

Tensor products of logics containing **S4**

Ilya Shapirovsky

Institute of Information Transmission Problems, Moscow, Russia

June 24, 2014

The product of Kripke frames $F_1 = (W, R)$, $F_2 = (U, S)$ is the frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times U, R^\times, S^\times)$, where

$$(w_1, w_2)R^\times(v_1, v_2) \Leftrightarrow w_1 R v_1 \ \& \ w_2 = v_2,$$

$$(w_1, w_2)S^\times(v_1, v_2) \Leftrightarrow w_1 = v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S v_2.$$

The product of Kripke frames $F_1 = (W, R)$, $F_2 = (U, S)$ is the frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times U, R^\times, S^\times)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}(w_1, w_2)R^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 R v_1 \ \& \ w_2 = v_2, \\(w_1, w_2)S^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 = v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S v_2.\end{aligned}$$

The product of Kripke frames $F_1 = (W, R_1, \dots, R_n)$, $F_2 = (V, S_1, \dots, S_k)$ is the $(n + k)$ -frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times V, R_1^\times, \dots, R_n^\times, S_1^\times, \dots, S_k^\times)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}(w_1, w_2)R_i^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 R_i v_1 \ \& \ w_2 = v_2, \\(w_1, w_2)S_j^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 = v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S_j v_2.\end{aligned}$$

The product of Kripke frames $F_1 = (W, R)$, $F_2 = (U, S)$ is the frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times U, R^\times, S^\times)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}(w_1, w_2)R^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 R v_1 \ \& \ w_2 = v_2, \\(w_1, w_2)S^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 = v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S v_2.\end{aligned}$$

The product of Kripke frames $F_1 = (W, R_1, \dots, R_n)$, $F_2 = (V, S_1, \dots, S_k)$ is the $(n + k)$ -frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times V, R_1^\times, \dots, R_n^\times, S_1^\times, \dots, S_k^\times)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}(w_1, w_2)R_i^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 R_i v_1 \ \& \ w_2 = v_2, \\(w_1, w_2)S_j^\times(v_1, v_2) &\Leftrightarrow w_1 = v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S_j v_2.\end{aligned}$$

For classes of Kripke frames \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{G} , $\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{G} := \{F \times G \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}, G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$.
For logics L_1, L_2 ,

$$L_1 \times L_2 := \text{Log}(\text{Fr}(L_1) \times \text{Fr}(L_2)),$$

where $\text{Fr}(L)$ is the class of all L -frames.

Two “bad” logical properties of the product operation

Two “bad” logical properties of the product operation

- ▶ Products of Kripke incomplete logics

If two logics L_1, L'_1 have the same frames, then for any L_2

$$L_1 \times L_2 = L'_1 \times L_2.$$

In particular, if a logic L_1 is consistent, but the class of its frames is empty (e.g. L_1 is the Thomason's bimodal logic), then $L_1 \times L_2$ is inconsistent for any L_2 .

Two “bad” logical properties of the product operation

- ▶ Products of Kripke incomplete logics

If two logics L_1, L'_1 have the same frames, then for any L_2

$$L_1 \times L_2 = L'_1 \times L_2.$$

In particular, if a logic L_1 is consistent, but the class of its frames is empty (e.g. L_1 is the Thomason's bimodal logic), then $L_1 \times L_2$ is inconsistent for any L_2 .

- ▶ Logical non-invariance

It may happen that $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}')$, $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{G}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{G}')$ while

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{G}) \neq \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}' \times \mathfrak{G}').$$

For example, $\mathbf{S4} = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F})$, where \mathfrak{F} is the class of all **finite** preorders, but $\mathbf{S4} \times \mathbf{S4} \neq \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F})$.

Two “bad” logical properties of the product operation

- ▶ Products of Kripke incomplete logics

If two logics L_1, L'_1 have the same frames, then for any L_2

$$L_1 \times L_2 = L'_1 \times L_2.$$

In particular, if a logic L_1 is consistent, but the class of its frames is empty (e.g. L_1 is the Thomason's bimodal logic), then $L_1 \times L_2$ is inconsistent for any L_2 .

