International Workshop on Topological Methods in Logic V 2016

Tbilisi (Georgia) 13-17 June 2016

ON THE THEORY OF PERFECT MONADIC MV-ALGEBRAS

Revaz Grigolia (joint work with A. Di Nola and G. Lenzi)

Introduction

The predicate Lukasiewicz (infinitely valued) logic *QL* is defined in the following standard way. The existential (universal) quantfier is interpreted as supremum (infimum) in a complete *MV* –algebra. Then the valid formulas of predicate calculus are defined as all formulas having value 1 for any assignment.

The functional description of the predicate calculus is given by Rutledge. Scarpellini has proved that the set of valid formulas is not recursively enumerable.

Introduction

Let *L* denote the first order language based on $\cdot, +, \rightarrow, \neg, \exists$ and L_m denotes monadic propositional language based on $\cdot, +, \rightarrow, \neg, \exists$, and *Form*(*L*) and *Form*(L_m -) - the set of formulas of *L* and L_m , respectively. We fix a variable *x* in *L*, associate with each propositional letter *p* in L_m a unique monadic predicate $p^*(x)$ in *L* and define by induction a translation Ψ : *Form*(L_m) \rightarrow *Form*(*L*) by putting:

- $\Psi(p) = p^*(x)$ if p is a propositional variable,
- $\Psi(\alpha \circ \beta) = \Psi(\alpha) \circ \Psi(\beta)$, where $\circ = \cdot, +, \rightarrow$,
- $\Psi(\exists \alpha) = \exists x \Psi(\alpha).$

Through this translation Ψ , we can identify the formulas of L_m with monadic formulas of L containing the variable x.

Introduction

Monadic MV -algebras were introduced and studied by Rutledge as an algebraic model for the predicate calculus *QL* of Lukasiewicz infinite-valued logic, in which only a single individual variable occurs.

Rutledge followed P.R. Halmos' study of monadic Boolean algebras.

An MV-algebra is an algebra

$$\mathsf{A}=(A,\oplus,\otimes,\neg,0,1),$$

where $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is an abelian monoid, and for all $x, y \in A$ the following identities hold:

$$\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \ \neg \neg \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x},$$

$$\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y = \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x,$$
$$x \otimes y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y).$$

Lukasiewicz Logic MV-algebras

The unit interval of real numbers [0, 1] endowed with the following operations: $x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y), x \otimes y = \max(0, x + y - 1),$ $x^* = 1 - x$, becomes an *MV* –algebra $S=([0, 1], \oplus, \otimes, *, 0, 1).$ For $(0 \neq) m \in \omega$ we set $S_m = (\{0, 1/m, ..., m-1/m, 1\}, \oplus, \otimes, *, 0, 1).$

Lukasiewicz logic was originally defined in the early 20th-century by Jan Lukasiewicz as a three-valued logic. It was later generalized to *n*-valued (for all finite *n*) as well as infinitelymany valued variants, both propositional and first-order. The original system of axioms for propositional infinitevalued Lukasiewicz logic used implication and negation as the primitive connectives as for classical logic:

•
$$L_1$$
. $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha))$
• L_2 . $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$
• L_3 . $((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$
• L_4 . $(\neg \beta \rightarrow \neg \alpha) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$.

There is only one inference rule - *Modus Ponens*: from α and ($\alpha \rightarrow \beta$), infer β .

Perfect *MV* -algebras

- Perfect MV -algebras are those MV –algebras generated by their infinitesimal elements or, equivalently, generated by their radical, where radical is the intersection of all maximal ideals, the radical of an MV-algebra, will be denoted by Rad(A).
- [A. Di Nola, A. Lettieri, Perfect MV-algebras are Categorically Equivalent to Abelian l-Groups, Studia Logica, 88(1994), 467-490.]

Let we have any *MV* -algebra. The least integer for which nx = 1 is called *the order of* x. When such an integer exists it is denoted by ord(x)and say that x has finite order, otherwise we say that x has infinite order and write $ord(x) = \infty$.

An *MV*-algebra *A* is called *perfect* if for every nonzero element $x \in A$ $ord(x) = \infty$ if and only if $ord(\neg x) < \infty$.

