

## On Qualitative Properties of Minimizing Function in Smooth Parabolic Control Problem

**I. V. Astashova**<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*

<sup>2</sup>*Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia*

*E-mails: ast.diffiety@gmail.com; ast@diffiety.ac.ru*

**A. V. Filinovskiy**<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>3</sup>*Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia*

<sup>4</sup>*Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*

*E-mail: flnv@yandex.ru*

**D. A. Lashin**

*FITO Research and Production Company, Moscow, Russia*

*E-mail: dalashin@gmail.com*

### 1 Introduction

Let us consider the parabolic problem

$$u_t = (a(x)u_x)_x + b(x)u_x + h(x)u, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T = (0, 1) \times (0, T), \quad T > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0, t) = \varphi(t), \quad u_x(1, t) = \psi(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (1.3)$$

here the real functions  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $h$  are smooth in  $\overline{Q_T}$ ,  $0 < a_0 \leq a(x) \leq a_1 < \infty$ .

Let us pose the following extremum problem: by controlling the temperature  $\varphi$  at the left end of the segment (the function  $\psi$  are assumed to be fixed), we try to make at some point  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  the temperature  $u(x_0, t)$  close to the given function  $z \in W_2^1(0, T)$  over the entire time interval  $(0, T)$  (pointwise observation). This problem arises in the mathematical modelling of climate control in industrial greenhouses [5,6]. Extremum problems for parabolic equations were considered in various papers [14, 16–19], but as usual, there were problems with final or distributed observation. We also use quite different methods of investigation.

Now, continuing the research in [1, 3, 4, 7–13], we consider some special quality functional, which is in demand in applications, providing, among other things, uniform proximity of the solution and the objective function, implemented by the norm in the space  $W_2^1(0, T)$ . Since in applied problems the control and observation time  $T$  is sufficiently large, the influence of the initial function is relatively small and can be neglected, setting the initial function equal to zero.

As well as in [15, p. 6], we denote the Banach space

$$V_2^{1,0}(Q_T) = \{u \in W_2^{1,0}(Q_T), u(\cdot, t) \in C([0, T] \rightarrow L_2(0, 1))\},$$

where  $W_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$  is the Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^{1,0}(Q_T)}^2 = \int_{Q_T} (u_x^2 + u^2) dx dt,$$

$$\|u\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \|u_x\|_{L_2(Q_T)}.$$

Let  $\widetilde{W}_2^1(Q_T)$  be set of all functions  $\eta \in W_2^1(Q_T)$  satisfying the conditions  $\eta(\cdot, T) = 0$ ,  $\eta(0, \cdot) = 0$ . Moreover, we define the spaces

$$\widehat{W}_{2,0}^k(0, T) = \{y \in W_2^k(0, T), y(0) = 0\}$$

with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W_2^k(0,T)}$ . The space  $W_2^2(0, T)$  is the Sobolev space with the norm

$$\|y\|_{W_2^2(0,T)}^2 = \int_0^T \left( \sum_{j=0}^k (y^{(j)}(t))^2 \right) dt.$$

**Definition.** A function  $u \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$  satisfying the condition  $u(0, t) = \varphi(t)$  and the equality

$$\int_{Q_T} (a(x)u_x\eta_x - b(x)u_x\eta - h(x)u\eta - u\eta_t) dx dt = \int_0^T a(1, t)\psi(t)\eta(1, t) dt$$

for all  $\eta \in \widetilde{W}_2^1(Q_T)$  is called a weak solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3).

## 2 Main Results

**Theorem 2.1** ([9]). *If  $\varphi, \psi \in \widehat{W}_{2,0}^2(0, T)$ , then problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique weak solution  $u \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$  with  $u_t \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ , and the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} + \|u_t\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_1 (\|\varphi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)} + \|\psi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)})$$

holds with some constant  $C_1$ , independent of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

Denote by  $\Phi \subset \widehat{W}_{2,0}^2(0, T)$  the nonempty set of control functions  $\varphi$  and let  $Z \subset \widehat{W}_{2,0}^1(0, T)$  be the nonempty set of objective functions  $z$ . Consider the functional

$$\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi] = \|u_\varphi(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}^2, \quad \varphi \in \Phi, \quad z \in Z,$$

where  $u_\varphi$  is the solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) with the given control function  $\varphi$ . Considering the function  $z$  to be fixed, we denote

$$\mathbf{m}[z, \Phi] = \inf_{\varphi \in \Phi} \mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi] \tag{2.1}$$

to pose the minimization problem.

**Theorem 2.2** ([9]). *If the set  $\Phi$  is closed, convex and bounded, then for any  $z \in Z$  there exists a unique function  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$  such that*

$$\mathbf{m}[z, \Phi] = \mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_0].$$

We study qualitative properties of the minimizer  $\varphi_0$  as an element of the set  $\Phi$ . In the following theorems we will assume that  $\Phi$  is closed, convex and bounded.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\mathbf{m}[z, \Phi] > 0$ , then  $\varphi_0 \in \partial\Phi$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $\Phi_2 \subset \text{Int } \Phi_1$  and  $\mathbf{m}[z, \Phi_1] > 0$ , then  $\mathbf{m}[z, \Phi_1] < \mathbf{m}[z, \Phi_2]$ .*

### 3 Proofs

At first let us prove Theorem 2.3.