- ▶ Logical non-invariance

It may happen that $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}')$, $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{G}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{G}')$ while

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{G}) \neq \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}' \times \mathfrak{G}').$$

For example, $\mathbf{S4} = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F})$, where \mathfrak{F} is the class of all **finite** preorders, but $\mathbf{S4} \times \mathbf{S4} \neq \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F})$.

Note that logical invariance holds for direct products of elementary theories (A. Mostowski, 1952).

[A. Kurucz. Combining modal logics. Handbook of Modal Logic, volume 3. 2007.]:

There are several attempts for extending the product construction from Kripke complete logics to arbitrary modal logics, mainly by considering product-like constructions on Kripke models. All the suggested methods so far result in sets of formulas that are not closed under the rule of Substitution.

[A. Kurucz. Combining modal logics. Handbook of Modal Logic, volume 3. 2007.]:

There are several attempts for extending the product construction from Kripke complete logics to arbitrary modal logics, mainly by considering product-like constructions on Kripke models. All the suggested methods so far result in sets of formulas that are not closed under the rule of Substitution.

Nevertheless, a possible answer was already known by that time:

[Y. Hasimoto. Normal products of modal logics. Advances in Modal Logic, volume 3. 2002.]

[Y. Hasimoto. Normal products of modal logics. Advances in Modal Logic, volume 3. 2002.]

[D. Gabbay, I. Shapirovsky, and V. Shehtman. Products of Modal Logics and Tensor Products of Modal Algebras. Journal of Applied Logic. In press.]

Main construction (Hasimoto)

Definition

A set $U \times V$, where $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$, is called a *rectangle* in $X \times Y$. A *chequered subset* of $X \times Y$ is a finite union of rectangles.

Proposition

The set of all chequered subsets of $W_1 \times W_2$ is closed under Boolean operations. Moreover, if A_i is a subalgebra of 2^{W_i} , $i = 1, 2$, then the set of all finite unions of rectangles $V_1 \times V_2$, where $V_i \in A_i$, is closed under Boolean operations as well.

Main construction (Hasimoto)

Definition

A set $U \times V$, where $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$, is called a *rectangle* in $X \times Y$. A *chequered subset* of $X \times Y$ is a finite union of rectangles.

For nonempty sets X, Y let $ch(X, Y)$ be the Boolean algebra of all chequered subsets of $X \times Y$. If A, B are subalgebras of $2^X, 2^Y$ respectively, let $ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ be the Boolean algebra of finite unions of rectangles U, V , where $U \in A, V \in B$.

Proposition

Let $F_1 = (W_1, R_1), F_2 = (W_2, R_2), F_1 \times F_2 = (W_1 \times W_2, R_1^\times, R_2^\times)$. Then

(1) for any rectangle $U \times V$ we have

$$R_1^{\times -1}(U \times V) = R_1^{-1}(U) \times V, \quad R_2^{\times -1}(U \times V) = U \times R_2^{-1}(V);$$

(2) if (F_1, A_1) and (F_2, A_2) are general 1-frames, then $(F_1 \times F_2, ch_{A_1 A_2}(W_1, W_2))$ is a general 2-frame.

Every Boolean algebra can be regarded as a Boolean ring, where the ring multiplication is the meet and the ring addition is the symmetric difference:

$$xy := x \wedge y, \quad x + y := (x \wedge \neg y) \vee (y \wedge \neg x).$$

A Boolean ring is a commutative associative algebra over the two-element field \mathbf{F}_2 with an idempotent multiplication; so the standard construction of a tensor product of associative algebras is applicable here.

Viz., the tensor product of algebras A, B is a pair $(A \otimes B, \pi)$, where $A \otimes B$ is an algebra, $\pi : (a, b) \mapsto a \otimes b$ is a bilinear map $A \times B \longrightarrow A \otimes B$ with the following universal property: every bilinear map $f : A \times B \longrightarrow C$, where C is an \mathbf{F}_2 -space, uniquely factors through π , i.e., $f = g \cdot \pi$ for a unique linear $g : A \otimes B \longrightarrow C$.

