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#### Abstract

We give an elementary proof of Skitovich-Darmois theorem for the cases of two random variables in each of the two linear forms, when the random variables take values in complex or quaternion fields. The proof is based on the reduction of Skitovich-Darmois theorem to Polya's theorem in complex and quaternion cases respectively.      boonab.


In the present paper we give an elementary proof of Darmois-Skitovich theorem in the particular case of two linear forms with two random variables in each. The main idea is to reduce the problem to the Polya's characterization theorem. We mean the following well-known theorem of Polya, see e.g. [4], [5].

Theorem 1. $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, n \geq 2$ be i.i.d. random variables and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be nonzero reals that satisfy the condition $\sum_{h=1}^{n} a_{h}^{2}=1$. If the sum $\sum_{h=1}^{n} a_{h} \xi_{h}$ has the same distribution as $\xi_{1}$, then $\xi_{1}$ is a Gaussian random variable.

If the random variable takes values in the quaternion algebra then three types of Gaussian random variables are considered: real, complex and quaternion Gaussian random variables. Let us recall the definition of complex and quaternion Gaussian random variables. The usual motivation for these definitions comes from the form of characteristic function of a centered

[^0]Gaussian random variable, see e.g. [2]. For the real case this is given as

$$
\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} t^{2} E \xi^{2}\right\}, \quad \forall t \in R
$$

For the complex (quaternion) case we would analogously expect the characteristic function to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-c|q|^{2} E|\xi|^{2} \mid\right\}, \quad \forall q \in C,(\forall q \in Q), \quad c>0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic function of a complex (quaternion) random variable $\xi$ is defined as

$$
\chi_{\xi}(q)=E \exp (i \operatorname{Re}(\xi \bar{q}))
$$

and if we want the characteristic function of centered complex (quaternion) Gaussian random variable to have the form $\left(^{*}\right)$, then the covariance matrix of real two dimensional vector $\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (four dimensional vector $\left.\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}, \xi^{I V}\right)\right)$ should be proportional to the identity matrix. Thus the covariance matrices of complex (quaternion) Gaussian random variables have a quite specific form: they are proportional to unit matrices in $R^{2}$, (in $R^{4}$ ). Therefore the coordinates of corresponding two dimension (four dimension) random vector $\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\left(\xi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}, \xi^{I V}\right)\right)$ are mutually independent and have the same variances.

In [3] there is formulated Polya's theorem for the case of complex random variables.

Theorem 2. Let $\xi$ be a complex random variable, $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, n \geq 2$ be independent copies of $\xi$ and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be nonzero complex numbers such that $\sum_{h=1}^{n}\left|a_{h}\right|^{2}=1$ and at least one of them is not a real number. If $\sum_{h=1}^{n} a_{h} \xi_{h}$ has the same distribution as $\xi$, then $\xi$ is the complex Gaussian random variable.

As we see in the complex case there is an additional condition on the complex coefficients ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ), for the Theorem to be true, namely one of these coefficients should be essentially complex number. In [1] there is shown that in the quaternion case such additional condition on the quaternions $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ plays condition which we call jointly quaternion system.

Definition. We say that a collection of $n$ quaternions $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, $n \geq 2$, constitutes a jointly quaternion system (JQS) if there does not exist imaginary number $\tilde{i}=\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma k$, with real $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, such that the following expressions hold: $a_{1}=a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{i}, a_{2}=a_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{i}, \ldots, a_{n}=a_{n}^{\prime}+a_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{i}, a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 3. Let $\xi$ be a quaternion random variable, $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}, n \geq 2$ be independent copies of $\xi$, and $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be nonzero quaternions that
form jointly quaternion system and satisfy the condition $\sum_{h=1}^{n}\left|a_{h}\right|^{2}=1$. Then, if the sum $\eta=\sum_{h=1}^{n} a_{h} \xi_{h}$ has the same distribution as $\xi, \xi$ is the quaternion Gaussian random variable.

Now using these results we will prove Skitovich-Darmois theorem for complex and quaternion cases then the two linear forms consisting with only two random variables.

Theorem (Skitovich-Darmois, complex case). Let $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ be independent complex random variables (not necessarily identically distributed) and $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ be non-zero complex numbers, such that $\overline{a_{1}} a_{2} b_{1} \overline{b_{2}}$ or $\sqrt{-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)$ is not a real number. If the random variables $\eta_{1}=$ $a_{1} \xi_{1}+a_{2} \xi_{2}$ and $\eta_{2}=b_{1} \xi_{1}+b_{2} \xi_{2}$ are also independent then $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, and hence $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, are complex Gaussian random variables.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $a_{1} b_{1}=-a_{2} b_{2}$. Indeed, if $a_{1} b_{1} \neq-a_{2} b_{2}$ we could consider the linear forms $\eta_{1}=a_{1} \xi_{1}+a_{2} c \xi_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\eta_{2}=b_{1} \xi_{1}+b_{2} c \xi_{2}^{\prime}$, where $c$ is one of the complex root from $-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}$, i.e. $c$ is such complex number that $c^{2}=-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}$, and $\xi_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\xi_{2}}{c}$. Nothing will be changed this way: $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ will remain the same and $\xi_{2}^{\prime}$ will be independent of $\xi_{1}$. Therefore, in what follows in the linear forms $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ we assume that $a_{1} b_{1}=-a_{2} b_{2}$.

