Positive Invertible Matrices and Stability of Nonlinear Itô Equations

Ramazan I. Kadiev

Dagestan Research Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences & Department of Mathematics, Dagestan State University, Makhachkala, Russia

E-mail: kadiev_r@mail.ru

Arcady Ponosov

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Technology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Ås, Norway E-mail: arkadi@nmbu.no

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a stochastic basis consisting of a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and an increasing, right-continuous family (a filtration) $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of complete σ -subalgebras of \mathcal{F} . By E we denote the expectation on this probability space. The scalar stochastic processes \mathcal{B}_i , $i = 2, \ldots, m$ are scalar, independent Brownian motions on $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (see e.g. [6]).

The following inequality holds true for any Brownian motion $\mathcal{B}(s)$ and any scalar stochastic process f(s), which is integrable with respect to $\mathcal{B}(s)$ on [0, t]:

$$\left(E\left|\int\limits_{0}^{t} f(s) d\mathcal{B}(s)\right|^{2p}\right)^{1/2p} \le c_p \left(E\left(\int\limits_{0}^{t} |f(s)|^2 ds\right)^p\right)^{1/2p}.$$

Here c_p is some number depending on p. Some estimates on this number can be found e.g. in [6].

We consider the following system of Itô equations with delay:

$$dx_{i}(t) = \left[-a_{i}(t)x_{i}(h_{i}(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{ij}(t, x_{j}(h_{ij}(t))) \right] dt + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} G_{ij}^{l}(t, x_{j}(h_{ij}^{l}(t))) \right] d\mathcal{B}_{l}(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
(1)

with the initial conditions

$$x_i(t) = \varphi_i(t) \quad (t < 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,,$$
 (1_a)

$$x_i(t) = b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
 (1_b)

where

- 1) a_i are Lebesgue measurable functions, which are defined on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfy $0 < \overline{a}_i \le a_i \le A_i$ $(t \in [0, \infty))$ μ -everywhere for some positive numbers \overline{a}_i , A_i (i = 1, ..., n);
- 2) $F_{ij}(\cdot, u)$ are Lebesgue measurable functions defined on $[0, \infty)$, $F_{ij}(t, \cdot)$ are continuous functions, which are defined on R^1 and satisfy $|F_{ij}(t, u)| \leq \overline{F}_{ij}|u|$ $(t \in [0, \infty))$ μ -everywhere for some positive numbers \overline{F}_{ij} (i, j = 1, ..., n);
- 3) $G_{ij}^{l}(\cdot, u)$ are Lebesgue measurable functions defined on $[0, \infty)$, $G_{ij}^{l}(t, \cdot)$ are continuous functions, which are defined on R^{1} and satisfy $|G_{ij}^{l}(t, u)| \leq \overline{G}_{ij}^{l}|u|$ $(t \in [0, \infty))$ μ -everywhere for some positive numbers \overline{G}_{ij}^{l} (l = 1, ..., m; i, j = 1, ..., n);

- 4) h_i, h_{ij}, h_{ij}^l are Borel measurable functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfy $0 \le t h_i(t) \le \tau_i$, $0 \le t - h_{ij}(t) \le \tau_{ij}, 0 \le t - h_{ij}^l(t) \le \tau_{ij}^l$ $(t \in [0, \infty))$ μ -everywhere for some positive numbers $\tau_i, \tau_{ij}, \tau_{ij}^l$ for $l = 1, \ldots, m; i, j = 1, \ldots, n;$
- 5) φ_i are \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable scalar stochastic processes defined on $[\sigma_i, 0)$, where $\sigma_i = \max\{\tau_i, \tau_{ij}, \tau_{ij}^l, l = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n\};$
- 6) b_i are \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable scalar random values $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$.

We remark that the initial value problem $(1), (1_a), (1_b)$ has a unique solution if the functions $F_{ij}(t, u), G_{ij}^l t, u$ are Lipschits with respect to u for all $l = 1, \ldots, m, i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ (see e. g. [3]). In what follows, we assume that this is the case and denote by $x(t, b, \varphi)$ the solution of (1) satisfying (1_a) and (1_b) , so that $x(s, b, \varphi) = \varphi$ for s < 0 and $x(0, b, \varphi) = b$.

