

## Necessary Solvability Conditions for Non-Linear Integral Boundary Value Problems

**A. Rontó**

*Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Business and Management  
Brno, Czech Republic  
E-mail: rontoa@fbm.vutbr.cz*

**M. Rontó**

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, Miskolc, Hungary  
E-mail: matronto@uni-miskolc.hu*

**I. Varga**

*Faculty of Mathematics, Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod, Ukraine  
E-mail: iana.varga@uzhnu.edu.ua*

We study the following non-linear integral boundary value problem

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad \int_a^b g(s, x(s)) ds = d, \quad (1)$$

where  $f \in C([a, b] \times D; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in C([a, b] \times D; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a given vector and the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  will be specified later (See, (7), (8)). Moreover, we suppose that  $f \in Lip(K, D)$ ,  $g \in Lip(K_g, D)$ , i.e.,  $f$  and  $g$  locally Lipschitzian

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, u) - f(t, v)| &\leq K|u - v|, \quad \text{for all } \{u, v\} \subset D \text{ and } t \in [a, b], \\ |g(t, u) - g(t, v)| &\leq K_g|u - v|, \quad \text{for all } \{u, v\} \subset D \text{ and } t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

To study the BVP (1) we will use an approach similar to that of [1].

For vectors  $x = col(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  the notation  $|x| = col(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|)$  is used and the inequalities between vectors are understood componentwise. The same convention is adopted for operations like “max” and “min”. For any non-negative vector  $\rho \in \mathbf{R}^n$  under the componentwise  $\rho$ -neighbourhood of a point  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we understand the set

$$O_\rho(z) := \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\xi - z| \leq \rho\}. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the  $\rho$ -neighbourhood of a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is defined as

$$O_\rho(\Omega) := \bigcup_{z \in \Omega} O_\rho(z). \quad (4)$$

A particular kind of vector  $\rho$  will be specified below in relations (7), (8).

$I_n$  is the identity matrix of dimension  $n$ .  $r(K)$  is the maximal, in modulus, eigenvalue of the matrix  $K$ . We also assume that

$$r(Q) < 1, \quad Q = \frac{3(b-a)}{10} K. \quad (5)$$

Let us choose certain compact convex sets  $D_a \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $D_b \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and define the set

$$D_{a,b} := (1 - \theta)z + \theta\eta, \quad z \in D_a, \quad \eta \in D_b, \quad \theta \in [0, 1] \quad (6)$$

and according to (4) its  $\rho$ -neighbourhood

$$D = O_\rho(D_{a,b}) \quad (7)$$

with a non-negative vector  $\rho = \text{col}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\rho \geq \frac{b-a}{2} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f), \quad (8)$$

where  $\delta_{[a,b],D}(f)$  denotes the 1/2 of oscillation of function  $f$  over  $[a, b] \times D \times D$

$$\delta_{[a,b],D}(f) := \frac{\max_{(t,x) \in [a,b] \times D} f(t,x) - \min_{(t,x) \in [a,b] \times D} f(t,x)}{2}. \quad (9)$$

Instead of the original boundary value problem (1) we will consider the family of auxiliary two-point parametrized boundary value problems

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (10)$$

$$x(a) = z, \quad x(b) = \eta, \quad (11)$$

where  $z$  and  $\eta$  are treated as free parameters.

Let us connect with problem (10), (11) the sequence of functions

$$\begin{aligned} x_{m+1}(t, z, \eta) = & z + \int_a^t f(s, x_m(s, z, \eta)) ds \\ & - \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s, x_m(s, z, \eta)) ds + \frac{t-a}{b-a} [\eta - z], \quad t \in [a, b], \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

satisfying (11) for arbitrary  $z, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$x_0(t, z, \eta) = z + \frac{t-a}{b-a} [\eta - z] = \left(1 - \frac{t-a}{b-a}\right)z + \frac{t-a}{b-a} \eta, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (13)$$

It is easy to see from (13) that  $x_0(t, z, \eta)$  is a linear combination of vectors  $z$  and  $\eta$ , when  $z \in D_a$ ,  $\eta \in D_b$ .

We have previously proved the following statements.

