

## On Instability of Linear Differential Systems with Smooth Dependence on a Parameter

**A. V. Lipnitskii**

*Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus*

*E-mail: ya.andrei173@yandex.by*

Let us consider a one-parameter family of linear differential systems

$$\dot{x} = A_\mu(t)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1_\mu}$$

whose coefficient matrix is of the form

$$A_\mu(t) := \begin{cases} d_k \operatorname{diag}[1, -1], & 2k - 2 \leq t < 2k - 1, \\ (\mu + b_k) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & 2k - 1 \leq t < 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\mu k \in \mathbb{R}$  is considered as a parameter;  $b_k, d_k$  are arbitrary real numbers.

E. Sorets and T. Spenser have shown in the paper [8] that major characteristic exponent of differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = -(K^2(\cos t + \cos(\omega t + \theta)) + E)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t \geq 0$$

is positive for all irrational  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and for almost all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  on the set of energy values  $E \geq 0$ , such that it's relative Lebesgue measure tends to 1 under increasing to infinity  $K$ .

L.-S. Young in the article [9], as a part, have established for all sufficiently big values of  $d_k \equiv d > 0$  and  $b_k = k\omega, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  satisfies some diophantine condition holding almost everywhere, that the major characteristic exponent of system  $(1_\mu)$ , which coincides for almost all values of  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , approximately equal to  $d$ .

In the papers [2, 3, 6] we considered the case when the inequality  $d_k \geq d > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , holds. Particularly, in [2], we have proved under condition  $d_k \equiv d > 4 \ln 2$  that major characteristic exponent of system  $(1_\mu)$ , is positive for the set of parameter  $\mu$  with a positive Lebesgue measure.

The theorem of the article [3] implies an absence of uniform on  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  upper estimations for a solution norms of system  $(1_\mu)$ . Where as, the method developed in the paper [6] essentially uses Parseval's identity for trygonometric sums. It allows to prove an absence of analogous estimations, which are uniform on  $\mu$  and subexponential on  $t$ . Given there the proof of system  $(1_\mu)$  major characteristic exponent positiveness unfortunately contains invalid statements. The theorem of article [4], that implies the same conclusion, is wrong as well.

In this report we offer the way sufficient to complete the correct proof of specified result.

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and set  $\chi = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{1, n}$ , let us denote

$$f_i(x) = f_i(x, x_i) := \ln |x - x_i|, \quad x \neq x_i,$$

and

$$f(x) = f(x, \alpha, \chi) := \alpha + n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x).$$

**Lemma** ([7]). For all  $a, k, l, \widehat{l} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $l \geq 1, \widehat{l} > 0, k > 3 + 2\widehat{l}^{-1}$ , and for every set  $\chi = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , that satisfy the conditions  $f(a) > -l, \sup\{f(x) : |x - a| \leq 1/2\} < l$ , for Lebesgue measure of the set

$$M = M(\alpha, \chi, a, k, l, \widehat{l}) := \left\{x \in K : \sup_{y \in K} f(y) > f(x) + \widehat{l}\right\},$$

where  $\widetilde{d} := e^{-lk}, K := [a - \widetilde{d}/k, a + \widetilde{d}/k]$ , the estimation holds  $\text{mes } M \leq 48k^{-2}\widetilde{d}/\widehat{l}$ .

Let us denote by  $X_{A_\mu}(t, s), t, s \geq 0$ , Cauchy matrix of system (1 $_\mu$ ).

**Theorem.** The major characteristic exponent of system (1 $_\mu$ ), considered as a function of parameter  $\mu$ , is positive on the set of positive Lebesgue measure in the case when the condition  $d_k \geq d > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , holds.

*Proof.* Under

$$U(\varphi) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

we denote the rotation matrix on the angle  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  counterclockwise.