X, Y are nonempty sets, A, B are subalgebras of $2^X, 2^Y$ respectively.
 $ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the Boolean algebra of finite unions of rectangles U, V ,
where $U \in A, V \in B$.

Observation

$Ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the tensor product of A and B .

More precisely, $(ch_{AB}(X, Y), \pi|(A \times B))$ is the tensor product of A and B ,
where $\pi : 2^X \times 2^Y \rightarrow Ch(X, Y)$ such that $\pi(U, V) := U \times V$.

In particular, $(ch(X, Y), \pi)$ is the tensor product of 2^X and 2^Y .

X, Y are nonempty sets, A, B are subalgebras of $2^X, 2^Y$ respectively.
 $ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the Boolean algebra of finite unions of rectangles U, V ,
where $U \in A, V \in B$.

Observation

$Ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the tensor product of A and B .

More precisely, $(ch_{AB}(X, Y), \pi|(A \times B))$ is the tensor product of A and B ,
where $\pi : 2^X \times 2^Y \rightarrow Ch(X, Y)$ such that $\pi(U, V) := U \times V$.

In particular, $(ch(X, Y), \pi)$ is the tensor product of 2^X and 2^Y .

Theorem (Gabbay, Shehtman, Sh)

*If $(A_1, \diamond_1), (A_2, \diamond_2)$ are normal 1-modal algebras, then there exists a
unique 2-modal algebra structure on $A_1 \otimes A_2$ with diamond operations
 $\diamond_1^\times, \diamond_2^\times$ such that for any $a \in A_1, b \in A_2$*

$$\diamond_1^\times(a \otimes b) = \diamond_1 a \otimes b, \quad \diamond_2^\times(a \otimes b) = a \otimes \diamond_2 b.$$

X, Y are nonempty sets, A, B are subalgebras of $2^X, 2^Y$ respectively.
 $ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the Boolean algebra of finite unions of rectangles U, V ,
where $U \in A, V \in B$.

Observation

$Ch_{AB}(X, Y)$ is the tensor product of A and B .

More precisely, $(ch_{AB}(X, Y), \pi|(A \times B))$ is the tensor product of A and B ,
where $\pi : 2^X \times 2^Y \rightarrow Ch(X, Y)$ such that $\pi(U, V) := U \times V$.

In particular, $(ch(X, Y), \pi)$ is the tensor product of 2^X and 2^Y .

Theorem (Gabbay, Shehtman, Sh)

*If $(A_1, \diamond_1), (A_2, \diamond_2)$ are normal 1-modal algebras, then there exists a
unique 2-modal algebra structure on $A_1 \otimes A_2$ with diamond operations
 $\diamond_1^\times, \diamond_2^\times$ such that for any $a \in A_1, b \in A_2$*

$$\diamond_1^\times(a \otimes b) = \diamond_1 a \otimes b, \quad \diamond_2^\times(a \otimes b) = a \otimes \diamond_2 b.$$

Put $(A_1, \diamond_1) \otimes (A_2, \diamond_2) := (A_1 \otimes A_2, \diamond_1^\times, \diamond_2^\times)$.

Definition

The *tensor product of general frames*:

$$(F_1, A_1) \otimes (F_2, A_2) := (F_1 \times F_2, A_1 \otimes A_2).$$

In particular, the *tensor product of Kripke frames*

$$F_1 \otimes F_2 := (F_1 \times F_2, ch(W_1, W_2)).$$

For classes of algebras (general frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} , put

$$\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B} := \{A \otimes B \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}\}.$$

Definition

The *tensor product of logics* L_1 and L_2 is the logic

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 := \text{Log}(\text{Alg}(L_1) \otimes \text{Alg}(L_2)).$$

Since every modal algebra is an algebra of a general frame, we have

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 = \text{Log}(\text{GFr}(L_1) \otimes \text{GFr}(L_2)).$$

Proposition (Hasimoto)

- ▶ $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is consistent iff L_1 and L_2 are consistent.

