

## Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions of One Class of Nonlinear Differential Equations of Fourth Order

V. M. Evtukhov, S. V. Golubev

*Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, Odessa, Ukraine*

*E-mails: evmod@i.ua; sergii.golubev@stud.onu.edu.ua*

We consider a two-membered non-autonomous fourth-order differential equation of the form

$$y^{(4)} = \alpha_0 p_0(t)[1 + r(t)]e^{\sigma y} \quad (\sigma \neq 0), \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $p_0 : [a, \omega[ \rightarrow ]0, +\infty[$  is a continuous or continuously differentiable function,  $-\infty < a < \omega \leq +\infty$ ,  $r : [a, \omega[ \rightarrow ]-1, +\infty[$  is a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} r(t) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that in this equation the function  $e^{\sigma y}$  ( $\sigma \neq 0$ ) is a fast-variable function when  $y \rightarrow Y_0 = \pm\infty$  (by Karamata). We can choose the intervals  $\Delta_{Y_0}$  of the points  $Y_0 = \pm\infty$  as the neighbourhood of  $\Delta_{Y_0}$

$$\Delta_{Y_0} = \begin{cases} ]0, +\infty[, & \text{if } Y_0 = +\infty, \\ ]-\infty, 0[, & \text{if } Y_0 = -\infty. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.** A solution  $y$  of the differential equation (1) is called a  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$ -solution where  $-\infty \leq \lambda_0 \leq +\infty$ , if it is defined on the interval  $[t_0, \omega[ \subset [a, \omega[$  and satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & y(t) \in \Delta_{Y_0} \text{ or } t \in [t_0, \omega[, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} y(t) = Y_0 = \pm\infty, \\ & \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} y^{(k)}(t) = \begin{cases} \text{or } 0, \\ \text{or } \pm\infty, \end{cases} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3), \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{[y^{(3)}(t)]^2}{y^{(2)}(t)y^{(4)}(t)} = \lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

From this definition, in particular, it follows that the number of

$$\nu_0 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{or } Y_0 = +\infty, \\ -1, & \text{or } Y_0 = -\infty \end{cases}$$

determines the signs of any  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$ -solution and its first derivative in any left neighbourhood of  $\omega$ . In [1] for  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$ -solutions at  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$  (non special case) the following two theorems were obtained, but to formulate them we need to introduce additional auxiliary notations

$$\begin{aligned} K(\lambda_0) &= \frac{(\lambda_0 - 1)^3}{\lambda_0(2\lambda_0 - 1)}, \quad \pi_\omega(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } \omega = +\infty, \\ t - \omega, & \text{if } \omega < +\infty, \end{cases} \\ J_0(t) &= \int_{A_0}^t \pi_\omega^3(\tau) p_0(\tau) d\tau, \quad J_1(t) = \int_{A_1}^t \frac{p_0(\tau)}{J_0(\tau)} d\tau, \quad J_i(t) = \int_{A_i}^t J_{i-1}(\tau) d\tau \quad (i = 2, 3), \\ Y(t) &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left( \alpha_0 \left( -\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) K(\lambda_0) J_0(t) \right), \quad q(t) = \frac{Y'(t)}{\alpha_0 J_3(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integration boundary  $A_i$  is chosen to be equal to either  $\omega$  or constant  $a$  and is defined in such a way that at this value of  $A_i$  the integral tends either to 0 or to  $\pm\infty$ . The following two theorems were established for equation (1) in [1].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ . For the differential equation (1) to have  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$ -solutions, the following inequalities*

$$\alpha_0\nu_0\lambda_0(2\lambda_0 - 1)(3\lambda_0 - 2) > 0, \quad \alpha_0\nu_1K(\lambda_0)\pi_\omega(t) > 0 \text{ at } t \in ]a, \omega[, \tag{2}$$

and the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0\sigma K(\lambda_0)J_0(t) < 0 \text{ at } t \in ]a, \omega[, \\ \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\pi_\omega(t)J'_0(t)}{J_0(t)} = \pm\infty, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \frac{\pi_\omega(t)J'_1(t)}{J_1(t)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} q(t) = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

must be satisfied and each such solution admits at  $t \uparrow \omega$  the following asymptotic mappings

$$y(t) = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \ln \left( \alpha_0 \left( -\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) K(\lambda_0)J_0(t) \right) + o(1), \quad y^{(k)}(t) = \alpha_0 J_{4-k}(t)[1 + o(1)] \quad (k = 1, 2, 3).$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ , the function  $p_0$  be continuous and conditions (2), (3) be satisfied. Let, in addition*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} (1 - q(t))|Y(t)|^{\frac{3}{4}} = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_0\sigma > 0. \tag{4}$$

Then the differential equation (1) has a two-parameter family  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$  of solutions which satisfy at  $t \uparrow \omega$  the asymptotic mappings

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= Y(t) + o(1), \quad y'(t) = \alpha_0 J_3(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right], \quad y''(t) = \alpha_0 J_2(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right], \\ y'''(t) &= \alpha_0 J_1(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 2, the first of conditions (4) is rather rigid. In the present paper an attempt is made to eliminate it.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ , the function  $p_0$  be continuously differentiable and conditions (2), (3) be satisfied. Suppose, in addition, that the second condition in (4) is satisfied and there exists a finite or equal to  $\pm\infty$  limit*

