

## Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems for Second Order Linear Hyperbolic Systems

Tariel Kiguradze, Afrah Almutairi

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

E-mails: tkigurad@fit.edu; aalmutairi2018@my.fit.edu

In the rectangle  $\Omega = [0, \omega_1] \times [0, \omega_2]$  consider the problem

$$u_{xy} = P_0(x, y)u + P_1(x, y)u_x + P_2(x, y)u_y + q(x, y), \quad (1)$$

$$\ell(u(\cdot, y)) = \varphi(y), \quad h(u_x(x, \cdot)) = \psi(x), \quad (2)$$

where  $P_j \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2$ ),  $q \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\varphi \in C^1([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\psi \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\ell : C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $h : C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are bounded linear operators that are *commutative*, i.e., the operators  $\ell$  and  $h$  satisfy the equality

$$\ell \circ h(z) = h \circ \ell(z) \quad \text{for } z \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3)$$

One may think that the boundary conditions

$$\ell(u(\cdot, y)) = \varphi(y), \quad h(u_x(x, \cdot)) = \Psi(x) \quad (\tilde{2})$$

are more natural than conditions (2). All the more so, conditions  $(\tilde{2})$  obviously imply conditions (2). The main reason for studying problem (1), (2) instead of problem (1),  $(\tilde{2})$  is that problem (1),  $(\tilde{2})$  is ill-posed, since functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  should satisfy certain compatibility conditions. Indeed, if  $u \in C(\Omega)$  is an arbitrary function satisfying conditions  $(\tilde{2})$ , then, in view of (3), we have

$$\ell(\psi) = \ell \circ h(u) = h \circ \ell(u) = h(\varphi).$$

By a solution of problem (1), (2) we understand a *classical* solution, i.e., a function  $u \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$  satisfying equation (1) and boundary conditions (2) everywhere in  $\Omega$ .

Along with problem (1), (2) consider its corresponding homogeneous problem

$$u_{xy} = P_0(x, y)u + P_1(x, y)u_x + P_2(x, y)u_y, \quad (1_0)$$

$$\ell(u(\cdot, y)) = 0, \quad h(u_x(x, \cdot)) = 0, \quad (2_0)$$

as well as the problems

$$v' = P_2(x, y^*)v, \quad (1_1)$$

$$\ell(v) = 0 \quad (2_1)$$

and

$$v' = P_2(x^*, y)v, \quad (1_2)$$

$$h(v) = 0. \quad (2_2)$$

Problems  $(1_1), (2_1)$  are  $(1_2), (2_2)$  called **associated problems** of problem (1), (2). Notice that problem  $(1_1), (2_1)$  (problem  $(1_2), (2_2)$ ) is a boundary value problem for a linear ordinary differential equation depending on a parameter  $y^*$  (a parameter  $x^*$ ).

The concept of  $\sigma$ -associated problems for  $n$ -dimensional periodic problems was introduced in [4], and for two-dimensional Dirichlet type problems in [3].

**Definition 1.** Problem (1), (2) is called *well-posed*, if it is uniquely solvable for arbitrary  $\varphi \in C^1([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\psi \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $q \in C(\Omega)$ , and its solution  $u$  admits the estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,1}(\Omega)} \leq M \left( \|\varphi\|_{C^1([0, \omega_2])} + \|\psi\|_{C([0, \omega_1])} + \|q\|_{C(\Omega)} \right),$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $q$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let problem (1), (2) be solvable for arbitrary  $\varphi \in C^1([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\psi \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the problem

$$z' = 0, \quad \ell(z) = 0 \tag{4}$$

has only the trivial solution.

