

Local tabularity via filtrations

Valentin Shehtman Ilya Shapirovsky

Institute for Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of
Sciences

ToLo V

Tbilisi, Georgia, June 2016

A logic \mathbb{L} is *locally tabular* if, for any finite n , there exist only finitely many pairwise nonequivalent formulas in \mathbb{L} built from the variables p_1, \dots, p_n .

Equivalently, a logic \mathbb{L} is locally tabular if the variety of its algebras is *locally finite*, i.e., every finitely generated \mathbb{L} -algebra is finite.

-  Segerberg, K., “An Essay in Classical Modal Logic,” 1971.
-  Maksimova, L., *Modal logics of finite slices*, 1975.
-  Kuznetsov, A., *Some properties of the structure of varieties of pseudo-Boolean algebras*, 1971.
-  Komori, Y., *The finite model property of the intermediate propositional logics on finite slices*, 1975.
-  ...
-  ...
-  Bezhanishvili, G. and R. Grigolia, *Locally tabular extensions of MIPC*, 1998.
-  Bezhanishvili, N., *Varieties of two-dimensional cylindric algebras. part I: Diagonal-free case*, 2002.
-  Shehtman, V. B., *Canonical filtrations and local tabularity* 2014.

Seegerberg-Maksimova criterion for extensions of $K4$

Formulas of finite height

$$B_1 = p_1 \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p_1, \quad B_{i+1} = p_{i+1} \rightarrow \Box(\Diamond p_{i+1} \vee B_i)$$

Theorem (Seegerberg, Maksimova)

A logic $L \supseteq K4$ is locally tabular iff L contains B_h for some $h > 0$.

New results on local tabularity of normal unimodal logics

- A necessary syntactic condition:
a logic is locally tabular, only if it is *pretransitive* and is of *finite height*.
- A semantic criterion:
 $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the *ripe cluster property*.
- Segerberg – Maksimova syntactic criterion for extensions of logics much weaker than $K4$:
if $m \geq 1$, $\Diamond^{m+1}p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p \in L$, then L is locally tabular iff it is of finite height.

Frames of finite height

A poset F is of *finite height* $\leq n$ if every its chain contains at most n elements.

Skeleton

R^* is the transitive reflexive closure of R .

Clusters are maximal subsets where R^* is universal:

\sim_R is the equivalence relation $R^* \cap R^{*-1}$, an equivalence class modulo \sim_R is a *cluster* in (W, R) .

The *skeleton* of (W, R) is the poset $(W/\sim_R, \leq_R)$, where for clusters C, D ,

$$C \leq_R D \text{ iff } xR^*y \text{ for some (for all) } x \in C, y \in D.$$

Height of a frame is the height of its skeleton.

Transitive logics of finite height

For any transitive F ,

$$F \models B_h \iff ht(F) \leq h,$$

where

$$B_1 = p_1 \rightarrow \Box \Diamond p_1, \quad B_{i+1} = p_{i+1} \rightarrow \Box (\Diamond p_{i+1} \vee B_i).$$

Theorem (Seegerberg, Maksimova)

A logic $L \supseteq K4$ is locally tabular iff it contains B_h for some $h \geq 0$.

Pretransitive relations and logics

$$R^{\leq m} = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq m} R^i.$$

R is *m-transitive*, if $R^{\leq m} = R^*$, or equivalently, $R^{m+1} \subseteq R^{\leq m}$.

R is *pretransitive*, if it is *m-transitive* for some $m \geq 0$.

$$\diamond^0 \varphi := \varphi, \quad \diamond^{i+1} \varphi := \diamond \diamond^i \varphi,$$

$$\diamond^{\leq m} \varphi := \bigvee_{i=0}^m \diamond^i \varphi, \quad \square^{\leq m} \varphi := \neg \diamond^{\leq m} \neg \varphi.$$

Proposition

R is *m-transitive* iff $(W, R) \models \diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq m} p$.

A logic L is *m-transitive*, if $(\diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq m} p) \in L$;

L is *pretransitive*, if it is *m-transitive* for some $m \geq 0$.

