MacNeille transferability of finite lattices

Frederik Möllerström Lauridsen *joint work with* G. Bezhanishvili, J. Harding & J. Ilin

University of Amsterdam (ILLC)

ToLo VI *Tbilisi, 4 July 2018*

1. Equations preserved by completions of latticed based algebras have been studied extensively.

- 1. Equations preserved by completions of latticed based algebras have been studied extensively.
- 2. Quasi-equations and universal clauses to a lesser extent.

- 1. Equations preserved by completions of latticed based algebras have been studied extensively.
- 2. Quasi-equations and universal clauses to a lesser extent.
- 3. We will look at special universal clauses $\rho({\rm L})$ associated with finite lattices L.

- 1. Equations preserved by completions of latticed based algebras have been studied extensively.
- 2. Quasi-equations and universal clauses to a lesser extent.
- 3. We will look at special universal clauses $\rho({\rm L})$ associated with finite lattices L.
- 4. We determine conditions on L ensuring that $\rho(L)$ is preserved by ideal and MacNeille completions of different types of lattices.

Definition (Grätzer 1966)

A (finite) lattice L is *ideal transferable* if for all lattice K,

$$h\colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \implies k\colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}.$$

Definition (Grätzer 1966)

A (finite) lattice L is *ideal transferable* if for all lattice K,

$$h: \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \implies k: \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}.$$

The lattice L is *sharply ideal transferable* if $k \colon L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} K$ can always be chosen such that

$$x \leq y \iff k(x) \in h(y).$$

Definition (Grätzer 1966)

A (finite) lattice L is *ideal transferable* if for all lattice K,

$$h \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \implies k \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}.$$

The lattice L is *sharply ideal transferable* if $k \colon L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} K$ can always be chosen such that

$$x \leq y \iff k(x) \in h(y).$$

Of course we can also consider bounded lattices and embeddings of such.

Definition (Grätzer 1966)

A (finite) lattice L is *ideal transferable* if for all lattice K,

$$h \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \implies k \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}.$$

The lattice L is *sharply ideal transferable* if $k \colon L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} K$ can always be chosen such that

$$x \leq y \iff k(x) \in h(y).$$

Of course we can also consider bounded lattices and embeddings of such.

Remark

Grätzer was interested in finding first-order sentences in the language of lattices preserved and reflected by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_\tau(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_\tau \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K.

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices **K**. Hence **L** is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{L})$ is preserved by the operation $\mathbf{K} \mapsto \mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K})$.

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

Examples

1. Well-known examples $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{N}_5)$ and $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{M}_3)$,

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

- 1. Well-known examples $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(N_5)$ and $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(M_3)$,
- **2**. Join-irreducible top element $\rho_{1,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

- 1. Well-known examples $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(N_5)$ and $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(M_3)$,
- **2**. Join-irreducible top element $\rho_{1,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,
- 3. No non-trivial complemented elements $\rho_{0,1\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

- 1. Well-known examples $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(N_5)$ and $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(M_3)$,
- **2**. Join-irreducible top element $\rho_{1,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,
- 3. No non-trivial complemented elements $\rho_{0,1\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,
- 4. No doubly-irreducible elements $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{D})$,

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let L be a finite lattice. Then there exist a universal sentence $\rho_{\tau}(L)$ such that

$$\mathbf{K} \not\models \rho_{\tau}(\mathbf{L}) \iff \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K},$$

for all τ -lattices K. Hence L is ideal transferable if and only if $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(L)$ is preserved by the operation $K \mapsto Idl(K)$.

- 1. Well-known examples $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(N_5)$ and $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(M_3)$,
- **2**. Join-irreducible top element $\rho_{1,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,
- 3. No non-trivial complemented elements $\rho_{0,1\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2})$,
- 4. No doubly-irreducible elements $\rho_{\wedge,\vee}(\mathbf{D})$,
- **5.** Any universal class of locally finite lattices can be axiomatised by such clauses.

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

Let L be a finite lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

1. L is ideal transferable,

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,
- 4. L is (weakly) projective in the category of lattices,

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,
- 4. L is (weakly) projective in the category of lattices,
- 5. L is semi-distributive and satisfies Whitman's condition (W).