**Remark 1.** If problem (4) has only the trivial solution, then problem (1<sub>0</sub>), (2<sub>0</sub>) is equivalent to the homogeneous problem

$$u_{xy} = P_0(x, y) u + P_1(x, y) u_x + P_2(x, y) u_y, \tag{1_0}$$

$$\ell(u(\cdot, y)) = 0, \quad h(u(x, \cdot)) = 0. \tag{2_0}$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $P_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be constant matrices, let problem (1<sub>1</sub>), (2<sub>1</sub>) have a nontrivial solution, and let the following conditions hold:

$$h(P_0(x, \cdot)z(\cdot)) = h(P_0(x, \cdot)) h(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$h(P_1z(\cdot)) = P_1 h(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$h(P_2z(\cdot)) = P_2 h(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then for solvability of problem (1), (2) it is necessary that the problem

$$v' = P_2v + (P_0 + P_2P_1)\Psi(x) + h(q(x, \cdot)),$$

$$\ell(v) = h(\varphi') - \ell(P_1 \Psi)$$

is solvable, where  $\Psi$  is a solution of the problem

$$z' = \psi(x), \quad \ell(z) = h(\varphi).$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $P_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be constant matrices, let problem (1<sub>2</sub>), (2<sub>2</sub>) have a nontrivial solution, and let along with (4) the following conditions hold:

$$\ell(P_0(\cdot, y)z(\cdot)) = \ell(P_0(\cdot, y)) \ell(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$\ell(P_1z(\cdot)) = P_1 \ell(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$\ell(P_2z(\cdot)) = P_2 \ell(z(\cdot)) \text{ for every } z \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then for solvability of problem (1), (2) it is necessary that the problem

$$v' = P_1v + (P_0 + P_1P_2)\varphi(y) + h(q(\cdot, y)), \tag{5}$$

$$h(v) = \ell(\psi) - h(P_2 \varphi) \tag{6}$$

is solvable.

**Remark 2.** Solvability of the ill-posed nonhomogenous problem (5), (6) means additional compatibility conditions between the boundary values  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , matrices  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , and the vector function  $q$ . Indeed, consider the problem

$$u_{xy} = P_0(x, y)u + q(x, y), \quad (7)$$

$$u(0, y) = \varphi(y), \quad u_x(x, 0) = u_x(x, \omega_2). \quad (8)$$

Let  $u$  be a solution of problem (7), (8). Set  $v(y) = u_x(0, y)$ . Then  $v$  is a solution of the problem

$$v' = P_0(0, y) \varphi(y) + q(0, y), \quad (9)$$

$$v(0) = v(\omega_2). \quad (10)$$

In other words the solvability of (9), (10) is necessary for the solvability of problem (7), (8). Problem (9), (10) itself is ill-posed. It is solvable if and only if the following equality holds

$$\int_0^{\omega_2} (P_0(0, t) \varphi(t) + q(0, t)) dt = 0.$$

**Remark 3.** Solvability of the ill-posed nonhomogenous problem (5), (6) is necessary for solvability of problem (1), (2), but by no means sufficient. Indeed, consider the two-dimensional problem

$$v_{1xy} = w - q_1(x), \quad (11)$$

$$w_{2xy} = -v + q_2(x),$$

$$v(0, y) = 0, \quad v(\omega_1, y) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$v_x(x, 0) = v_x(x, \omega_2), \quad w_x(x, 0) = w_x(x, \omega_2).$$

Let us show that the corresponding homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} v(x, y) \\ w(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

be an arbitrary solution of the homogeneous system

$$v_{1xy} = w, \quad (13)$$

$$w_{2xy} = -v, \quad (14)$$

satisfying conditions (12). Multiply (13) by  $w$ , integrate over  $\Omega$ . After integrating by parts and taking into account conditions (12), we arrive at the equality

$$-\int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} v_x(x, y) w_y(x, y) dy dx = \int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} w^2(x, y) dy dx. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, after multiplying (14) by  $v$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$-\int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} w_y(x, y) v_x(x, y) dy dx = -\int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} v^2(x, y) dy dx. \quad (16)$$

After subtracting (16) from (15) we arrive at the equality

$$\int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} (v^2(x, y) + w^2(x, y)) dy dx = 0.$$

Consequently the homogeneous problem (13), (14), (12) has only the trivial solution. Therefore, problem (11), (12) has at most one solution. Hence, the only possible ( $\omega_2$ -periodic with respect to the second variable) solution of problem (11), (12) should be independent of  $y$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} v(x, y) \\ w(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1(x) \\ q_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the only possible solution of problem (11), (12). It is clear that  $u$  is a weak solution but not a classical one, if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are nowhere differentiable continuous functions.

