Some Properties of Minimal Malkin Estimates

E. K. Makarov

Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus E-mail: jcm@im.bas-net.by

Consider the linear differential system

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1}$$

with a bounded piecewise continuous coefficient matrix A and the Cauchy matrix X_A . Suppose that $||A(t)|| \le a < +\infty$ for all $t \ge 0$. In [8], see also [9, p. 379] and [1, p. 236], I. G. Malkin has used estimations of the form

$$||X_A(t,s)|| \le D \exp(\alpha(t-s) + \beta s), \quad t \ge s \ge 0, \quad D > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2)

in order to investigate asymptotic stability of the trivial solution to a system

$$\dot{y} = A(t)y + f(t,y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \ge 0,$$

with a nonlinear perturbation f(t, y) of a higher order. An ordered pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is called a Malkin estimation for system (1) if there exists a number $D = D(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ such that (2) holds. We denote the set of all Malkin estimations for system (1) by E(A).

A pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be a minimal Malkin estimation [7] if $(\alpha + \xi, \beta + \eta) \in E(A)$ for all $\xi > 0, \eta > 0$, and $(\alpha + \xi, \beta + \eta) \notin E(A)$ for all $\xi \leq 0, \eta \leq 0, \xi^2 + \eta^2 \neq 0$. Note that a minimal Malkin estimation is not necessarily an element of E(A) by definition; an example is given below. On the other hand, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in E(A)$ and numbers ξ and η are nonnegative, then the pair $(\alpha + \xi, \beta + \eta)$ satisfies inequality (2) with the same $D = D(\alpha, \beta)$ since $t \geq s \geq 0$, i.e. the inclusion $(\alpha + \xi, \beta + \eta) \in E(A)$ is now valid.

We denote the set of all minimal Malkin estimations for system (1) by M(A).

It can be easily seen that the set of minimal Malkin estimations for system (1) coincides with the set of Grudo characteristic vectors [2] for the function $||X_A(t,s)||$ with respect to the cone $C = \{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t \ge s \ge 0\}$. Using this fact and the results of [2] we can give [7] another description for the set M(A). Let $K = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha > 0, \beta > 0\}$ be the positive cone of \mathbb{R}^2 and \preccurlyeq be the partial order in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to K. Then M(A) coincides with the set of all minimal with respect to \preccurlyeq elements of cl E(A), where cl is the operator of closure.

The invariant uniform exponent $\iota[x]$ of a nonzero solution x to system (1) is the number $\sup N(x)$, where the set N(x) consists of all numbers

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t_k - s_k)} \ln \frac{\|x(t_k)\|}{\|x(s_k)\|}$$

such that the sequence of pairs $\tau_k = (t_k, s_k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t_k \geq s_k \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy the condition $\inf_k s_k^{-1} t_k > 1$ and $t_k - s_k \to +\infty$ as $k \to +\infty$.

The invariant general exponent $I_0(A)$ for system (1) is the number

$$I_0(A) = \sup_{\theta > 0} \lim_{s \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \|X_A(\theta s, s)\|.$$
(3)

These two exponents are invariant with respect to generalized Lyapunov transformations [3], whereas the analogous Bohl uniform and general exponents are not invariant.

There exists an alternative characterization for $I_0(A)$ given in [7]. Namely, $I_0(A)$ is the first component of a unique pair $(\alpha, 0) \in M(A)$. It should be stressed that the pair $(I_0(A), 0)$ is always in M(A), but the inclusion $(I_0(A), 0) \in E(A)$ is not valid in general. Indeed, according to [1, p. 109], [4, p. 68], and [5, p. 63] for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$||X_A(t,s)|| \le D_{\varepsilon} \exp\left((\Omega_0(A) + \varepsilon)(t-s)\right) \tag{4}$$

with some $D_{\varepsilon} > 0$, where

$$\Omega_0(A) = \lim_{T \to +\infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} T^{-1} \ln \left\| X_A(kT, kT - T) \right\|$$
(5)

is the general exponent of system (1). A similar estimation

$$||X_A(t,s)|| \le D_{\varepsilon} \exp(\alpha(t-s)) \tag{6}$$

with $\alpha < \Omega_0(A)$ is not possible at all. Thus, $(\Omega_0(A) + \varepsilon, 0) \in E(A)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and there are no pairs $(\alpha, 0) \in E(A)$ with $\alpha < \Omega_0(A)$. On the other hand, from (3) and (5) we can assert that the inequality $\Omega_0(A) \ge I_0(A)$ is always valid and that $\Omega_0(A) > I_0(A)$ in general. Thereby $(I_0(A), 0) \notin E(A)$ in general too.