Denote the characteristic functions of $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)$ by $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)}$ respectively. Using independence of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ we get the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =E e^{i \operatorname{Re}\left[\eta_{1} \overline{t_{1}}+\eta_{2} \overline{t_{2}}\right]}=E e^{i \operatorname{Re}\left[\left(a_{1} \xi_{1}+a_{2} \xi_{2}\right) \overline{t_{1}}+\left(b_{1} \xi_{1}+b_{2} \xi_{2}\right) \overline{t_{2}}\right]}= \\
& =\chi_{1}\left(\overline{a_{1}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{1}} t_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{a_{2}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{2}} t_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we use independence of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ at first and then that of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, we get

$$
\chi_{\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\chi_{1}\left(\overline{a_{1}} t_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{a_{2}} t_{1}\right) \chi_{1}\left(\overline{b_{1}} t_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{b_{2}} t_{2}\right)
$$

and therefore the following equality holds:

$$
\chi_{1}\left(\overline{a_{1}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{1}} t_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{a_{2}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{2}} t_{2}\right)=\chi_{1}\left(\overline{a_{1}} t_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{a_{2}} t_{1}\right) \chi_{1}\left(\overline{b_{1}} t_{2}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\overline{b_{2}} t_{2}\right) .
$$

Denoting $\overline{a_{1}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{1}} t_{2}=x$ and $\overline{a_{2}} t_{1}+\overline{b_{2}} t_{2}=y$ and solving this simple algebraic system we come to the following equality in which $\Delta$ denotes the determinant of the system, i.e. $\Delta=\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{1}(x) \chi_{2}(y)= & \chi_{1}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}} x-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}} y}{\Delta}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{2}} x-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}} y}{\Delta}\right) \times \\
& \times \chi_{1}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}} x+\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}} y}{\Delta}\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{2}} x+\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}} y}{\Delta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\Delta \neq 0$. Indeed, if $\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}=\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}$ then $a_{1} b_{2}=a_{2} b_{1}$ and it follows that $a_{1} b_{2} \xi_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} \xi_{2}=a_{2} b_{1} \xi_{1}+a_{2} b_{2} \xi_{2}$ i.e. $b_{2} \eta_{1}=a_{2} \eta_{2}$ in contradiction with independence of $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Putting in the equality above first $y=0$ and then $x=0$ we get, respectively, the following two equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{1}(x)=\chi_{1}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{1}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta} x\right),  \tag{1}\\
& \chi_{2}(x)=\chi_{1}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{1}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi_{2}\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta} x\right) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

As we notices above, without loss of generality we can suppose that $a_{1} b_{1}=-a_{2} b_{2}$ and hence we have $\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}=-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{2}}$. Taking into account independence of $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, denoting $\chi=\chi_{1} \chi_{2}$ and multiplying equalities (1) and (2), we get the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=\chi\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi\left(\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) \chi\left(\frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta} x\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\left|\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{\bar{b}_{2}}}{\Delta}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}\right|^{2}=1
$$

and by virtue of the complex Polya's theorem we get that $\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$ is a complex Gaussian random variable, if at least one of the coefficient $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta}$, $\frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}, \frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}, \frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ is not a real number. Now using the restriction on the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ we will show that one of the numbers $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta}$ or $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ is not a real number. Let us note that, in these expressions, due to our assumption, we should put instead of $a_{2}$ and $b_{2}, a_{2} c$ and $b_{2} c$ respectively, where $c^{2}=-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}$. We have,

$$
\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2} c}}{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2} c}-\overline{a_{2} c} \overline{b_{1}}}=\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right)}{\left|a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|a_{1}\right|^{2}\left|b_{2}\right|^{2}-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}} a_{2} b_{1}}{\left|a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right|^{2}}
$$

and since $\overline{a_{1}} a_{2} b_{1} \overline{b_{2}}$ is not a real number it is clear that this ratio is a complex number with nonzero imaginary part. It is also easy to check that $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ and $\sqrt{-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)$ are proportional numbers and hence $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ will be complex number with nonzero imaginary part if $\sqrt{-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)$ is not a real number. Therefore, $\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$ is a complex Gaussian random variable. Now using Cramer's theorem we see that the proof is finished.

Now passing to the quaternion case we obtain the following:
Theorem (Skitovich-Darmois, quaternion case). Let $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ be independent quaternion random variables (not necessarily identically distributed) and $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ be non-zero quaternion numbers, such that $\overline{a_{1}} a_{2} b_{1} \overline{b_{2}}$ and
$\sqrt{-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)$ constitute jointly quaternion system. If the random variables $\eta_{1}=a_{1} \xi_{1}+a_{2} \xi_{2}$ and $\eta_{2}=b_{1} \xi_{1}+b_{2} \xi_{2}$ are also independent then $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$, and hence $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, are quaternion Gaussian random variables.

Proof. As in the complex case we analogously obtain equation (3) from which it will be followed that if the collection of numbers $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta}, \frac{-\overline{a_{2}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}, \frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$, $\frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ constitute jointly quaternion system, then using Polya's theorem for quaternion case, we will obtain that $\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$ and hence $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ are quaternion Gaussian random variables. It is easy to check that if $\overline{a_{1}} a_{2} b_{1} \overline{b_{2}}$ and $\sqrt{-\frac{a_{1} b_{1}}{a_{2} b_{2}}}\left(\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}-\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)$ constitute jointly quaternion system then the collection $\frac{\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{2}}}{\Delta}, \frac{-\overline{a_{2}}}{\Delta} \overline{b_{1}}, \frac{\overline{a_{1}}}{\Delta} \overline{b_{1}}, \frac{-\overline{a_{1}} \overline{b_{1}}}{\Delta}$ is also a jointly quaternion system. The proof is finished.
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