**Theorem 4.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

(A<sub>0</sub>) *problem (3) has only the trivial solution;*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *problem (1<sub>1</sub>), (2<sub>1</sub>) has only the trivial solution for every  $y^* \in [0, \omega_2]$ ;*

(A<sub>2</sub>) *problem (1<sub>2</sub>), (2<sub>2</sub>) have only the trivial solution for every  $x^* \in [0, \omega_1]$ .*

*Then problem (1), (2) has the Fredholm property, i.e. the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *problem (1<sub>0</sub>), (2<sub>0</sub>) has a finite dimensional space of solutions;*
- (ii) *if problem (1<sub>0</sub>), (2<sub>0</sub>) has only the trivial solution, then problem (1), (2) is uniquely solvable, and its solution  $u$  admits estimate*

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,1}(\Omega)} \leq M \left( \|q\|_{C(\Omega)} + \|\varphi\|_{C^1([0, \omega_2])} + \|\psi\|_{C([0, \omega_1])} \right), \tag{17}$$

*where  $M$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $q$ .*

**Definition 2.** Problem (1), (2) is called *well-posed*, if it is uniquely solvable for arbitrary  $\varphi \in C^1([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\psi \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $q \in C(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and its solution  $u$  admits the estimate (17), where  $M$  is a positive constant independent of  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $q$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let problem (1), (2) be well-posed. Then conditions (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>) of Theorem 4 hold.*

**Remark 4.** Consider the problem

$$u_{xy} = p(x) u_x + p(x) u_y - p^2(x) u + q(x, y), \tag{18}$$

$$u(0, x) = 2u(\omega_1, y), \quad u_x(x, 0) = u_x(x, \omega_1), \tag{19}$$

where  $p \in C^\infty([0, \omega_1])$  is a nonnegative function and  $q \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ . Let

$$q(x, y) = p(x) \tilde{q}(x, y).$$

Set:  $I_p = \{x \in [0, \omega_1] : p(x) = 0\}$ . Then:

- (i) problem (18), (19) is well-posed if and only if  $I_p = \emptyset$ . Moreover, if  $I_p = \emptyset$ , then a unique solution of problem (18), (19) belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ ;
- (ii) if  $\tilde{q} \in L^\infty([0, \omega_1])$ , then problem (18), (19) has a unique weak solution if and only if  $\text{mes } I_p = 0$ , and has infinite dimensional set of nonclassical weak solutions otherwise. If  $\tilde{q} \in C([0, \omega_2])$  and  $\text{mes } I_p = 0$ , then that unique weak solution is a classical solution;
- (iii) If  $\tilde{q} \in C([0, \omega_2])$ , then problem (18), (19) has a unique classical solution if and only if  $I_p$  is nowhere dense in  $[0, \omega_1]$ , and has infinite dimensional set of classical solutions otherwise;

(iv) problem (18), (19) has a *unique classical* solution and *infinite dimensional set* of weak solutions if  $I_p$  is a nowhere dense set of a positive measure;