Definition 1. For a given real number p $(1 \le p < \infty)$ we say that system (1) is globally exponentially *p*-stable (w.r.t. the initial data) if there exist positive constants \overline{c} , β such that the inequality

$$E|x(t, x_0, \varphi)|^p \le \overline{c} \left(E|x_0|^p + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s<0} E|\varphi(s)|^p \right) \exp\{-\beta s\}$$

holds true for all $t \ge 0$ and all φ , x_0 .

An $n \times n$ -matrix $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is called nonnegative if $\gamma_{ij} \ge 0, i, j = 1, ..., n$, and positive if $\gamma_{ij} > 0, i, j = 1, ..., n$.

Definition 2. A matrix $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is called an \mathcal{M} -matrix if $\gamma_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n, i \neq j$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- Γ has a positive inverse matrix Γ^{-1} ;
- the principal minors of the matrix Γ are positive.

Below we define the $n \times n$ -matrix Γ in the following way

$$\gamma_{ii} = 1 - \frac{A_i^2 \tau_i^2 + A_i \overline{F}_{ii} \tau_i + c_p A_i \sqrt{\tau_i} \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{G}_{ii}^l + \overline{F}_{ii}}{\overline{a}_i} - \frac{c_p \sum_{l=1}^m \overline{G}_{ii}^l}{\sqrt{2\overline{a}_i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
$$\gamma_{ij} = -\frac{A_i \overline{F}_{ij} \tau_i + c_p A_i \sqrt{\tau_i} \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{G}_{ij}^l + \overline{F}_{ij}}{\overline{a}_i} - \frac{c_p \sum_{l=1}^m \overline{G}_{lj}^l}{\sqrt{2\overline{a}_i}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j.$$

Theorem. If the matrix Γ defined above is an \mathcal{M} -matrix, then system (1) is globally exponentially 2*p*-stable.

Outline of the proof (see [5] for the details).

The main idea is to use the W-method (see [1,3,4] and the references therein) to regularize system (1) to obtain a certain integral operator in a suitable space of stochastic processes. This operator can be constructed with the help of an auxiliary linear equation, which is similar to the equation (1):

$$dx(t) = \left[(Qx)(t) + g(t) \right] dZ(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

The solutions of this equation has the Cauchy representation

$$x(t) = U(t)x_0 + (Wg)(t), t \ge 0$$

where U(t) is the fundamental matrix of the associated homogeneous equation, and W is the corresponding Cauchy operator.

Assuming for the sake of simplicity that system (1) is also linear, rewriting it in the operator form

$$dx(t) = \left[(Vx)(t) + f(t) \right] dZ(t), \ t \ge 0$$

and substituting the above Cauchy representation formula into this equation result in

$$dx(t) = \left[(Qx)(t) + ((V - Q)x)(t) + f(t) \right] dZ(t), \ t \ge 0,$$

or

$$x(t) = U(t)x(0) + (W(V - Q)x)(t) + (Wf)(t), \ t \ge 0$$

Denoting $W(V-Q) = \Theta$, we obtain the operator equation $((I - \Theta)x)(t) = U(t)x(0) + (Wf)(t)$. If now the operator $I - \Theta$ is invertible in a suitable space of stochastic processes, then system (1) is globally asymptotically 2*p*-stable.

In most implementations of this scheme, one tries to prove that the norm of the operator Θ is less than 1. Then $I - \Theta$ becomes invertible.

However, this approach may lead to too rough estimates. A more careful approach, based on the theory of positive matrices, was suggested in [2], where straight invertibility in norm is replaced by matrix inequalities. In particular, if the corresponding matrix is an \mathcal{M} -matrix, then we still can prove the global asymptotic 2*p*-stability of system (1).

This approach is utilized in the paper [5] as well as in this presentation in the case of stochastic functional differential equations.

Let us now study system (1) in two dimensions.

