

## Theorems on Functional Differential Inequalities

**Robert Hakl**

*Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno Branch, Brno, Czech Republic*  
*E-mail: hakl@ipm.cz*

Consider the system of functional differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[u'(t) - \ell(u)(t)] &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], & (1) \\ \varphi(u) &\geq 0, & (2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a linear bounded operator,  $\varphi : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear bounded functional,  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\sigma_i : [a, b] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  are functions of bounded variation, and  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t)) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_n(t))$ . In the present contribution, we establish conditions guaranteeing that every absolutely continuous vector-valued function  $u$  satisfying (1) and (2) admits also the inequality  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . For this purpose we will need the following notation and definitions.

$\mathbb{R}$  is a set of all real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a space of  $n$ -dimensional column vectors  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$  with elements  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a space of  $n \times n$ -matrices  $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  with elements  $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$  are sets of non-negative column vectors and matrices, respectively. The inequalities between vectors and matrices are understood component-wise. If 0 and 1 are used as vectors, then 0 is a zero column vector and 1 is a column vector with all components equal to one;  $\delta_{ik}$  is the Kronecker's symbol;  $X^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix to  $X$ ;  $r(X)$  is the spectral radius of the matrix  $X$ ;  $\Theta$  is a zero matrix.

$C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space of continuous vector-valued functions  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_C = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i(t)| : t \in [a, b] \right\}.$$

$AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a set of absolutely continuous vector-valued functions  $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a Banach space of Lebesgue integrable vector-valued functions  $p = (p_i)_{i=1}^n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with the norm

$$\|p\|_L = \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i(s)| ds.$$

$\mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$  is a set of linear bounded operators  $\ell : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

$\mathcal{C}_{ab}^{n,*}$  is a set of linear bounded functionals  $\varphi : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$ , the operators  $\ell_i : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  and  $\ell_{ik} : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  ( $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ ) are defined as follows:

- for any  $v \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\ell_i(v)$  is the  $i$ -th component of the vector-valued function  $\ell(v)$ ;
- for any  $z \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  we put  $\ell_{ik}(z) = \ell_i(\widehat{z})$ , where  $\widehat{z} = (\delta_{ik}z)_{i=1}^n$ .

For any functional  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{ab}^{n,*}$  we define the functionals  $\varphi_i : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi_{ik} : C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in a similar way. Moreover, we put  $\Phi = (\varphi_{ik}(1))_{i,k=1}^n$ .

**Definition 1.** An operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -positive if the relation

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))\ell(u)(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \quad (3)$$

is fulfilled whenever  $u \in C([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that

$$u(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [a, b] \quad (4)$$

holds. A set of  $\sigma$ -positive operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.** We will say that an operator  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{P}_{ab}^{n,+}(\sigma)$  if the relation (3) is fulfilled whenever  $u \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that (4) and

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))u'(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \quad (5)$$

hold.

**Remark 1.** Obviously,  $\mathcal{P}_{ab}^n(\sigma) \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_{ab}^{n,+}(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 3.** We will say that a pair of operators  $(\ell, \varphi) \in \mathcal{L}_{ab}^n \times \mathcal{C}_{ab}^{n,*}$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$  if every function  $u \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (1), (2) admits also (4).

**Remark 2.** Obviously, if  $(\ell, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ , then the problem

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) + q(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad \varphi(u) = c$$

has a unique solution  $u \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $q \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and this solution is non-negative if  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))q(t) \geq 0$  for a. e.  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $c \geq 0$ .

In the formulation of the main results, the inclusion  $(0, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$  is used. Therefore, we present here some basic implication of this inclusion.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(0, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ . Then

- (i)  $\det \Phi \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\Phi^{-1} \geq \Theta$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $(0, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$  and let  $u \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (5). Then

$$u(t) \geq \Phi^{-1}\varphi(u) \text{ for } t \in [a, b].$$

## Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ ,  $(0, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ . Then  $(\ell, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$  iff there exists  $\gamma \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[\gamma'(t) - \ell(\gamma)(t)] &\geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \\ \gamma(t) &> 0 \text{ for } t \in [a, b], \quad \Phi^{-1}\varphi(\gamma) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof. Necessity:** If  $(\ell, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ , then according to Remark 2 the problem

$$u'(t) = \ell(u)(t) + \ell(1)(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad \varphi(u) = 0$$

is uniquely solvable. Moreover,  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Put  $\gamma(t) = u(t) + 1$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[\gamma'(t) - \ell(\gamma)(t)] &= 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \\ \gamma(t) > 0 \text{ for } t \in [a, b], \quad \Phi^{-1}\varphi(\gamma) &= \Phi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \Phi \cdot 1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Sufficiency:** Let  $u$  satisfy (1), (2) with  $u_j(t_j) < 0$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $t_j \in [a, b]$ . Put

$$\lambda_i = \max \left\{ -\frac{u_i(t)}{\gamma_i(t)} : t \in [a, b] \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and let

$$\lambda = \max \{ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \} > 0.$$

