

On Smooth Controllability in Parabolic Control Problem with a Pointwise Observation

I. V. Astashova^{1,2}

¹*Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*

²*Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: ast.diffiety@gmail.com

A. V. Filinovskiy^{3,4}

³*Bauman Moscow State Technical University, Moscow, Russia*

⁴*Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*

E-mail: flnv@yandex.ru

D. A. Lashin

FITO Research and Production Company, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: dalashin@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Consider an extremum problem for the parabolic mixed problem

$$u_t = (a(x)u_x)_x + b(x)u_x + h(x)u, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T = (0, 1) \times (0, T), \quad T > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0, t) = \varphi(t), \quad u_x(1, t) = \psi(t), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where the real functions a , b and h are smooth in \overline{Q}_T , $0 < a_0 \leq a(x) \leq a_1 < \infty$, $\varphi \in W_2^2(0, T)$, $\psi \in W_2^2(0, T)$. Here $W_2^2(0, T)$ is the Sobolev space of weakly differentiable functions with the norm

$$\|y\|_{W_2^k(0, T)}^2 = \int_0^T \left(\sum_{j=0}^k (y^{(j)}(t))^2 \right) dt.$$

We study the control problem with a pointwise observation: by controlling the temperature φ at the left end of the segment (the function ψ is assumed to be fixed), we try to make at some point $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ the temperature $u(x_0, t)$ close to the given function $z \in W_2^1(0, T)$ over the entire time interval $(0, T)$. This problem arises in the model of climate control in industrial greenhouses [4, 5]. Note that extremal problems for parabolic equations were considered in [11, 13–16] (as usual, problems with final or distributed observation). But the results and methods of investigation are not similar to our methods.

Continuing the research in [1–3, 6–10], we consider some special quality functional, which is in demand in applications, providing, among other things, uniform proximity of the solution and the objective function, implemented by the norm in the space $W_2^1(0, T)$. Since in applied problems the control and observation time T is sufficiently large, the influence of the initial function is relatively small and can be neglected, setting the initial function equal to zero.

As in [12, p. 6], we denote by $V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ Banach space of functions $u \in W_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ (Sobolev space of functions with the norm $\|u\|_{W_2^{1,0}(Q_T)}^2 = \int_{Q_T} (u_x^2 + u^2) dx dt$) with the finite norm

$$\|u\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \|u_x\|_{L_2(Q_T)}$$

such that $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$ is a continuous mapping from $[0, T]$ to $L_2(0, 1)$. Let $\widetilde{W}_2^1(Q_T)$ be the set of all functions $\eta \in W_2^1(Q_T)$, satisfying the conditions $\eta(\cdot, T) = 0$, $\eta(0, \cdot) = 0$.

Definition 1.1. A function $u \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$, satisfying the condition $u(0, t) = \varphi(t)$ and the equality

$$\int_{Q_T} (a(x)u_x\eta_x - b(x)u_x\eta - h(x)u\eta - u\eta_t) dx dt = a(1) \int_0^T \psi(t)\eta(1, t) dt$$

for all $\eta \in \widetilde{W}_2^1(Q_T)$, is called a weak solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3).

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. *If $\varphi, \psi \in W_2^2(0, T)$ and $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$, then problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique weak solution $u \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ with $u_t \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$, and the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} + \|u_t\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_1 (\|\varphi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)} + \|\psi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)}) \quad (2.1)$$

holds with some constant C_1 , independent of φ and ψ .

Denote by $\Phi \subset W_2^2(0, T)$ nonempty set of control functions φ satisfying the condition $\varphi(0) = 0$, and let $Z \subset W_2^1(0, T)$ be nonempty set of objective functions z satisfying the condition $z(0) = 0$. Consider the functional

$$J[z, \varphi] = \|u_\varphi(x_0, t) - z(t)\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}^2, \quad \varphi \in \Phi, \quad z \in Z, \quad (2.2)$$

where u_φ is the solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3) with the given control function φ . Considering the function z to be fixed, we have the following minimization problem

$$m[z, \Phi] = \inf_{\varphi \in \Phi} J[z, \varphi]. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.2. *If the set Φ is closed, convex and bounded in $W_2^2(0, T)$, then for any $z \in Z$ there exists a unique function $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$ such that*

$$m[z, \Phi] = J[z, \varphi_0]. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1. We will say that problem (1.1)–(1.3), (2.3) is densely controllable from the set Φ to the set Z (see [8, 16]), if for all $z \in Z$ the equality

$$m[z, \Phi] = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

holds.

Theorem 2.3. *Problem (1.1)–(2.2) is densely controllable from the set $\Phi = \{\varphi \in W_2^2(0, T) : \varphi(0) = 0\}$ to the set $Z = \{z \in W_2^1(0, T) : z(0) = 0\}$.*

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By results of [8], we can prove that under assumptions of $\varphi \in W_1^2(0, T)$, $\psi \in W_1^2(0, T)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ of problem (1.1)–(1.3). This solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|u\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_2(\|\varphi\|_{W_2^1(0,T)} + \|\psi\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}). \quad (3.1)$$

The function $v = u_t$ is a solution to the problem

$$v_t = (a(x)v_x)_x + b(x)v_x + h(x)v, \quad (x, t) \in Q_T, \quad (3.2)$$

$$v(0, t) = \varphi'(t), \quad v_x(1, t) = \psi'(t), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$v(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 < x < 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Using the results of [8], under assumptions of $\varphi' \in W_1^2(0, T)$, $\psi' \in W_1^2(0, T)$ there exists a solution $v \in V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ of problem (3.2)–(3.4). This solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|v\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_2(\|\varphi'\|_{W_2^1(0,T)} + \|\psi'\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}).$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_t\|_{V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq C_2(\|\varphi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)} + \|\psi\|_{W_2^2(0,T)}). \quad (3.5)$$