Pretransitive logics of finite height

$\varphi^{[m]}$ is obtained from φ by replacing \diamond with $\diamond^{\leq m}$ and \square with $\square^{\leq m}$.

Proposition

For an m -transitive frame F , $F \models B_h^{[m]} \iff ht(F) \leq h$.

A pretransitive L is of finite height, if L contains $B_h^{[m]}$, where m is the least such that L is m -transitive.

Theorem

Every locally tabular logic is pretransitive of finite height.

The converse is not true in general.

Pretransitive logics of finite height

$\varphi^{[m]}$ is obtained from φ by replacing \diamond with $\diamond^{\leq m}$ and \square with $\square^{\leq m}$.

Proposition

For an m -transitive frame F , $F \models B_h^{[m]} \iff ht(F) \leq h$.

A pretransitive L is of finite height, if L contains $B_h^{[m]}$, where m is the least such that L is m -transitive.

Theorem

Every locally tabular logic is pretransitive of finite height.

The converse is not true in general.

Pretransitive logics of finite height

$\varphi^{[m]}$ is obtained from φ by replacing \diamond with $\diamond^{\leq m}$ and \square with $\square^{\leq m}$.

Proposition

For an m -transitive frame F , $F \models B_h^{[m]} \iff ht(F) \leq h$.

A pretransitive L is of finite height, if L contains $B_h^{[m]}$, where m is the least such that L is m -transitive.

Theorem

Every locally tabular logic is pretransitive of finite height.

The converse is not true in general.

Pretransitive logics of finite height

All m -transitive logics of finite height

$$K + (\diamond^{m+1}p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq m}p) + B_h^{[m]}$$

have the FMP [Kudinov and Sh, 2015].

However, for $m > 1$, none of them are locally tabular: the 2-transitive logic of height 1

$$K + (\diamond\diamond\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq 2}p) + B_1^{[2]}$$

have Kripke incomplete extensions [Kostrzycka, 2008].

Pretransitive logics of finite height

All m -transitive logics of finite height

$$K + (\diamond^{m+1}p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq m}p) + B_h^{[m]}$$

have the FMP [Kudinov and Sh, 2015].

However, for $m > 1$, none of them are locally tabular: the 2-transitive logic of height 1

$$K + (\diamond\diamond\diamond p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq 2}p) + B_1^{[2]}$$

have Kripke incomplete extensions [Kostrzycka, 2008].

Pretransitive logics are much more complex than K4.

E.g., the FMP (and even the decidability) of the logics

$K + (\diamond^{m+1}p \rightarrow \diamond^{\leq m}p)$ is unknown for $m \geq 2$.

Semantic criterion

Partitions, the finite model property, and local tabularity

In modal logic, the FMP is often proved via constructing special partitions of Kripke frames and models (*filtrations*).

Local tabularity in terms of partitions:

If \mathbb{F} is an L-frame and \mathcal{A} is a finite partition of \mathbb{F} , then there exists a finite refinement of \mathcal{A} with a special properties.

As usual, a partition \mathcal{A} of a non-empty set W is a set of non-empty pairwise disjoint sets such that $W = \cup \mathcal{A}$. The corresponding equivalence relation is denoted by $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$, so $\mathcal{A} = W / \sim_{\mathcal{A}}$.

A partition \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} , if each element of \mathcal{A} is the union of some elements of \mathcal{B} , or equivalently, $\sim_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \sim_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Minimal filtrations

The *minimal filtration of* (W, R) *through* \mathcal{A} is the frame $(\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}})$, where for $U, V \in \mathcal{A}$

$$UR_{\mathcal{A}}V \iff \exists u \in U \exists v \in V uRv.$$

Let $M = (W, R, \theta)$ be a model, Γ a set of formulas. A partition \mathcal{A} of M *respects* Γ , if for all $x, y \in W$

$$x \sim_{\mathcal{A}} y \Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in \Gamma (M, x \models \varphi \iff M, y \models \varphi).$$

Filtration lemma (late 1960s)

Let Γ be a set of formulas closed under taking subformulas, \mathcal{A} respect Γ . Then, for all $x \in W$ and all formulas $\varphi \in \Gamma$,

$$M, x \models \varphi \iff (\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}}, \theta_{\mathcal{A}}), [x]_{\mathcal{A}} \models \varphi.$$