Perfect *MV* -algebras do not form a variety and contains non-simple subdirectly irreducible MValgebras. The variety generated by all perfect MV – algebras is also generated by a single MV -chain, actually the *MV* –algebra *C*, defined by Chang. The algebra C, with generator $c \in C$, is isomorphic to $\Gamma(Z \times_{lex} Z, (1, 0))$, with generator (0, 1). Let **MV(C)** be the variety generated by perfect algebras.

Each perfect MV-algebra is associated with an abelian ℓ -group with a strong unit. Moreover,

the category of perfect MV-algebras is equivalent to the category of abelian *l*-groups.

The variety generated by all perfect *MV*-algebras, denoted by *MV(C), is also generated* by a single *MV*-chain, actually the *MV*-algebra *C*, defined by Chang.

[A. Di Nola, A. Lettieri, Perfect MV-algebras are Categorically Equivalent to Abelian l-Groups, Studia Logica, 88(1994), 467-490.]

An important example of a perfect *MV*-algebra is the subalgebra *S* of the Lindenbaum algebra *L* of the first order Lukasiewicz logic generated by the classes of formulas which are valid when interpreted in [0, 1] but non-provable.

Hence perfect MV-algebras are directly connected with the very important phenomenon of incompleteness in Lukasiewicz first order logic .



Perfect *MV* -algebras





 $\operatorname{Rad}(C^2) \cup \neg \operatorname{Rad}(C^2)$

The *MV*-algebra *C* is the subdirectly irreducible *MV*-algebra with infinitesimals. It is generated by an atom *c*, which we can interpret as

a quasi false truth value.

The negation of *c* is

a quasi true value.

Now quasi truth or quasi falsehood are vague concepts.

About quasi truth in an MV algebra, it is reasonable to accept the following propositions:

- there are quasi true values which are not 1;
 0 is not quasi true;
- \succ if x is quasi true, then x^2 is quasi true

(where x^2 denotes the MV algebraic product of x with itself).

In *C*, to satisfy these axioms it is enough to say that the quasi true values are the

co-infinitesimals.

Notice, that there is no notion of quasi truth in [0, 1] satisfying the previous axioms.

Let L_P be the logic of perfect *MV*-algebras which coincides with the set of all Lukasiewicz formulas that are valid in all perfect *MV*-chains, or equivalently, that are valid in the *MV*-algebra *C*.

Actually, L_p is the logic obtained by adding to the axioms of Lukasiewicz sentential calculus the following axiom:

$(x \lor x) \& (x \lor x) \leftrightarrow (x \& x) \lor (x \& x)$

[L. P. Belluce, A. Di Nola, B. Gerla, *Perfect MV -algebras and their Logic*, Applied Categorical Structures, Vol. 15, Num. 1-2 (2007), 35-151].

Notice, that the Lindenbaum algebra of L_P is an MV(C)-algebra.

An *MV-algebra* is *MV(C)-algebra* if in addition holds

 $(2x)^2 = 2x^2$.

[**A. Di Nola, A. Lettieri**, *Perfect MV-algebras are Categorically Equivalent to Abelian l-Groups*, Studia Logica, 88(1994), 467-490.]

- An algebra A =(A,⊕, ⊗, *, ∃, 0, 1) (also denoted as (A,∃)) is said to be *monadic MV-algebra* (for short MMV-algebra)
 [A. Di Nola, R. Grigolia, On Monadic MV-algebras, APAL, Vol. 128, Issues 1-3 (August 2004), pp. 125-139.]
- if (A, ⊕, ⊗, *, 0, 1) is an MV-algebra and in addition ∃ satisfies the following identities:

```
E1. x \leq \exists x,

E2. \exists (x \lor y) = \exists x \lor \exists y,

E3. \exists (\exists x)^* = (\exists x)^*,

E4. \exists (\exists x \oplus \exists y) = \exists x \oplus \exists y,

E5. \exists (x \otimes x) = \exists x \otimes \exists x,

E6. \exists (x \oplus x) = \exists x \oplus \exists x.
```

A subalgebra A_0 of an MV-algebra A is said to be **relatively complete** if for every $a \in A$ the set $\{b \in A_0 : a \leq b\}$ has the least element, which is denoted by $inf \{b \in A_0 \leq b\}$.

