

## Blow-Up Solutions of the Cauchy Problem for Nonlinear Delay Ordinary Differential Equations

Ivan Kiguradze

*Andrea Razmadze Mathematical Institute of Ivane Javakishvili Tbilisi State University  
Tbilisi, Georgia*

*E-mail: ivane.kiguradze@tsu.ge*

Problems on the existence and asymptotic estimates of blow-up solutions occupy an important place in the qualitative theory of ordinary differential equations and have been studied in sufficient detail for a wide class of nonlinear nonautonomous ordinary differential equations (see [1–9] and the references therein). However, for delay differential equations this problem remained practically unstudied. Most probably, [10] is the first work done in this direction. Here theorems on the existence of blow-up solutions are proved for the equation that does not contain intermediate derivatives. In the present paper, similar results are given for the equation of general type.

On a finite interval  $[0, b[$  we investigate the delay differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(\tau(t)), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(\tau(t))) \tag{1}$$

with the initial conditions

$$u^{(i-1)}(t) = c_i(t) \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n \text{)}. \tag{2}$$

Here  $n$  is an arbitrary natural number,  $f : [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a continuous function,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$ ,  $\tau : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function, satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(t) < t \text{ for } 0 \leq t < b, \quad \tau(b) = b, \\ a = \min \{ \tau(t) : 0 \leq t \leq b \}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and  $c_i : [a, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are also continuous functions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $t_0 \in [0, b[$  and

$$t_* = \min \{ \tau(t) : t_0 \leq t \leq b \}.$$

An  $n$ -times continuously differentiable function  $u : [t_0, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be a **solution of equation (1) in the interval**  $[t_0, b[$  if

$$u^{(i-1)}(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t_0 \leq t < b \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n \text{)},$$

and there exist continuous functions  $u_{0i} : [t_*, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that in that interval equality (1) is satisfied, where

$$u^{(i-1)}(t) = u_{0i}(t) \text{ for } t_* \leq t \leq t_0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n \text{)}.$$

A solution  $u$  of equation (1), defined in the interval  $[0, b[$  and satisfying the initial conditions (2), is said to be a **solution of problem (1), (2)**.

**Definition 2.** A solution  $u$  of equation (1) defined in some interval  $[t_0, b[$  is said to be **blow-up** if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b} u^{(n-1)}(t) = +\infty.$$

A blow-up solution  $u : [t_0, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be **strongly blow-up (weakly blow-up)** if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b} u(t) = +\infty \quad \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow b} u(t) < +\infty \right).$$

**Definition 3.** A solution  $u : [t_0, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  of equation (1), having the finite limits  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} u^{(i-1)}(t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), is said to be **regular**.

According to condition (3), there exists an increasing sequence of numbers  $t_i \in ]0, b[$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(t) < 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t < t_1, \quad \tau(t_1) = 0, \\ \tau(t) < t_i \text{ for } t_i \leq t < t_{i+1}, \quad \tau(t_{i+1}) = t_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} t_i = b. \end{aligned}$$

From this fact it immediately follows

**Lemma 1.** For arbitrarily fixed continuous functions  $c_i : [a, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), problem (1), (2) in the interval  $[0, b[$  has a unique solution  $u$  and for any natural number  $k$  the equality

$$u(t) = u_k(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_k$$

is valid, where

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^{(i-1)}(t) = c_i(t) \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad u_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i(0)}{(i-1)!} t^{i-1} \\ + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} f(s, c_1(\tau(s)), \dots, c_n(\tau(s))) ds \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{k+1}^{(i-1)}(t) = c_i(t) \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad u_{k+1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i(0)}{(i-1)!} t^{i-1} \\ + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} f(s, u_k(\tau(s)), \dots, u_k^{(n-1)}(\tau(s))) ds \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_{k+1} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let along with (3) the condition

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq f_0(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq b, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

be satisfied, where  $t_0 \in ]0, b[$ , and  $f_0 : [0, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a nondecreasing in the phase variables continuous function such that the differential equation

$$v^{(n)}(t) = f_0(t, v(\tau(t)), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(\tau(t)))$$

in the interval  $[t_0, b[$  has a blow-up solution  $v$ . Then there exist numbers  $r > 0$  and  $t^* \in ]t_0, b[$  such that if

$$c_n(0) > r, \tag{4}$$

then the solution  $u$  of problem (1), (2) is blow-up as well and admits the estimates

$$u^{(i-1)}(t) \geq v^{(i-1)}(t) \text{ for } t^* \leq t < b \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Based on this comparison theorem, effective criteria for the existence of blow-up solutions of problem (1),(2) are obtained. In particular, the following statement is true.

