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DARBOUX TYPE PROBLEM FOR A CLASS
OF FOURTH-ORDER NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

Abstract. Darboux type problem for a class of fourth-order nonlinear hyperbolic equations is considered. The theorems on existence, uniqueness and nonexistence of solutions of this problem are proved.
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## 1 Statement of the problem

On the plane of variables $x$ and $t$, we consider the fourth-order hyperbolic equation of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square^{2} u+f(\square u)+g(u)=F(x, t), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} ; f, g$ and $F$ are given functions, while $u$ is an unknown function.
Denote by $D_{T}: 0<x<t, t<T$, an angular domain bounded by a characteristic segment $\gamma_{1, T}: x=t, 0 \leq t \leq T$, and by time and spatial orientation segments $\gamma_{2, T}: x=0,0 \leq t \leq T$, and $\gamma_{3, T}: t=T, 0 \leq x \leq T$, respectively; for $T=\infty$, we have $D_{\infty}: t>|x|, x>0$, and

$$
\gamma_{1, \infty}: \quad x=t, \quad 0 \leq t<\infty ; \quad \gamma_{2, T}: \quad x=0, \quad 0 \leq t<\infty .
$$

For equation (1.1) in the domain $D_{T}$, consider the following boundary value problem: find in $D_{T}$ a solution $u=u(x, t)$ to equation (1.1) which on the parts $\gamma_{1, T}$ and $\gamma_{2, T}$ of the boundary satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.u\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=u(t, t)=\mu_{1}(t),\left.\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(t, t)=\mu_{2}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{1.2}\\
\left.u\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=u(0, t)=\mu_{3}(t),\left.\quad \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(0, t)=\mu_{4}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, are the given scalar functions and the functions $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ at a common point $O=O(0,0)$ of the curves $\gamma_{1, T}$ and $\gamma_{2, T}$ satisfy the condition of agreement $\mu_{1}(0)=\mu_{3}(0), \nu=\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)$ is a unit vector of outer normal to the boundary $\partial D_{T}$.

It is noteworthy that the Darboux problems for the second order hyperbolic equation

$$
\square u+f(x, t, u)=F(x, t)
$$

in angular domain $D_{T}$ with the Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on the boundary segments $\gamma_{1, T}$ and $\gamma_{2, T}$ were studied by many authors [1-14, 16-22, 26-29, 31, 32, 34]. Some boundary value problems for equation (1.1) in spatial multidimensional case when $\square:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}, n>1, f=0$, were studied in [15, 23-25].

Remark 1.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$. If $u$, where $u, \square u \in C^{2} \bar{D}_{T}$, represents a classical solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3), then introducing a function $v=\square u$ this problem can be reduced to the following boundary value problem with respect to unknown functions $u$ and $v$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{1}(u, v):=\square u-v=0, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{1.4}\\
L_{2}(u, v):=\square v+f(v)+g(u)=F(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{1.5}\\
\left.u\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=u(t, t)=\mu_{1}(t),\left.\quad u\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=u(0, t)=\mu_{3}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{1.6}\\
\left.v\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=v(t, t)=-\sqrt{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}(t),\left.\quad v\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=v(0, t)=\mu_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\mu_{4}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, in receiving the first equality of (1.7), we took into account that

$$
\frac{d}{d t} w(t, t)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) w\right|_{t=x},\left.\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)
$$

therefore,

$$
\left.v\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\left.\square u\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) u\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=-\left.\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=-\sqrt{2} \mu_{2}^{\prime}(t)
$$

while in receiving the second equality of (1.7), we took into account (1.2), (1.3), and

$$
v=\square u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}},
$$

therefore,

$$
\left.v\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=v(0, t)=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}(0, t)-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(0, t)=\mu_{3}^{\prime \prime}(t)-\mu_{4}(t) .
$$

Vice versa, if $u, v \in C^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ represents a classical solution to problem (1.4)-(1.7), where $\mu_{1}, \mu_{4} \in$ $C^{2}([0, T]), \mu_{2} \in C^{3}([0, T]), \mu_{3} \in C^{4}([0, T])$, then the function $u$ will be a classical solution to problem (1.1)-(1.3).

Definition 1.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ and, for simplicity, $\mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. The system of functions $u$ and $v$ is called a generalized solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of the class $C$ if $u, v \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ and there exist the sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}, v_{n} \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right):=\left\{w \in C^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right):\left.\quad w\right|_{\gamma_{i, T}}=0, \quad i=1,2\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}-v\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0,  \tag{1.9}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-F\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0 . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1.2. It is clear that the classical solution $u, v \in C^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ of problem (1.4)-(1.7) represents a generalized solution of class $C$ of this problem.