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

Let L be a finite lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,
- 4. L is (weakly) projective in the category of lattices,
- 5. L is semi-distributive and satisfies Whitman's condition (W).

Hence ideal transferable lattices have no *doubly reducible* elements.

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

Let L be a finite lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,
- 4. L is (weakly) projective in the category of lattices,
- 5. L is semi-distributive and satisfies Whitman's condition (W).

Hence ideal transferable lattices have no *doubly reducible* elements.

Theorem (Gaskill 1972 (1973), Nelson 1974)

Any finite distributive lattice is sharply ideal transferable for the class of all distributive lattices.

Theorem (Grätzer et al. 1970'ties)

Let L be a finite lattice. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. L is ideal transferable,
- 2. L is sharply ideal transferable,
- 3. L is a sub-lattice of the free lattice on 3-generators,
- 4. L is (weakly) projective in the category of lattices,
- 5. L is semi-distributive and satisfies Whitman's condition (W).

Hence ideal transferable lattices have no *doubly reducible* elements.

Theorem (Gaskill 1972 (1973), Nelson 1974)

Any finite distributive lattice is sharply ideal transferable for the class of all distributive lattices.

New results for ideal transferability of distributive lattices with respect to certain classes of modular lattices Wehrung 2018.

Definition

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let \mathcal{K} be a class of τ -lattices.

Definition

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let \mathcal{K} be a class of τ -lattices.

1. A finite lattice L is τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} , if

$$\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \implies \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{K},$$

Definition

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and let \mathcal{K} be a class of τ -lattices.

1. A finite lattice L is τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} , if

$$\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \implies \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}, \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{K},$$

2. L is sharply τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} if for all $\mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{K}$:

$$\forall \, h \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{K}} \, \exists \, k \colon \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K} \, (x \leq y \iff k(x) \leq h(y)).$$

Why is this interesting

Why is this interesting

1. Universal classes of lattices closed under MacNeille completions,
Why is this interesting

- 1. Universal classes of lattices closed under MacNeille completions,
- 2. Canonicity of stable intermediate logics G. & N. Bezhanishvili & J. Ilin,

Why is this interesting

- 1. Universal classes of lattices closed under MacNeille completions,
- 2. Canonicity of stable intermediate logics G. & N. Bezhanishvili & J. Ilin,
- 3. Connections with Algebraic Proof Theory Сіаваттолі, Galatos & Terui; Belardinelli, Jipsen & Ono, ...,

Why is this interesting

- 1. Universal classes of lattices closed under MacNeille completions,
- 2. Canonicity of stable intermediate logics G. & N. Bezhanishvili & J. Ilin,
- 3. Connections with Algebraic Proof Theory CIABATTONI, GALATOS & TERUI; BELARDINELLI, JIPSEN & ONO, ...,
- Non-syntactic proof of the fact that universal {0, 1, ∧}-clauses are preserved under MacNeille completions of Heyting algebras CIABATTONI, GALATOS & TERUI 2011.

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices containing all distributive lattices is necessarily distributive.

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices containing all distributive lattices is necessarily distributive.

Proof.

For any lattice L there exist distributive lattice D_L such that $L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \overline{D_L}$ Harding 1993.

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices containing all distributive lattices is necessarily distributive.

Proof.

For any lattice L there exist distributive lattice D_L such that $L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \overline{D_L}$ Harding 1993.

Remark

This can be seen as a generalisation of the fact that lattice N₅ is not $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices FUNAYAMA 1944.

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices containing all distributive lattices is necessarily distributive.

Proof.

For any lattice L there exist distributive lattice D_L such that $L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \overline{D_L}$ Harding 1993.

Remark

This can be seen as a generalisation of the fact that lattice N₅ is not $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices FUNAYAMA 1944. In particular, the class of distributive lattices is not closed under MacNeille completions

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices \mathcal{K} closed under ultrapowers is also ideal transferable for \mathcal{K} .

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices \mathcal{K} closed under ultrapowers is also ideal transferable for \mathcal{K} .

Proof.

