

ON THE ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF SERIES OF
FOURIER-HAAR COEFFICIENTS

R. MESKHIA

ABSTRACT. In the present work the absolute convergence of the series of Fourier-Haar coefficients is considered in terms of the modulus of δ -variation of a function, and the sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence are established. We prove that these conditions are unimprovable in a certain sense.

რეზიუმე. ნაშრომში შესწავლილია ფურიე-ჰაარის კოეფიციენტების საგან შედგენილი მწკრივის აბსოლუტური კრებადობის საკმარისი პირობები ფუნქციის δ ცვლილების მოდულის ტერმინებში. დადგენილია, რომ აბსოლუტური კრებადობისათვის მიღებული საკმარისი პირობები გაუმჯობესებადია გარკვეული აზრით.

Let the Haar system be give as follows: $\chi_1(t) \equiv 1$ if $n > 1$, then

$$\chi_n(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^p}, & t \in \left[\frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}} \right), \\ -\sqrt{2^p}, & t \in \left[\frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}, \frac{2k}{2^{p+1}} \right), \\ 0, & \text{at the remaining points of the segment } [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

where $n = 2^p + k$, $p = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^p$.

We denote the Fourier-Haar coefficients of the function $f \in L(0, 1)$ by $a_n(f)$, i.e.,

$$a_n(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) \chi_n(t) dt.$$

The present work is devoted to the investigation of convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f)|^\gamma. \quad (1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A16.

Key words and phrases. Absolute convergence, modulus of variation of a function, modulus of δ -variation.

The problems of convergence of the series (1) for various classes of functions have been studied in the works due to V. Orlicz [11], Z. Ciesielski and J. Musielak [10], P. Ul'yanov [7], V. Golubov [5] and Z. Chanturia [2].

First of all, we cite some notations and definitions.

$M(0, 1)$ is a class of bounded functions on the interval $[0, 1]$.

The modulus of variation of the function $f \in M(0, 1)$ is denoted by $v(n, f)$, a definition of that function has been introduced by Z. Chanturia ([2], p. 26).

Definition 1. $v(0, f) = 0$, and for natural $n \geq 1$

$$v(n, f) = \sup_{\Pi_n} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f(x_{2k+1}) - f(x_{2k})| \right\},$$

where Π_n is an arbitrary division of the interval $[0, 1]$ by n nonintersecting intervals (t_{2k}, t_{2k+1}) , $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let $v(n)$ be a nondecreasing convex function for $n \geq 0$ and $v(0) = 0$. $V[v(n)]$ is a class of those functions f for which

$$v(n, f) = O(v(n)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 2. Let $f \in M(0, 1)$,

$$\varphi(n; \delta; f) = \sup_{\Pi_{n,\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega(f; I_k),$$

where $\Pi_{n,\delta}$ is a system consisting of n nonintersecting intervals $\{I_k\}$ of the segment $[0, 1]$. The length of each of the segment is equal to δ , and $\omega(f; I_k)$ is oscillation of the function f on I_k . $\varphi(n; \delta; f)$ is called the modulus of δ -variation of the function f .

Definition 3. Let $\varphi(k; \delta)$ be an arbitrary function of integer k and of nonnegative $\delta > 0$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\varphi(k; 0) = \varphi(0; \delta) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \delta > 0,$$

$\varphi(k; \delta)$ is continuous and nondecreasing with respect to δ , convex and nondecreasing with respect to k ,

$$\varphi(k; \delta) \leq C\varphi\left(\left[\frac{k\delta}{\eta}\right]; \eta\right), \quad \delta \geq \eta > 0,$$

where C is some constant. The function $\varphi(k; \delta)$ is called the modulus of δ -variation.

Definition of $\varphi(n; \delta; f)$ and $\varphi(k; \delta)$ of functions has been introduced by T. Karchava ([3], p. 335).

By $M(\varphi)$ we denote the class of those functions f for which the relation

$$\varphi(k; \delta; f) \leq C_0 \varphi(k; \delta),$$

is fulfilled; here $\varphi(k; \delta)$ is the modulus of δ -variation and C_0 is some constant.

