \right) dx_2 = \\ &= \frac{-2}{\varepsilon + 1} \int_\Omega \rho(x_1) \rho(x_2) x_1 v(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this

$$\|v\|_\rho \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon + 1} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon + 1} |v|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)},$$

and the second inequality of the lemma follows from the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}$. \square

Application of lemmas 1,2 and condition (3), (6) gives the continuity

$$a(u, v) \leq c \|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)} \|v\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}, \quad c > 0, \quad \forall u, v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$$

and W_2^1 -ellipticity

$$a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}^2, \quad c > 0, \quad \forall u \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$$

of the bilinear form $a(u, v)$.

By applying lemmas 1,2 from (7) we obtain the continuity of linear form $\langle f, v \rangle$:

$$|\langle f, v \rangle| \leq c \|v\|_{W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)}, \quad c > 0, \quad \forall v \in W_2^1(\Omega, \rho).$$

Thus, all conditions of the Lax-Milgram lemma are fulfilled. Therefore, the following theorem is true.

Theorem 1. The problem (1)–(3) has unique weak solution from $W_2^1(\Omega, \rho)$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Berikelashvili, To a nonlocal generalization of the Dirichlet problem. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2006, Art. ID 93858, 1–6.
2. G. K. Berikelashvili and D. G. Gordeziani, On a nonlocal generalization of the biharmonic Dirichlet problem. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **46** (2010), No. 3, 318–325; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **46** (2010), No. 3, 321–328.
3. G. Berikelashvili, On a nonlocal boundary-value problem for two-dimensional elliptic equation. Dedicated to Raytcho Lazarov. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* **3** (2003), No. 1, 35–44 (electronic).

4. P. G. Ciarlet, The finite element method for elliptic problems. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 4. *North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford*, 1978.
5. A. Kufner and A.-M. Sändig, Some applications of weighted Sobolev spaces. *Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig*, 1987.
6. A. Nekvinda and L. Pick, A note on the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic linear operator in Sobolev spaces with weight d_M^ϵ . *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **29** (1988), No. 1, 63–71.

Author's addresses:

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute
I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
6, Tamarashvili St., Tbilisi 0177
Georgia

Departments of Mathematics
Faculty of Informatics and Control Systems
Georgian Technical University
77, M. Kostava Str., Tbilisi 0193
Georgia
E-mail: bergi@rmi.ge; berikela@yahoo.com