Proposition (Hasimoto)

- ▶ $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is consistent iff L_1 and L_2 are consistent.
- ▶ If L_1 and L_2 are consistent, then $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is conservative over L_1 and L_2 .

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.

F_L denotes the canonical frame of a logic L , and (F_L, A_L) denotes its general canonical frame.

Corollary

For any L_1, L_2 , $L_1 \otimes L_2 = \text{Log}((F_{L_1}, A_{L_1}) \otimes (F_{L_2}, A_{L_2}))$.

Corollary

If L_1, L_2 are canonical, then $L_1 \otimes L_2 = \text{Log}(F_{L_1} \otimes F_{L_2})$.

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.

$$((F_1, A_1) \otimes (F_2, A_2)) \otimes (F_3, A_3) \cong (F_1, A_1) \otimes ((F_2, A_2) \otimes (F_3, A_3)).$$

Corollary

$$(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes L_3 = L_1 \otimes (L_2 \otimes L_3).$$

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.

$$((F_1, A_1) \otimes (F_2, A_2)) \otimes (F_3, A_3) \cong (F_1, A_1) \otimes ((F_2, A_2) \otimes (F_3, A_3)).$$

Corollary

$$(L_1 \otimes L_2) \otimes L_3 = L_1 \otimes (L_2 \otimes L_3).$$

Problem

$$(L_1 \times L_2) \times L_3 \stackrel{?}{=} L_1 \times (L_2 \times L_3).$$

In particular, $(\mathbf{K}^2 \times \mathbf{K}) \times \mathbf{K} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{K}^2 \times (\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K})$.

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}).$$

Proposition

$ch(X, Y) = 2^{X \times Y}$ iff X or Y is finite.

Corollary

If L_1, L_2 are Kripke complete, then

$$L_1 \times L_2 \subseteq L_1 \otimes L_2 \subseteq L_1 \times_{fin} L_2,$$

where $L_1 \times_{fin} L_2 := \text{Log}(\text{Fr}_{fin}(L_1) \times \text{Fr}_{fin}(L_2))$, $\text{Fr}_{fin}(L)$ is the class of all finite L -frames.

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$.

A logic $L_1 \times L_2$ has the *product fmp* if $L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \times_{fin} L_2$.

Corollary

Let L_1, L_2 be Kripke complete logics. $L_1 \times L_2$ has the *product fmp* iff

$$L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_1 \times_{fin} L_2;$$

it follows that if $L_1 \times L_2$ has the *product fmp*, then for any \mathfrak{F}_i such that $L_i = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$L_1 \times L_2 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2).$$

Logical invariance

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of 1-algebras (general frames, Kripke frames) \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{B} ,

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{A}) \otimes \text{Log}(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}).$$

Corollary

If L_1 and L_2 have the fmp, then:

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 = L_1 \times_{fin} L_2;$$

$L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2$ iff $L_1 \times L_2$ has the product fmp.

Products with tabular logics (Gabbay, Shehtman, Sh)

A *tabular* logic is the logic of a finite frame.

Corollary

If L_1 is Kripke complete, L_2 is tabular, then $L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2$.

Corollary

*For a class of frames \mathfrak{F} , and a finite frame G ,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{F}) \times \text{Log}(G) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{F} \times \{G\})$.*

Corollary

If L_1 has the fmp and L_2 is tabular, then $L_1 \times L_2$ has the product fmp.

Corollary

The modal product of tabular logics is tabular: if F and G are finite, then

$$\text{Log}(F) \times \text{Log}(G) = \text{Log}(F \times G).$$

Products with tabular logics (Gabbay, Shehtman, Sh)

A *tabular* logic is the logic of a finite frame.

Theorem

Suppose L_2 is tabular. Then:

1. if L_1 admits filtration, then $L_1 \times L_2$ has the exponential product fmp;
2. if L_1 is Kripke complete and decidable, then $L_1 \times L_2$ is decidable.