## 2 A priori estimate of a solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.7)

Lemma 2.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Then for any solution $u$, $v$ of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$ the following inequality is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, t)| \leq t e^{t}\|v\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u, v$ be the generalized solution of class $C$ of problem (1.4)-(1.7), then there exist the sequences $u_{n}, v_{n}$ which satisfy conditions (1.8)-(1.10).

Consider a function $u_{n} \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ as a classical solution to the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right):=\square u_{n}-v_{n}=G_{n}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.u_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=u_{n}(t, t)=0,\left.\quad u_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=u_{n}(0, t)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}:=L_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to (1.10) satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (2.2) by the function $\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}$ and integrating over the domain $D_{\tau}:=\left\{(x, t) \in D_{T}: t<\tau\right\}$, where $0<\tau \leq T$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t-\int_{D_{\tau}} v_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t=\int_{D_{\tau}} G_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using integration by parts and the Green formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s  \tag{2.7}\\
-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t & =-\int_{\partial D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s+\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial t \partial x} d x d t \\
& =-\int_{\partial D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& =-\int_{\partial D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu=\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)$ is a unit vector of the outer normal to the boundary $\partial D_{\tau}$.
Taking into account that $\partial D_{\tau}=\gamma_{1, \tau} \cup \gamma_{2, \tau} \cup \omega_{\tau}$, where $\gamma_{i, \tau}=\gamma_{i, T} \cap\{t \leq \tau\}, i=1,2$, and $\omega_{\tau}=\partial D_{\tau} \cap\{t=\tau\}=\{t=\tau, 0 \leq x \leq \tau\}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)  \tag{2.9}\\
\left.\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=(-1,0), \quad\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)_{\left.\right|_{\omega_{\tau}}}=(0,1)  \tag{2.10}\\
\left.\left(\nu_{x}^{2}-\nu_{t}^{2}\right)\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account (2.9)-(2.11), since $\left.u_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=0$ (see (2.3)) and, therefore, $\left.\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=0$, from (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_{2, \tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s,  \tag{2.12}\\
-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t= & -\int_{\partial D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s \\
= & -\int_{\omega_{\tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s-\int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s-\int \frac{1}{\gamma_{2, \tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s \\
& +\frac{\partial u_{n}}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} d s+\frac{\partial u_{n}}{2} \int_{\gamma_{2, \tau}}^{2} \nu_{\nu_{t}} d s \\
= & 0-\int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s-0+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \cdot 1 d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s+0 \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}^{\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \nu_{t} d s-\int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}}^{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x} d s .} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), in view of (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x+\int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} \frac{1}{2 \nu_{t}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \nu_{t}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\left(\nu_{t}^{2}-\nu_{x}^{2}\right)\right] d s \\
&  \tag{2.14}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x+\int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} \frac{1}{2 \nu_{t}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \nu_{t}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x}\right)^{2} d s
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account that $\left(v_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-v_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ represents the derivative in a tangent direction, i.e., an inner differential on the curve $\gamma_{1, T}$, due to the equality $u_{\left.n\right|_{\gamma_{1}, T}}=0$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x} \nu_{t}-\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} \nu_{x}=0
$$

and from (2.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.15) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{D_{\tau}} v_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t+2 \int_{D_{\tau}} G_{n} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a simple inequality $2 a b \leq a^{2}+b^{2}$, from (2.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x & \leq \int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[G_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t \\
& =2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+G_{n}^{2}\right] d x d t \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

If we introduce the notation

$$
w(\tau)=\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x
$$

and take into account that

$$
\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t=\int_{0}^{\tau} w(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

then from (2.17) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w(\tau) & \leq 2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+G_{n}^{2}\right] d x d t \\
& \leq 2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+G_{n}^{2}\right] d x d t \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\tau} w(\sigma) d \sigma+\int_{D_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}} G_{n}^{2} d x d t \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\tau} w(\sigma) d \sigma+\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Gronwall lemma, from (2.18) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\tau) \leq\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}\right) e^{2 \tau}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{n}(0, t)=0,0 \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$
u_{n}(x, t)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}(\xi, t) d \xi, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}
$$

whence due to the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}^{2}(x, t) \leq & \int_{0}^{x} 1^{2} d \xi \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}(\xi, t) d \xi \leq x \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}(\xi, t) d \xi \\
& \leq t \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}(\xi, t) d \xi \leq t \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right](\xi, t) d \xi=t w(t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we take into account that if $(x, t) \in D_{T}$, then $x<t$.
From (2.19) and (2.20) follows