Then  $w(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda\gamma(t) + u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ , and there exist  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $t_0 \in [a, b]$  such that  $w_{i_0}(t_0) = \lambda\gamma_{i_0}(t_0) + u_{i_0}(t_0) = 0$ . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))w'(t) \geq \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))\ell(w)(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

According to Proposition 2,

$$w(t) \geq \Phi^{-1}\varphi(w) = \Phi^{-1}(\lambda\varphi(\gamma) + \varphi(u)) > 0,$$

a contradiction. □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\ell$  admit the representation  $\ell = \ell^+ - \ell^-$  where  $\ell^+, \ell^- \in \mathcal{P}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ . Let, moreover,

$$\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{ab}^{n,+}(\sigma), \quad (\ell^+, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma), \quad (0, \varphi) \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma).$$

Then  $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{ab}^n(\sigma)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u$  satisfy (1), (2). According to Remark 2 there exists a unique solution  $x$  to the problem

$$x'(t) = \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))u'(t)]_- \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad \varphi(x) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have  $x(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Put  $w(t) = u(t) + x(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then  $w(t) \geq u(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))w'(t) = [\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))u'(t)]_+ \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad \varphi(w) \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $w(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Let  $A_i = \{t \in [a, b] : w'_i(t) = u'_i(t)\}$  and put

$$q(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[u'(t) - \ell(u)(t)] \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Then, for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have

$$\sigma_i(t)w'_i(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma_i(t)u'_i(t) = \sigma_i(t) \sum_{k=1}^n [\ell_{ik}^+(u_k)(t) - \ell_{ik}^-(u_k)(t)] + q_i(t) \\ \leq \sigma_i(t) \sum_{k=1}^n [\ell_{ik}^+(w_k)(t) - \ell_{ik}^-(u_k)(t)] + q_i(t) \text{ for } t \in A_i, \\ 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b] \setminus A_i. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[\ell^+(w)(t) - \ell^-(u)(t)] + q(t) \geq \mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))\ell(w)(t) + q(t) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[w'(t) - \ell^+(w)(t)] \leq -\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))\ell^-(u)(t) + q(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Put  $z(t) = u(t) - w(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma(t))[z'(t) - \ell^+(z)(t)] \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad \varphi(z) = 0,$$

and so  $z(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ , i.e.  $u(t) \geq w(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ .  $\square$

As a consequences of the main results we formulate corollaries in the case when  $\sigma$  is a constant function. Therefore, in what follows we assume that  $\sigma(t) = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^n$  for  $t \in [a, b]$  with  $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ . First consider the system with deviating arguments

$$\sigma_i \left[ u_i'(t) - \sum_{k=1}^n (p_{ik}(t)u_k(\tau_{ik}(t)) - g_{ik}(t)u_k(\mu_{ik}(t))) \right] \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad (6)$$

$$u_i(a) \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_i = 1, \quad u_i(b) \geq 0 \text{ if } \sigma_i = -1, \quad (7)$$

where  $\sigma_i p_{ik}, \sigma_i g_{ik} \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\tau_{ik}, \mu_{ik} : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  are measurable functions.

**Corollary 1.** *Let*

$$\sigma_i(p_{ik}(t) - g_{ik}(t)) \geq 0, \quad \sigma_i \sigma_k g_{ik}(t)(\tau_{ik}(t) - \mu_{ik}(t)) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

*Let, moreover, there exist  $A = (a_{ik})_{i,k=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$  such that  $r(A) < 1$  and*

$$\int_a^b \left( \sigma_i(p_{ik}(t) - g_{ik}(t)) + \sigma_i g_{ik}(t) \int_{\mu_{ik}(t)}^{\tau_{ik}(t)} \sum_{j=1}^n p_{kj}(s) ds \right) dt \leq a_{ik}.$$

*Then every  $u \in AC([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  that satisfies (6), (7) is non-negative.*

The next corollary deals with the second-order differential inequality with deviations together with mixed boundary value conditions

$$u''(t) \leq -p(t)u(\tau(t)) + g(t)u(\mu(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b], \quad u(a) \geq 0, \quad u'(b) \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Here  $p, g \in L([a, b]; \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\tau, \mu : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  are measurable functions.

**Corollary 2.** *Let*

$$\tau(t) \leq t, \quad p(t) \geq g(t), \quad g(t)(\tau(t) - \mu(t)) \geq 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

*Let, moreover, there exists  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 s + s^2} \geq b - a,$$

$$p(t) - g(t) + g(t)(\tau(t) - \mu(t)) \int_{\tau(t)}^t p(s) ds + g(t) \int_{\mu(t)}^{\tau(t)} (s - \mu(t))p(s) ds \leq \lambda_1 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b],$$

$$g(t)(\tau(t) - \mu(t)) \leq \lambda_2 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [a, b],$$

*and at least one of the last three inequalities is strict. Then every  $u \in AC^1([a, b]; \mathbb{R})$  that satisfies (8) is non-negative and nondecreasing.*