**Theorem 1** (Uniform convergence). *Let conditions (2), (5), (8) be fulfilled.*

*Then, for all fixed  $(z, \eta) \in D_a \times D_b$  we have*

1. *The functions of sequence (12) belonging to the domain  $D$  of form (7) are continuously differentiable on the interval  $[a, b]$  and satisfy conditions (11).*
2. *The sequence of functions (12) for  $t \in [a, b]$  converges uniformly as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  with respect to the domain  $(t, z, \eta) \in [a, b] \times D_a \times D_b$  to the limit function*

$$x_\infty(t, z, \eta) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, z, \eta), \quad (14)$$

*satisfying conditions (11).*

3. The function  $x_\infty(t, z, \eta)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$  is a unique continuously differentiable solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = z + \int_a^t f(s, x(s)) ds - \frac{t-a}{b-a} \int_a^b f(s, x(s)) ds + \frac{t-a}{b-a} [\eta - z], \tag{15}$$

i.e., it is the solution to the Cauchy problem for the modified system of integro-differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x(t)) + \frac{1}{b-a} \Delta(z, \eta), \quad x(a) = z, \tag{16}$$

where  $\Delta(z, \eta) : D_a \times D_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a mapping given by the formula

$$\Delta(z, \eta) = [\eta - z] - \int_a^b f(s, x_\infty(s, z, \eta)) ds. \tag{17}$$

4. The error estimation

$$|x_\infty(t, z, \eta) - x_m(t, z, \eta)| \leq \frac{10}{9} \alpha_1(t) Q^m (1_n - Q)^{-1} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad m \geq 0 \tag{18}$$

holds, where

$$\alpha_1(t) = 2(t-a) \left(1 - \frac{t-a}{b-a}\right) \leq \frac{b-a}{2}, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

**Theorem 2** (Relation  $x_\infty(t, z, \eta)$  to the solution of the original boundary value problem (1)). Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the limit function  $x_\infty(t, z, \eta) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, z, \eta)$  of sequence (12) is a solution to the integral boundary value problem (1) if and only if the pair of vector-parameters  $(z, \eta)$  satisfies the system of  $2n$  determining algebraic equations

$$\Delta(z, \eta) := [\eta - z] - \int_a^b f(s, x_\infty(s, z, \eta)) ds = 0, \quad \Lambda(z, \eta) = \int_a^t g(s, x_\infty(s, z, \eta)) ds = d. \tag{19}$$

On the base of  $m$ th approximate determining equations

$$\Delta_m(z, \eta) := [\eta - z] - \int_a^b f(s, x_m(s, z, \eta)) ds = 0, \quad \Lambda_m(z, \eta) = \int_a^t g(s, x_m(s, z, \eta)) ds = d \tag{20}$$

introduce the mapping  $H_m : D_a \times D_b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$H_m(z, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} [\eta - z] - \int_a^b f(s, x_\infty(s, z, \eta)) ds \\ \Lambda_m(z, \eta) - d \end{bmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

**Theorem 3** (Sufficient conditions for the solvability of the integral boundary value problem (1)). Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Moreover, one can specify an  $m \geq 1$  and set

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of the form  $\Omega := D_1 \times D_2$ , where  $D_1 \subseteq D_a$ ,  $D_2 \subseteq D_b$  are certain bounded open sets, such that the mapping  $H_m$ , satisfies the relation

$$|H_m(z, \eta)| \triangleright_{\partial\Omega} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{10(b-a)^2}{27} KQ^m(I_n - Q)^{-1} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f) \\ \frac{5(b-a)}{9} K_g Q^m(I_n - Q)^{-1} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f) \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , where the binary relation  $\triangleright_{\partial\Omega}$  in (22) means that for all  $(z, \eta) \in \partial\Omega$  at least one of the components  $k(z, \eta)$  of the vector  $H_m(z, \eta)$  is greater than the corresponding component of the right hand side vector in (22). (One can see, that the number  $k(z, \eta)$  of components depends on the point  $(z, \eta) \in \partial\Omega$ .)

If, in addition, the Brouwer's degree of the mapping  $H_m$  does not equal to zero, i.e.,

$$\deg(H_m, \Omega, 0) \neq 0, \quad (23)$$

then there exists a pair  $(z^*, \eta^*)$  from  $D_1 \times D_2$  for which the function  $x^*(\cdot) = x_\infty(\cdot, z^*, \eta^*)$  is a continuously differentiable solution to the boundary value problem (1), where  $x_\infty(t, z^*, \eta^*) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(t, z^*, \eta^*)$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ .