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|u_{n}(x, t)\right| \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t} \\
& \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}\right) e^{t}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

If we pass to the limit in inequality (2.21) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then in view of (1.9), (1.10) and (2.2), we obtain

$$
|u(x, t)| \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t}\|v\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}
$$

Consider the conditions imposed on the functions $f$ and $g$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau \geq-M_{1}-M_{2} s^{2} \forall s \in R, \quad M_{i}=\text { const } \geq 0, \quad i=1,2  \tag{2.22}\\
|g(s)| \leq N_{1}+N_{2}|s| \forall s \in R, \quad N_{i}=\text { const } \geq 0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C(\bar{D}), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$, and the functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy conditions (2.22) and (2.23). Then for any generalized solution $u$, $v$ of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$, the following a priori estimates are valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |u(x, t)| \leq C_{1}\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}+C_{2}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}  \tag{2.24}\\
& |v(x, t)| \leq C_{3}\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}+C_{4}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where the values $C_{i}=C_{i}(t) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, 4$, do not depend on the functions $u, v$ and $F$.
Proof. Let $u, v$ be a generalized solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$, then there exist the sequences $u_{n}, v_{n}$ which satisfy conditions (1.8)-(1.10).

Consider the function $v_{n} \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ as a classical solution of the following boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right):=\square v_{n}+f\left(v_{n}\right)+g\left(u_{n}\right)=Q_{n}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{2.26}\\
\left.v_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=v_{n}(t, t)=0,\left.\quad v_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=v_{n}(0, t)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{2.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}:=L_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to (1.10) satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|Q_{n}-F\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (2.26) by the function $\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}$ and integrating over the domain $D_{\tau}:=\left\{(x, t) \in D_{T}: t<\tau\right\}$, where $0<\tau \leq T$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t-\int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{n}}{\partial x^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \\
&+\int_{D_{\tau}} f\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}} g\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t=\int_{D_{\tau}} Q_{n} \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously as we obtained (2.16) from (2.6) when proving Lemma 2.1, from (2.30) we have the following equality:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right. & \left.+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& =-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} f\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} g\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t+2 \int_{D_{\tau}} Q_{n} \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t . \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\frac{\partial I\left(v_{n}\right)}{\partial t}=f\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}
$$

Taking into account that $I(0)=0,\left.v_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{i, T}}=0, i=1,2$, and, therefore $I\left(v_{n}\right)_{\left.\right|_{i, T}}=0, i=1,2$, due to (2.10) and the Green formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} f\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} & d x d t=-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} \frac{\partial I\left(v_{n}\right)}{\partial t} d x d t=-2 \int_{\partial D_{\tau}} I\left(v_{n}\right) \nu_{t} d s \\
& =-2 \int_{\omega_{\tau}} I\left(v_{n}\right) \cdot 1 d s-2 \int_{\gamma_{1, \tau}} I\left(v_{n}\right) \nu_{t} d s-2 \int_{\gamma_{2, \tau}} I\left(v_{n}\right) \nu_{t} d s=-2 \int_{\omega_{\tau}} I\left(v_{n}\right) d x \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.22), from (2.32) and (2.33) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} f\left(v_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \leq 2 \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left(M_{1}+M_{2} v_{n}^{2}\right) d x \leq 2 M_{1} \tau+2 M_{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to condition (2.23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} g\left(u_{n}\right) \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t & \leq \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(g^{2}\left(u_{n}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(2 N_{1}^{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} u_{n}^{2}\right) d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& =\tau^{2} N_{1}^{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} u_{n}^{2}(x, t) d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the simple inequalities $2 a b \leq a^{2}+b^{2},(a+b)^{2} \leq 2 a^{2}+2 b^{2}$ and the equality

$$
\int_{D_{\tau}} 1 \cdot d x d \tau=\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2}
$$

For $(x, t) \in D_{\tau}$, from (2.21) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n}^{2}(x, t) \leq t\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}\right. & \left.+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}\right)^{2} e^{2 t} \\
& \leq 2 t e^{2 t}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}\right) \leq 2 \tau e^{2 \tau}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{\tau}} u_{n}^{2}(x, t) d x d t \leq 2 \tau e^{2 \tau}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}\right) \int_{D_{\tau}} 1 \cdot d x d \tau \\
&=\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}=\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau} \int_{D_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x d t+\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (2.34), (2.35) and (2.36), from (2.31) we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\right. & \left.\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
\leq & 2 M_{1} \tau
\end{array}+2 M_{2} \int_{\omega_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x+\tau^{2} N_{1}^{2}+2 N_{2}^{2}\left[\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau} \int_{D_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x d t+\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}\right]\right)
$$