In the sequel, we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (I. Wik [8], p. 75). *Let $b_n \geq 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$ and $b_n \leq Cn^\lambda$, $\lambda \geq -1$. Then for every $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 1$ there exists the sequence of natural numbers q_ν , such that*

$$\alpha^{q_{\nu+1}-q_\nu} \leq \frac{b_{q_\nu}}{b_{q_{\nu+1}}} \leq \beta^{q_{\nu+1}-q_\nu}$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{q_\nu} = +\infty.$$

Lemma 2 (V. Golubov [5], p. 1280). *If $c_n \geq 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < +\infty$ and*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \cos 2^{k+1} \pi t,$$

then for the Fourier-Haar coefficients of the function f the relation

$$\sum_{n=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n(f)| \geq \frac{1}{\pi} 2^{\frac{p}{2}} c_p$$

is valid.

Let us prove the following

Theorem 1. *If the modulus of variation of the function $f - \varphi(n; \delta; f)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2n}; f)}{k} \right)^\gamma < +\infty \quad (2)$$

for $0 < \gamma < 2$, then the series (1) is convergent.

Proof. Let $2^p + 1 \leq n < 2^{p+1}$.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(f) &= 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}}}^{\frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}} \left[f(t) - f\left(t + \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right] dt = \\ &= 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2^{p+1}}} \left[f\left(t + \frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}}\right) - f\left(t + \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

The summation yields

$$a_n(f) = 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2^{p+1}}} \sum_{k=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} \left[f\left(t + \frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}}\right) - f\left(t + \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right] dt. \quad (3)$$

Using the following T. Karchava's inequality ([3], p. 335)

$$\sum_{k=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} \left| f\left(t + \frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}}\right) - f\left(t + \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{k=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}; f)}{k},$$

from the relation (3) we obtain

$$|a_n(f)| \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{\frac{3}{2}p}} \sum_{k=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}; f)}{k}.$$

The latter inequality results in

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n(f)|^\gamma &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n(f)|^\gamma \leq \\ &\leq 2^{-\gamma} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma p} \left(\sum_{n=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}; f)}{k} \right)^\gamma \cdot 2^p, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma > 0$.

Introduce the notation

$$U_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2n}; f)}{k}$$

and show that the sequence $\frac{U_n}{n}$ is nonincreasing. Indeed, since $\frac{\varphi(n;\delta;f)}{n}$ decreases with respect to n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n+1} &= \sum_{k=n+2}^{2n+2} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2n+2}; f)}{k} \leq U_n + \frac{\varphi(2n+1; \frac{1}{2n+1}; f)}{2n+1} \leq \\ &\leq U_n + \frac{\varphi(2n; \frac{1}{2n}; f)}{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice that

$$\frac{\varphi(2n; \frac{1}{2n}; f)}{2n} \leq \frac{U_n}{n}. \quad (6)$$

Taking into account (6), from inequality (5) it follows that $\frac{U_n}{n}$ is nonincreasing. Therefore we can use the Cauchy theorem on the number series ([9], p. 21), and taking into account inequality (4), from the condition (2) we can conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f)|^\gamma < +\infty.$$

It can be easily verified that from Theorem 1 we obtain Z. Chanturia's theorem ([2], p. 27).

If $f \in M(0, 1)$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} v^\gamma(n; f) < +\infty, \quad (7)$$

where $0 < \gamma < 2$, then the series (1) converges.

Let us now construct an example of a function f_0 for which the series (7) diverges and the series (2) converges.

We take the numbers $C_k = \frac{1}{\ln \ln k}$, $n_k = 2^{2^k}$ and the intervals

$$E_k = \left[\frac{1}{n_k} - \frac{1}{4n_k}, \frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{4n_k} \right], \quad \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{4n_{k+1}} < \frac{1}{n_k} - \frac{1}{4n_k}.$$

Find a sequence of the function

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} C_k & x = \frac{1}{n_k}, \\ 0, & x = \frac{1}{n_k} \pm \frac{1}{4n_k}, \\ \text{linearly on } E_k, & \\ 0, & \text{in the remaining } x \text{ from } [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

and assume

$$f_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x).$$

Suppose $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$. It is not difficult to find that

$$\varphi\left(i; \frac{1}{2n}; f_0\right) \leq \frac{2iC_{k-2}n_{k-2}}{n} + 2C_{k-1} \quad (8)$$

while

$$v(n; f_0) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n C_k > nC_n. \quad (9)$$

Using inequalities (8) and (9), we can show that if $\gamma > 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi\left(i; \frac{1}{2n}; f_0\right)}{i} \right)^\gamma < +\infty \quad (10)$$

and the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} v^\gamma(n; f_0) = +\infty \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 2).$$