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_0] = \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi]$  (see Theorem 2.2),

$$\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_0] > 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and the relation  $\varphi_0 \in \partial\Phi$  is not true. Then

$$\varphi_0 \in \text{Int } \Phi. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (3.1) and the dense controllability of problem (1.1)–(1.3), (2.1) from  $\widehat{W}_{2,0}^2(0, T)$  to  $\widehat{W}_{2,0}^1(0, T)$  [9, Theorem 2.3] that for any  $z \in \widehat{W}_{2,0}^1(0, T)$  we have the equality  $\mathfrak{m}[z, \widehat{W}_{2,0}^2(0, T)] = 0$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $\varphi_1 \in W_2^1(0, T)$  such that

$$\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_1] < \frac{\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi]}{4}.$$

Let  $\varphi_2 = (1 - \alpha)\varphi_0 + \alpha\varphi_1$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . By (3.2), for some  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  we have  $\varphi_2 \in \Phi$ . Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_2]} &= \|u_{\varphi_2}(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0, T)} = \|(1 - \alpha_0)u_{\varphi_0}(x_0, t) + \alpha_0 u_{\varphi_1}(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0, T)} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_0)\|u_{\varphi_0}(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0, T)} + \alpha_0\|u_{\varphi_1}(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0, T)} \\ &< (1 - \alpha_0)\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi]} + \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi]} = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi]}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_2] < \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{2}\right)^2 \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi] < \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi],$$

and  $\varphi_0$  is not a minimizer of  $\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi]$  on  $\Phi$ . This contradiction proves Theorem 2.3. □

Now let us prove Theorem 2.4.

*Proof.* It follows from the inclusion  $\Phi_2 \subset \Phi_1$  that  $\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_1] \leq \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_2]$ . Suppose,

$$\mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_1] = \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_2]. \tag{3.3}$$

By Theorem 2.2 and (3.3) we have the unique minimizer  $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  such that  $\mathfrak{J}[z, \varphi_0] = \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_1] = \mathfrak{m}[z, \Phi_2]$ . Additionally, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\varphi_0 \in \partial\Phi_1 \cap \partial\Phi_2.$$

But the relation  $\Phi_2 \subset \text{Int } \Phi_1$  means  $\partial\Phi_1 \cap \partial\Phi_2 = \emptyset$ . □

### Acknowledgements

The reported study was partially supported by RSF, project # 25-11-00133.

## References

- [1] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, On the controllability in parabolic problem with time distributed functional. *Differ. Equ.* **53** (2018), 851–853.
- [2] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, On the dense controllability for the parabolic problem with time-distributed functional. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **71** (2018), 9–25.
- [3] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, On properties of minimizers of a control problem with time-distributed functional related to parabolic equations. *Opuscula Math.* **39** (2019), no. 5, 595–609.
- [4] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, Controllability and exact controllability in a problem of heat transfer with convection and time distributed functional. (Russian) *Tr. Semin. im. I. G. Petrovskogo* no. 32 (2019), 57–71; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **244** (2020), no. 2, 148–157.
- [5] I. A. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskiy and D. A. Lashin, On maintaining optimal temperatures in greenhouses. *WSEAS Transactions on Circuits and Systems* **15** (2016), 198–204.
- [6] I. V. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskiy and D. A. Lashin, On optimal temperature control in hothouses. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1863** (2017), no. 1, 4–8.
- [7] I. Astashova, A. Filinovskiy and D. Lashin, On the estimates in various spaces to the control function of the extremum problem for parabolic equation. *WSEAS Transactions on Applied and Theoretical Mechanics* **16** (2021), 187–192.
- [8] I. Astashova, A. Filinovskiy and D. Lashin, On properties of the control function in a control problem with a point observation for a parabolic equation. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* **28** (2021), no. 3-4, 99–102.
- [9] I. V. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskiy and D. A. Lashin, On smooth controllability in parabolic control problem with a pointwise observation. *Reports Of QUALITDE* **3** (2024), 9–13.
- [10] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskii, Control with point observation for a parabolic problem with convection. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **80** (2019), 221–234.
- [11] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskiy, On a control problem with point observation for a parabolic equation in the presence of convection and depletion potential. *Differ. Equ.* **56** (2020), 828–829.
- [12] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskiy, On the extremum control problem with pointwise observation for a parabolic equation. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **504** (2022), 28–31; translation in *Dokl. Math.* **105** (2022), no. 3, 158–161.
- [13] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskiy, On problems of extremum and estimates of control function for parabolic equation. (Russian) *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2024**, no. 1, 40–50; translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **79** (2024), no. 1, 44–54.
- [14] V. Dharmo and F. Tröltzsch, Some aspects of reachability for parabolic boundary control problems with control constraints. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **50** (2011), no. 1, 75–110.
- [15] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural'seva, *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. (Russian) Translations of Mathematical Monographs. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [16] J.-L. Lions, *Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations*. Translated from the French by S. K. Mitter. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 170. Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1971.

- 
- [17] K. A. Lurie, *Applied Optimal Control Theory of Distributed Systems*. Mathematical Concepts and Methods in Science and Engineering, 43. Plenum Press, New York, 1993.
- [18] Ş. S. Şener and M. Subaşı, On a Neumann boundary control in a parabolic system. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 2015:166, 16 pp.
- [19] F. Tröltzsch, *Optimal Control of Partial Differential Equations. Theory, Methods and Applications*. Translated from the 2005 German original by Jürgen Sprekels. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 112. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.