Minimal filtrations

The *minimal filtration of (W, R) through \mathcal{A}* is the frame $(\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}})$, where for $U, V \in \mathcal{A}$

$$UR_{\mathcal{A}}V \iff \exists u \in U \exists v \in V uRv.$$

Fact

Consider a Kripke complete logic $L = \text{Log}(W, R)$. If for every finite partition \mathcal{A} of W there exists a finite \mathcal{B} such that \mathcal{B} refines \mathcal{A} and $(\mathcal{B}, R_{\mathcal{B}}) \models L$, then L has the FMP.

Special minimal filtrations: tuned partitions

Definition

A partition \mathcal{A} of $F = (W, R)$ is *R-tuned*, if for any $U, V \in \mathcal{A}$

$$U R_{\mathcal{A}} V \Rightarrow \forall u \in U \exists v \in V u R v,$$

that is,

$$\exists u \in U \exists v \in V u R v \iff \forall u \in U \exists v \in V u R v.$$

Fact (Franzen, early 1970s)

If \mathcal{A} is *R-tuned*, then $\text{Log}(W, R) \subseteq \text{Log}(\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Special minimal filtrations: tuned partitions

Definition

A partition \mathcal{A} of $F = (W, R)$ is *R-tuned*, if for any $U, V \in \mathcal{A}$

$$UR_{\mathcal{A}}V \Rightarrow \forall u \in U \exists v \in V uRv,$$

that is,

$$\exists u \in U \exists v \in V uRv \iff \forall u \in U \exists v \in V uRv.$$

Fact (Franzen, early 1970s)

If \mathcal{A} is *R-tuned*, then $\text{Log}(W, R) \subseteq \text{Log}(\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Fact

If for every finite partition \mathcal{A} of W there exists a finite *R-tuned* refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , then $\text{Log}(W, R)$ has the FMP.

Special minimal filtrations: tuned partitions

Definition

A partition \mathcal{A} of $F = (W, R)$ is *R-tuned*, if for any $U, V \in \mathcal{A}$

$$U R_{\mathcal{A}} V \Rightarrow \forall u \in U \exists v \in V u R v,$$

that is,

$$\exists u \in U \exists v \in V u R v \iff \forall u \in U \exists v \in V u R v.$$

Fact (Franzen, early 1970s)

If \mathcal{A} is *R-tuned*, then $\text{Log}(W, R) \subseteq \text{Log}(\mathcal{A}, R_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Fact

If for every finite partition \mathcal{A} of W there exists a finite *R-tuned* refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , then $\text{Log}(W, R)$ has the FMP.

Example

$\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, <)$ and $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ have the FMP.

Semantic criterion

Definition

A frame \mathbb{F} is *ripe*, if there exists a monotonic $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that for every finite partition \mathcal{A} of W there exists an R -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq f(|\mathcal{A}|)$.

A class of frames \mathcal{F} is ripe if all frames $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ are ripe for a fixed f .

Theorem (Intermediate criterion)

$\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is ripe.

Semantic criterion

Definition

A frame \mathbb{F} is *ripe*, if there exists a monotonic $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that for every finite partition \mathcal{A} of W there exists an R -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} such that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq f(|\mathcal{A}|)$.

A class of frames \mathcal{F} is ripe if all frames $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ are ripe for a fixed f .

Theorem (Intermediate criterion)

$\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is ripe.

Example

Local tabularity of $S5$ is an immediate consequence of the theorem: in a frame with the universal relation, any partition is tuned. But even for preorders of finite height > 1 , to construct tuned refinements is an exercise.

However, it is enough to construct partitions only for clusters.

Semantic criterion. Main result

Definition

A class \mathcal{F} of frames has the *ripe cluster property*, if the class of clusters in its frames $\{C \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } C \text{ is a cluster in } F\}$ is ripe. A logic has the ripe cluster property, if the class of its frames has.

Theorem

A logic $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.