By Theorem 1, from (10) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma < +\infty. \quad \square$$

Let us show that Theorem 1 is unimprovable in a certain sense. In particular, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 2. *Let the modulus of δ -variation $\varphi(k; \delta)$ satisfy the condition*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi\left(i; \frac{1}{2n}\right)}{i} \right)^\gamma = +\infty \quad (11)$$

when $\frac{2}{3} \leq \gamma < 2$, and if $\frac{2}{3} < \gamma < 1$, then $\varphi(k; \delta)$ has additionally the following property: for an arbitrary number b we can find $0 < d < 1$ and a natural number k_0 , such that if $k > k_0$, then the inequality

$$\varphi(k; \delta) \geq b\varphi(dk; \delta),$$

holds.

Then in the class $M(\varphi)$ there exists the function f_0 for which

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma = +\infty.$$

Proof. Without losing generality, we can assume that

$$\varphi\left(n; \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (12)$$

since, otherwise, instead of φ we would consider

$$\varphi_1\left(n; \frac{1}{n}\right) = \min\left(\varphi\left(n; \frac{1}{n}\right); n^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right).$$

Introduce the notation

$$B_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi(i; \frac{1}{2n})}{i}.$$

Notice that the sequence B_n is nonincreasing and, moreover,

$$B_n \leq \frac{\varphi(n; \frac{1}{2n})}{n}. \quad (13)$$

Consequently, taking into account the condition (11), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma} B_n^\gamma = +\infty. \quad (14)$$

The sequence $B_n^\gamma n^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma}$ for $\gamma > 0$ is nonincreasing. Using the Cauchy theorem, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}) B_{2^n}^\gamma = +\infty. \quad (15)$$

Having fulfilled the conditions (12) and (13), we obtain

$$2^n (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}) B_{2^n}^\gamma \leq 2^n (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}) \frac{\varphi^\gamma(2^n; \frac{1}{2^n})}{2^{n\gamma}} \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

Using Lemma 1, from the conditions (15) and (16) we find that for any numbers α and β ($2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-1} < \alpha < 1$, $1 < \beta < 2^{\frac{3}{2}\gamma-1}$) there exists the sequence q_ν , such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_{2^{q_\nu}}^\gamma 2^{q_\nu (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2})} = \infty \quad (17)$$

and

$$\alpha^{q_{\nu+1} - q_\nu} \leq \frac{B_{2^{q_\nu}}^\gamma 2^{q_\nu (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2})}}{B_{2^{q_{\nu+1}}}^\gamma 2^{q_{\nu+1} (1 - \frac{\gamma}{2})}} \leq \beta^{q_{\nu+1} - q_\nu},$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$\left(2^{\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right)^{q_{\nu+1} - q_\nu} \leq \frac{B_{2^{q_\nu}}}{B_{2^{q_{\nu+1}}}} \leq \left(2^{\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right)^{q_{\nu+1} - q_\nu}. \quad (18)$$

Note that $\theta = 2^{\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} > 1$, $1 < \mu = 2^{\frac{1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} < 2$, therefore from (18) we can get

$$\sum_1^{\infty} B_{2^{q_\nu}} < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu(n)} B_{2^{q_\nu}} 2^{q_\nu} \leq C B_{2^{q_{\nu(n)}}} 2^{q_{\nu(n)}},$$

where C is some constant.

Suppose

$$f_0(t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \pi B_{2^{q_\nu}} \cos 2^{q_\nu+1} \pi t.$$

Let $E_n(f_0)$ denote the best approximation of the function f_0 by the n -power trigonometric polynomials. From the condition (18) we can conclude that if $2^{q\nu-1} \leq n < 2^{q\nu}$, then the relation

$$E_n(f_0) \leq \pi \sum_{j=\nu}^{\infty} B_{2^{qj}} \leq CB_{2^{q\nu}} \quad (19)$$

holds.

