

Sixth Order Accuracy Difference Schemes for the Helmholtz-Type Equation

Givi Berikelashvili^{1,2}

¹*A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University
Tbilisi, Georgia*

²*Department of Mathematics, Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mails: bergi@rmi.ge, g.berikelashvili@gtu.ge*

Nikoloz Kachakhidze

*Department of Mathematics, Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
E-mail: N.Kachakhidze@gtu.ge*

The first difference schemes with sixth order accuracy for approximation of elliptic equations were offered by S. Mikeladze [6, 7], and further were being studied by a number of authors. Convergence of these schemes with rate $O(h^6)$ were stated under condition that the solution of the differential problem belongs to the class $C^8(\bar{\Omega})$.

One of the most frequently encountering equations of numerical weather prediction, and fluid dynamics generally, is the Helmholtz-type diagnostic equation [5]. Below, we propose and investigate difference schemes approximating the following problem

$$\Delta u - \lambda u = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is a constant and $\Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2) : 0 < x_\alpha < l, \alpha = 1, 2\}$ is the square with boundary Γ .

In $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ we introduce a grid $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\omega}_1 \times \bar{\omega}_2$, where

$$\bar{\omega}_\alpha = \left\{ x_\alpha = i_\alpha h : i_\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, N, h = \frac{l}{N} \right\}, \quad \gamma = \bar{\omega} \setminus \omega.$$

Besides

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\alpha &= \bar{\omega}_\alpha \cap (0; l), \quad \omega_\alpha^+ = \bar{\omega}_\alpha \cap (0; l], \quad \omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2, \quad \omega^+ = \omega_1^+ \times \omega_2^+, \\ \omega_{(1)} &= \omega_1^+ \times \omega_2, \quad \omega_{(2)} = \omega_1 \times \omega_2^+, \quad \gamma = \bar{\omega} \setminus \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(y, v)_{\bar{\omega}} = \sum_{x \in \bar{\omega}} h^2 y(x) v(x), \quad \|y\|_{\bar{\omega}}^2 = (y, y)_{\bar{\omega}} \quad \text{for } \tilde{\omega} \subseteq \bar{\omega}.$$

Let's denote by H the set of grid functions given on $\bar{\omega}$ and vanishing on γ , with the scalar production and norm $(y, v) = (y, v)_\omega$, $\|y\| = \|y\|_\omega$.

Also, in space H , we introduce the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{(\alpha)}^2 &= (y, y)_{\omega(\alpha)}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \\ \|y\|_1^2 &= \|y\|_{W_2^1(\omega)}^2 = \|y_{\bar{x}_1}\|_{(1)}^2 + \|y_{\bar{x}_2}\|_{(2)}^2, \\ \|y\|_2^2 &= \|y\|_{W_2^2(\omega)}^2 = \|y_{\bar{x}_1 x_1}\|^2 + \|y_{\bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_2}\|^2 + 2\|y_{\bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2}\|_{\omega^+}^2, \end{aligned}$$

It is supposed that

$$\|y\|_{W_2^0(\omega)} = \|y\|.$$

For functions with continuous argument we will use the following averaging operators

$$T_\alpha u = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|) u(x_1 + (2 - \alpha)th, x_2 + (\alpha - 1)th) dt, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$$

We will approximate problem (1) with the help of family of difference schemes dependent on a parameter ε :

$$Ay = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \omega, \quad y(x) = 0, \quad x \in \gamma, \quad (2)$$

where

$$A \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^2 h^4}{360} - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\lambda h^2}{12} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{12}\right)\right) (A_1 + A_2) - \frac{h^2}{6} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda h^2}{60} (7 - 5\varepsilon)\right) A_1 A_2 + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{12} \varepsilon\right) E,$$

$$A_\alpha y \equiv -y_{\bar{x}_\alpha x_\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad Ey \equiv y, \quad \varphi = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{12} \varepsilon\right) T_1 T_2 f + \frac{h^2}{240} (A_1 A_2 f + \lambda(A_1 + A_2)f).$$

It can be proved that operator A is self-conjugate and positively defined in H ; the following estimations

$$A \geq \delta(A_1 + A_2) + \frac{4}{9} \lambda E, \quad \delta \|y\|_1^2 \leq (Ay, y), \quad \delta \|y\|_2 \leq \|Ay\|,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{12} \varepsilon\right),$$

are valid for it.

