

HOCHAS
AND
MINIMAL TOPOSES

PETER JOHNSTONE

TBILISI , 25 JULY 2012

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY
OF DITO PATARAIA

Minimal Toposes

Log = 2-category of (locally small) toposes, logical functors and natural isomorphisms.

Any $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in **Log** factors uniquely (up to equivalence) as $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{F}$ where G is full & faithful and F' is **covering**, i.e. every object of \mathcal{F}' admits a monomorphism to some $F'A$.

Say \mathcal{E} is **minimal** if the unique logical functor $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is covering, where \mathcal{Z} is the free topos (the initial object of **Log**).

Equivalently, every object of \mathcal{E} admits a mono to a pure type, where the **pure types** are defined by

- 1 is a pure type
- T a pure type $\Rightarrow PT$ a (n indecomposable) pure type
- T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n indecomposable pure types $\Rightarrow (T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_n)$ a pure type.

N.B.: a (locally small) minimal topos is essentially small.

Write **MLog** for the full sub-2-category of minimal toposes.

Note that **MLog** is coreflective in **Log**; also that (any pullback functor $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/A$ is covering, and hence) any slice category \mathcal{Z}/A is minimal.

Remark If \mathcal{E} is minimal and $F, G: \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ are logical, there's at most one isomorphism $F \rightarrow G$. Hence **MLog** is equivalent to a 1-dimensional category (i.e. a locally discrete 2-category).

Toposes vs. Theories

For any higher-order theory \mathbb{T} , we can build a (small) topos $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$ which 'represents' \mathbb{T} in the sense that for any \mathcal{F} we have $\text{Log}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{T}\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{F})$.

Conversely, any small topos is equivalent to some $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Say \mathbb{T} is sortless if its presentation involves no primitive sorts.

Obvious Fact: minimal toposes are (up to equivalence) exactly the $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$ for sortless theories \mathbb{T} .

Another obvious fact: any theory is a directed union of finitely-presented theories. Hence any small topos is a filtered colimit (in Log) of finitely-presentable toposes.

Lemma (P. Freyd) Any finitely-presented sortless theory is Morita-equivalent to one having a single constant and a single axiom. Hence any finitely-presentable minimal topos is equivalent to a slice category \mathcal{C}/A .

Moreover, any logical functor $\mathcal{C}/A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/B$ is isomorphic to f^* for some $f: B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} .

Putting it all together, we get:

Theorem For a topos \mathcal{E} , the following are equivalent:

(1) \mathcal{E} is minimal.

(2) $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{T}}$ where \mathbb{T} is a sortless higher-order theory.

(3) \mathcal{E} is a filtered (pseudo-) colimit of toposes of the form \mathcal{T}/A .

(4) \mathcal{E} is a *limit slice* of \mathcal{T} : i.e. there exists a filtered category \mathcal{J} and a functor $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}$ such that \mathcal{E} is a pseudo-colimit of the composite $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}/(-)} \mathbf{Log}$.

Corollary \mathbf{MLog} is equivalent to the ind-completion $\text{Ind-}\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}$
(the latter regarded as a locally discrete 2-category)

Remark A minimal subtopos can have a natural number object:

for example, in the functor category $[\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{Set}]$ (in either sense!)

there's a monomorphism $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{PP1}$, so its minimal subtopos contains its natural number object.

Hence the Theorem above contains Freyd's observation that there are nontrivial slices of \mathcal{T} with natural number objects.

Hochas

I = set of indecomposable types (= power types)

$V = I \times \mathbb{N}$ set of variables $x: T$ means 'x is a variable of type T'

For a product type $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$, $t: T$ means

$t = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ where $x_i: T_i$

$\langle \rangle$ is the unique term of type 1.

Definition A **hochas** (higher-order cylindric Heyting algebra)

is a Heyting algebra \mathcal{H} equipped with unary operators

$(\forall x), (\exists x): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ for each $x \in V$, plus constants

$x(t) \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $x: PT$ and $t: T$, satisfying

(1) $(\forall x)(\forall y)\alpha = (\forall y)(\forall x)\alpha$ for all $x, y \in V$
 $(\exists x)(\exists y)\alpha = (\exists y)(\exists x)\alpha$

(if $t = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, write $(\forall t)$ for $(\forall x_1) \dots (\forall x_n)$
 $(\exists t)$ for $(\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_n)$)

(2) $(\forall x)(\exists x)\alpha = (\forall x)\alpha = (\exists x)(\forall x)\alpha$ for all $x \in V$