Assume $\nu(n) = \max\{\nu; 2^{q\nu} \leq n\}$, $q_0 = 0$. Let $\omega(\delta; f)$ denote the modulus of continuity of the function f . Using Stechkin's inequality ([6], p. 234)

$$\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f\right) \leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n E_k(f).$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f_0\right) &\leq \frac{C}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E_k(f_0) = \frac{C}{n} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu(n)} \sum_{2^{q\nu-1}+1}^{2^{q\nu}} E_k(f_0) + \sum_{k=2^{\nu(n)+1}}^n E_k(f_0) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\nu(n)} B_{2^{q\nu}} \cdot 2^{q\nu} + B_{2^{q\nu(n)}} n \right\} \leq CB_{2^{q\nu(n)}} \leq \\ &\leq C \frac{\varphi(2^{q\nu(n)}; \frac{1}{2^{q\nu(n)+1}})}{2^{q\nu(n)}} \leq C \frac{\varphi(n; \frac{1}{n})}{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$\varphi(k; \delta; f) \leq k \omega(\delta; f).$$

Consequently, from (20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(k; \frac{1}{n}; f_0\right) &\leq k \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}; f_0\right) \leq k C \frac{\varphi(n; \frac{1}{n})}{n} \leq \\ &\leq k C \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{n})}{k} = C \varphi\left(k; \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (k \leq n). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \delta < \frac{1}{n}$, then taking into account the fact that the function $\varphi(k; \delta; f)$ nondecreasing with respect to δ , with regard for inequality (21), we have

$$\varphi(k; \delta; f_0) \leq \varphi\left(k; \frac{1}{n}; f_0\right) \leq \varphi\left(k; \frac{2}{n+1}; f_0\right) \leq 2\varphi\left(k; \frac{1}{n+1}; f_0\right) \leq C_1 \varphi(k; \delta),$$

that is, $f_0 \in M(\varphi)$.

Using Hölder's inequality ([10], p. 26), we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{n=2^p+1}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n|^\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \geq 2^p \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}-1\right) \sum_{n=2^p+1}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n|,$$

for $1 \leq \gamma < 2$, or, what is the same thing,

$$\sum_{n=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n|^\gamma \geq 2^{p(1-\gamma)} \left(\sum_{n=2^{p+1}}^{2^{p+1}} |a_n| \right)^\gamma. \quad (22)$$

Using now Lemma 2, inequality (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{q\nu+1}}^{2^{q\nu+1}} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma &\geq 2^{q\nu(1-\gamma)} \left(\sum_{n=2^{q\nu+1}}^{2^{q\nu+1}} |a_n(f_0)| \right)^\gamma \geq \\ &\geq 2^{q\nu(1-\gamma)} \left(2^{\frac{q\nu}{2}} B_{2^{q\nu}} \right)^\gamma = B_{2^{q\nu}}^\gamma 2^{q\nu(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (17), the last inequality results in

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma = +\infty,$$

for $1 \leq \gamma < 2$.

Consider the case $\frac{2}{3} < \gamma < 1$. Note that the condition (11) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{3}{2}\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \left(n; \frac{1}{n} \right) = +\infty,$$

and therefore $\varphi \left(n; \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq O(1)$.

Let E'_ν be the set of those numbers k , $1 \leq k \leq 2^{q\nu}$ for which the inequality

$$\left| a_{2^{q\nu}+k}(f_0) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\frac{q\nu}{2}} B_{2^{q\nu}}$$

is fulfilled, and let E''_ν be the set of the rest integers from the interval $[2^{q\nu} + 1, 2^{q\nu+1}]$.

On the basis of Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\frac{q\nu}{2}} B_{2^{q\nu}} &\leq \sum_{n=2^{q\nu+1}}^{2^{q\nu+1}} |a_n(f_0)| = \sum_{k \in E'_\nu} |a_{2^{q\nu}+k}(f_0)| + \sum_{k \in E''_\nu} |a_{2^{q\nu}+k}(f_0)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2^{q\nu}}} \varphi \left(|E'_\nu|; \frac{1}{2^{q\nu+1}}; f_0 \right) + \frac{2^{\frac{q\nu}{2}}}{2} B_{2^{q\nu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here we have used the fact that for the Fourier-Haar coefficients the estimate

$$\sum_{k \in \sigma} |a_n(f)| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2^{q\nu}}} \varphi \left(|\sigma|; \frac{1}{2^{q\nu+1}}; f \right)$$

is valid when $2^{q\nu} + 1 \leq n < 2^{q\nu+1}$, and σ is the subset of the set $\{2^{q\nu} + 1, \dots, 2^{q\nu+1}\}$; $|\sigma|$ denotes a number of elements σ . Inequality (23)

implies that

$$\varphi\left(|E'_\nu|; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}; f_0\right) \geq 2^{q_\nu} B_{2^{q_\nu}}. \quad (24)$$

It is clear from the expression B_n that

$$n B_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \frac{\varphi(k; \frac{1}{2n})}{k} \geq \frac{\varphi(2n; \frac{1}{2n})}{2n} n.$$

Thus we obtain

$$2^{q_\nu} B_{2^{q_\nu}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(2^{q_\nu+1}; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}\right). \quad (25)$$

Since $f_0 \in M(\varphi)$, there exists the number C_0 , such that for any natural n and $\delta > 0$ the inequality

$$\varphi(n; \delta; f_0) \leq C_0 \varphi(n; \delta) \quad (26)$$

holds.