The MV-algebra $\exists A \ (= \{\exists a : a \in A\})$ is a relatively complete subalgebra of the MV-algebra $(A, \bigoplus, \otimes, *, 0, 1)$, and $\exists a = inf\{b \in \exists A : a \leq b\}$ [R].

A subalgebra A_0 of an MV-algebra A is said to be *m-relatively complete* [A. Di Nola, R. Grigolia, On Monadic MV-algebras, APAL, Vol. 128, Issues 1-3 (August 2004), pp. 125-139.], if A_0 is relatively complete and two additional conditions hold:

(#) $(\forall a \in A)(\forall x \in A_0)(\exists v \in A_0)(x \ge a \otimes a \Rightarrow v \ge a \otimes v \otimes v \le x),$ (##) $(\forall a \in A)(\forall x \in A_0)(\exists v \in A_0)(x \ge a \oplus a \Rightarrow v \ge 0 \otimes v \oplus v \le x).$ m-relatively complete subalgebra of C coincides with C but not its two-element Boolean subalgebra. In other words, (C, \exists) is monadic MV(C)-algebra if $\exists x = x$.

Let we have C^n for some non-negative integer n. Then (C^n, \exists) will be MMV (C)-algebra, where $\exists (a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \max\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $\forall (a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \min\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

In this case $\exists (C^n) = \{(x, ..., x) \in C^n : x \in C\}$. Notice, that (C^n, \exists) is subdirectly irreducible.

Let $Alt^{C}_{m} = \forall (2x_{1}^{2} \lor \forall (2x_{1}^{2} \rightarrow 2x_{2}^{2}) \lor \dots \lor \forall (2x_{1}^{2} \land 2x_{2}^{2} \land \dots \land 2x_{m}^{2} \rightarrow 2x_{m+1}^{2}),$ for $0 < m \in \omega$.

Let \mathbf{K}^m be the subvariety of **MMV(C)** defined by the *identity* $Alt^c_m = 1$.

Theorem 1. There is no a variety V between the varieties \mathbf{K}^m and \mathbf{K}^{m+1} which distinct from \mathbf{K}^m for $0 < m \in \omega$.

Theorem 2. $\mathcal{V}(\bigcup_{k \in \omega} \mathbf{K}^k) = \mathbf{MMV}(\mathbf{C}).$

Theorem 3. Let us suppose that a subdirectly irreducible algebra $A \in MMV(C)$, which is not monadic Boolean algebra, does not satisfy $Alt^{C}_{m} = 1$ for any positive integer m. Then A generate MMV(C).

So we have:

$\mathbf{K}^1 \subset \mathbf{K}^2 \subset ... \subset \mathbf{K}^m \subset ... \mathbf{MMV(C)}$

Fig. 1

Theorem 4. The identity $(\exists x)^2 \land (\exists x^*)^2 = 0$ is satisfied in the subdirectly irreducible MMV(C)-algebra (A, \exists) if and only if the MV algebra reduct of that is perfect MV-algebra.

From the variety **MMV(C)** we can pick out the subvariety **MMV(C)**_p by the identity $(\exists x)^2 \land (\exists x^*)^2 = 0$ which is generated by *MMV (C)*-algebras the *MV*-algebra reduct of which are perfect MV-algebras. Notice that this variety coincides with the variety **K**¹.

Let

$$\Psi_n = (\bigvee_{i=1}^n t(x_i) \rightarrow t(x_{i+1})) \lor (\bigvee_{i=1}^n t(x_{n+1}) \rightarrow t(x_i))$$

where $0 < m \in \omega$, $t(x) = (x \lor x^*) \oplus \forall (x \lor x^*)$.

Theorem 5. The identity $\Psi_n = 1$ is true in (C^k, \exists) for $1 < k \le n$ and $\Psi_n = 1$ does not hold in (C^k, \exists) for k > n.

Let
$$\mathbf{K}_{n}^{k} = \mathbf{K}^{k} + \Psi_{n} = 1, \ k \leq n.$$

Notice that K_{1}^{1} coincides with the variety of monadic MV-algebras with trivial monadic operator $\exists x = x$.