Some problems

- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2$, but $L_1 \times L_2$ lacks the product fmp and L_1, L_2 are non-tabular?
- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2$ is undecidable, but $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is decidable?
- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2$ is not finitely axiomatizable, but $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is finitely axiomatizable?

Some problems

- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2 = L_1 \otimes L_2$, but $L_1 \times L_2$ lacks the product fmp and L_1, L_2 are non-tabular?
- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2$ is undecidable, but $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is decidable?
- ▶ Do there exist L_1, L_2 such that $L_1 \times L_2$ is not finitely axiomatizable, but $L_1 \otimes L_2$ is finitely axiomatizable?
- ▶ Does Kripke completeness transfer from L_1 and L_2 to $L_1 \otimes L_2$?

If L_1 and L_2 are Kripke complete then

$L_1 \otimes L_2 = \text{Log}(\text{Fr}(L_1) \otimes \text{Fr}(L_2))$. The latter is the logic of a class of general frames.

Signature preserving products

For Kripke 1-frames $F_1 = (W, R)$, $F_2 = (U, S)$, their \times -product is the 2-frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times U, R^\times, S^\times)$.

Signature preserving products

For Kripke 1-frames $F_1 = (W, R)$, $F_2 = (U, S)$, their \times -product is the 2-frame $F_1 \times F_2 = (W \times U, R^\times, S^\times)$.

$F_1 \times_{dir} F_2 := (W \times U, R^\times \circ S^\times)$ is the **direct** product of F_1 and F_2 :

$$(w_1, w_2) (R^\times \circ S^\times) (v_1, v_2) \Leftrightarrow w_1 R v_1 \ \& \ w_2 S v_2.$$

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

► $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

- ▶ $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2}$;

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

- ▶ $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2}$;
- ▶ If φ is preserved in direct products then
 $(\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) \times_{dir} (\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) = \mathbf{S4} + \varphi$;

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

- ▶ $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2}$;
- ▶ If φ is preserved in direct products then
 $(\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) \times_{dir} (\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) = \mathbf{S4} + \varphi$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.3} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} =$

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

- ▶ $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2}$;
- ▶ If φ is preserved in direct products then
 $(\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) \times_{dir} (\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) = \mathbf{S4} + \varphi$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.3} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} = \mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} = \mathbf{S4.2}$.

$\mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} \subseteq \mathbf{S4.3} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} \subseteq \text{Log}((\mathbb{R}, \leq) \times_{dir} (\mathbb{R}, \leq))$.

Direct products of logics containing **S4**

$L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Proposition

$\mathbf{S4} \subseteq L_1 \times_{dir} L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2$.

Example

- ▶ $\mathbf{S4} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4} = \mathbf{S4}$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2}$;
- ▶ If φ is preserved in direct products then
 $(\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) \times_{dir} (\mathbf{S4} + \varphi) = \mathbf{S4} + \varphi$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{S4.3} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} = \mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} = \mathbf{S4.2}$.

$\mathbf{S4.2} = \mathbf{S4.2} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.2} \subseteq \mathbf{S4.3} \times_{dir} \mathbf{S4.3} \subseteq \text{Log}((\mathbb{R}, \leq) \times_{dir} (\mathbb{R}, \leq))$.

The latter logic is $\mathbf{S4.2}$, since it is the logic of the *causal future relation* in Minkowski plane (Goldblatt, 80; Shehtman, 83).

$$(W, R) \times_{dir} (U, S) := (W \times U, R^\times \circ S^\times).$$

Definition

For general frames, put

$$(F_1, A_1) \otimes_{dir} (F_2, A_2) := (F_1 \times_{dir} F_2, A_1 \otimes A_2);$$

for algebras, put $(A_1, \diamond_1) \otimes_{dir} (A_2, \diamond_2) := (A_1 \otimes A_2, \diamond_1^\times \diamond_2^\times)$.

$$L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2 := \text{Log}(\text{Alg}(L_1) \otimes_{dir} \text{Alg}(L_2)) (= \text{Log}(\text{GFr}(L_1) \otimes_{dir} \text{GFr}(L_2))).$$