(v) if  $q(x, y) = 1$  and  $I_p \neq \emptyset$ , then problem (18), (19) has *no classical solution* despite the fact that the coefficients of equation (18) belong to  $C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let conditions  $(A_0)$ ,  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  of Theorem 4 hold, and let  $P_2 \in C^{0,1}(\Omega)$  be such that*

$$h(v) = 0 \implies h(P_2(\cdot, y)v(\cdot)) = 0 \text{ for } y \in [0, \omega_2]$$

for every function  $v \in C([0, \omega_2])$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if

$$\|P(x, y) + P_1(x, y)P_2(x, y) - P_{2y}(x, y)\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } (x, y) \in \Omega,$$

then problem (1), (2) is well-posed. In particular, if

$$P(x, y) + P_1(x, y)P_2(x, y) - P_{2y}(x, y) = O,$$

then the solution of problem (1), (2<sub>0</sub>) admits the representation

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^{\omega_1} \int_0^{\omega_2} G_1(x, s, y) G_2(y, t, s) q(s, t) dt ds,$$

where  $G_j$  is Green's matrix of problem (1<sub>j</sub>), (2<sub>j</sub>) ( $j = 1, 2$ ).

Let  $n = 2m$ ,  $u = (v, w)$ , and  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . For the system

$$\begin{aligned} v_{xy} &= A_1(y)w_x + B_1(x)w_y + Q_1(x, y)w + q_1(x, y), \\ w_{xy} &= A_2(y)v_x + B_2(x)v_y + Q_2(x, y)v + q_2(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

consider the following boundary conditions of Nicoletti type

$$w(0, y) = 0, \quad v(\omega_1, y) = 0, \quad w_x(x, 0) = 0, \quad v_x(x, \omega_2) = 0, \quad (21)$$

and the periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} v(0, y) &= v(\omega_1, y), & w(0, y) &= w(\omega_1, y), \\ v_x(x, 0) &= v_x(x, \omega_2), & w_x(x, 0) &= w_x(x, \omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A_1 \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ ,  $A_2 \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ ,  $B_1 \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$  and  $B_2 \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$  be positive semi-definite symmetric matrix functions, and let there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that the following conditions hold:*

$$Q_1(x, y) w \cdot w \geq \delta \|w\|^2 \text{ for } (x, y, w) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (23)$$

$$Q_2(x, y) v \cdot v \leq -\delta \|v\|^2 \text{ for } (x, y, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (24)$$

Then problem (20), (21) is well-posed.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A_1 \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ ,  $A_2 \in C([0, \omega_2]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$ ,  $B_1 \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$  and  $B_2 \in C([0, \omega_1]; \mathbb{R}^{m \times m})$  be positive definite symmetric matrix functions, and let there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that conditions (23) and (24) hold. Then problem (20), (22) is well-posed.*

## References

- [1] I. T. Kiguradze, Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) Translated in *J. Soviet Math.* **43** (1988), no. 2, 2259–2339. Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, *Current problems in mathematics. Newest results, Vol. 30 (Russian)*, 3–103, 204, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1987.
- [2] T. Kiguradze, Some boundary value problems for systems of linear partial differential equations of hyperbolic type. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **1** (1994), 1–144.
- [3] T. Kiguradze and R. Alhuzally, On a two-dimensional Dirichlet type problem for a linear hyperbolic equation of fourth order. *Georgian Math. J.* **31** (2024), no. 1 (to appear).
- [4] T. Kiguradze and N. Al Jaber, Multi-dimensional periodic problems for higher-order linear hyperbolic equations. *Georgian Math. J.* **26** (2019), no. 2, 235–256.
- [5] T. Kiguradze and R. Ben-Rabha, On strong well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher order nonlinear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. *Georgian Math. J.* **24** (2017), no. 3, 409–428.
- [6] T. Kiguradze, R. Ben-Rabha and N. Al-Jaber, Nonlocal boundary value problems for higher order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **90** (2023) (to appear).
- [7] T. I. Kiguradze and T. Kusano, On the well-posedness of initial-boundary value problems for higher-order linear hyperbolic equations with two independent variables. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **39** (2003), no. 4, 516–526; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **39** (2003), no. 4, 553–563.
- [8] T. I. Kiguradze and T. Kusano, Bounded and periodic in a strip solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems with two independent variables. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **49** (2005), no. 2-3, 335–364.