According to estimations (40) from paper [6], the inequality holds

$$\int_0^{2\pi} X_{A_\mu}(2k, 0) d\mu \geq 2\pi \prod_{j=1}^k \text{ch } d_j \geq 2\pi(1 + 2^{-1}d^2)^k.$$

Hence, and because of the equality  $X_{A_\mu}(2k, 2k - 1) = U(\mu + b_k)$ , we have the relation

$$\int_0^{2\pi} X_{A_\mu}(2k - 1, 0) d\mu \geq 2\pi(1 + 2^{-1}d^2)^{k-1}. \tag{2}$$

*Remark.* In cited article  $F_k$  should be defined by the formula  $F_k = \kappa_k E + \kappa_{k-1} \text{sh } d_k I$ . Followed by estimations (40) an equality in (41) is in general incorrect. Really, for every continuous function  $f(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  and numbers  $p > q$  the next formula holds [1, p. 167]

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{1}{p - q} \int_q^p \ln f(t) dt \right\} \leq \int_q^p \frac{1}{p - q} \ln f(t) dt. \tag{3}$$

Whereas estimation (41) from paper [6] demands the opposite to (3) inequality. So all subsequent statements of this article are not justified. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 2 in [6] cannot be thought as sufficiently proved.

Here we give another way that allows to avoid the indicated failures.

From estimation (2) it follows the existence of  $\gamma_k \in [0, 2\pi]$  such that the inequality holds

$$\|X_{A_{\gamma_k}}(2k, 0)\| \geq (1 + 2^{-1}d^2)^k. \tag{4}$$

Denote by  $x_{ij}(t, \mu), i, j = \overline{1, 2}$ , the elements of matrix  $X_{A_\mu}(t, 0)$ .

In the paper [7] after the formula (36) we have proved that  $x_{ij}(2n - 1, \mu), i, j = \overline{1, 2}$ , is a uniform polynome  $P_{n,i,j}(\sin \mu, \cos \mu)$  degree  $n - 1$  on  $\sin \mu$  and  $\cos \mu$ .

For every real  $\mu \neq \pi(2^{-1} + m), m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the equality holds

$$P_{n,i,j}(\sin \mu, \cos \mu) = \cos^n \mu P_{n,i,j}(\text{tg } \mu, 1).$$

In the opposite case when  $\mu \neq \pi m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have the formula

$$P_{n,i,j}(\sin \mu, \cos \mu) = \sin^n \mu P_{n,i,j}(1, \operatorname{ctg} \mu).$$

Denote

$$\delta_n = \delta_n(\mu) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |\cos \mu| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ 1, & \text{if } |\cos \mu| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

The next relation is correct

$$P_{n,i,j}(\sin \mu, \cos \mu) = \cos^n (\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) P_{n,i,j}(\operatorname{tg}^{1-\delta_n} \mu, \operatorname{ctg}^{\delta_n} \mu). \tag{5}$$

The equality

$$\widehat{P}_n(\operatorname{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\mu)} \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 P_{n,i,j}^2(\operatorname{tg}^{1-\delta_n} \mu, \operatorname{tg}^{-\delta_n} \mu)$$

defines a polynome  $\widehat{P}_n(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Next formulas hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)\|^2 &= \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)y\|^2}{\|y\|^2} = \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\| (x_{ij}^2(2n-1, \mu))_{i,j=1}^2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos \zeta \\ \sin \zeta \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( x_{i1}(2n-1, \mu) \cos \zeta + x_{i2}(2n-1, \mu) \sin \zeta \right)^2. \tag{6} \end{aligned}$$

They imply the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_{ij}^2(2n-1, \mu) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \max_{j \in \{1,2\}} x_{ij}^2(2n-1, \mu) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \max_{\zeta \in \{0, 2^{-1}\pi\}} \left( x_{i1}(2n-1, \mu) \cos \zeta + x_{i2}(2n-1, \mu) \sin \zeta \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} \left( x_{i1}(2n-1, \mu) \cos \zeta + x_{i2}(2n-1, \mu) \sin \zeta \right)^2 \stackrel{(5)}{=} \|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \max_{\zeta \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( x_{i1}(2n-1, \mu) \cos \zeta \right)^2 + \left( x_{i2}(2n-1, \mu) \sin \zeta \right)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_{ij}^2(2n-1, \mu). \tag{7} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for some  $\varkappa \in [1, 2]$  we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_n(\operatorname{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\mu)} \mu) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \cos^{-n} (\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) P_{n,i,j}^2(\sin \mu, \cos \mu) \\ &= \cos^{-n} (\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 x_{ij}^2(2n-1, \mu) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \varkappa \cos^{-n} (\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)\|^2. \tag{8} \end{aligned}$$

