

# Positive Periodic Solutions for Systems of Linear Functional Differential Inequalities

**Robert Hakl**

*Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences  
Brno, Czech Republic  
E-mail: hakl@ipm.cz*

**José Oyarce**

*Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Chile  
E-mail: oyarce@ubiobio.cl*

## 1 Introduction

The paper [2] is devoted to study the system of functional differential inequalities

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma)[u'(t) - \ell(u)(t)] \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here,  $\ell : C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a linear bounded operator,  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^n$  with  $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . The aim of the present paper is to establish conditions guaranteeing that there exists  $c \in ]0, 1[$  such that every absolutely continuous  $\omega$ -periodic vector-valued function  $u = (u_i)_{i=1}^n$  satisfying (1.1) belongs to a cone

$$\mathcal{K}_c^n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) : u_i(s) \geq cu_i(t) \text{ for } s, t \in \mathbb{R} \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The results will be applied to a system of differential inequalities with deviating arguments, i.e., to the case when  $\ell = (\ell_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is defined by

$$\ell_i(v)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(t)v_j(\tau_{ij}(t)) - g_i(t)v_i(\mu_i(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$v = (v_j)_{j=1}^n \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \ (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $p_{ij}, g_i \in L_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\tau_{ij}, \mu_i \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

## 2 Basic notation and definitions

$C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the Banach space of continuous  $\omega$ -periodic vector-valued functions  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with a norm

$$\|x\|_{C_\omega} = \max \{ \|x(t)\| : t \in [0, \omega] \}.$$

$AC_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a set of locally absolutely continuous  $\omega$ -periodic vector-valued functions  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$L_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space of locally Lebesgue integrable  $\omega$ -periodic vector-valued functions  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the norm

$$\|p\|_{L_\omega} = \int_0^\omega \|p(s)\| \, ds.$$

$\mathcal{M}_\omega$  is a set of locally Lebesgue measurable functions  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\frac{\tau(t + \omega) - \tau(t)}{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$\mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is a set of linear bounded operators  $\ell : C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We write  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}_\omega^1$ .  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker's symbol, i.e.,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$ , the operators  $\ell_i : C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_\omega(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) are defined as follows:

- for any  $v \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\ell_i(v)$  is the  $i$ -th component of the vector-valued function  $\ell(v)$ ;
- for any  $z \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R})$  we put  $\ell_{ij}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell_i(\widehat{z})$ , where  $\widehat{z} = (\delta_{kj}z)_{k=1}^n$ .

If  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega$ , then  $\|\ell\|$  is the operator norm.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a function  $q \in L_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is  $\sigma$ -positive if  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)q(t) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

An operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -positive if it transforms non-negative functions into the set of  $\sigma$ -positive functions, i.e., if for every  $u \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$u(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

the relation

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma)\ell(u)(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is fulfilled. A set of  $\sigma$ -positive operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_\omega^n(\sigma)$ . We write  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma)$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}_\omega^1(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . We will say that an operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$  if every function  $u \in AC_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (1.1) belongs to the cone  $\mathcal{K}_c^n$  defined by (1.2). We write  $\mathcal{U}_c(\sigma)$  instead of  $\mathcal{U}_c^1(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** We say that an operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is an *irreducible* operator, if the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$  with

$$a_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - \delta_{ij})\|\ell_{ij}\| \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n)$$

is an irreducible matrix.

**Definition 2.4.** We say that an operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is a  $\sigma$ -Metzler type operator, if its off-diagonal elements are  $\sigma_i$ -positive operators, i.e.,  $\ell_{ij} \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma_i)$  ( $i \neq j$ ;  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

### 3 On the set $\mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$

General results of the paper [2] are closely related to those of the paper [1].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  be an irreducible operator of  $\sigma$ -Metzler type with  $\ell_{ii} = \ell_{ii}^+ - \ell_{ii}^-$  where  $\ell_{ii}^+, \ell_{ii}^- \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let, moreover, there exist  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\nu_i \in [0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$-\ell_{ii}^- + \nu_i \ell_{ii}^+ \in \mathcal{U}_c(\sigma_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$\ell \in \mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma) \tag{3.2}$$