Positive definiteness of operator A ensures unique solvability of the difference scheme (2).

Substituting $y = z + u$ in (2), we get the problem

$$Az = \psi, \quad x \in \omega, \quad z(x) = 0, \quad x \in \gamma \quad (3)$$

for error z , where $\psi = \varphi - Au$ is an approximation error.

Using equation (1) and the identity $T_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\alpha^2} = u_{\bar{x}_\alpha x_\alpha}$ we represent ψ in the form

$$\psi = \left(1 + \frac{\lambda h^2}{12} \varepsilon\right) (A_1 \eta_1 + A_2 \eta_2 + A_3 \eta_3) + \lambda \eta_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{3-\alpha} &= T_\alpha u - u + \frac{h^2}{12} A_\alpha u - \frac{h^2}{240} A_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_\alpha^2}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \\ \eta_3 &= T_1 T_2 u - u + \frac{h^2}{12} (A_1 + A_2) u - \frac{11}{720} h^4 A_1 A_2 u - \frac{h^4}{240} (A_1 + A_2) \Delta u, \\ \eta_4 &= \left(A_1 A_2 \Delta u + \lambda(A_1 + A_2) \Delta u + \frac{11}{3} \lambda A_1 A_2 u\right) \frac{\varepsilon h^6}{12 \cdot 240}. \end{aligned}$$

For the solution of problem (3) the following estimations are true:

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\| &\leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\eta_1\| + \|\eta_2\| + \frac{\lambda l^2}{16} (\|\eta_3\| + \|\eta_4\|)\right), \\ \|z\|_1 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\eta_{1\bar{x}_1}\|_{(1)} + \|\eta_{2\bar{x}_2}\|_{(2)} + \frac{\lambda l}{4} (\|\eta_3\| + \|\eta_4\|)\right), \\ \|z\|_2 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \left(\|\eta_{1\bar{x}_1 x_1}\|_{(1)} + \|\eta_{2\bar{x}_2 x_2}\|_{(2)} + \lambda (\|\eta_3\| + \|\eta_4\|)\right). \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that expansions of linear (with respect to $u(x)$) functionals $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4$ in the class of sufficiently smooth functions start from sixth order derivatives.

With the help of technique of investigation [1, 4, 8], based on using of approximating lemma of Bramble–Hilbert [2, 3], we become convinced in validness of the following

Theorem 1. *Let the solution of problem (1) belong to the space $W_2^m(\Omega)$, $m > 3$. Then the convergence of the difference scheme (2) at $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is characterized by the estimation*

$$\|y - u\|_{W_2^s(\omega)} \leq Mh^{m-s}\|u\|_{W_2^m(\Omega)}, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \quad m \in (3, 6 + s].$$

References

- [1] G. Berikelashvili, Construction and analysis of difference schemes for some elliptic problems, and consistent estimates of the rate of convergence. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **38** (2006), 1–131.
- [2] J. H. Bramble and S. R. Hilbert, Bounds for a class of linear functionals with applications to Hermite interpolation. *Numer. Math.* **16** (1970/71), 362–369.
- [3] T. Dupont and R. Scott, Polynomial approximation of functions in Sobolev spaces. *Math. Comp.* **34** (1980), no. 150, 441–463.
- [4] B. S. Jovanović, *The Finite Difference Method for Boundary-Value Problems with Weak Solutions*. Posebna Izdanja [Special Editions], 16. Matematički Institut u Beogradu, Belgrade, 1993.
- [5] J. A. Leslie, An inverse problem in super geometry. *Analysis on infinite-dimensional Lie groups and algebras (Marseille, 1997)*, 235–243, *World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ*, 1998.
- [6] S. Mikeladze, Über die numerische Lösung der Differentialgleichung $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = \varphi(x, y, z)$. (German) *C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS, n. Ser.* **14** (1937), 177–179.
- [7] S. E. Mikeladze, Über die numerische Lösung der Differentialgleichungen von Laplace und Poisson. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS, Ser. Math.* **1938**, No. 2, 271–292.
- [8] A. A. Samarskii, R. D. Lazarov and V. L. Makarov. *Difference Schemes for Differential Equations with Generalized Solutions*. Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow (1987).