It was proved in [7] that the invariant general exponent $I_0(A)$ is the attainable upper bound for invariant uniform exponents under exponentially small perturbations. Our aim is to obtain some similar interpretation for all elements of M(A). To this end, we first obtain some alternative formulas for $I_0(A)$ and $\iota[x]$.

Proposition 1. For any system (1) the equalities

$$I_0(A) = \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \lim_{s \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \|X_A(\theta s, s)\| = \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)\theta^k} \ln \|X_A(\theta^{k+1}, \theta^k)\|$$

hold.

Proof. Let

$$R(\theta, s) = \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \|X_A(\theta s, s)\|, \quad R(\theta) = \overline{\lim_{k \to \infty} R(\theta, \theta^k)}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{I}} = \underline{\lim_{\theta \to 1+0} R(\theta)}$$

Take any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta > 1$ and put $\vartheta = 1 + \varepsilon a^{-1}(\theta - 1)/(\theta + 1)$. By definition of lower limit, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\vartheta > 1$ there exists a number $\theta_{\varepsilon} \in [1, \vartheta]$ such that the inequality $R(\theta_{\varepsilon}) < \underline{I} + \varepsilon$ holds. Then by definition of upper limit, for the same $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the inequality

$$R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) < \overline{\lim}_{j \to \infty} R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) + \varepsilon < \underline{\mathrm{I}} + 2\varepsilon$$

is valid for each $j > N_{\varepsilon}$.

Take any $s > \theta_{\varepsilon}^{N_{\varepsilon}}$ and find numbers $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \in [\theta_{\varepsilon}^{p}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}]$ and $\theta s \in [\theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+2}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p} - s &\leq \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p-1} = \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}(\theta_{\varepsilon} - 1) \leq (\theta_{\varepsilon} - 1)s, \\ \theta_{\varepsilon} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} &\leq \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+2} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} = \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1}(\theta_{\varepsilon} - 1) \leq (\theta_{\varepsilon} - 1)\theta_{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta - 1)sR(\theta, s) &\leq \ln \|X(\theta s, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1})\| + \ln \|X(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{p}, s)\| + \sum_{j=p}^{q} \ln \|X(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{j+1}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j})\| \\ &\leq a(\theta s - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} + \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p} - s) + \sum_{j=p}^{q} (\theta_{\varepsilon}^{j+1} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j})R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) \\ &\leq as(\theta + 1)(\theta_{\varepsilon} - 1) + (\theta_{\varepsilon}^{q+1} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{p}) \max_{q \leq j \leq p} R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) \leq as(\theta + 1)(\vartheta - 1) + (\theta - 1)s \max_{q \leq j \leq p} R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}). \end{aligned}$$

By the above assumptions we have

$$R(\theta, s) \le a(\theta + 1)(\vartheta - 1)/(\theta - 1) + \max_{q \le j \le p} R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) \le \max_{j \ge N_{\varepsilon}} R(\theta_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{j}) + \varepsilon \le \underline{I} + 3\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\theta > 1$ and all sufficiently large s. Hence, the relation $\widetilde{R}(\theta) := \overline{\lim_{s \to \infty} R(\theta, s)} \le \underline{I}$ is valid for each $\theta > 1$. Now, we obtain

$$I_0 := \sup_{\theta > 1} \widetilde{R}(\theta) \le \underline{I} \text{ and } \overline{\lim_{\theta \to 1+0}} \widetilde{R}(\theta) \le \underline{I}.$$

On the other hand, $\lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \widetilde{R}(\theta) \ge \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} R(\theta) = \underline{I}$, since $\widetilde{R}(\theta) \ge R(\theta)$. Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \widetilde{R}(\theta) \ge \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ge \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \widetilde{R}(\theta)$$

and therefore the limit $\lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \widetilde{R}(\theta) = \underline{I} \ge I_0$ exists. Since the last inequality is possible only as an equality, we have the required assertion.