For K a bounded lattice we have that

$$\mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}^{\delta} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \overline{\mathbf{K}^X/U},$$

Gehrke, Harding $\mathring{\sigma}$ Venema 2006.

Theorem

A finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for a class of lattices \mathcal{K} closed under ultrapowers is also ideal transferable for \mathcal{K} .

Proof.

For K a bounded lattice we have that

$$\mathrm{Idl}(\mathbf{K}) \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K}^{\delta} \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} \overline{\mathbf{K}^X/U},$$

Gehrke, Harding & Venema 2006. So if $L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} Idl(K)$, then $L \hookrightarrow_{\wedge,\vee} K$, by Łos' Theorem.

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

 $\mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2}, \quad or \quad \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{C}, \qquad \qquad \textit{for } \mathbf{C} \textit{ a chain.}$

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

1, $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2}$, or $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{C}$, for \mathbf{C} a chain. Proof.

1. If L is $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices then L is distributive and ideal transferable.

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

1, $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2}$, or $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{C}$, for \mathbf{C} a chain. Proof.

- If L is {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices then L is distributive and ideal transferable.
- 2. In particular, L has no doubly-reducible elements.

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

1, $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2}$, or $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{C}$, for \mathbf{C} a chain. Proof.

- If L is {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices then L is distributive and ideal transferable.
- 2. In particular, L has no doubly-reducible elements.
- 3. Any distributive lattice without doubly reducible elements is of this form GALVIN & JÓNSSON 1961.

Corollary

Any finite lattice $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices must be a linear sum of lattices isomorphic to:

1, $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{2}$, or $\mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{C}$, for \mathbf{C} a chain. Proof.

- If L is {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices then L is distributive and ideal transferable.
- 2. In particular, L has no doubly-reducible elements.
- 3. Any distributive lattice without doubly reducible elements is of this form GALVIN & JÓNSSON 1961.

Problem

Does this exactly characterise the lattices $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all lattices?

Definition

An object **P** in a concrete category \mathscr{C} is *(weakly) projective* if for any arrow $h: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{B}$ and any surjection $q: \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ in \mathscr{C} , there exist an arrow $\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ making the following diagram commute



Definition

An object **P** in a concrete category \mathscr{C} is (weakly) projective if for any arrow $h: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{B}$ and any surjection $q: \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ in \mathscr{C} , there exist an arrow $\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ making the following diagram commute



Theorem

1. Every finite distributive lattice (reduct) is projective in the category of meet-semilattices (Horn & Kimura 1971),

Definition

An object **P** in a concrete category \mathscr{C} is *(weakly) projective* if for any arrow $h: \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{B}$ and any surjection $q: \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ in \mathscr{C} , there exist an arrow $\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ making the following diagram commute



Theorem

- 1. Every finite distributive lattice (reduct) is projective in the category of meet-semilattices (Horn & Kimura 1971),
- 2. A finite distributive lattice L is projective in the category of distributive lattices iff $J_0(L)$ is closed under meets (Balbes & Horn 1970).

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$.

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$. Then any finite distributive lattice is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all τ -lattices.

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$. Then any finite distributive lattice is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all τ -lattices.

Proof.

This is an application of BAKER & HALES 1974:

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$. Then any finite distributive lattice is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all τ -lattices.

Proof.

This is an application of Baker & Hales 1974: For $\wedge \in \tau \subseteq \{0,1,\wedge\}$

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$. Then any finite distributive lattice is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all τ -lattices.

Proof.

This is an application of Baker & Hales 1974: For $\wedge \in \tau \subseteq \{0,1,\wedge\}$



Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{\land, \lor\} \not\subseteq \tau$. Then any finite distributive lattice is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all τ -lattices.

Proof.

This is an application of Baker & Hales 1974: For $\wedge \in \tau \subseteq \{0,1,\wedge\}$



Remark

This entails that any class of HAs axiomatised by $\{0, 1, \wedge\}$ -clauses is closed under MacNeille completions CIABATTONI ET AL. 2011.

There is a finite distributive lattice L *not* $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of lattices whose MacNeille completions are distributive.

There is a finite distributive lattice L *not* $\{\land,\lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of lattices whose MacNeille completions are distributive.



