

On One Class of Solutions of Linear Matrix Equations

S. A. Shchogolev, V. V. Karapetrov

Odessa I. I. Mechnikov National University, Odessa, Ukraine

E-mail: sergas1959@gmail.com

Let

$$G(\varepsilon_0) = \{t, \varepsilon : t \in \mathbf{R}, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+\}.$$

Definition 1. We say that a function $f(t, \varepsilon)$ belongs to the class $S(m; \varepsilon_0)$, $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$, if:

- 1) $f : G(\varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$,
- 2) $f(t, \varepsilon) \in C^m(G(\varepsilon_0))$ at t ,
- 3) $d^k f(t, \varepsilon)/dt^k = \varepsilon^k f_k(t, \varepsilon)$ ($0 \leq k \leq m$),

$$\|f\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=0}^m \sup_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |f_k(t, \varepsilon)| < +\infty.$$

Definition 2. We say that a function $f(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon))$ belongs to the class $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ($m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$), if

$$f(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon)) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t, \varepsilon) \exp(in\theta(t, \varepsilon)),$$

and

- 1) $f_n(t, \varepsilon) \in S(m, \varepsilon_0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}$),
- 2)

$$\|f\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} < +\infty,$$

- 3) $\theta(t, \varepsilon) = \int_0^t \varphi(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau$, $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^+$, $\varphi \in S(m, \varepsilon_0)$, $\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} \varphi(t, \varepsilon) = \varphi_0 > 0$.

Definition 3. We say that a matrix $A(t, \varepsilon) = (a_{jk}(t, \varepsilon))_{j, k = \overline{1, N}}$ belongs to the class $S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)$ ($m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$), if $a_{jk} \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$).

We define the norm

$$\|A(t, \varepsilon)\|_{S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sum_{k=1}^N \|a_{jk}(t, \varepsilon)\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)}.$$

Definition 4. We say that a matrix $B(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = (b_{jk}(t, \varepsilon, \theta))_{j, k = \overline{1, N}}$ belongs to the class $F_2(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ($m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$), if $b_{jk}(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$).

We define the norm

$$\|B(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F_2(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \sum_{k=1}^N \|b_{jk}(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}. \tag{1}$$

Consider the linear non-homogeneous matrix equation

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = A(t, \varepsilon)X - XB(t, \varepsilon) + F(t, \varepsilon, \theta), \tag{2}$$

$A(t, \varepsilon), B(t, \varepsilon) \in S_2(m; \varepsilon_0), F(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$.

We study the existence of particular solutions of equation (2) in the class $F(m_1; \varepsilon_1; \theta)$ ($m_1 \leq m, \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$).

Lemma. *Let*

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda(t, \varepsilon)x + u(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon)) \tag{3}$$

be a given scalar linear non-homogeneous first-order differential equation, where $\lambda(t, \varepsilon) \in S(m; \varepsilon)$, $\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |\operatorname{Re} \lambda(t, \varepsilon)| = \gamma > 0$, and $u(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$. Then equation (3) has a unique particular solution $x(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$. This solution is given by the formula

$$x(t, \varepsilon, \theta(t, \varepsilon)) = \int_T^t u(\tau, \varepsilon, \theta(\tau, \varepsilon)) \exp\left(\int_\tau^t \lambda(s, \varepsilon) ds\right) d\tau,$$

where

$$T = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t, \varepsilon) \leq -\gamma < 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t, \varepsilon) \geq \gamma > 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exists $K_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\|x(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq K_0 \|u(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let equation (2) satisfy the next conditions:*

1) *there exist matrices $L_1(t, \varepsilon), L_2(t, \varepsilon) \in S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)$ such that*

- (a) $\det L_1(t, \varepsilon) \geq a_0 > 0, \det L_2(t, \varepsilon) \geq a_0 > 0;$
- (b) $L_1^{-1}(t, \varepsilon)A(t, \varepsilon)L_1(t, \varepsilon) = D_1(t, \varepsilon) = (d_{jk}^1(t, \varepsilon))_{j,k=\overline{1,N}},$
- (c) $L_2(t, \varepsilon)B(t, \varepsilon)L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) = D_2(t, \varepsilon) = (d_{jk}^2(t, \varepsilon))_{j,k=\overline{1,N}},$

where D_1, D_2 are lower triangular matrices belonging to the class $S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)$;

2) $\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |\operatorname{Re}(d_{jj}^1(t, \varepsilon) - d_{kk}^2(t, \varepsilon))| \geq b_0 > 0$ ($j, k = \overline{1, N}$).

Then there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ there exists unique particular solution $X(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F_2(m - 1; \varepsilon_1; \theta)$ of the matrix equation (2).

Proof. We make in equation (2) the substitution

$$X = L_1(t, \varepsilon)Y(t, \varepsilon)L_2(t, \varepsilon), \tag{4}$$

where Y – the new unknown matrix. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY}{dt} = & \left(D_1(t, \varepsilon) - L_1^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) \frac{dL_1(t, \varepsilon)}{dt} \right) Y \\ & - Y \left(D_2(t, \varepsilon) + \frac{dL_2(t, \varepsilon)}{dt} L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) \right) + L_1^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) F(t, \varepsilon, \theta) L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) \frac{dL_1(t, \varepsilon)}{dt} &= \varepsilon H_1 \frac{dL_1(t, \varepsilon)}{dt}, \quad \frac{dL_2(t, \varepsilon)}{dt} L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon H_2 \frac{dL_2(t, \varepsilon)}{dt} L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon), \\ L_1^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) F(t, \varepsilon, \theta) L_2^{-1}(t, \varepsilon) &= F_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Then equation (5) may be written as

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = D_1(t, \varepsilon)Y - YD_2(t, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon H_1(t, \varepsilon)Y - \varepsilon YH_2(t, \varepsilon) + F_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta).$$

By virtue Lemma and condition 2) of the theorem, the equation

$$\frac{dY_0}{dt} = D_1(t, \varepsilon)Y_0 - Y_0D_2(t, \varepsilon) + F_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$$

has a unique solution $Y_0(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ of the class $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$, and there exists $K_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\|Y_0(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq K_1 \|F_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta)\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}.$$

We construct the process of successive approximations, defining the initial approximation $Y_0(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$ and subsequent approximations defining as the solutions of the class $F(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ of the equations

$$\frac{dY_k}{dt} = D_1(t, \varepsilon)Y_k - Y_kD_2(t, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon H_1(t, \varepsilon)Y_{k-1} - \varepsilon Y_{k-1}H_2(t, \varepsilon) + F_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta). \quad (6)$$

Using the ordinary technique of the contraction mapping principle it is easy to show that there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ process (6) convergence by the norm (1) to the solution of the class $F(m - 1; \varepsilon_1; \theta)$ of equation (2).