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#### Abstract

Improved Bernstein type inequality obtained and some inequalities of simultaneous approximation by trigonometric polynomials are proved. Also we proved an inverse theorem for functions having $(\alpha, \psi)$ derivatives in weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces.    


## 1. Introduction

We define required notations. Let the function $\omega: \boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a weight on $\boldsymbol{T}$. We suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is the class of Lebesgue measurable functions $p(x): \boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow(1, \infty)$ such that $1<p_{*}:=\operatorname{essinf}_{x \in \boldsymbol{T}} p(x) \leq p^{*}:=$ $\operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \boldsymbol{T}} p(x)<\infty$. In this case we define the class $L^{p(x)}$ of $2 \pi$-periodic measurable functions $f: \boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\int_{\boldsymbol{T}}|f(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty
$$

for $p \in \mathcal{P}$. It is known that the class $L^{p(x)}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}:=\inf \left\{\alpha>0: \int_{\boldsymbol{T}}\left|\frac{f(x)}{\alpha}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

By $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ we will denote the class of Lebesgue measurable functions $f$ : $\boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition $\omega f \in L^{p(\cdot)}$. The weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}:=\|\omega f\|_{p(\cdot)}$.

[^0]For given $p \in \mathcal{P}$ the class of weights $\omega$ satisfying the condition [3]

$$
\left\|\omega \chi_{Q}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}\left\|\omega^{-1} \chi_{Q}\right\|_{p^{\prime}(\cdot)} \leq C|Q|
$$

for all balls $Q$ in $\boldsymbol{T}$ will be denoted by $A_{p(\cdot)}$. Here $p^{\prime}(x):=p(x) /(p(x)-1)$ is the conjugate exponent of $p(x)$. The variable exponent $p(x)$ is said to be satisfy log-Hölder continuous on $\boldsymbol{T}$ if there exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|p\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{c}{\log \left(e+1 /\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\right)} \quad \text { for all } x_{1}, x_{2} \in \boldsymbol{T}
$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$ the class of those exponents $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $1 / p: \boldsymbol{T} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is log-Hölder continuous on $\boldsymbol{T}$.

If $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$ and $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$, then it was proved in [3] that the HardyLittlewood maximal function $\mathcal{M}$ is norm bounded in $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ if and only if $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$.

We set $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{h} f(x):=\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h / 2}^{x+h / 2} f(t) d t, \quad x \in \boldsymbol{T}
$$

If $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{h}$ is bounded in $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$. Consequently if $x, h \in \boldsymbol{T}, 0 \leq r$, then we define, via Binomial expansion, that

$$
\sigma_{h}^{r} f(x):=\left(I-\mathcal{A}_{h}\right)^{r} f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} \Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(r-k+1)}\left(\mathcal{A}_{h}\right)^{k}
$$

where $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}, \Gamma$ is Gamma function and $I$ is the identity operator.
For $0 \leq r$ we define the fractional moduli of smoothness for $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$, $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$ and $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ as

$$
\Omega_{r}(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega}:=\sup _{0<h_{i}, t \leq \delta}\left\|\prod_{i=1}^{[r]}\left(I-\mathcal{A}_{h_{i}}\right) \sigma_{t}^{\{r\}} f\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \delta \geq 0
$$

where $\Omega_{0}(f, \delta)_{p(\cdot), \omega}:=\|f\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} ; \quad \prod_{i=1}^{0}\left(I-\mathcal{A}_{h_{i}}\right) \sigma_{t}^{r} f:=\sigma_{t}^{r} f$ for $0<r<1$;
$[r]$ denotes the integer part of the real number $r$ and $\{r\}:=r-[r]$.
If $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$, then $\omega^{p(\cdot)} \in L^{1}(\boldsymbol{T})$. This implies that the set of trigonometric polynomials is dense [5] in the space $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$. On the other hand if $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T})$ and $\omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$, then $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)} \subset L^{1}(\boldsymbol{T})$.

For given $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$, let

$$
f(x) \backsim \frac{a_{0}(f)}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k}(f) \cos k x+b_{k}(f) \sin k x\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k}(x, f)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{f}(x) \backsim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k}(f) \sin k x-b_{k}(f) \cos k x\right)
$$

be the Fourier and the conjugate Fourier series of $f$, respectively.
We will say that a function $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}, p \in \mathcal{P}, \omega \in A_{p(\cdot)}$, has a $(\alpha, \psi)$ derivative $f_{\alpha}^{\psi}$ if, for a given sequence $\psi(k), k=1,2, \ldots$, and a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the series

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(k)}\left(a_{k}(f) \cos k\left(x+\frac{\alpha \pi}{2 k}\right)+b_{k}(f) \sin k\left(x+\frac{\alpha \pi}{2 k}\right)\right)
$$

is the Fourier series of function $f_{\alpha}^{\psi}$.
Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the set of functions $\psi(v)$ convex downwards for any $v \geq 1$ and satisfying the condition $\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \psi(v)=0$.