There is a finite distributive lattice L *not* $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of lattices whose MacNeille completions are distributive.



Note that **K** is *not* a Heyting algebra.

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{0, 1\} \not\subseteq \tau$ and let **P** be a finite projective distributive lattice.

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{0, 1\} \not\subseteq \tau$ and let **P** be a finite projective distributive lattice. Then **P** is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all distributive τ -lattices.
Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{0, 1\} \not\subseteq \tau$ and let **P** be a finite projective distributive lattice. Then **P** is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all distributive τ -lattices.

Proof. If $\mathbf{P} \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge,\vee} \overline{\mathbf{K}}$ then $\mathbf{P} \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge} \mathbf{K}$.

Theorem

Let $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ be such that $\{0, 1\} \not\subseteq \tau$ and let **P** be a finite projective distributive lattice. Then **P** is τ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all distributive τ -lattices.

Proof.

If $P \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge,\vee} \overline{K}$ then $P \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge} K$. Since P is a finite projective distributive lattice we have that

$$h\colon \mathbf{P} \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge} \mathbf{K} \implies \hat{h}\colon \mathbf{P} \hookrightarrow_{0,\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{K},$$

for $\hat{h}(x) \coloneqq \bigvee \{h(a) : a \in J_0(\mathbf{P}) \cap \downarrow x\}$ Balbes & Horn 1970.

The lattice **D** *is* $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices but *not* projective in the category of distributive lattices.



The lattice **D** *is* $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices but *not* projective in the category of distributive lattices.



However, D is *not* sharply $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices.

The lattice **D** *is* $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices but *not* projective in the category of distributive lattices.



However, **D** is *not* sharply $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices. Not even for the class of Heyting algebras.

The lattice **D** *is* $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices but *not* projective in the category of distributive lattices.



However, **D** is *not* sharply $\{\land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of distributive lattices. Not even for the class of Heyting algebras. Note: The lattice **D** also plays a central role in WEHRUNG 2018.

Lemma

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -lattices closed under principal ideals. If L is τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} the L \oplus 1 is $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} . Similar, mutatis mutandis, for principal filters.

Lemma

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -lattices closed under principal ideals. If L is τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} the L \oplus 1 is $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} . Similar, mutatis mutandis, for principal filters.

Theorem

The following lattices are all $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras:

Lemma

Let \mathcal{K} be a class of $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -lattices closed under principal ideals. If L is τ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} the L \oplus 1 is $(\tau \cup \{1\})$ -MacNeille transferable for \mathcal{K} . Similar, mutatis mutandis, for principal filters.

Theorem

The following lattices are all $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras:

 $\mathbf{1}\oplus P, \quad P\oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{1}\oplus P\oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{1}\oplus D\oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{1}\oplus D, \quad D\oplus \mathbf{1},$

where \mathbf{P} is a finite lattice projective in the category of distributive lattices, and \mathbf{D} is the seven element distributive lattice with a doubly-reducible element.

Theorem

No finite and directly decomposable distributive lattice is $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras.

Theorem

No finite and directly decomposable distributive lattice is $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras.

Proof.

Theorem

No finite and directly decomposable distributive lattice is $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras.

Proof.



Theorem

No finite and directly decomposable distributive lattice is $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras.

Proof.



So for $\mathbf{A} \coloneqq \mathsf{ClpUp}(\mathcal{X})$ we have that $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$, with the property that $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{B}$, for any finite directly indecomposable distributive lattice \mathbf{C} .

Theorem

No finite and directly decomposable distributive lattice is $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras.

Proof.



So for $\mathbf{A} \coloneqq \mathsf{ClpUp}(\mathcal{X})$ we have that $\overline{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{B}$, with the property that $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{B}$, for any finite directly indecomposable distributive lattice C. However, $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2 \nleftrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} \mathbf{A}$, for non-trivial $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2$. \Box

 Is every finite distributive lattice {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?

- Is every finite distributive lattice {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?
- Is every finite and directly indecomposable distributive lattice {0,1, ∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?

- Is every finite distributive lattice {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?
- Is every finite and directly indecomposable distributive lattice {0,1, ∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?
- 3. Must every finite distributive of the form $L \oplus 1$ (or $1 \oplus L$) be $\{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?