if and only if there exist  $\beta = (\beta_i)_{i=1}^n \in AC_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t) &> 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{D}(\sigma)[\beta'(t) - \ell(\beta)(t)] &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^\omega \sigma_{i_0} \ell_{i_0 j}(\beta_j)(s) ds &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Efficient conditions guaranteeing the inclusion (3.1) can be found in [2]. Theorem 3.1 introduces a necessary and sufficient condition guaranteeing the inclusion (3.2) is fulfilled. Nevertheless, the following theorem can be useful in finding efficient conditions.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  be an irreducible operator of  $\sigma$ -Metzler type with  $\ell_{ii} = \ell_{ii}^+ - \ell_{ii}^-$  where  $\ell_{ii}^+, \ell_{ii}^- \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let, moreover, there exist  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\nu_i \in [0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that (3.1) is fulfilled. Let, in addition, there exist a matrix  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$  such that

$$r(B) < 1 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \nu_i) \int_{t-\omega}^t G_i(t, s) \ell_{ii}^+(1)(s) ds &\leq b_{ii} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ \int_{t-\omega}^t G_i(t, s) \ell_{ij}(1)(s) ds &\leq b_{ij} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_i$  is the Green's function to  $\omega$ -periodic problem for

$$v'(t) = -\ell_{ii}^-(v)(t) + \nu_i \ell_{ii}^+(v)(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then (3.2) holds.

A number of efficient conditions guaranteeing the inclusion (3.2) can be found in [2]. As an example we formulate here one result for operators with deviating arguments, i.e., for the case when  $\ell = (\ell_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_i(v)(t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij}(t) v_j(\tau_{ij}(t)) - g_i(t) v_i(\mu_i(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v &= (v_j)_{j=1}^n \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $p_{ij}, g_i \in L_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\sigma_i p_{ij}(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma_i g_i(t) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau_{ij}, \mu_i \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $\sigma_i g_i(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma_i p_{ij}(t) \geq 0$  for a. e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ), and let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(g_i(t) - p_{ii}(t)) &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ \int_0^\omega \sigma_i(g_i(s) - p_{ii}(s)) ds &> 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ p_{ii}(t)(\tau_{ii}(t) - \mu_i(t)) &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Let, moreover,

$$\int_0^\omega \sigma_i \left( g_i(s) - p_{ii}(s) + p_{ii}(s) \int_{\mu_i(s)}^{\tau_{ii}(s)} g_i(\xi) d\xi \right) ds < 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

and let (3.3) hold where  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ ,  $b_{ii} = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and<sup>1</sup>

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1 + L_i}{(1 - L_i^+) L_i} \int_0^\omega \sigma_i \left[ p_{ii}(s) \int_{\mu_i(s)}^{\tau_{ii}(s)} p_{ij}(\xi) d\xi + p_{ij}(s) \right] ds \quad (i \neq j; \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n),$$

with

$$L_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} - \int_0^\omega \sigma_i \tilde{\ell}_{ii}^-(1)(s) ds, \quad L_i^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^\omega \sigma_i \tilde{\ell}_{ii}^+(1)(s) ds \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $\tilde{\ell}_{ii} = \tilde{\ell}_{ii}^+ - \tilde{\ell}_{ii}^-$ , and  $\tilde{\ell}_{ii}^+$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_{ii}^-$  are given by

$$\tilde{\ell}_{ii}^+(v)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{ii}(t) \int_{\mu_i(t)}^{\tau_{ii}(t)} p_{ii}(s) v(\tau_{ii}(s)) ds \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad v \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\tilde{\ell}_{ii}^-(v)(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (g_i(t) - p_{ii}(t)) v(\mu_i(t)) + p_{ii}(t) \int_{\mu_i(t)}^{\tau_{ii}(t)} g_i(s) v(\mu_i(s)) ds$$

for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $v \in C_\omega(\mathbb{R})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),

respectively. Then, there exists  $c \in ]0, 1[$  such that (3.2) holds with  $\ell$  given by (3.4).

*Remark.* Let  $\hat{\tau}_{ii}, \hat{\mu}_i \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be such that

$$\frac{\hat{\tau}_{ii}(t) - \tau_{ii}(t)}{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\hat{\mu}_i(t) - \mu_i(t)}{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then, it is clear that if  $\tau_{ii}$ , resp.  $\mu_i$  are replaced by  $\hat{\tau}_{ii}$ , resp.  $\hat{\mu}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) in the assumptions of Corollary 3.1, the assertion remains still valid.

