

On the Well-Posedness of the Cauchy Problem for High Order Ordinary Linear Differential Equations

Besarion Anjafaridze

Sokhumi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

E-mail: Besaanjafaridze@gmail.com

Malkhaz Ashordia^{1,2}

¹*A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute of I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia;*

²*Sokhumi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*

E-mails: ashord@rmi.ge; malkhaz.ashordia@tsu.ge

We consider the question on the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem

$$u^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^n p_l(t)u^{(l-1)} + p_0(t) \text{ for } t \in I, \quad (1)$$

$$u^{(i-1)}(t_0) = c_{i0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad (2)$$

where $p_l \in L_{loc}(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $t_0 \in I$ and $c_{i0} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and I is an arbitrary interval from \mathbb{R} .

By $AC(I; \mathbb{R})$ we denote the set of all absolutely continuous functions defined on I .

Let u_0 ($u^{(i-1)} \in AC(I; \mathbb{R})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$) be the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (1), (2).

Along with problem (1), (2) we consider the sequence of problems

$$u^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^n p_{lk}(t)u^{(l-1)} + p_{0k}(t) \text{ for } t \in I, \quad (1_k)$$

$$u^{(i-1)}(t_k) = c_{ik} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (2_k)$$

($k = 1, 2, \dots$), where $p_{lk} \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $t_k \in I$ and $c_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$).

Let

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} t_k = t_0. \quad (3)$$

Definition 1. We say that the sequence $(p_{lk}, \dots, p_{nk}, p_{0k}; t_k)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) belongs to the set $\mathcal{S}(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_0; t_0)$ if for every $c_{i0} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and a sequence $c_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $k = 1, 2, \dots$), satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} c_{ik} = c_{i0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad (4)$$

the condition

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_k^{(i-1)}(t) = u_0^{(i-1)}(t) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (5)$$

holds uniformly on I , where u_k is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (1_k), (2_k) for any natural k .

Along with equations (1) and (1_k) ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) we consider the corresponding homogeneous equations

$$u^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^n p_l(t)u^{(i-1)} \text{ for } t \in I \tag{1_0}$$

and

$$u^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^n p_{lk}(t)u^{(i-1)} \text{ for } t \in I \tag{1_{0k}}$$

($k = 1, 2, \dots$).

If the functions v_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are such that $v_i^{(l-1)}$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n$) are absolutely continuous, then by $w_0(v_1, \dots, v_n)(t) = \det((v_i^{(l-1)}(t))_{i,l=1}^n)$ we denote so called Wronskii's determinant, and by $w_{il}(v_1, \dots, v_n)(t)$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n$) we denote a cofactor of the il -element of $w_0(v_1, \dots, v_n)$.

Let u_l ($l = 1, \dots, n$) and u_{lk} ($l = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$) be the fundamental systems of solutions of the homogeneous systems (1₀) and (2_{0k}) ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), respectively.

Theorem 1. *Let $p_l \in L_{loc}(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $p_{lk} \in L_{loc}(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$), $t_k \in I$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) and $c_{lk} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($l = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots$) be such that conditions (3), (4) and*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in I, t \neq t_k} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \int_{t_k}^t (p_{lk}(\tau) - p_l(\tau)) d\tau \right| \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^n \left| \int_{t_k}^t |p_{lk}(\tau) - p_l(\tau)| d\tau \right| \right) \right\} = 0 \tag{6}$$

hold. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in I, t \neq t_k} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_k^{(i-1)}(t) - u_0^{(i-1)}(t)| = 0, \tag{7}$$

where u_k is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (1_k), (2_k) for any natural k .

Below we give some sufficient conditions, as well necessary and sufficient conditions guaranteeing the inclusion

$$((p_{1k}, \dots, p_{nk}, p_{0k}; t_k))_{k=1}^{+\infty} \in \mathcal{S}(p_1, \dots, p_n, p_0; t_0). \tag{8}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $p_l \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $p_{lk} \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $t_k \in I$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) be such that condition (3) holds. Then inclusion (8) holds if and only if there exists a sequence of functions $h_{il}, h_{ilk} \in AC(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots$) such that the conditions*

$$\inf \{ |\det((h_{il}(t))_{i,l=1}^n)| : t \in I \} > 0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i,l=1}^n \int_I |h'_{ilk}(t) + h_{1l-1k}(t) \operatorname{sgn}(l-1) + h_{1nk}(t)p_l(t)| dt < +\infty \tag{10}$$

hold, and the conditions

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} h_{ilk}(t) = h_{il}(t) \text{ } (i, l = 1, \dots, n) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_k}^t h_{ink}(\tau)p_{lk}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t h_{in}(\tau)p_l(\tau) d\tau \text{ } (i = 1, \dots, n; l = 0, \dots, n)$$

hold uniformly on I .