- Is every finite distributive lattice {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?
- Is every finite and directly indecomposable distributive lattice {0,1, ∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?
- Must every finite distributive of the form L ⊕ 1 (or 1 ⊕ L) be {0, 1, ∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of Heyting algebras?

Remark

Note that a positive answer to **3** will entail that every stable intermediate logic is canonical.

Theorem

If A is a bi-Heyting algebra of finite width then $\overline{A} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} Idl(A)$.

Theorem

If A is a bi-Heyting algebra of finite width then $\overline{A} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} Idl(A)$.

Theorem

Let L be a finite distributive lattice. Then,

 L is sharply {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras of finite width,

Theorem

If A is a bi-Heyting algebra of finite width then $\overline{A} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} Idl(A)$.

Theorem

Let L be a finite distributive lattice. Then,

- L is sharply {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras of finite width,
- L is {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,

Theorem

If A is a bi-Heyting algebra of finite width then $\overline{A} \hookrightarrow_{0,1,\wedge,\vee} Idl(A)$.

Theorem

Let L be a finite distributive lattice. Then,

- L is sharply {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras of finite width,
- L is {∧, ∨}-MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,
- 3. $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{L} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ is $\{0, 1, \wedge, \vee\}$ -MacNeille transferable for the class of all bi-Heyting algebras.

1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}:$

Complete characterisation of *τ*-MacNeille transferability for *K*:
1.1 *τ* = {∧, ∨} and *K* the class of all lattices,

Complete characterisation of *τ*-MacNeille transferability for *K*:
ι.1 *τ* = {∧, ∨} and *K* the class of all lattices,
ι.2 *τ* = {0, 1, ∧, ∨} and *K* the class of all bounded lattices,

- 1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}\text{:}$
 - 1.1 $\tau = \{\wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices,
 - 1.2 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{ \land, \lor \}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,

- 1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}\text{:}$
 - **1.1** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices,
 - 1.2 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{ \land, \lor \}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.4 $\tau = \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,

- 1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}\text{:}$
 - 1.1 $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices, 1.2 $\tau = \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.4 $\tau = \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.5 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,

- 1. Complete characterisation of τ -MacNeille transferability for \mathcal{K} :
 - **1.1** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices,
 - **1.2** $\tau = \{0, 1, \land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.4 $~\tau=\{0,1,\wedge,\vee\}$ and ${\mathcal K}$ the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.5 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,
- 2. τ -MacNeille transferability for the class of Heyting algebras with $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \neg, \land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$.

- 1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}\text{:}$
 - **1.1** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices,
 - 1.2 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{ \land, \lor \}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.4 $~\tau=\{0,1,\wedge,\vee\}$ and ${\mathcal K}$ the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.5 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,
- 2. τ -MacNeille transferability for the class of Heyting algebras with $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \neg, \land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$.
- 3. Investigate δ -transferability, $\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}^{\delta} \implies \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}$, as an intermediate notion of transferability.
Future work

- 1. Complete characterisation of $\tau\text{-MacNeille}$ transferability for $\mathcal{K}\text{:}$
 - **1.1** $\tau = \{\land, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all lattices,
 - 1.2 $\tau = \{0, 1, \wedge, \lor\}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all bounded lattices,
 - **1.3** $\tau = \{ \land, \lor \}$ and \mathcal{K} the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.4 $~\tau=\{0,1,\wedge,\vee\}$ and ${\mathcal K}$ the class of all Heyting algebras,
 - 1.5 $\,\,\tau=\{0,1,\wedge,\vee\}$ and $\mathcal K$ the class of all bi-Heyting algebras,
- 2. τ -MacNeille transferability for the class of Heyting algebras with $\tau \subseteq \{0, 1, \neg, \land, \lor, \rightarrow\}$.
- 3. Investigate δ -transferability, $\mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}^{\delta} \implies \mathbf{L} \hookrightarrow_{\tau} \mathbf{K}$, as an intermediate notion of transferability.
- 4. Syntax? Cf., Grätzer 1966/1970, Baker & Hales 1974.

Thank you very much for your time and attention