## 4 Positive solutions to homogeneous systems

In this section, we discuss the properties of an operator

$$\ell + \lambda \tilde{\ell},$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\tilde{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}_\omega^n(\sigma)$  is a nonzero operator, under the assumption that

$$\ell \in \mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma). \tag{4.1}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note that the assumptions guarantee  $L_i > 0$  and  $0 \leq L_i^+ < 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

In particular, we consider the system of linear functional differential equations

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) + \lambda \tilde{\ell}(u)(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.2}$$

and we ask when (4.2) has a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution. Obviously, the inclusion (4.1) guarantees that the only  $\omega$ -periodic solution to the system (4.2) is the zero solution provided  $\lambda = 0$ . In what follows, we will show that there exists a unique finite positive  $\lambda^*$  such that (4.2) with  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  has a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  be an irreducible operator of  $\sigma$ -Metzler type with  $\ell_{ii} = \ell_{ii}^+ - \ell_{ii}^-$  where  $\ell_{ii}^+, \ell_{ii}^- \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let, moreover, there exists  $c \in ]0, 1[$  such that (4.1) holds, and let  $\tilde{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}_\omega^n(\sigma)$  be a nonzero operator. Then, there exists a finite threshold  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that*

(i)

$$\ell + \lambda \tilde{\ell} \in \mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$$

provided  $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ ;

(ii) *there is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $u_* \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$  to (4.2) provided  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ ;*

(iii)

$$\ell + \lambda \tilde{\ell} \notin \mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$$

provided  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ .

Theorem 4.1 gives us a possible method how to calculate the precise value of  $\lambda^*$ . Indeed, define an operator  $A : C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$A(v)(t) = \int_{t-\omega}^t G(t, s) \tilde{\ell}(v)(s) ds \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is the Green's matrix-valued function to  $\omega$ -periodic problem for

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then

$$u_*(t) = \lambda^* A(u_*)(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and consequently, according to Krasnosel'skii theory, the value  $1/\lambda^*$  is equal to a spectral radius of the operator  $A$ . Therefore, according to Gelfand's formula,

$$\lambda^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\|A^n\|}}. \tag{4.5}$$

However, even in the case when the Green's matrix-valued function  $G$  is known, the approximation of  $\lambda^*$  by using (4.3) and (4.5) is quite difficult. Therefore, in order to find an interval containing the threshold  $\lambda^*$  one can use Theorem 4.2 formulated below, which is also applicable in the cases when the Green's matrix-valued function  $G$  to the  $\omega$ -periodic problem for (4.4) cannot be explicitly expressed.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^n$  be an irreducible operator of  $\sigma$ -Metzler type with  $\ell_{ii} = \ell_{ii}^+ - \ell_{ii}^-$  where  $\ell_{ii}^+, \ell_{ii}^- \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(\sigma_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let, moreover, there exists  $c \in ]0, 1[$  such that (4.1) holds, and let*

$\tilde{\ell} \in \mathcal{P}_\omega^n(\sigma)$  be a nonzero operator. Let, in addition, there exist  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 > 0$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in AC_\omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &> 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u_{2j_0}(s_0) > 0, \\ \mathcal{D}(\sigma)[u'_1(t) - \ell(u_1)(t) - \lambda_1 \tilde{\ell}(u_1)(t)] &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathcal{D}(\sigma)[u'_2(t) - \ell(u_2)(t) - \lambda_2 \tilde{\ell}(u_2)(t)] &\leq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda^* \leq \lambda_2,$$

where  $\lambda^*$  is the threshold appearing in Theorem 4.1.

In the paper we also describe an algorithm how to assure that a given operator belongs to the set  $\mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$  for a suitable  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . The algorithm runs as follows: We express the given operator in the form  $\ell + \lambda \tilde{\ell}$ , where  $\ell$  is an irreducible operator  $\sigma$ -Metzler type,  $\tilde{\ell}$  is a  $\sigma$ -positive operator, and  $\lambda$  is a parameter. By using the theoretical results, we verify that the operator  $\ell$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{U}_c^n(\sigma)$ . Then, using the MATLAB code `ddestd`, we numerically construct a solution to the initial value problem with constant initial functions for the equation (4.2) and different values of  $\lambda$ , and then we choose the one that is closest to the periodic solution (this will be for values of  $\lambda$  close to  $\lambda^*$ ). We interpolate the readings of the numerical solution by a periodic function, which we then use as an upper and lower test function (functions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in Theorem 4.2).

The above algorithm for finding the interval for the threshold value  $\lambda^*$  is illustrated with several examples in the paper.

## References

- [1] R. Hakl, I. Kiguradze and B. Půža, Upper and lower solutions of boundary value problems for functional differential equations and theorems on functional differential inequalities. *Georgian Math. J.* **7** (2000), no. 3, 489–512.
- [2] R. Hakl and J. Oyarce, Positive periodic solutions for systems of linear functional differential inequalities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **553** (2026), no. 2, 15 January 2026, Article no. 129882; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2025.129882>