Example

Some logics with the ripe cluster property:

- S4, K4 (any partition of a cluster is tuned, so $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{wK4} = \text{K} + \Diamond\Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ (again, $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{K} + \Diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ for $m \geq 1$ (here $f(n) = mn$).

Semantic criterion. Main result

Definition

A class \mathcal{F} of frames has the *ripe cluster property*, if the class of clusters in its frames $\{C \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } C \text{ is a cluster in } F\}$ is ripe. A logic has the ripe cluster property, if the class of its frames has.

Theorem

A logic $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.

Example

Some logics with the ripe cluster property:

- S4, K4 (any partition of a cluster is tuned, so $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{wK4} = \text{K} + \Diamond\Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ (again, $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{K} + \Diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ for $m \geq 1$ (here $f(n) = mn$).

Semantic criterion. Main result

Definition

A class \mathcal{F} of frames has the *ripe cluster property*, if the class of clusters in its frames $\{C \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } C \text{ is a cluster in } F\}$ is ripe. A logic has the ripe cluster property, if the class of its frames has.

Theorem

A logic $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.

Example

Some logics with the ripe cluster property:

- S4, K4 (any partition of a cluster is tuned, so $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{wK4} = \text{K} + \Diamond\Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ (again, $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{K} + \Diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ for $m \geq 1$ (here $f(n) = mn$).

Semantic criterion. Main result

Definition

A class \mathcal{F} of frames has the *ripe cluster property*, if the class of clusters in its frames $\{C \mid \exists F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } C \text{ is a cluster in } F\}$ is ripe. A logic has the ripe cluster property, if the class of its frames has.

Theorem

A logic $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.

Example

Some logics with the ripe cluster property:

- S4, K4 (any partition of a cluster is tuned, so $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{wK4} = \text{K} + \Diamond\Diamond p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ (again, $f(n) = n$);
- $\text{K} + \Diamond^{m+1} p \rightarrow \Diamond p \vee p$ for $m \geq 1$ (here $f(n) = mn$).

Semantic criterion. Main result

A logic $\text{Log}(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.

Semantic criterion. Main result

A logic $Log(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.



Semantic criterion. Main result

A logic $Log(\mathcal{F})$ is locally tabular iff \mathcal{F} is of uniformly finite height and has the ripe cluster property.



Theorem

Suppose L_0 is a canonical pretransitive logic with the ripe cluster property. Then for any logic $L \supseteq L_0$:

L is locally tabular iff it is of finite height.

Theorem

Suppose L_0 is a canonical pretransitive logic with the ripe cluster property. Then for any logic $L \supseteq L_0$:

L is locally tabular iff it is of finite height.

Theorem

If $m \geq 1$, $\diamond^{m+1}p \rightarrow \diamond p \vee p \in L$, then

L is locally tabular iff it is of finite height.

Intuitionistic case

$\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular: it is of infinite height.
However, $\text{ILog}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is known to be locally tabular.

Intuitionistic case

$\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular: it is of infinite height.
However, $\text{ILog}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is known to be locally tabular.

In terms of partitions:

For every partition \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{N} there exists a finite \leq -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . So $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ have the fmp.

Intuitionistic case

$\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular: it is of infinite height.
However, $\text{ILog}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is known to be locally tabular.

In terms of partitions:

For every partition \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{N} there exists a finite \leq -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . So $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ have the fmp.

But (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is not ripe enough: for any natural n there exists a two-element partition of \mathbb{N} such that for every \leq -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} we have $|\mathcal{B}| > n$. So $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular.

Intuitionistic case

$\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular: it is of infinite height.
However, $\text{ILog}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is known to be locally tabular.

In terms of partitions:

For every partition \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{N} there exists a finite \leq -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . So $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ have the fmp.

But (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is not ripe enough: for any natural n there exists a two-element partition of \mathbb{N} such that for every \leq -tuned refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} we have $|\mathcal{B}| > n$. So $\text{Log}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ is not locally tabular.

If \mathcal{A} is induced by upward-closed sets, then \mathcal{A} consists of intervals, so it is \leq -tuned already.

Two problems

Problem

A syntactic criterion for local tabularity over K .

Problem

A syntactic criterion for local tabularity of intermediate logics.

Thank you!