From the relations (24), (25) and (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(|E'_\nu|; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{C_0} \varphi\left(|E'_\nu|; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}; f_0\right) \geq \frac{1}{C_0} 2^{q_\nu} B_{2^{q_\nu}} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2C_0} \varphi\left(2^{q_\nu+1}; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

It follows from the condition of the theorem that for any number $2C_0$ there exist the natural number n_0 and $0 < d < 1$, such that

$$\varphi(n; \delta) \geq 2C_0 \varphi([dn]; \delta), \quad n > n_0.$$

Using the last relation, inequality (27) results in

$$\varphi\left(|E'_\nu|; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}\right) \geq \varphi\left([d2^{q_\nu+1}]; \frac{1}{2^{q_\nu+1}}\right) \quad \text{for } \nu > \nu_1.$$

Thus we can conclude that

$$|E'_\nu| \geq [d2^{q_\nu+1}]$$

that is,

$$|E'_\nu| \geq d \cdot 2^{q_\nu+1} - 1 > d2^{q_\nu}, \quad \nu > \nu_1. \quad (28)$$

Let us now estimate the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2^{q_\nu+1}}^{2^{q_\nu+1}} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma &\geq \sum_{k \in E'_\nu} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma \geq 2^{-\gamma} 2^{-\frac{q_\nu \gamma}{2}} B_{2^{q_\nu}}^\gamma |E'_\nu| \geq \\ &\geq C 2^{q_\nu(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})} B_{2^{q_\nu}}^\gamma \quad (\nu > \nu_1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the definition of the set E'_ν and inequality (28).

If we take into account the condition (15), then we will get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma \geq \sum_{\nu \geq \nu_1} \sum_{n=2^{q\nu}+1}^{2^{q\nu}+1} |a_n(f_0)|^\gamma \geq \sum_{\nu \geq \nu_1} C 2^{q\nu(1-\frac{\gamma}{2})} B_{2^{q\nu}}^\gamma = +\infty.$$

It remains to consider the case $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}$. As P. L. Ul'ianov ([7], p. 373) has shown, the function $f(t) = 1 - 2t \in V$, and for this function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n(f)|^{\frac{2}{3}} = +\infty.$$

But $f(t) \in M(\varphi)$ for any modulus of δ -variation. Thus the theorem is complete. \square

REFERENCES

1. Z. Chanturia, The modulus of variation of a function, and its application in the theory of Fourier coefficients. (Russian) *Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR* **75**(1974), 281–284.
2. Z. Chanturia, On the absolute convergence of the series of Fourier-Haar coefficients. *Commentat. Math. spec.* Vol. II, dedic. L. Orlicz, 1979, 25–35.
3. T. Karchava, On the absolute convergence of Fourier series. *Georgian Math. J.* **4**(1997), No. 4, 333–340.
4. B. I. Golubov, On Fourier-Haar series of continuous functions. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Mat.* **28**(1964), 1271–1292.
5. B. I. Golubov, Series with respect to the Haar system. (Russian) *Itoqi Nauki, Ser. Mat., mat. Analiz*, (1970), 109–146.
6. S. B. Stechkin, On the order of best approximation of continuous functions. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Mat.* **15**(1951), 219–242.
7. P. L. Ul'yanov, On Haar series. (Russian) *Matem. Sb.* **63**(1964), 356–391.
8. I. Wik, Extrapolation of absolutely convergent Fourier series by identically zero. *Ark. Math.* **6**(1965), 65–76.
9. N. K. Bari, Trigonometric series. (Russian) *Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., Moscow*, 1961.
10. Z. Ciesielski and J. Musielak, On absolute convergence of Haar series. *Colloq. Math.* **7**(1959), 61–65.
11. W. Orlicz, Zur Theorie der Orthogonalreihen. (German) *Bulletin Acad. Polon. Sci.* **(A)**(1927), 81–115.

(Received 21.04.2006)

Author's address:

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
 Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences
 2, University St., Tbilisi 380043
 Georgia