On the Solvability of a Boundary Value Problem for Fourth Order Linear Functional Differential Equations

Eugene Bravyi

Perm National Research Polytechnic University, Perm, Russia E-mail: bravyi@perm.ru

Motivated by article [7], in this paper, we consider a boundary value problem for functional differential equation of the fourth order. We obtain sharp sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions.

Boundary value problems for fourth order functional differential equations are considered in [2-6, 8].

Definition 1. A linear operator T from the space of all continuous real functions $\mathbf{C}[0, 1]$ into the space of all integrable functions $\mathbf{L}[0, 1]$ is called positive if it maps every nonnegative continuous function into an almost everywhere nonnegative integrable function.

Consider the boundary value problem for a fourth order functional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) = -(Tx)(t) + f(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ x(0) = c_1, & \dot{x}(0) = c_2, & x(1) = c_3, & \dot{x}(1) = c_4, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $T : \mathbf{C}[0,1] \to \mathbf{L}[0,1]$ is a linear bounded operator, $f \in \mathbf{L}[0,1]$, c_i , $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, are real constants.

This problem possesses the Fredholm property (see, for example, [7]). Therefore, this problem is uniquely solvable if and only if the homogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) = -(Tx)(t), \ t \in [0,1], \\ x(0) = 0, \ \dot{x}(0) = 0, \ x(1) = 0, \ \dot{x}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

has only the trivial solution.

The Green function G(t, s) of problem (2) is defined by the equality

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^2(1-s)^2(3s-t-2st)}{6} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{(1-t)^2s^2(3t-s-2st)}{6} & \text{if } 0 \le s < t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

So, problem (2) is equivalent to the equation

$$x = -GTx,$$

where $(Gz)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)z(s) \, ds, \, t \in [0,1]$, is the Green operator.

By using the principle of contraction mappings, we get that problem (1) has a unique solution if at least one from the following inequalities is fulfilled:

$$||T||_{\mathbf{C}[0,1]\to\mathbf{L}[0,1]} < 192, ||T||_{\mathbf{C}[0,1]\to\mathbf{L}_{\infty}[0,1]} < 384.$$

Let $p \in \mathbf{L}[0, 1]$ be non-negative function.

Definition 2. $\mathbb{S}(p)$ is a set of all linear positive operators $T : \mathbb{C}[0,1] \to \mathbb{L}[0,1]$ satisfying the condition

 $T\mathbf{1}=p,$

where **1** is the unit function.

Theorem 1. Boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution for every operator $T \in S(p)$ if and only if the inequality

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 + \int_{t_0}^1 G(t_1, s) p(s) \, ds & 1 + \int_0^1 G(t_1, s) p(s) \, ds \\ \int_{t_0}^1 G(t_2, s) p(s) \, ds & 1 + \int_0^1 G(t_2, s) p(s) \, ds \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

holds for all $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le 1$ *and all* $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ *.*

The base of the proof of Theorem 1 is the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $p \in \mathbf{L}[0,1]$ be a non-negative function. Then the boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution for every operator $T \in \mathbb{S}(p)$ if and only if the problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4)}(t) = -p_1(t)x(t_1) - p_2(t)x(t_2), & t \in [0, 1], \\ x(0) = 0, & \dot{x}(0) = 0, & x(1) = 0, & \dot{x}(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

has only the trivial solution for all $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le 1$ and for all functions $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbf{L}[0,1]$ such that

$$p_1(t) + p_2(t) = p, \ 0 \le p_i(t) \le p(t), \ t \in [0, 1], \ i = 1, 2.$$

Consider the case where $p(t) \equiv P > 0$ is a constant.

Lemma 2. Let $p(t) \equiv P > 0$ be a constant. If for some $T \in S(P)$ problem (2) has a non-trivial solution, then for some $T \in S(P)$ problem (2) has a symmetric non-trivial solution x such that x(t) = -x(1-t) for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

By Lemma 2, we can put $t_0 = 1/2$ and $t_2 = 1 - t_1$, $t_1 \in [0, 1/2]$ in Theorem 2 if $p(t) \equiv P$. So, by Theorem 1, in this case problem (1) is uniquely solvable for all operators $T \in \mathbb{S}(P)$ if and only if

$$P < \frac{1}{\max_{t_1 \in [0,1/2]} \left(\int\limits_{1/2}^{1} G(1-t_1,s) \, ds - \int\limits_{1/2}^{1} G(t_1,s) \, ds\right)} = \frac{192}{\max_{t_1 \in [0,1/2]} t_1^2 (1-2t_1)(3-4t_1)} = \frac{1760\sqrt{33}}{3} - 416 \approx 2954.$$

Corollary 1. Let $p \in \mathbf{L}[0,1]$ be a non-negative function such that

$$\operatorname{vraisup}_{t \in [0,1]} p(t) \le \frac{1760\sqrt{33}}{3} - 416, \quad p(t) \neq \frac{1760\sqrt{33}}{3} - 416.$$

Then boundary value problem (1) is uniquely solvable for all operators $T \in \mathbb{S}(p)$.

The constant in the Corollary 1 is sharp.

Acknowledgement

The work was performed as part of the State Task of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (project 1.5336.2017/8.9).

References

- N. V. Azbelev, V. P. Maksimov and L. F. Rakhmatullina, Introduction to the Theory of Functional Differential Equations: Methods and Applications. Contemporary Mathematics and Its Applications, 3. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Cairo, 2007.
- [2] M. Feng and J. Qiu, Multi-parameter fourth order impulsive integral boundary value problems with one-dimensional *m*-Laplacian and deviating arguments. J. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 2015:64, 22 pp.
- [3] J. Fialho and F. Minhós, Fourth order impulsive periodic boundary value problems. Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst. 23 (2015), no. 2, 117–127.
- [4] S. A. Iyase, Periodic boundary-value problems for fourth-order differential equations with delay. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2011, No. 130, 7 pp.
- [5] J. Liu, W. Liu and B. Liu, Periodic solutions for fourth-order *p*-Laplacian functional differential equations with sign-variable coefficient. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2013**, No. 205, 9 pp.
- [6] S. Mukhigulashvili, On a periodic boundary value problem for fourth order linear functional differential equations. *Georgian Math. J.* 14 (2007), no. 3, 533–542.
- [7] S. Mukhigulashvili and M. Manjikashvili, On one two-point BVP for the fourth order linear ordinary differential equation. *Georgian Math. J.* 24 (2017), no. 2, 265–275.
- [8] K. Wang and Y. Zhu, Periodic solutions for a fourth-order p-Laplacian neutral functional differential equation. J. Franklin Inst. 347 (2010), no. 7, 1158–1170.