

On an Upper Estimate for the First Eigenvalue of a Sturm–Liouville Problem

S. Ezhak, M. Telnova

Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: svetlana.ezhak@gmail.com; mytelnova@yandex.ru

1 Introduction

Consider the Sturm–Liouville problem

$$y'' + Q(x)y + \lambda y = 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

$$y(0) = y(1) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where Q belongs to the set $T_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ of all measurable locally integrable on $(0, 1)$ functions with non-negative values such that the following integral conditions hold

$$\int_0^1 x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta Q^\gamma(x) dx = 1, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \gamma \neq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\int_0^1 x(1-x)Q(x) dx < \infty.$$

A function y is a *solution to problem* (1.1), (1.2) if it is absolutely continuous on the segment $[0, 1]$, satisfies (1.2), its derivative y' is absolutely continuous on any segment $[\rho, 1 - \rho]$, where $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$, and equality (1.1) holds almost everywhere in the interval $(0, 1)$.

This work is a continuation of studies of estimates for the first eigenvalue of the Sturm–Liouville problem with the equation $y'' + \lambda Q(x)y = 0$, Dirichlet boundary conditions, and a non-negative summable on $[0, 1]$ potential Q satisfying the condition $\|Q\|_{L_\gamma(0,1)} = 1$, $\gamma \neq 0$, initiated by Y. V. Egorov and V. A. Kondratiev in [1]. We study a problem of that kind provided the integral conditions contain weight functions.

For $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha \leq 2\gamma - 1$, $-\infty < \beta < +\infty$ or $\gamma < 0$, $\beta \leq 2\gamma - 1$, $-\infty < \alpha < +\infty$, the set $T_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ is empty and the first eigenvalue of problem (1.1), (1.2) does not exist. For other values of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma \neq 0$, denote

$$M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = \sup_{Q \in T_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}} \lambda_1(Q).$$

2 Main results

It [2], the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 2.1. *If $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\alpha, \beta > 2\gamma - 1$, then $M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} < \pi^2$.*

In the proof of this theorem it was supposed that for any $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\alpha, \beta > 2\gamma - 1$ we have $M_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} = \pi^2$, that is, for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $Q \in T_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ such that $\lambda_1(Q) > (\pi - \varepsilon)^2$. Under this assumption we got a contradiction with condition (1.3), namely, it was proved that in this case there exists a positive constant C , depending on α, β, γ , such that

$$\int_0^1 x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta Q^\gamma(x) dx \leq C\varepsilon^M,$$

where

$$M = \min \left\{ \frac{(\alpha - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \alpha}, \frac{(\beta - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \beta} \right\} > 0.$$

Let us prove the following

Theorem 2.2. *If $\alpha, \beta > 1$, then $M_{\alpha, \beta, 1} < \pi^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $M_{\alpha, \beta, 1} = \pi^2$, $\alpha, \beta > 1$.

Let $0 < \gamma < 1$. By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma} (1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma(x) dx \leq \left(\int_0^1 x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta Q(x) dx \right)^\gamma.$$

Then

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma} (1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq \int_0^1 x^\alpha (1-x)^\beta Q(x) dx = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, the inequality $\alpha\gamma > 2\gamma - 1$ holds if and only if $\alpha > 1$. Similarly, $\beta\gamma > 2\gamma - 1$ if and only if $\beta > 1$.

Denote by $\tilde{T}_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \gamma}$ a set of measurable non-negative locally integrable on $(0, 1)$ functions Q such that

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma} (1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq 1.$$

By virtue of (2.1),

$$T_{\alpha, \beta, 1} \subset \tilde{T}_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \gamma}.$$

If we suppose that

$$M_{\alpha, \beta, 1} = \sup_{Q \in T_{\alpha, \beta, 1}} \lambda_1(Q) = \pi^2,$$

then for $0 < \gamma < 1$, we also have

$$M_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \gamma} = \sup_{Q \in \tilde{T}_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \gamma}} \lambda_1(Q) = \pi^2.$$

If $M_{\alpha, \beta, 1} = \sup_{Q \in T_{\alpha, \beta, 1}} \lambda_1(Q) = \pi^2$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $Q_* \in T_{\alpha, \beta, 1}$ such that

$$\lambda_1(Q_*) > (\pi - \varepsilon)^2.$$

This function Q_* belongs to $\tilde{T}_{\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \gamma}$ either and following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can find a positive constant C , depending on α, β, γ , such that

$$\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q_*^\gamma(x) dx \leq C\varepsilon^M,$$

where

$$M = \min \left\{ \frac{(\alpha\gamma - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \alpha\gamma}, \frac{(\beta\gamma - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \beta\gamma} \right\}.$$

Suppose that $M = \frac{(\alpha\gamma - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \alpha\gamma}$ (in case $M = \frac{(\beta\gamma - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \beta\gamma}$ the proof is similar). For any $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\alpha > 1$, we have $\alpha\gamma > 2\gamma - 1$ and M is positive.

Note that for a fixed $\alpha > 1$, if γ approaches 1, the exponent of $\varepsilon^{\frac{(\alpha\gamma - 2\gamma + 1)\gamma}{1 - \gamma + \alpha\gamma}}$ approaches a concrete positive number $\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$.

As soon as γ tends to 1, the factor C , depending on α, β, γ , tends to some constant \tilde{C} . Let us choose ε in such a way that the following inequality

$$\tilde{C}\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} < \frac{1}{2}$$

holds.

Then we get a contradiction

$$1 = \int_0^1 x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta Q(x) dx = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq \tilde{C}\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that, while γ increases from 0 to 1, the integral $\left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$ also increases.

Indeed, if $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$, then by virtue of the Hölder inequality, since $\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} > 1$, we have

$$\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma_1}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma_1} Q^{\gamma_1}(x) dx \leq \left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma_2}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma_2} Q^{\gamma_2}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}}$$

and

$$\left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma_1}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma_1} Q^{\gamma_1}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha\gamma_2}(1-x)^{\beta\gamma_2} Q^{\gamma_2}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_2}}. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] Yu. Egorov and V. Kondratiev, *On Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators*. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 89. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [2] S. Ezhak and M. Telnova, On conditions on the potential in a Sturm–Liouville problem and an upper estimate of its first eigenvalue. *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, International Conference on Differential & Difference Equations and Applications ICDDA 2019*, pp. 481–496, *Differential and Difference Equations with Applications*, 2019.