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We study the differential-algebraic two-point non-linear boundary value problem on a compact interval

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & f(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in[a, b],  \tag{1}\\
y(t)= & g(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in[a, b] ;  \tag{2}\\
& B(x(a), y(b))=d, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f:[a, b] \times \Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}, g:[a, b] \times \Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q}$ and $B: \Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are continuous functions defined on certain bounded sets $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}, \Omega_{\varrho_{1}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{q}$ specified below (see (7) and (9)), $d \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$. We assume that the functions $f, g, B$ satisfy the Lipschitz conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-f\left(t, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right| & \leq K_{1}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|+K_{2}\left|v_{1}-v_{2}\right|,  \tag{4}\\
\left|g\left(t, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-g\left(t, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right| & \leq K_{3}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|+K_{4}\left|v_{1}-v_{2}\right|, \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in[a, b],\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subset \Omega_{\varrho_{0}},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \subset \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$, where $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, K_{4}$ are non-negative matrices of dimensions $p \times p, p \times q, q \times p, q \times q$ such that the spectral radii of $Q$ and $K_{4}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(Q)<1, \quad r\left(K_{4}\right)<1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Q=\frac{3}{10}(b-a) K
$$

and $K$ is the $(p+q) \times(p+q)$ block matrix $K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}K_{1} & K_{2} \\ K_{3} & K_{4}\end{array}\right)$. By a solution of problem (1)-(3) we understand a pair of a continuously differentiable $x:[a, b] \rightarrow \Omega_{\varrho_{0}}$ and continuous $y:[a, b] \rightarrow \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$ functions, satisfying (1)-(3).

We show that techniques similar to those of $[1,2]$ can be effectively applied to the study of problem (1), (2). Note that, although condition (6) ensures that equation (2) can theoretically be solved with respect to $y$, it may be difficult or impossible to do this explicitly, and this is not required in our approach.

In the sequel, $1_{l}$ is the unit matrix of dimension $l$. For any $x=\operatorname{col}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right), y=\operatorname{col}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$, we write $|x|=\operatorname{col}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{l}\right|\right)$ and understand $x \leq y$ as $x_{i} \leq y_{i}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, l$. The operations max and min for vector functions are also understood componentwise. Given any nonnegative vector $\varrho \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, we put

$$
O_{\varrho}(z)=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{l}:|\xi-z| \leq \varrho\right\}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ and

$$
O_{\varrho}(U)=\bigcup_{z \in U} O_{\varrho}(z)
$$

for a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{l}$. The set $O_{\varrho}(U)$ may be called the componentwise $\varrho$-neighbourhood of $U$.
Fix certain compact convex sets $D_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}, D_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\varrho_{0}}=O_{\varrho_{0}}\left(D_{a, b}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{0}$ is a non-negative vector and

$$
D_{a, b}=\left\{(1-\theta) z+\theta \eta: \quad z \in D_{0}, \quad \eta \in D_{1}, \quad \theta \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

Choose some $\widetilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$ and put

$$
Y=\max _{(t, z, \eta) \in[a, b] \times D_{0} \times D_{1}}\left|g\left(t, x_{0}(t, z, \eta), \widetilde{y}\right)-\widetilde{y}\right|,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}(t, z, \eta)=\left(1-\frac{t-a}{b-a}\right) z+\frac{t-a}{b-a} \eta, \quad t \in[a, b], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in D_{0}, \eta \in D_{1}$. Take a non-negative vector $\varrho_{1}$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\varrho_{1}}=O_{\varrho_{1}}\left(\bar{y}_{1}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{y}_{1}=\max _{(t, z, \eta) \in[a, b] \times D_{0} \times D_{1}}\left|g\left(t, x_{0}(t, z, \eta), \widetilde{y}\right)\right| .
$$

We assume in what follows that the non-negative vectors $\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}$ can be chosen so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho_{0} \geq \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \delta_{\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}}(f),  \tag{10}\\
& \varrho_{1} \geq\left(1_{q}-K_{4}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(b-a) K_{3} \delta_{\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}}(f)+K_{4} Y\right)+Y, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}}(f)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\max _{(t, x, y) \in[a, b] \times \Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\Omega_{1}}} f(t, x, y)-\min _{(t, x, y) \in[a, b] \times \Omega_{\varrho_{0} \times} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}} f(t, x, y)\right) .
$$

Together with inequalities (6), conditions (10), (11) may be regarded as smallness conditions on the functions $f$ and $g$ in a neighbourhood of sets $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$. When some of these conditions are violated, one can apply a technique from [3] in order to construct convergent iterations.

Instead of the original boundary value problem (1)-(3), consider the family of auxiliary twopoint boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in[a, b]  \tag{12}\\
y(t)=g(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in[a, b] \\
x(a)=z, \quad x(b)=\eta \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $z \in D_{0}$ and $\eta \in D_{1}$ are free parameters. We focus on continuously differentiable $x:[a, b] \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}}$ and continuous $y:[a, b] \rightarrow \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$ solutions of problem (12), (13) with values $x(a) \in D_{0}$ and $x(b) \in D_{1}$. As it will be indicated below, one can then go back to the original problem by choosing the values of $z$ and $\eta$ appropriately.