Combining estimates (3.1) and (3.5), we obtain the required inequality (2.1). \square

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on the following lemma concerning the best approximation in Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 3.1 ([4]). *Let A be a convex closed set in a Hilbert space H . Then for any $x \in H$ there exists a unique element $y \in A$ such that*

$$\|x - y\| = \inf_{z \in A} \|x - z\|.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Denote

$$B = \{y = u_\varphi(x_0, \cdot) : \varphi \in \Phi\} \subset W_2^1(0, T).$$

By the convexity of Φ the set B is a convex subset in $W_2^1(0, T)$. The set Φ is bounded and closed in $W_2^1(0, T)$ and by estimate (2.1) we obtain that B is a bounded and closed set in $W_2^1(0, T)$. Now we apply Lemma 3.1 to the case $H = W_2^1(0, T)$, $A = B$, $x = z \in Z \subset H$. By Lemma 3.1 there exists a unique function $y \in B$ such that

$$m[z, \Phi] = \|y - z\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}^2.$$

So, $y = u_{\varphi_0}(x_0, \cdot)$ for some $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$ such that

$$m[z, \Phi] = J[z, \varphi_0].$$

Now we can prove that such $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$ is unique by the same technique of maximum principle and unique continuation theorems as in [8]. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. For $u_\varphi(x_0, 0) = z(0) = 0$ we have the representation

$$u_\varphi(x_0, t) - z(t) = \int_0^t (u_{\varphi_t}(x_0, \tau) - z'(\tau)) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\varphi(x_0, \cdot) - z(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2 \\ &= \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t (u_{\varphi_t}(x_0, \tau) - z'(\tau)) d\tau \right)^2 dt \leq \int_0^T t \|v_{\varphi'}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,t)}^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{T^2}{2} \|v_{\varphi'}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

So, from (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J[z, \varphi] &= \|u_\varphi(x_0, \cdot) - z(\cdot)\|_{W_2^1(0,T)}^2 \\ &= \|u_\varphi(x_0, \cdot) - z(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2 + \|u_{\varphi_t}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{2}\right) \|v_{\varphi'}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now, by the results of [8] and [9], problem (3.2)–(3.4) is densely controllable from $W_2^1(0, T)$ to $L_2(0, T)$. Therefore, for an arbitrary $z' \in L_2(0, T)$ we have

$$\inf_{\varphi' \in W_2^1(0,T)} \|v_{\varphi'}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2 = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, by (3.8), (3.9),

$$\inf_{\varphi \in W_2^2(0,T)} J[z, \varphi] \leq \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{2}\right) \inf_{\varphi' \in W_2^1(0,T)} \|v_{\varphi'}(x_0, \cdot) - z'(\cdot)\|_{L_2(0,T)}^2 = 0. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement

The reported study was partially supported by RSF, project # 20-11-20272.

References

- [1] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, On the dense controllability for the parabolic problem with time-distributed functional. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* **71** (2018), 9–25.
- [2] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, On properties of minimizers of a control problem with time-distributed functional related to parabolic equations. *Opuscula Math.* **39** (2019), no. 5, 595–609.
- [3] I. V. Astashova and A. V. Filinovskiy, Controllability and exact controllability in a problem of heat transfer with convection and time distributed functional. (Russian) *Tr. Semin. im. I. G. Petrovskogo* no. 32 (2019), 57–71; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **244** (2020), no. 2, 148–157.
- [4] I. V. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskiy and D. A. Lashin, On maintaining optimal temperatures in greenhouses. *WSEAS Transactions on Circuits and Systems* **15**(2016), 198–204.
- [5] I. V. Astashova, A. V. Filinovskiy and D. A. Lashin, On optimal temperature control in hothouses. *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1863** (2017), no. 1, 4–8.

-
- [6] I. Astashova, A. Filinovskiy and D. Lashin, On the estimates in various spaces to the control function of the extremum problem for parabolic equation. *WSEAS Transactions on Applied and Theoretical Mechanics* **16** (2021), 187–192.
- [7] I. Astashova, A. Filinovskiy and D. Lashin, On properties of the control function in a control problem with a point observation for a parabolic equation. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* **28** (2021), no. 3–4, 99–102.
- [8] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskii, Control with point observation for a parabolic problem with convection. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **80** (2019), 221–234.
- [9] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskiy, On the extremum control problem with pointwise observation for a parabolic equation. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **504** (2022), 28–31; translation in *Dokl. Math.* **105** (2022), no. 3, 158–161.
- [10] I. V. Astashova, D. A. Lashin and A. V. Filinovskiy, On problems of extremum and estimates of control function for parabolic equation. (Russian) *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* **2024**, no. 1, 40–50; translation in *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* **79** (2024), no. 1, 44–54.
- [11] V. Dharmo and F. Tröltzsch, Some aspects of reachability for parabolic boundary control problems with control constraints. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **50** (2011), no. 1, 75–110.
- [12] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural’seva, *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [13] J.-L. Lions, *Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations*. Translated from the French by S. K. Mitter. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 170. Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1971.
- [14] K. A. Lurie, *Applied Optimal Control Theory of Distributed Systems*. Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [15] F. Tröltzsch, *Optimal Control of Partial Differential Equations. Theory, Methods and Applications*. Translated from the 2005 German original by Jürgen Sprekels. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 112. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [16] Ş. S. Şener and M. Subaşı, On a Neumann boundary control in a parabolic system. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2015**, 2015:166, 16 pp.