For all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\delta_n(\mu) = 0$ , the next estimation is correct

$$|\cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu))| = |\cos \mu| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

In the opposite case the formulas hold

$$|\cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu))| = |\cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi)| = |\sin \mu| = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \mu} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The both cases united imply the inequality

$$|\cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu))| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (9)$$

According to relation (10) from the paper [5], we have the estimation

$$\|X_{A_\mu}(t, 0)\| \leq e^{th}, \quad \text{where } h := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_k. \quad (10)$$

From formulas (8)–(10) the next estimations follow

$$\widehat{P}_n(\text{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\mu)} \mu) \stackrel{(8), (9)}{\leq} 2^{n/2} \|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)\|^2 \stackrel{(10)}{\leq} 2^{n/2} e^{h(2n-1)}. \quad (11)$$

The relations (4) and (8) imply the inequalities

$$\widehat{P}_n(\text{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\gamma_n)} \gamma_n) \stackrel{(8)}{\geq} \|X_{A_{\gamma_n}}(2n-1, 0)\| \stackrel{(4)}{\geq} (1 + 2^{-1}d^2)^{n-1}. \quad (12)$$

Due to main algebra theorem, there exist  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, 2n-2}$ , such that

$$\widehat{P}_n(\nu) = \alpha \prod_{j=1}^{2n-2} (\nu - \beta_j). \quad (13)$$

Let us put in lemmas conditions (here  $[\cdot]$  denotes a whole part of the number)

$$l := 1 + h, \quad \widehat{l} := \frac{\widehat{d}}{4}, \quad k := \max \{2^{11}\widehat{d}^{-1}, 4 + 2[\widehat{l}^{-1}]\}, \quad \widetilde{d} := e^{-lk}, \quad f(\cdot) := \frac{1}{2n-2} \ln \widehat{P}_n(\cdot).$$

Denote  $\widetilde{\gamma}_n = \text{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\gamma_n)} \gamma_n$ .

For all  $\nu \in [\widetilde{\gamma}_n - \widetilde{d}/k, \widetilde{\gamma}_n + \widetilde{d}/k]$  there exists  $\mu = \mu(\nu) \in [\gamma_n - \widetilde{d}/k, \gamma_n + \widetilde{d}/k]$  such that  $\nu = \text{tg}^{1-2\delta_n(\mu)} \mu$ .

Hence, as a consequence of formula (11), for such  $\nu$  the estimation holds

$$f(\nu) \stackrel{(11)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2n-2} \ln(2^{n/2} e^{h(2n-1)}) = \frac{n \ln 2 + h(2n-1)}{2n-2} \leq 1 + h. \quad (14)$$

Denote  $\widehat{d} := \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + 2^{-1}d^2)$ .

Inequalities (12) imply the relation

$$f(\widetilde{\gamma}_n) \stackrel{(12)}{\geq} \frac{1}{2n-2} \ln(1 + 2^{-1}d^2)^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (15)$$

Then, considering (13) and (14), due to lemma we have the inequality

$$\overline{\text{mes}} \left\{ \mu \in \left[ \gamma_n - \frac{\widetilde{d}}{k}, \gamma_n + \frac{\widetilde{d}}{k} \right] : \frac{1}{2n-2} \ln \widehat{P}_n(\text{tg}^{\delta_n} \gamma_n) > \frac{1}{2n-2} \ln \widehat{P}_n(\text{tg}^{\delta_n} \mu) + \frac{\widehat{d}}{4} \right\} \leq 48k^{-2} \widetilde{d} \frac{4}{\widehat{d}}. \quad (16)$$

For all  $\mu = \mu(\nu) \in [\gamma_n - \tilde{d}/k, \gamma_n + \tilde{d}/k]$  the next formulas are correct