Let **MB** be the variety of monadic Boolean algebras and **MB**_m the subvariety of **MB** generated by $(2^m, \exists)$ where $1 \le m < \omega$.

Theorem 6. There is no variety **V** between varieties \mathbf{K}_{n}^{k} and \mathbf{K}_{n+1}^{k} which is distinct from \mathbf{K}_{n}^{k} and \mathbf{K}_{n+1}^{k} where $k \leq n$.

 $\mathbf{K}^1 \subset \mathbf{K}^2 \subset \mathbf{K}^3 \subset ... \subset \mathbf{K}^m \subset ... \mathbf{MMV}(\mathbf{C})$ U U U $\mathbf{K}^{1}_{m} \subset \mathbf{K}^{2}_{m} \subset \mathbf{K}^{3}_{m} \subset \dots \subset \mathbf{K}^{m}_{m}$ • • • U U U $\mathbf{K}^{1}_{3} \subset \mathbf{K}^{2}_{3} \subset \mathbf{K}^{3}_{3}$ U U $\mathbf{K}^{1}_{2} \subset \mathbf{K}^{2}_{2}$ U U U $MB_1 \subset MB_2 \subset MB_3 \subset \dots \subset MB_m \subset \dots$ MB

If *m* is a positive integer, then a *partition* of *m* is a nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $(k_1, k_2, ..., k_r)$ whose sum is *n*. Each p_i is called a *part* of the partition. For example, for the number 4: 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 1 + 1= 2 + 2 = 3 + 1 = 4.

Let p(m) be the set of all partitions of the number m. Let p(m, n) be the set of all partitions of the number m with n parts. For example $p(4, 2) = \{(2, 2), (3, 1)\}$.

Theorem 7. The variety \mathbf{K}^n_m is generated by the algebra $((\mathbf{R}^*(\mathbf{C}^{m_1}) \times ... \times \mathbf{R}^*(\mathbf{C}^{m_n})^n), \exists), \text{ where } m = m_1 + ... + m_n$

According to the results we can define generating set of algebras for some subvarieties.

- $\mathbf{K}^1 = \mathcal{V}(\{(\mathbf{R}^*(C_1^m), \exists)): 1 < m \in \omega\}), \dots,$
- $\mathbf{K}^n = \mathcal{V}(\{((\mathbf{R}^*(C_1^m))^n, \exists)): 1 \le m \in \omega\}, 1 < n \in \omega,$
- $\mathbf{K}^n_m = \mathcal{V}(((\mathbf{R}^*(\mathbf{C}^{m_1}) \times \ldots \times \mathbf{R}^*(\mathbf{C}^{m_n})^n), \exists)),$
- $\mathbf{MB}_m = \mathcal{V}((\mathbf{2}^m, \exists)), m \in \omega,$

where $R^*(A) = Rad A \cup (RadA)^*$.

• Theorem 8. Any proper subvarieties V_1, V_2 of the variety MMV(C) can be distinguished by two kind of identities $Alt_m^c = 1$ and $\Psi_n = 1$, $1 \le m, n \in \omega$.

- Theorem 9. (Main Theorem). Let V be a proper subvariety of the variety MMV(C) of all MMV (C)algebras. Then one of the following statement holds:
- (i) V = MB;
- (ii) there is an integer m such that $V = MB_m$;
- (iii) there is a positive integer m such that $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{K}^m$;
- (iv) there are positive integers h, k such that $h \le k$ and $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{K}_{k}^{h}$.



$$\mathbf{MB}_m = \mathcal{V}((\mathbf{2}^m, \exists)), m \in \omega$$

$\mathbf{K}^{1} \subset \mathbf{K}^{2} \subset \mathbf{K}^{3} \subset ... \subset \mathbf{K}^{m} \subset ... \mathsf{MMV(C)}$ $\mathbf{K}^{1} = \mathcal{V}(\{(\mathbf{R}^{*}(C_{1}^{m}), \exists)): m \in \omega\})$



Spec(($R^*(C_1^m), \exists$))

 $((\mathbf{R}^*(C_1^{3}))^2, \exists) \in \mathbf{K}^2_{6}$