$(\exists x)(\forall x)\alpha = (\exists x)\alpha = (\forall x)(\exists x)\alpha$

(write \mathcal{H}^x for $\{\alpha \in \mathcal{H} \mid (\forall x)\alpha = \alpha\} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{H} \mid (\exists x)\alpha = \alpha\}$)

and \mathcal{H}_0 for $\bigcap_{x \in V} \mathcal{H}^x$)

$$(3) \quad (\forall x)(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (\forall x)\alpha \wedge (\forall x)\beta$$

$$(\exists x)(\alpha \vee \beta) = (\exists x)\alpha \vee (\exists x)\beta$$

$$(\forall x)\alpha \leq \alpha \leq (\exists x)\alpha$$

(so $(\exists x)$, $(\forall x)$ are left & right adjoints to the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$)

$$(4) \quad (\forall x)((\forall x)\alpha \Rightarrow (\forall x)\beta) = ((\forall x)\alpha \Rightarrow (\forall x)\beta)$$

(so \mathcal{H}^x is a sub-Heyting-algebra)

$$(5) \quad (\forall z)x(t) = x(t) \text{ if } t = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle \text{ and } z \notin \{x, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$$

$$(6) \quad (\exists x)(\varepsilon_t(x, x') \wedge \alpha) = (\forall x)(\varepsilon_t(x, x') \Rightarrow \alpha) \quad (*)$$

for all $x, x': PT$ and any $t: T$ with no repeated variables, where $\varepsilon_t(x, x') = (\forall t)(x(t) \Leftrightarrow x'(t))$

(think of $\varepsilon_t(x, x')$ as 'the element measuring equality of x and x' '; write $\alpha[x'/x]_t$ for the common value of the two sides of $(*)$. Will eventually show these are independent of choice of t .)

$$(7) \text{ (extensionality) } (\varepsilon_{t_1}(x_1, x'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon_{t_n}(x_n, x'_n)) \leq (y(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow y(x'_1, \dots, x'_n))$$

for any $y: P(T_1, x \dots x T_n)$, $x_i, x'_i: T_i$ and suitable terms t_i .

$$(8) \text{ (comprehension) } (\exists x)(\forall t)(x(t) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)\alpha) = T$$

for any $x: PT$ and any $t: T$ without repeated variables.

Say $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ is **finitary** if it belongs to \mathcal{H}^x for all but finitely many x (note that the $x(t)$ are all finitary), and that \mathcal{H} is finitary if all its elements are.

The theory of finitary hochas isn't (single-sorted) algebraic, but it can be presented as a many-sorted algebraic theory, with one sort for each finite subset of V and additional unary operations (**inclusions**) $A \rightarrow B$ whenever $A \subseteq B$.

Hence the category **Hoch_f** of finitary hochas, like **Hoch**, is locally finitely presentable and effective regular; it's also coreflective in **Hoch**.

Properties of hochas

Lemma 1 If $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^x$, then

$$(\exists x)(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (\alpha \wedge (\exists x)\beta)$$

$$(\forall x)(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = (\alpha \Rightarrow (\forall x)\beta)$$

$$(\forall x)(\beta \Rightarrow \alpha) = ((\exists x)\beta \Rightarrow \alpha).$$

Lemma 2 $(\exists x)x(t) = \top$, $(\forall x)x(t) = \perp$.

Lemma 3 If x, x', x'' are distinct variables of the same type, then

$$\varepsilon_t(x, x') [x''/x']_t = \varepsilon_t(x, x'').$$

Lemma 4 If $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{x'}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha [x'/x]_t [x''/x']_t &= \alpha [x''/x]_t && \text{if } x \neq x'' \\ &= \alpha && \text{if } x = x''. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 If $x \neq x'$, $y \neq y'$, $x \neq y$, $x \neq y'$, x' then

$$\alpha[x'/x]_t[y'/y] = \alpha[y'/y]_u[\alpha]$$

Lemma 6 $(\alpha * \beta)[x'/x]_t = (\alpha[x'/x]_t * \beta[x'/x]_t)$

where $*$ is any of $\wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow$

Lemma 7 (i) If $y \neq x$ and $y \neq x'$, then

$$(\forall y)(\alpha[x'/x]_t) = (\forall y)(\alpha[x'/x]_t) \text{ \& similarly for } \exists$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^{x'}$, then

$$(\forall x')(\alpha[x'/x]_t) = (\forall x)\alpha \quad \text{" " " "}$$

(iii) $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^x$ iff $\alpha[x'/x]_t = \alpha$.