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \right| - \left| \cos(\gamma_n + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \right| \\
 & \geq - \left| \cos(\mu + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) - \cos(\gamma_n + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \right| \geq -\frac{\tilde{d}}{k}. \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, denote  $\varepsilon := \tilde{d}/k$ , for all  $\mu \in [\gamma_n - \varepsilon, \gamma_n + \varepsilon]$  with exception of the set  $W_n$  which Lebesgue measure  $\text{mes } W_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  by the cause of (16) we have the estimations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2n-1} \ln \|X_{A_\mu}(2n-1, 0)\| \stackrel{(8), (16)}{\geq} \frac{1}{2n-1} \ln \widehat{P}_n(\text{tg}^{\delta_n} \gamma_n) \\
 & + \frac{1}{2n-1} \ln \left| \cos^n(\mu - 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \right| - \left| \cos(\gamma_n + 2^{-1}\pi\delta_n(\mu)) \right| - \frac{\widehat{d}}{4} \\
 & \stackrel{(8), (17)}{\geq} \frac{1}{2n-1} \ln \|X_{A_{\gamma_n}}(2n-1, 0)\| - \frac{\tilde{d}}{k} - \frac{\widehat{d}}{4} \stackrel{(15)}{\geq} \frac{\widehat{d}}{5}. \quad (18)
 \end{aligned}$$

The set of limit points of sequence  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is not empty.

Let us denote by  $\gamma_\infty$  some of them.

For an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k(n) \geq n$  such that  $|\gamma_{k(n)} - \gamma_\infty| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Denote also

$$W_\infty := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n \geq m} W_{k(n)} = \text{Lim}_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq m} W_{k(n)}.$$

The next relations hold

$$\text{mes } W_\infty = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \text{mes} \bigcap_{n \geq m} W_{k(n)} \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{n \geq m} \text{mes } W_{k(n)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (19)$$

We have the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{M} & := [\gamma_\infty - 2^{-1}\varepsilon, \gamma_\infty + 2^{-1}\varepsilon] \setminus W_\infty \\
 & = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left( [\gamma_\infty - 2^{-1}\varepsilon, \gamma_\infty + 2^{-1}\varepsilon] \setminus W_{k(n)} \right) \\
 & \subset \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left( [\gamma_{k(n)} - \varepsilon, \gamma_{k(n)} + \varepsilon] \setminus W_{k(n)} \right). \quad (20)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all  $\mu \in \widetilde{M}$ , as a consequence of formula (18), the next estimations are correct

$$\lambda_{\max}(A_\mu) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2k(n)-1} \ln \|X_{A_\mu}(2k(n)-1, 0)\| \stackrel{(18), (20)}{\geq} \frac{\widehat{d}}{5} > 0.$$

As well, relations (19) imply the inequality

$$\text{mes } \widetilde{M} \leq \text{mes} [\gamma_\infty - 2^{-1}\varepsilon, \gamma_\infty + 2^{-1}\varepsilon] - \text{mes } W_\infty \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

The theorem is proved. □

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*. 2d ed. Cambridge, at the University Press, 1952.
- [2] A. V. Lipnitskii, On the positivity of the upper Lyapunov exponent in one-parameter families of linear differential systems. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **45** (2009), no. 8, 1095–1101; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **45** (2009), no. 8, 1116–1123.
- [3] A. V. Lipnitskii, Lower bounds for the norm of solutions of linear differential systems with a linear parameter. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **50** (2014), no. 3, 412–416; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **50** (2014), no. 3, 410–414.
- [4] A. V. Lipnitskii, Lower bounds for the upper Lyapunov exponent in one-parameter families of Millionshchikov systems. (Russian) *Tr. Semin. im. I. G. Petrovskogo* no. 30 (2014), Part I, 171–177; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **210** (2015), no. 2, 217–221.
- [5] A. V. Lipnitskii, Estimates for the deviation of the solutions of Millionshchikov linear differential systems from the corresponding trigonometric sums. (Russian) *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* **60** (2016), no. 3, 5–10.
- [6] A. V. Lipnitskii, On the instability of Millionshchikov linear differential systems that depend on a real parameter. (Russian) *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi* **63** (2019), no. 3, 270–277.
- [7] A. V. Lipnitskii, Upper semicontinuity of the upper Lyapunov exponent of Millionshchikov linear differential systems with an affine parameter. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **56** (2020), no. 1, 62–69; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **56** (2020), no. 1, 60–67.
- [8] E. Sorets and T. Spencer, Positive Lyapunov exponents for Schrödinger operators with quasi-periodic potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), no. 3, 543–566.
- [9] L.-S. Young, Lyapunov exponents for some quasi-periodic cocycles. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **17** (1997), no. 2, 483–504.