**Corollary 1.** *Let the functions  $f$  and  $\tau$  satisfy the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) &\geq \ell(b-t)^\mu x_k^\lambda \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq b, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \alpha(t-b) + b &\leq \tau(t) < t \text{ for } 0 \leq t < b, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $t_0 \in ]0, b[$ ,  $\ell > 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . Then for an arbitrary  $\gamma > 0$  there exists a positive number  $r = r(\gamma)$  such that if inequality (4) holds, then the solution  $u$  of problem (1),(2) is strongly blow-up and admits the estimate

$$\inf \{ (b-t)^\gamma u(t) : t_0 \leq t < b \} > 0. \tag{5}$$

An important particular case of (1) is the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i(t) (u^{(i-1)}(\alpha(t-b) + b))^{\lambda_i}, \tag{6}$$

where  $p_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are continuous functions,  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ .

For this equation we consider the Cauchy problem with the initial conditions (2), where  $a = -(\alpha - 1)b$ , and  $c_i : [a, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are continuous functions.

**Corollary 2.** *There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) < \varepsilon \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0,$$

then the solution of problem (6),(2) is regular. And if

$$p_k(t) \geq \ell(b-t)^\mu \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq b,$$

where  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\ell > 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , then for an arbitrary  $\gamma > 0$  there exists a positive number  $r = r(\gamma)$  such that in the case where inequality (4) holds, the solution  $u$  of problem (6),(2) is strongly blow-up and admits estimate (5).

The first part of the corollary can be easily obtained from Lemma 1, while the second part follows from Corollary 1.

**Example 1.** Let  $n > 2$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2$ ,  $\ell_0 = ((\lambda - 1)\alpha^{\frac{\lambda}{1-\alpha}})^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $a = -(\alpha - 1)b$ . We choose positive numbers  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) so that the function, defined by the equality

$$u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \rho_i + (-1)^{n-1} \ell_0 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( n - i - \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \right)^{-1} (b-t)^{n-1-\frac{1}{\lambda-1}} \text{ for } a \leq t < b,$$

satisfies the conditions

$$u^{(i-1)}(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Then the restriction of the function  $u$  to  $[0, b[$  is a solution of the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = (u^{(n-1)}(\alpha(t-b) + b))^\lambda \tag{7}$$

with the initial functions

$$c_i(t) = u^{(i-1)}(t) \text{ for } a \leq t \leq 0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n \text{)}.$$

Moreover, it is clear that  $u^{(i-1)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) have finite limits

$$u^{(i-1)}(b-0) \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{)},$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b} u^{(n-1)}(t) = +\infty.$$

Consequently,  $u$  is a weakly blow-up solution of equation (6).

On the other hand, by virtue of Corollary 2 equation (7) has infinite sets of strongly blow-up and regular solutions.

The example constructed above shows that if the functions  $f$  and  $\tau$  satisfy the conditions of either Theorem 1 or one of its corollaries, then equation (7) can simultaneously have strongly blow-up, weakly blow-up and regular solutions.