Theorem 3. Let $p_l \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $p_{lk} \in L_{loc}(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $t_k \in I$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) be such that condition (3) holds. Then inclusion (8) holds if and only if the conditions

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_{lk}^{(i-1)}(t) = u_l^{(i-1)}(t) \quad (i, l = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{a_*}^t \frac{w_{in}(u_{1k}, \dots, u_{nk})(\tau)}{w_0(u_{1k}, \dots, u_{nk})(\tau)} p_{0k}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{a_*}^t \frac{w_{in}(u_1, \dots, u_n)(\tau)}{w_0(u_1, \dots, u_n)(\tau)} p_0(\tau) d\tau \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (12)$$

hold uniformly on I .

Theorem 4. Let $p_l \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $p_{lk} \in L_{loc}(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$), $t_k \in I$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) and $c_{lk} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($l = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots$) be such that the conditions (3), (4) and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_I \|p_{lk}(t)\| dt < +\infty \quad (l = 1, \dots, n)$$

hold, and the condition

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_k}^t p_{lk}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t p_l(\tau) d\tau \quad (l = 0, \dots, n)$$

holds uniformly on I . Then condition (5) holds uniformly on I , where u_k is the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (1_k), (2_k) for any natural k .

Corollary 1. Let $p_l \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$), $p_{lk} \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $t_k \in I$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) be such that conditions (3), (4) and (10) hold, and conditions (11) and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t_k}^t h_{ink}(\tau) p_{lk}(\tau) d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t p_l^*(\tau) d\tau \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; l = 0, \dots, n)$$

hold uniformly on I , where $p_l^* \in L(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($l = 0, \dots, n$); $h_{il}, h_{ilk} \in AC(I; \mathbb{R})$ ($i, l = 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1, \dots$). Then the inclusion

$$\left((p_{1k}, \dots, p_{nk}, p_{0k}; t_k) \right)_{k=1}^{+\infty} \in \mathcal{S}(p_1 - p_1^*, \dots, p_n - p_n^*, p_0 - p_0^*; t_0)$$

holds.

Remark 1. In Theorem 2 and Corollary 1, without loss of generality we can assume that $h_{ii}(t) \equiv 1$ and $h_{il}(t) \equiv 0$ ($i \neq l; i, l = 1, \dots, n$). So condition (9) is valid evidently.

Remark 2. If $n = 2$ in Theorem 3, then condition (12) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{a_*}^t \frac{u'_{1k}(\tau) p_{0k}(\tau)}{u_{1k}(\tau) u'_{2k}(\tau) - u_{2k}(\tau) u'_{1k}(\tau)} d\tau &= \int_{a_*}^t \frac{u'_1(\tau) p_0(\tau)}{u_1(\tau) u'_2(\tau) - u_2(\tau) u'_1(\tau)} d\tau, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{a_*}^t \frac{u_{1k}(\tau) p_{0k}(\tau)}{u_{1k}(\tau) u'_{2k}(\tau) - u_{2k}(\tau) u'_{1k}(\tau)} d\tau &= \int_{a_*}^t \frac{u_1(\tau) p_0(\tau)}{u_1(\tau) u'_2(\tau) - u_2(\tau) u'_1(\tau)} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equalities we can take u_{2k} instead of u_{1k} ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), and u_2 instead of u_1 .

For the proof we use the well-known concept. It is well-known that if the function u is a solution of problem (1), (2), then the vector-function $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$, $x_i = u^{(i-1)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), will be a solution of the Cauchy problem for the linear system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= P(t)x + q(t), \\ x(t_0) &= c_0, \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix- and vector-functions $P(t) = (p_{il}(t))_{i,l=1}^n$ and $q(t) = (q_i(t))_{i=1}^n$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{il}(t) &\equiv 0, \quad p_{i\,i+1} \equiv 1 \quad (l \neq i + 1; \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1; \quad l = 1, \dots, n), \\ p_{nl}(t) &\equiv p_l(t) \quad (l = 1, \dots, n); \\ q_i(t) &\equiv 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n - 1), \quad q_n(t) \equiv p_0(t), \end{aligned}$$

and $c_0 = (c_{i0})_{i=1}^n$.

Analogously, problem $(1_k), (2_k)$ can be rewritten in the form of the last type problem for every natural k . So, using the results contained in [1] and [2], we get the results given above.

References

- [1] M. Ashordia, Criteria of correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **46(121)** (1996), no. 3, 385–404.
- [2] M. Ashordia, Sh. Akhalaia and N. Kekelia, On necessary and sufficient conditions for stability of linear systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **46** (2009), 115–128.