Corollary 1. Let n = 2 in system (1) and

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{2} \left(A_{1}^{2} \tau_{1}^{2} + A_{1} \overline{F}_{11} \tau_{1} + c_{p} A_{1} \sqrt{\tau_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{11}^{l} + \overline{F}_{11} \right) + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}} c_{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{11}^{l} < \sqrt{2} \, \overline{a}_{1}, \\ & \left(\sqrt{2} \overline{a}_{1} - \sqrt{2} \left(A_{1}^{2} \tau_{1}^{2} + A_{1} \overline{F}_{11} \tau_{1} + c_{p} A_{1} \sqrt{\tau_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{11}^{l} + \overline{F}_{11} \right) - \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}} c_{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{11}^{l} \right) \\ & \times \left(\sqrt{2} \overline{a}_{2} - \sqrt{2} \left(A_{2}^{2} \tau_{2}^{2} + A_{2} \overline{F}_{22} \tau_{2} + c_{p} A_{2} \sqrt{\tau_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{22}^{l} + \overline{F}_{22} \right) - \sqrt{\overline{a}_{2}} c_{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{22}^{l} \right) \\ & > \left(\sqrt{2} \left(A_{1} \overline{F}_{12} \tau_{1} + c_{p} A_{1} \sqrt{\tau_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{12}^{l} + \overline{F}_{12} \right) + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}} c_{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{12}^{l} \right) \\ & \times \left(\sqrt{2} \left(A_{2} \overline{F}_{21} \tau_{2} + c_{p} A_{2} \sqrt{\tau_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{21}^{l} + \overline{F}_{21} \right) + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{2}} c_{p} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \overline{G}_{21}^{l} \right). \end{split}$$

Then system (1) is globally exponentially 2p-stable.

Proof. We exploit the main theorem. Under the assumptions of Corollary 1 the matrix Γ becomes 2×2 with nonnegative off-diagonal entries. Thus, it will become an \mathcal{M} -matrix, if its principal minors γ_{11} and $\gamma_{11}\gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12}\gamma_{21}$ are positive. Straightforward calculations show that the first inequality of Corollary 1 yields $\gamma_{11} > 0$, while the second inequality of Corollary 1 yields $\gamma_{11}\gamma_{22} - \gamma_{12}\gamma_{21} > 0$.

The corollaries below can be proven in a similar way.

Corollary 2. Consider the system

$$dx_{i}(t) = \left[-a_{i}(t)x_{i}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{ij}(t)x_{j}(h_{ij}(t)) \right] dt + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} G_{ij}^{l}(t)x_{j}(h_{ij}^{l}(t)) \right] d\mathcal{B}_{l}(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(2)

with n = 2 assuming that

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{2}\,\overline{F}_{11} + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}}\,c_{p}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\overline{G}_{11}^{l} < \sqrt{2}\,\overline{a}_{1},\\ \left(\sqrt{2}\,\overline{a}_{1} - \sqrt{2}\,\overline{F}_{11} - \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}}\,c_{p}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\overline{G}_{11}^{l}\right) \left(\sqrt{2}\,\overline{a}_{2} - \sqrt{2}\,\overline{F}_{22} - \sqrt{\overline{a}_{2}}\,c_{p}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\overline{G}_{22}^{l}\right)\\ > \left(\sqrt{2}\,\overline{F}_{12} + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{1}}\,c_{p}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\overline{G}_{12}^{l}\right) \left(\sqrt{2}\,F_{21} + \sqrt{\overline{a}_{2}}\,c_{p}\sum_{l=1}^{m}\overline{G}_{21}^{l}\right). \end{split}$$

Then system (2) is globally asymptotically 2p-stable.

Corollary 3. Consider the system

$$dx_{i}(t) = \left[-a_{i}(t)x_{i}(t) + \sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{n} F_{ij}(t)x_{j}(h_{ij}(t)) \right] dt + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \left[\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{n} G_{ij}^{l}(t)x_{j}(h_{ij}^{l}(t)) \right] d\mathcal{B}_{l}(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(3)

with n = 2 assuming that

$$\left(\sqrt{2}\,\overline{F}_{12} + \sqrt{\overline{a}_1}\,c_p\sum_{l=1}^m \overline{G}_{12}^l\right)\left(\sqrt{2}\,F_{21} + \sqrt{\overline{a}_2}\,c_p\sum_{l=1}^m \overline{G}_{21}^l\right) < 2\overline{a}_1\overline{a}_2.$$

Then system (3) is globally asymptotically 2p-stable.