Lemma 8 (i) $y(x_1, \dots, x_n)[x'_1/x_1]_{t_1}[x'_2/x_2]_{t_2} \dots [x'_n/x_n]_{t_n}$
 $= y(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$

provided no accidental substitutions occur.

$$(ii) \varepsilon_t(x, x') = \varepsilon_{t'}(x, x')$$

(so we can finally drop the subscripts!)

$$(iii) y(x_1, \dots, x_n)[y'/y] = y'(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Hochschild Topos

We go by way of an allegory $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$.

- Objects of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ are pure types.
- Morphisms $T \multimap U$ are named by triples (t, α, u) where $t: T$ and $u: U$ are terms such that $\langle t, u \rangle$ contains no repeated variables, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^z$ for all z not occurring in $\langle t, u \rangle$.

I identify (t, α, u) with (t', α', u') if α' is obtained from α by (capture-avoiding) substitution of the variables in $\langle t', u' \rangle$ for those in $\langle t, u \rangle$.

- The composite of $T \xrightarrow{[t, \alpha, u]} U \xrightarrow{[u, \beta, v]} V$ is $T \xrightarrow{[t, (\exists u)(\alpha \wedge \beta), v]} V$,

and the identity on T is $[t, \varepsilon(t, t'), t']$.

- Ordering on hom-sets induced by that of \mathcal{H} :

$$[t, \alpha, u] \wedge [t, \beta, u] = [t, \alpha \wedge \beta, u]$$

and the anti-involution is obvious: $[t, \alpha, u]^{\circ} = [u, \alpha, t]$.

Theorem $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ is a pre-tabular power allegory, and its hom-posets have bottom elements.

Hence (Elephant, A3.4.9) we get a topos $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ by splitting symmetric idempotents in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ and cutting down to the category of maps.

Remark $\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})}(1) \cong \mathcal{H}_0$.

Topos to Hocha

Choose a total ordering of V .

Let Ctxt denote the (directed) poset of contexts (= finite subsets of V) under inclusion.

For any topos \mathcal{E} , we have a functor $\text{Type}_{\mathcal{E}}: \text{Ctxt} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}}$ sending a context $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ (listed in order) to (the interpretation in \mathcal{E} of) $T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_n$, where $x_i: T_i$, and an inclusion of contexts to the appropriate product projection.

Define $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E})$ to be the colimit in **Heyt** of the composite

$$\text{Ctxt} \xrightarrow{\text{Type}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}(-)} \mathbf{Heyt}.$$

(Note that a logical functor $F: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ gives rise to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ctxt} & \xrightarrow{\text{Type}_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \cong & \downarrow F \\ \text{Ctxt} & \xrightarrow{\text{Type}_{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{P}} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Sub}_{\mathcal{E}}(-) \\ \Downarrow \\ \text{Sub}_{\mathcal{F}}(-) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \mathbf{Heyt} \end{array}$$

and hence to a morphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})$ in **Heyt**.)

Claim: $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E})$ can be given the structure of a (finitary) hocha.

$(\forall x) \alpha$ and $(\exists x) \alpha$ defined by choosing a representative

$A \mapsto T \times U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ for α in a context which includes x , and applying the right/left adjoint to pullback along $T \times U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \rightarrow U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ (where T is the type of x).

If $\langle x, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle$ is a context (in canonical order), define $x(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ to be $\mathcal{E}_{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n) \times T_1 \times \dots \times T_n$ (where $y_i: T_i$). (Otherwise, take the appropriate pullback of this subobject.)

Toposes vs. Hočas

Proposition For any (locally small) topos \mathcal{E} , $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}))$ is equivalent to the minimal subtopos of \mathcal{E} .

Proposition For any hoča \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}))$ is isomorphic to the finitary part of \mathcal{H} .

Theorem The constructions $\mathcal{H}(-)$ and $\mathcal{E}(-)$ induce an equivalence $\mathbf{MLog} \simeq \mathbf{Hoča}_f$ (where the category on the right is regarded as a locally discrete 2-category).

Corollary $\mathbf{Hoča}_f$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{Ind}\text{-}\mathcal{Z}^{\text{op}}$.

Problem We have a good notion of ' N -minimal topos', such that N -minimal toposes are (up to equivalence) just the limit-slices of the free topos-with-NNO \mathcal{Z}^N .

Is there a corresponding notion of 'hoča with NNO'?