In order to verify condition (22) of Theorem 3 one has to use the recurrence formula (12) to compute the function  $x_m(\cdot, z, \eta)$  analytically, depending on the parameters  $z$  and  $\eta$ , at every point  $(z, \eta) \in \partial\Omega$ , verify whether at least one component of the  $2n$ -dimensional vector  $|H_m(z, \eta)|$  is strictly greater than the corresponding component of the vector at right hand side of (22). Verification of the validity of (23) is a rather difficult problem in general. But in the smooth case, it follows directly from the definition of the topological degree, that if the Jacobian matrix of the function  $H_m$  in (21) is non-singular at its isolated zero  $(z_m^0, \eta_m^0)$ , i.e.,

$$\det \frac{\partial}{\partial(z, \eta)} H_m(z_m^0, \eta_m^0) \neq 0,$$

then inequality (23) holds. The symbol  $\frac{\partial}{\partial(z, \eta)}$  means the derivative with respect to the vector of variables  $(z_1, \dots, z_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ .

We proved the following lemma about the continuous dependence of the limit function  $x_\infty(\cdot, z, \eta)$  and determining functions  $\Delta(z, \eta), \Lambda(z, \eta)$  defined in (19) with respect to parameters  $(z, \eta) \in D_a \times D_b$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied for the integral boundary value problem (1). Then for arbitrary pairs of parameters  $(z', \eta') \in D_a \times D_b$  and  $(z'', \eta'') \in D_a \times D_b$ , the limit functions  $x'_\infty(\cdot, z', \eta')$ ,  $x''_\infty(\cdot, z'', \eta'')$  of sequence (12) for  $t \in [a, b]$  satisfy the following Lipschitz-type condition*

$$|x'_\infty(\cdot, z', \eta') - x''_\infty(\cdot, z'', \eta'')| \leq \left[ I_n + \frac{10}{9} \alpha_1(\cdot) K(I_n - Q)^{-1} \right] [ |z' - z''| + |\eta' - \eta''| ]. \quad (24)$$

Formulas (19) determine well defined functions  $\Delta(z, \eta) : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\Lambda(z, \eta) : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , which in addition satisfy the following Lipschitz-type estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta(z', \eta') - \Delta(z'', \eta'')| &\leq \left[ I_n + \left( (b-a)K + \frac{10}{27} (b-a)^2 K(I_n - Q)^{-1} \right) \right] [ |z' - z''| + |\eta' - \eta''| ], \\ |\Lambda(z', \eta') - \Lambda(z'', \eta'')| &\leq \left[ \left( (b-a)K_g + \frac{10}{27} K_g (b-a)^2 K(I_n - Q)^{-1} \right) \right] [ |z' - z''| + |\eta' - \eta''| ]. \end{aligned}$$

The following statement gives a condition which is necessary for the domain

$$\Omega = G_a \times G_b, \quad G_a \subseteq D_a, \quad G_b \subseteq D_b \tag{25}$$

to contain a pair of parameters  $(z^*, \eta^*)$  determining the solution

$$x(\cdot) = x_\infty(\cdot, z^*, \eta^*) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_m(\cdot, z^*, \eta^*)$$

of the given integral boundary value problem (1).

**Theorem 4.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied for the integral boundary value problem (1). Then for domain (25) to contain a pair of parameters  $(z^*, \eta^*)$  determining the solution  $x(\cdot)$  of the given integral boundary value problem at the points  $t = a$  and  $t = b$*

$$x(a) = z^* \quad \text{and} \quad x(b) = \eta^*,$$

*it is necessary that for all  $m$  and arbitrary  $\tilde{z} \in G_a, \tilde{\eta} \in G_b$  to be true for the approximate determining functions the following inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\eta}) &\leq \sup_{z \in G_a, \eta \in G_b} \left[ I_n + \left( (b-a)K + \frac{10}{27} (b-a)^2 K (I_n - Q)^{-1} \right) \right] [ |z' - z''| + |\eta' - \eta''| ] \\ &\quad + \frac{10}{27} (b-a)^2 K Q^m (1_n - Q)^{-1} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f), \\ \Lambda_m(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\eta}) &\leq \sup_{z \in G_a, \eta \in G_b} \left[ \left( (b-a)K_g + \frac{10}{27} K_g (b-a)^2 K (I_n - Q)^{-1} \right) \right] [ |z' - z''| + |\eta' - \eta''| ] \\ &\quad + \frac{10}{27} (b-a)^2 K_g Q^m (1_n - Q)^{-1} \delta_{[a,b],D}(f). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] A. Rontó, M. Rontó and J. Varha, A new approach to non-local boundary value problems for ordinary differential systems. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **250** (2015), 689–700.