**Example 2.** Theorem 1 and its corollaries are specific for delay equations and they have no analogs for equations without delay. To make sure of this, in the interval  $[0, b[$  we consider the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = (u^{(n-1)}(t))^\lambda, \quad (8)$$

where  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda > 2$ . We choose positive numbers  $\rho_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) so that the function, defined by the equality

$$u_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\rho_i}{(i-1)!} t^{i-1} + (-1)^{n-1} (\lambda-1)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( n-i - \frac{1}{\lambda-1} \right)^{-1} (b-t)^{n-1-\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \text{ for } 0 \leq t < b,$$

satisfies the conditions

$$u_0^{(i-1)}(0) \geq 0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{)}.$$

Then every solution of equation (8), defined in the interval  $[0, b[$  and blowing up at the point  $b$ , has the form  $u(t) \equiv u_0(t)$ , and, consequently, it is weakly blow-up. On the other hand, no matter how the number

$$r > u_0^{(n-1)}(0)$$

is, equation (8) does not have a solution  $u : [0, b[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , satisfying the inequalities

$$u^{(i-1)}(0) \geq 0 \text{ (} i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{)}, \quad u^{(n-1)}(0) \geq r.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\tau(t) = \frac{b(t-t_0)}{b-t_0} \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq b,$$

and let the function  $f$  satisfy the inequality

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq \ell(b-t)^\mu \omega(x_k) \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq b, \text{ (} x_1, \dots, x_n \text{)} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,$$

where  $t_0 \in ]0, b[$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\ell > 0$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , and  $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a continuous function. Let, moreover, there exist a number  $\lambda > 1$  such that

$$\int_0^x \omega(y) dy > x^\lambda - 1 \text{ for } x \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Then for an arbitrary  $\gamma > 0$  there exists a positive number  $r = r(\gamma)$  such that if inequality (4) holds, then the solution  $u$  of problem (1), (2) is strongly blow-up and admits estimate (5).

**Example 3.** Consider the differential equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = (b - y)^\mu \omega\left(u\left(\frac{b(t - t_0)}{b - t_0}\right)\right), \quad (10)$$

where  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $t_0 \in ]a, b[$ , and  $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a continuous function which along with (9) satisfies the condition

$$\omega(x_m) = 0 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (11)$$

Here  $\lambda > 1$ , and  $x_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is an increasing sequence of numbers converging to  $+\infty$ . The example of such a function is constructed in [10, p. 44].

In view of (11), Theorem 1 and their corollaries leave open the question on the existence of blow-up solutions of equation (10). On the other hand, by Theorem 2 this equation has an infinite set of blow-up solutions.

## References

- [1] I. V. Astashova, On Kiguradze's problem on power-law asymptotic behavior of blow-up solutions to Emden–Fowler type differential equations. *Georgian Math. J.* **24** (2017), no. 2, 185–191.
- [2] I. V. Astashova, Asymptotic behavior of singular solutions of Emden–Fowler type equations. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **55** (2019), no. 5, 597–606; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **55** (2019), no. 5, 581–590.
- [3] I. V. Astashova and M. Yu. Vasilev, On nonpower-law behavior of blow-up solutions to Emden–Fowler type higher-order differential equations. Abstracts of the International Workshop on the Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations – QUALITDE-2018, Tbilisi, Georgia, December 1–3, pp. 11–15; [https://rmi.tsu.ge/eng/QUALITDE-2018/Astashova\\_Vasilev\\_workshop\\_2018.pdf](https://rmi.tsu.ge/eng/QUALITDE-2018/Astashova_Vasilev_workshop_2018.pdf).
- [4] R. Bellman, *Stability Theory of Differential Equations*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York–Toronto–London, 1953.
- [5] N. A. Izobov, Continuable and noncontinuable solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of arbitrary order. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **35** (1984), no. 6, 829–839.
- [6] I. T. Kiguradze, The asymptotic behavior of the solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of Emden–Fowler type. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **29** (1965), 965–986.
- [7] I. T. Kiguradze, *Some Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations*. (Russian) Izdat. Tbilis. Univ., Tbilisi, 1975.
- [8] I. T. Kiguradze and T. A. Chanturia, *Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equations*. Springer-Science, Business Media, B.V., 2012.
- [9] I. T. Kiguradze and G. G. Kvinikadze, On strongly increasing solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **130** (1982), 67–87.
- [10] I. Kiguradze and N. Partsvania, Rapidly growing and blow-up solutions to higher order nonlinear delay ordinary differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **90** (2023), 39–54.