In relation to the two-point boundary value problem $(12),(13)$, introduce the sequences of functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{m+1}(t, z, \eta)=z+\int_{a}^{t} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta), y_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s \\
& \quad-\frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta), y_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s+\frac{t-a}{b-a}(\eta-z)  \tag{14}\\
& y_{m+1}(t, z, \eta)=g\left(t, x_{m}(t, z, \eta), y_{m}(t, z, \eta)\right), \quad t \in[a, b], \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
y_{0}(t, z, \eta)=\widetilde{y}, \quad t \in[a, b]
$$

with a fixed value of $\widetilde{y}$ and the function $x_{0}$ given by (8).
For $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2} \leq n$, let $J_{i_{1}, i_{2}}$ be the $\left(i_{2}-i_{1}+1\right) \times n$ block matrix with the unit matrix of dimension $i_{2}-i_{1}+1$ placed starting from the $i_{1}$ th column, that is

$$
J_{i_{1}, i_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1_{i_{2}-i_{1}+1} & 0 \tag{16}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the symbols 0 stand for the zero blocks of appropriate dimensions.
Theorem 1. Let conditions (4)-(6) and (10), (11) be fulfilled. Then, for all fixed $z \in D_{0}$ and $\eta \in D_{1}$ :

1) The functions of sequence (14) have range in $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}}$, satisfy the two-point conditions (13), and the limit

$$
x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} x_{m}(t, z, \eta)
$$

exists uniformly on $[a, b] \times D_{0} \times D_{1}$. The function $x_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ satisfies conditions (13) and is continuously differentiable.
2) The functions of sequence (15) have range in $\Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$ and converge to a continuous limit function

$$
y_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} y_{m}(t, z, \eta)
$$

uniformly on $[a, b] \times D_{0} \times D_{1}$.
3) The functions $x=x_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta), y=y_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ satisfy equation (2) and the Cauchy problem with a constant forcing term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x(t), y(t))+\frac{1}{b-a} \Delta(z, \eta), \quad t \in[a, b] ; \quad x(a)=z \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta: D_{0} \times D_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is the mapping given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z, \eta)=\eta-z-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{\infty}(s, z, \eta), y_{\infty}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other couples of functions $(x, y)$ having range in the set $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$ and satisfying (2), (17) do not exist.
4) The estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)-x_{m}(t, z, \eta)\right| & \leq \frac{10}{9} \alpha_{1}(t) J_{1, p} K^{\sigma} Q^{m-\sigma}\left(1_{p+q}-Q\right)^{-1}\binom{\delta_{\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}}(f)}{Y} \\
\left|y_{\infty}(t, z, \eta)-y_{m}(t, z, \eta)\right| & \leq \frac{10}{9} \alpha_{1}(t) J_{p+1, p+q} K^{\sigma+1} Q^{m-\sigma-1}\left(1_{p+q}-Q\right)^{-1}\binom{\delta_{\varrho_{0}, \varrho_{1}}(f)}{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold for all $t \in[a, b]$ and $m$ sufficiently large, where

$$
\sigma= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } b-a>\frac{10}{3} \\ 1 \quad & \text { if } b-a \leq \frac{10}{3}\end{cases}
$$

By (16), the left multiplication of a vector column by $J_{1, p}$ (resp., $J_{p+1, p+q}$ ) means the selection of the components $1,2, \ldots, p$ (resp., $p+1, \ldots, p+q$ ).

For $z \in \Omega_{\varrho_{0}}, \eta \in \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$, let us put

$$
\Lambda(z, \eta)=B\left(x_{\infty}(a, z, \eta), y_{\infty}(b, z, \eta)\right)-d
$$

The functions $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ determine the relation of the functions $x_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ and $y_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)$ to solutions of the original problem (1)-(3).

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the couple of functions $\left(x_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta), y_{\infty}(\cdot, z, \eta)\right)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem (1)-(3) if and only if the parameters $z, \eta$ satisfy the system of $2 p$ algebraic or transcendental equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z, \eta)=0, \quad \Lambda(z, \eta)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next statement proves that the system of determining equations (19) determines all possible solutions of the original non-linear boundary value problem (1)-(3) in the regions $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}}, \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$.
Theorem 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the following assertions hold:

1) If there exist a pair of vectors $\left(z_{*}, \eta_{*}\right) \in D_{0} \times D_{1}$ satisfying the system of determining equations (19), then the boundary value problem (1)-(3) has a solution $\left(x_{*}, y_{*}\right)$ such that $x_{*}([a, b]) \subset \Omega_{\varrho_{0}}$, $y_{*}([a, b]) \subset \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$ and

$$
x_{*}(a)=z_{*}, \quad x_{*}(b)=\eta_{*} .
$$

Moreover, this solution has the form

$$
\left(x_{*}, y_{*}\right)=\left(x_{\infty}\left(\cdot, z_{*}, \eta_{*}\right), y_{\infty}\left(\cdot, z_{*}, \eta_{*}\right)\right)
$$

2) If problem (1)-(3) has a solution $\left(x_{*}, y_{*}\right)$ with $\left(x_{*}(a), x_{*}(b)\right) \in D_{0} \times D_{1}$ and range in $\Omega_{\varrho_{0}} \times \Omega_{\varrho_{1}}$, then the system of determining equations (19) is satisfied with $z=x_{*}(a), \eta=x_{*}(b)$.

The practical investigation of problem (1)-(3) is carried out by studying the approximate determining equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m}(z, \eta)=0, \quad \Lambda_{m}(z, \eta)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Lambda_{m}(z, \eta)=B\left(x_{m}(a, z, \eta), y_{m}(b, z, \eta)\right)-d
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{m}(z, \eta)=\eta-z-\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, x_{m}(s, z, \eta), y_{m}(s, z, \eta)\right) d s
$$

for some fixed $m$. Assuming that the Lipschitz condition holds for $B$,

$$
\left|B\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-B\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right| \leq K_{5}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|+K_{6}\left|v_{1}-v_{2}\right|,
$$

under additional assumptions, we prove the existence of a solution of the original problem (1)-(3) by showing that the solvability of the approximate determining equations (20) in the respective region implies that of (19). A practical computation using Maple confirms the constructiveness of the proposed approach.
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