We associate every function $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}$ with a pair of functions $\eta(t)=$ $\psi^{-1}(\psi(t) / 2)$ and $\mu(t)=t /(\eta(t)-t)$. We set $\mathfrak{M}_{0}:=\{\psi \in \mathfrak{M}: 0<\mu(t) \leq K\}$. We start with proving an improved Bernstein inequality.
Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T}), \omega^{-p_{0}} \in A_{\left(p(\cdot) / p_{0}\right)^{\prime}}$ for some $p_{0} \in\left(1, p_{*}\right)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}, T_{n}$ is the best approximating trigonometrical polynomial for the function $f, \psi(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a nonincreasing sequence of non-negative numbers such that $\psi(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{1}{\psi(k) k^{r}}$ be nondecreasing. Then for any $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\psi(n)\left\|\left(T_{n}\right)_{r}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \Omega_{r / 2}\left(T_{n}, 1 / n\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By definition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(T_{n}\right)_{r}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k)} A_{k}\left(x+\frac{r \pi}{2 k}, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k)}\left(\cos (r \pi / 2) A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)-\sin (r \pi / 2) A_{k}\left(x, \widetilde{T_{n}}\right)\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k)} \cos (r \pi / 2) A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k)} \sin (r \pi / 2) A_{k}\left(x, \widetilde{T_{n}}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=n^{r}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k) k^{r}} \cos (r \pi / 2)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)}\right)^{r / 2}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+n^{r}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\psi(k) k^{r}} \sin (r \pi / 2)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)}\right)^{r / 2}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, \widetilde{T_{n}}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem [4] for weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\left(T_{n}\right)_{r}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
\quad+\frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, \widetilde{T_{n}}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=\frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right)^{\sim}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{array}
$$

In the last equality we used the linearity of conjugate operator. Hence using boundedness of conjugate operator we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(T_{n}\right)_{r}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\frac{C}{\psi(n)}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin \frac{k}{n}}{\frac{k}{n}}\right)^{r / 2} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq \frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\left(I-\sigma_{1 / n}\right)^{r / 2} T_{n}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=\frac{c}{\psi(n)}\left\|\left(I-\sigma_{1 / n}\right)^{[r / 2]+\{r / 2\}} T_{n}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq \frac{c}{\psi(n)} \sup _{\substack{0<h_{i}, u \leq 1 / n \\
i=1,2, \ldots,[r / 2]}} \prod_{i=1}^{[r / 2]}\left(I-\sigma_{h_{i}}\right)\left(I-\sigma_{u}\right)^{\{r / 2\}} T_{n} \|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq \frac{c}{\psi(n)} \Omega_{r / 2}\left(T_{n}, 1 / n\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have the improved Bernstein inequality

$$
\left\|\left(T_{n}\right)_{r}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}<\frac{c}{\psi(n)} \Omega_{r / 2}\left(T_{n}, 1 / n\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

The following Simultaneous approximation therem was proved in [1] but Professor V. Chaichenko informed us that there was a gap in its proof. He informed an example that the hypotesis on $\psi$ of that theorem is not enough. Below we prove complately this theorem taking a stronger hypotesis on $\psi$, namely, $" \psi \in \mathfrak{M}_{0} "$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T}), \omega^{-p_{0}} \in A_{\left(p(\cdot) / p_{0}\right)^{\prime}}$ for some $p_{0} \in\left(1, p_{*}\right)$, $\alpha \in[0, \infty)$ and $f, f_{\alpha}^{\psi} \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$. If $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}$, then there exists a $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$, $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ and a constant $c>0$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $p$ such that

$$
\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}-T_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

holds.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We set $W_{n}(f):=W_{n}(\cdot, f):=\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2 n} S_{\nu}(\cdot, f)$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Since

$$
W_{n}\left(\cdot, f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)=\left(W_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}(\cdot)-\left(S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}(\cdot)-W_{n}\left(\cdot, f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\left\|\left(S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}-\left(S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\left\|\left(W_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}-\left(S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}:=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case, from the boundedness of the operator $S_{n}$ in $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ we obtain the boundedness of operator $W_{n}$ in $L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$ and there hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \leq\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}(\cdot)-S_{n}\left(\cdot, f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+\left\|S_{n}\left(\cdot, f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)-W_{n}\left(\cdot, f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
& \leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+\left\|W_{n}\left(\cdot, S_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)-f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Bernstein Inequality of Corollary 2.1 in [1] we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2} \leq c(\psi(n))^{-1}\left\|S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)-S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \\
I_{3} \leq c(\psi(2 n))^{-1}\left\|W_{n}(\cdot, f)-S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq c(\psi(2 n))^{-1} E_{n}\left(W_{n}(f)\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using inequality (13) of [6] we have that the fraction $\psi(n) / \psi(2 n)$ is bounded from above by a constant and hence

$$
I_{3} \leq c(\psi(n))^{-1} E_{n}\left(W_{n}(f)\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)-S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq\left\|S_{n}\left(\cdot, W_{n}(f)\right)-W_{n}(\cdot, f)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+\left\|W_{n}(\cdot, f)-f(\cdot)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+\left\|f(\cdot)-S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq c E_{n}\left(W_{n}(f)\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+c E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+C E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
E_{n}\left(W_{n}(f)\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}(\cdot)-\left(S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+c(\psi(n))^{-1} E_{n}\left(W_{n}(f)\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+c E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+c(\psi(n))^{-1} E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since by Theorem 1.1 in [1]

$$
E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \psi(n+1) E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{\alpha}^{\psi}(\cdot)-\left(S_{n}(\cdot, f)\right)_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} .
$$

