

The Asymptotic of Unboundedly Continuable to the Right Solutions of the Ordinary Differential Equation of Second Order

V. Evtukhov, L. Koltsova

Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, Odessa, Ukraine

E-mails: evmod@i.ua; koltsova.liliya@gmail.com

We consider the second order ordinary differential equation of the form:

$$F(t, y, y', y'') = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k(t) y^{\alpha_k} |y'|^{\beta_k} |y''|^{\gamma_k} = 0, \tag{1}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \alpha_k, \beta_k, \gamma_k \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k| \neq 0, p_k \in C([a; +\infty), a > 0; \mathbb{R}) (k = \overline{1, n}), p_i(t) \neq 0 (i = \overline{1, s})$ for some $2 \leq s \leq n$).

We investigate the question of the existence and asymptotic behavior (as $t \rightarrow +\infty$) of unboundedly continuable to the right solutions (*R*-solutions) $y(t)$ of equation (1) and the derivatives $y'(t), y''(t)$ of these solutions.

Earlier in [3] we have considered a similar question of the asymptotic behavior of solutions of equation of the form (1) when $\sum_{k=1}^n |\gamma_k| = 0$, that is when equation (1) is a first order differential equation.

The main result is obtained under the assumption that there exists a function $v \in C^2([t_1; +\infty), t_1 > a; \mathbb{R})$ which possesses the following properties:

(A) $v(t) > 0, v''(t) \neq 0$ on $[t_1; +\infty)$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t) = 0 \vee +\infty;$$

(B)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v''(t)v(t)}{(v'(t))^2} = \mu \quad (0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{R});$$

(C)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p_i(t)v^{\alpha_i}(t)|v'(t)|^{\beta_i}|v''(t)|^{\gamma_i}}{p_1(t)v^{\alpha_1}(t)|v'(t)|^{\beta_1}|v''(t)|^{\gamma_1}} = c_i \quad (0 \neq c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = \overline{1, s}),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \gamma_i c_i \neq 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p_j(t)v^{\alpha_j}(t)|v'(t)|^{\beta_j}|v''(t)|^{\gamma_j}}{p_1(t)v^{\alpha_1}(t)|v'(t)|^{\beta_1}|v''(t)|^{\gamma_1}} = 0 \quad (j = \overline{s+1, n}).$$

The following lemma is valid.

Lemma. *Let in the relation*

$$\Phi(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in H$, $H = [a; +\infty) \times \prod_{k=1}^3 H_k$, $H_k = [-h_k; h_k]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), the function $\Phi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the conditions:

1) $\Phi, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_3^2} \in C(H; \mathbb{R});$

2)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{(x_1; x_2) \in H_1 \times H_2} |\Phi(t, x_1, x_2, 0)| = 0;$$

3)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_3}(t, 0, 0, 0) = A_1 \neq 0;$$

4)

$$\sup_D \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_3^2}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \right| = A_2 < +\infty.$$

Then in some domain $H^* = H_0 \times H_3^*$, $H_0 = [t_0; +\infty) \times \prod_{k=1}^2 H_k^*$, $H_k^* = [-h_k^*; h_k^*]$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), where t_0 and h_k^* satisfy the inequality $t_0 \geq a$, $0 < h_k^* \leq h_k$, $\frac{4A_2 h_3^*}{|A_1|} < 1$, relation (2) defines a unique function $x_3 : H_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the conditions:

$$x_3, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_2} \in C(H_0; \mathbb{R}), \quad \Phi(t, x_1, x_2, x_3(t, x_1, x_2)) \equiv 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x_3(t, 0, 0) = 0$$

and

$$x_3(t, x_1, x_2) \sim -\frac{\Phi(t, x_1, x_2, 0)}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_3}(t, x_1, x_2, 0)}.$$

The following theorem was obtained using the above lemma and the results from [1, 2, 4].

Theorem. *Let there exist a function $v \in C^2([t_1; +\infty), t_1 > a; \mathbb{R})$ which possesses the properties (A)–(C). Then for the R-solution $y(t)$ of the differential equation (1) with the asymptotic representation*

$$y^{(k)}(t) \sim v^{(k)}(t) \quad (k = \overline{0, 2}) \quad (3)$$

to exist it is necessary, and if the roots λ_1, λ_2 of the algebraic equation

$$\lambda^2 + \left(1 + \frac{m \sum_{i=1}^s (\beta_i + \gamma_i) c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^s \gamma_i c_i} \right) \lambda + \frac{m \sum_{i=1}^s (\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i) c_i}{\sum_{i=1}^s \gamma_i c_i} = 0$$

have the property $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k \neq 0$ ($k = 1, 2$), then it is also sufficient that $\sum_{i=1}^s c_i = 0$.

Moreover, if $\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1) \neq \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2)$, then there exists a one-parametric set of R-solutions with the asymptotic representation (3); if in some suburb of $+\infty$

$$\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_1) = \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2) \neq \operatorname{sign}(v'(t)),$$

then there exists a two-parametric set of R-solutions with the asymptotic representation (3).

References

- [1] V. M. Evtukhov and A. M. Samoilenko, Conditions for the existence of solutions of real nonautonomous systems of quasilinear differential equations vanishing at a singular point. *Ukrainian Math. J.* **62** (2010), no. 1, 56–86.
- [2] I. T. Kiguradze and T. A. Chanturiya, *Asymptotic Properties of Solutions of Non-Autonomous Ordinary Differential Equations*. (Russian) Nauka, Moscow, 1990.
- [3] L. Koltsova and A. Kostin, The asymptotic behavior of solutions of monotone type of first-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, unresolved for the derivative. *Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys.* **57** (2012), 51–74.
- [4] A. V. Kostin, Asymptotics of the regular solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **23** (1987), no. 3, 524–526.