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \pi_\omega(t)q'(t).$$

Then the differential equation (1) has a two-parameter family  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$  of solutions which satisfy at  $t \uparrow \omega$  the asymptotic mappings

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= Y(t) + o(1), \quad y'(t) = \alpha_0 J_3(t) \left[ q(t) + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right], \quad y''(t) = \alpha_0 J_2(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right], \\ y'''(t) &= \alpha_0 J_1(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{o(1)}{|Y(t)|^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

### Sketch of the proof

First, it is easy to prove that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \pi_\omega(t) q'(t) = 0.$$

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2 of [1], equation (1) by the transformation

$$y(t) = Y(t) + y_1(t), \quad y^{(k)}(t) = \alpha_0 J_{4-k}(t) [1 + y_{k+1}(t)] \quad (k = 1, 2, 3) \quad (6)$$

is reduced to a system of differential equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y_1' &= \alpha_0 J_3(t) [1 - q(t) + y_2], \\ y_2' &= \frac{J_3'(t)}{J_3(t)} (y_3 - y_2), \\ y_3' &= \frac{J_2'(t)}{J_2(t)} (y_4 - y_3), \\ y_4' &= \frac{J_1'(t)}{J_1(t)} [r(t) + (1 + r(t))y_1 - y_4 + R(t, y_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

We will consider this system on the set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= [t_1, \omega[ \times D, \quad \text{where } D = \left\{ (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in \mathbb{R}_{\frac{1}{2}}^4 : |y_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4) \right\}, \\ &\quad \text{where } |R(t, y_1)| \leq y_1^2 \text{ at } |y_1| \leq \delta \text{ for some } 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further we will use the obtained system on the set  $\Omega_0 = [t_1, \omega[ \times \mathbb{R}_\delta^4$ .

In contrast to Theorem 2, let us make an additional transformation

$$y_1(t) = z_1(t), \quad y_2(t) = z_2(t) + q(t) - 1, \quad y_3(t) = z_3(t), \quad y_4(t) = z_4(t), \quad (7)$$

the sense of which is to exclude the summand  $(1 - q(t))$  from the first equation of the system and as a result we obtain a system of differential equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} z_1' &= \frac{Y(t)}{\pi_\omega(t)} \{ \xi_1(t) z_2 \}, \\ z_2' &= \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} \{ \xi_2(t) (z_3 - z_2) - \pi_\omega(t) q'(t) \}, \\ z_3' &= \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} \{ \xi_3(t) (z_4 - z_3) \}, \\ z_4' &= \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(t)} \left\{ \xi_4(t) [r(t) + (1 + r(t))z_1 - z_4 + R(t, z_1)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \xi_1(t) = \frac{3\lambda_0 - 2}{\lambda_0 - 1}, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \xi_2(t) = \frac{2\lambda_0 - 1}{\lambda_0 - 1}, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \xi_3(t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1}, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow \omega} \xi_4(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1}.$$

To asymptotically equalise the multipliers at  $t \uparrow \omega$  in the right-hand side of the equations of the system (8), we apply the following transformation to it:

$$z_1(t) = v_1(t), \quad z_2(t) = |Y(t)|^{-\frac{3}{4}} v_2(t), \quad z_3(t) = |Y(t)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_3(t), \quad z_4(t) = |Y(t)|^{-\frac{1}{4}} v_4(t). \quad (9)$$

As a result, we obtain a system of quasilinear differential equations for which all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 of [2] are fulfilled. The limit matrix of coefficients at  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  of the obtained quasilinear system has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{3\lambda_0 - 2}{\lambda_0 - 1} \left( \frac{\nu_0}{\text{sign } \sigma} \right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2\lambda_0 - 1}{\lambda_0 - 1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_0 - 1} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_0 - 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and has, taking into account the sign conditions (2), (3), a characteristic equation of the form

$$\lambda^4 + \frac{\alpha_0}{\sigma} \frac{|3\lambda_0 - 2| |2\lambda_0 - 1| |\lambda_0|}{(\lambda_0 - 1)^4} = 0.$$

The characteristic equation has two pairs of complex-conjugate roots with real parts different from zero. Then the system of differential equations has a two-parameter family of solutions  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 : [t_2, \omega[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\delta^4$  ( $t_2 \in [t_0, \omega[$ ), which tend to 0 at  $t \uparrow \omega$ . To each such solution, taking into account substitutions (6), (7), (9), corresponds a solution  $y : [t_2, \omega[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the differential equation (1) for which the asymptotic representations (5) take place at  $t \uparrow \omega$ . It is also easy to check, taking into account these asymptotic representations and the form of equation (1), that the solutions we have constructed are  $P_\omega(Y_0, \lambda_0)$ -solutions.

## References

- [1] V. M. Evtukhov and S. V. Golubev, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations with exponential. *Researches in Mathematics and Mechanics* **27** (2022), no. 1-2, 38–39.
- [2] V. M. Evtukhov and A. M. Samoilenko, Conditions for the existence of solutions of real nonautonomous systems of quasilinear differential equations vanishing at a singular point. (Russian) *Ukr. Mat. Zh.* **62** (2010), no. 1, 52–80; translation in *Ukr. Math. J.* **62** (2010), no. 1, 56–86.