Remark. The above proof essentially follows from the well-known scheme of the similar proof for general exponent, see [1, p. 110], [4, p. 67], or [5, p. 61].

Proposition 2. For any nonzero solution x to system (1) the following equalities

$$\iota[x] = \sup_{\theta > 0} \overline{\lim_{s \to +\infty}} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \frac{\|x(\theta s)\|}{\|x(s)\|} = \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \overline{\lim_{s \to +\infty}} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \frac{\|x(\theta s)\|}{\|x(s)\|}$$
$$= \lim_{\theta \to 1+0} \overline{\lim_{k \to \infty}} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)\theta^k} \ln \frac{\|x(\theta^{k+1})\|}{\|x(\theta^k)\|}$$

are valid.

To prove Proposition 2, we use some theorems from [11] concerning the growth of x instead of standard estimates for the Cauchy matrix used in the proof of Proposition 1, but the rest of the proof is rather analogous to previous one.

Definition. The number

$$\iota_{\theta}[x] := \lim_{s \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(\theta - 1)s} \ln \frac{\|x(\theta s)\|}{\|x(s)\|}$$

is called the θ -uniform exponent of a nonzero solution x to system (1).

Together with original system (1), consider the perturbed system

$$\dot{y} = A(t)y + Q(t)y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

with piecewise continuous bounded perturbation matrix Q. Let \mathfrak{R}_{σ} be the set of all piecewise continuous bounded perturbations Q such that

$$\lambda[Q] = \lim_{t \to +\infty} t^{-1} \ln \|Q(t)\| < -\sigma, \ \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Put

$$\mathbf{i}_{\theta}(A+Q) = \sup_{y} \iota_{\theta}[y],$$

where the supremum is taken over all non-trivial solutions of system (7).

Theorem. For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in M(A)$, there exists a number $\theta > 1$ such that

$$\alpha = \sup \left\{ i_{\theta}(A+Q) : Q \in \mathfrak{R}_{\beta} \right\}.$$

The proof is based on Millionshchikov's rotation method [10], [3], [5, p. 75].

References

- B. F. Bylov, R. È. Vinograd, D. M. Grobman, and V. V. Nemyckiĭ, Theory of Ljapunov exponents and its application to problems of stability. (Russian) *Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow*, 1966.
- [2] É. I. Grudo, Characteristic vectors and sets of functions of two variables and their fundamental properties. (Russian) Differencial'nye Uravnenija 12 (1976), no. 12, 2115–2118.
- [3] N. A. Izobov, Linear systems of ordinary differential equations. (Russian) Mathematical analysis, Vol. 12 (Russian), pp. 71–146, 468. (loose errata) Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesojuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tehn. Informacii, Moscow, 1974.
- [4] N. A. Izobov, Introduction to the theory of Lyapunov exponents. (Russian) Minsk, 2006.
- [5] N. A. Izobov, Lyapunov exponents and stability. Stability Oscillations and Optimization of Systems 6. Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, 2013.
- [6] E. K. Makarov, On the interrelation between characteristic functionals and weak characteristic exponents. (Russian) *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **30** (1994), no. 3, 393–399, 547; translation in *Differential Equations* **30** (1994), no. 3, 362–367.
- [7] E. K. Makarov, Malkin estimates for the norm of the Cauchy matrix of a linear differential system. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **32** (1996), no. 3, 328–334, 429; translation in *Differential Equations* **32** (1996), no. 3, 333–339.
- [8] I. G. Malkin, On stability of motion via the first approximation. (Russian) C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS, n. Ser. 18 (1938), 159–162.
- [9] I. G. Malkin, Theory of stability of motion. (Russian) Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow, 1966.
- [10] D. V. Millionshchikov, Cohomology of graded Lie algebras of maximal class with coefficients in the adjoint representation. (Russian) *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **263** (2008), Geometriya, Topologiya i Matematicheskaya Fizika. I, 106–119; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **263** (2008), no. 1, 99–111.
- [11] I. N. Sergeev, On the theory of Lyapunov exponents of linear systems of differential equations. (Russian) Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. No. 9 (1983), 111–166.