Now we give an inverse theorem for $(\alpha, \psi)$ differentiable functions in weighted variable exponent spaces. The next theorem was proved in [2] and changing in the above Theorem 1.2 forced us to change the hypotesis. The proof will not change.

Theorem 1.3. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T}), \omega^{-p_{0}} \in A_{\left(p(\cdot) / p_{0}\right)^{\prime}}$ for some $p_{0} \in\left(1, p_{*}\right)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L_{\omega}^{p(\cdot)}$. If $\psi \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}, r \in(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}(\nu \psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist constants $c, C>0$ dependent only on $\psi, r$ and $p$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{r}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2 r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{2 r-1}(\psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+C \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty}(\nu \psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

hold.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [2]. So we will outline only. First of all we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{r}\left(T_{2^{m+1}}, \delta\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \delta^{2 r}\left\|T_{2^{m+1}}^{(2 r)}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed using

$$
\left(1-\frac{\sin x}{x}\right) \leq x^{2} \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

and Marcinkiewicz Multiplier theorem for weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{r}\left(T_{n}, \delta\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}=\sup _{0<h_{i}, t<\delta}\left\|\prod_{i=1}^{[r]}\left(I-\mathcal{A}_{h_{i}}\right) \sigma_{t}^{\{r\}} T_{n}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=\sup _{0<h_{i}, t<\delta}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\sin k h_{1}}{k h_{1}}\right) \ldots\left(1-\frac{\sin k h_{[r]}}{k h_{[r]}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\sin k t}{k t}\right)^{\{r\}} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq c \sup _{0<h_{i}, t<\delta} h_{1}^{2} \ldots h_{[r]}^{2} t^{2\{r\}}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2[r]} k^{2\{r\}} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \\
\leq c \delta^{2 r}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2 r} A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}= \\
=c \delta^{2 r}\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2 r}\left[A_{k}\left(x+\frac{2 r \pi}{2 k}, T_{n}\right) \cos r \pi+A_{k}\left(x+\frac{2 r \pi}{2 k}, \widetilde{T_{n}}\right) \sin r \pi\right]\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
A_{k}\left(x, T_{n}^{(2 r)}\right)=k^{2 r} A_{k}\left(x+\frac{2 r \pi}{2 k}, T_{n}\right)
$$

we get

$$
\Omega_{r}\left(T_{n}, \delta\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \delta^{2 r}\left(\left\|T_{n}^{(2 r)}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}+\left\|\left(\widetilde{T_{n}}\right)^{(2 r)}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}\right)
$$

Now using the boundedness of conjugate operator $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ and $\left(\widetilde{T_{n}}\right)^{(2 r)}=$ $\widetilde{T_{n}^{(2 r)}}$ we conclude

$$
\Omega_{r}\left(T_{n}, \delta\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c \delta^{2 r}\left\|T_{n}^{(2 r)}\right\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} .
$$

Using last inequality we get by standard computations that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{r}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2 r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{2 r-1} E_{\nu-1}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\Omega_{r}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2 r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{2 r-1} E_{\nu-1}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
$$

Using Theorem 1.3 of [1]

$$
E_{n}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq c\left((\psi(n))^{-1} E_{n}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty}(\nu \psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}\right)
$$

and therefore the required result

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{r}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2 r}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{2 r-1}(\psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}+ \\
+C \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty}(\nu \psi(\nu))^{-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

follows.
Note that the latter estimate in refined form is given in [2] (see Theorem 1.3).

Namely, there the following statement is proved.
Theorem 1.4. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }(\boldsymbol{T}), \omega^{-p_{0}} \in A_{\left(p(\cdot) / p_{0}\right)^{\prime}}(\boldsymbol{T})$ for some $p_{0} \in$ $\left(1, p_{*}(\boldsymbol{T})\right)$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathfrak{M}_{0}, \gamma:=\min \left\{2, p_{*}\right\}, r \in(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\left(\nu(\psi(\nu))^{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}\right)^{\gamma}<\infty
$$

Then there exist positive constants $c$ and $C$ depending only on $\psi, r$ and $p$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{r}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\psi}, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p(\cdot), \omega} \leq \frac{c}{n^{2 r}}\left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{2 \gamma r}\left(\nu(\psi(\nu))^{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{1 / \gamma}+ \\
+C\left(\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty}\left(\nu(\psi(\nu))^{\gamma}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{\nu}(f)_{p(\cdot), \omega}\right)^{\gamma}\right)^{1 / \gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

holds.
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