Theorem (Hasimoto)

For classes of algebras (general frames) $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2$,

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{C}_1) \otimes_{dir} \text{Log}(\mathfrak{C}_2) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{C}_1 \otimes_{dir} \mathfrak{C}_2).$$

The commutator of L_1 and L_2 :

$$[L_1, L_2] := L_1 * L_2 + \diamond_1 \diamond_2 p \leftrightarrow \diamond_2 \diamond_1 p + \diamond_1 \square_2 p \rightarrow \diamond_2 \square_1 p,$$

where $L_1 * L_2$ is the fusion of L_1 and L_2 .

Proposition

If $L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$, then $L_1 \otimes L_2 \supseteq [\mathbf{S4}, \mathbf{S4}]$.

Proof.

$L_1 \otimes L_2$ contains the fusion $L_1 * L_2$, so it contains $\mathbf{S4} * \mathbf{S4}$. Also,

$$L_1 \otimes L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K} = [\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}].$$



Proposition

If a bimodal logic contains $[\mathbf{S4}, \mathbf{S4}]$, then $\diamond_1 \diamond_2$ is an $\mathbf{S4}$ -operator.

Corollary

If $L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$, then $L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$.

Some more problems

Some more problems

- ▶ Does Kripke completeness transfer from L_1 and L_2 to $L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2$?

Some more problems

- ▶ Does Kripke completeness transfer from L_1 and L_2 to $L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2$?
- ▶ For $L_1, L_2 \supseteq \mathbf{S4}$, does topological completeness transfer from L_1 and L_2 to $L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2$?

Some more problems

- ▶ **Main question.** $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}'_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}'_2$ are topological spaces,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1), \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_2)$.

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1 \times \mathfrak{X}'_2).$$

Some more problems

- ▶ **Main question.** $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}'_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}'_2$ are topological spaces,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1), \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_2)$.

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1 \times \mathfrak{X}'_2).$$

- ▶ How \otimes_{dir} interacts with the product topology?
Let $L_1 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1), L_2 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2)$.

$$L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2 \quad ??? \quad \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2)$$

Some more problems

- ▶ **Main question.** $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}'_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}'_2$ are topological spaces, $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1)$, $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_2)$.

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1 \times \mathfrak{X}'_2).$$

- ▶ How \otimes_{dir} interacts with the product topology?
Let $L_1 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1)$, $L_2 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2)$.

$$L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2 \quad ??? \quad \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2)$$

- ▶ Let $(X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ be the *bitopological product* of $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (X_1, \tau_1)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2, \tau_2)$. In general, $\tau_1 \circ \tau_2$ is not an **S4**-operator (e.g., if $\text{Log}(X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbf{S4} * \mathbf{S4}$).

Some more problems

- ▶ **Main question.** $\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{X}'_1, \mathfrak{X}_2, \mathfrak{X}'_2$ are topological spaces,
 $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1)$, $\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2) = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_2)$.

$$\text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}'_1 \times \mathfrak{X}'_2).$$

- ▶ How \otimes_{dir} interacts with the product topology?
Let $L_1 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1)$, $L_2 = \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_2)$.

$$L_1 \otimes_{dir} L_2 \quad ??? \quad \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2)$$

- ▶ Let $(X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ be the *bitopological product* of $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (X_1, \tau_1)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2, \tau_2)$. In general, $\tau_1 \circ \tau_2$ is not an **S4**-operator (e.g., if $\text{Log}(X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbf{S4} * \mathbf{S4}$).
Fact: $((X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1, \tau_2), \text{Ch}(X_1, X_2)) \models [\mathbf{S4}, \mathbf{S4}]$, so
 $((X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \circ \tau_2), \text{Ch}(X_1, X_2)) \models \mathbf{S4}$.

$$\text{Log}((X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \circ \tau_2), \text{Ch}(X_1, X_2)) \quad ??? \quad \text{Log}(\mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2)$$

Thank you!