Example 1. Consider the system

$$dx_{1}(t) = \left[-a_{1}x_{1}(t-h_{1}) + a_{11}F_{11}(x_{1}(t-h_{11})) + a_{12}F_{12}(x_{2}(t-h_{12})) \right] dt + \left[b_{11}G_{11}(x_{1}(t-\tau_{11})) + b_{12}G_{12}(x_{2}(t-\tau_{12})) \right] d\mathcal{B}(t) \quad (t \ge 0), dx_{2}(t) = \left[-a_{2}x_{1}(t-h_{2}) + a_{21}F_{21}(x_{1}(t-h_{21})) + a_{22}F_{22}(x_{2}(t-h_{22})) \right] dt + \left[b_{21}G_{21}(x_{1}(t-\tau_{21})) + b_{22}G_{22}(x_{2}(t-\tau_{22})) \right] d\mathcal{B}(t) \quad (t \ge 0),$$

$$(4)$$

where $a_1, a_2, h_{ij}, \tau_{ij}, a_{ij}, b_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$ are positive numbers, $F_{ij}, G_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$ are continuous scalar functions on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $|F_{ij}(u)| \leq |u|, |G_{ij}(u)| \leq |u|, i, j = 1, 2$, and \mathcal{B} is the standard scalar Brownian motion.

Then from Corollary 1 we deduce that the conditions

$$\sqrt{2}\left(a_1^2h_1^2 + a_1a_{11}h_1 + c_pa_1\sqrt{h_1}\,b_{11} + a_{11}\right) + \sqrt{a_1}\,c_pb_{11} < \sqrt{2}\,a_1,$$

$$\left(\sqrt{2} a_1 - \sqrt{2} \left(a_1^2 h_1^2 + a_1 a_{11} h_1 + c_p a_1 \sqrt{h_1} b_{11} + a_{11} \right) - \sqrt{a_1} c_p b_{11} \right) \\ \times \left(\sqrt{2} a_2 - \sqrt{2} \left(a_2^2 h_2^2 + a_2 a_{22} h_2 + c_p a_2 \sqrt{h_2} b_{22} + a_{22} \right) - \sqrt{a_2} c_p b_{22} \right) \\ > \left(\sqrt{2} \left(a_1 a_{12} h_1 + c_p a_1 \sqrt{h_1} b_{12} + a_{12} \right) + \sqrt{a_1} c_p b_{12} \right) \\ \times \left(\sqrt{2} \left(a_2 a_{21} h_2 + c_p a_2 \sqrt{h_2} b_{21} + a_{21} \right) + \sqrt{a_2} c_p b_{21} \right)$$

imply the global asymptotic 2p-stability of system (4).

Assume further that $a_{ii} = b_{ii} = 0$, i = 1, 2 in system (4). In this case, the conditions

$$a_{1}h_{1}^{2} < 1,$$

$$\left(\sqrt{2}a_{1} - \sqrt{2}a_{1}^{2}h_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{2}a_{2} - \sqrt{2}a_{2}^{2}h_{2}^{2}\right) > \left(\sqrt{2}\left(a_{1}a_{12}h_{1} + c_{p}a_{1}\sqrt{h_{1}}b_{12} + a_{12}\right) + \sqrt{a_{1}}c_{p}b_{12}\right)$$

$$\times \left(\sqrt{2}\left(a_{2}a_{21}h_{2} + c_{p}a_{2}\sqrt{h_{2}}b_{21} + a_{21}\right) + \sqrt{a_{2}}c_{p}b_{21}\right)$$

imply the global asymptotic 2p-stability of system (4).

References

- [1] N. V. Azbelev and P. M. Simonov, *Stability of Differential Equations with Aftereffect*. Stability and Control: Theory, Methods and Applications, 20. Taylor & Francis, London, 2003.
- [2] L. Berezansky, E. Braverman and L. Idels, New global exponential stability criteria for nonlinear delay differential systems with applications to BAM neural networks. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 243 (2014), 899–910.
- [3] R. I. Kadiev, Stability of solutions of stochastic functional differential equations. (Russian) Habilitation Thesis, Jekaterinburg, 2000.
- [4] R. I. Kadiev and A. Ponosov, Exponential stability of linear stochastic differential equations with bounded delay and the W-transform. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2008, no. 23, 14 pp.
- [5] R. I. Kadiev and A. V. Ponosov, Positive invertibility of matrices and stability of Itô delay differential equations. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* 53 (2017), no. 5, 579–590; translation in *Differ. Equ.* 53 (2017), no. 5, 571–582.
- [6] R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryayev, *Theory of Martingales*. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 49. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989.