If we take into account conditions (2.27) and use the Newton-Leibniz formula, we get

$$
v_{n}(x, \tau)=v_{n}(x, x)+\int_{x}^{\tau} \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t) d t=\int_{x}^{\tau} \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t) d t, \quad(x, \tau) \in D_{T}
$$

and, therefore, using Cauchy's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{n}^{2}(x, \tau) & \leq\left[\int_{x}^{\tau} 1 \cdot\left|\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t)\right| d t\right]^{2} \leq \int_{x}^{\tau} 1^{2} d t \cdot \int_{x}^{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t)\right)^{2} d t \\
& =(\tau-x) \int_{x}^{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t)\right)^{2} d t \leq T \int_{x}^{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t)\right)^{2} d t \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating equality (2.38), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}} v_{n}^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{\tau} v_{n}^{2}(x, \tau) d x \leq T \int_{0}^{\tau}\left[\int_{x}^{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}(x, t)\right)^{2} d t\right] d x=T \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add inequalities (2.37) and (2.39), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& \leq\left(2 M_{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}+T+3\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t \\
&  \tag{2.40}\\
& +2 M_{1} \tau+\tau^{2} N_{1}^{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(\tau)=\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking into account

$$
\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[v_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x=\int_{0}^{\tau} w(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

from (2.40) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(\tau) \leq M_{3} \int_{0}^{\tau} w(\sigma) d \sigma+\widetilde{M}_{4}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{3}=2 M_{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}+T+3  \tag{2.43}\\
& \widetilde{M}_{4}=2 M_{1} \tau+\tau^{2} N_{1}^{2}+2 N_{2}^{2} \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|G_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}+\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{\tau}\right)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the Gronwall lemma, from (2.42) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(\tau) \leq \widetilde{M}_{4} e^{M_{3} \tau}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to how inequality (2.20) was obtained, from (2.41) and (2.44) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{n}(x, t)\right| \leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} w_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \leq \widetilde{M}_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} M_{3} t}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=t$ in $\widetilde{M}_{4}$.
If we pass to the limit in (2.45) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, due to the limit equalities (1.9), (2.5) and (2.29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(x, t)| \leq M_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} M_{3} t}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{4}=2 M_{1} t+t^{2} N_{1}^{2}+\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}^{2} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.46) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|u(x, t)| \leq t e^{t}\|v\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)} & =t e^{t}\left(\int_{D_{t}} v^{2} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq t e^{t}\left(\int_{D_{t}} M_{4} T e^{M_{3} T} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=t e^{t}\left(M_{4} T e^{M_{3} T} \int_{D_{t}} 1 d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =t e^{t}\left(M_{4} T e^{M_{3} T} \frac{1}{2} t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t^{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}} M_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t+\frac{1}{2} M_{3} T}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the simple inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i}\right|
$$

from (2.47) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq\left(2 M_{1} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+t N_{1}+\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.46), (2.48) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u(x, t)| \leq C_{1}\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}+C_{2}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}, \\
& |v(x, t)| \leq C_{3}\|F\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{t}\right)}+C_{4}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t^{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t+\frac{1}{2} M_{3} T}, \quad C_{2}=\left[\left(2 M_{1} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+t N_{1}\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t^{2} T^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{t+\frac{1}{2} M_{3} T},  \tag{2.50}\\
C_{3}=t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} M_{3} t}, \quad C_{4}=\left[\left(2 M_{1} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+t N_{1}\right] t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} M_{3} t} \tag{2.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

This proves Lemma 2.2, where the constants $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, from (2.24) and (2.25) are given by formulas (2.50) and (2.51).

## 3 The uniqueness of a solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.7)

Definition 3.1. We say that the functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy the Lipchitz local condition if $\forall r=$ const $>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(s_{2}\right)-f\left(s_{1}\right)\right| \leq \Lambda_{1}(r)\left|s_{2}-s_{1}\right| \forall s_{1}, s_{2} \in R: \quad\left|s_{i}\right| \leq r, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(s_{2}\right)-g\left(s_{1}\right)\right| \leq \Lambda_{2}(r)\left|s_{2}-s_{1}\right| \forall s_{1}, s_{2} \in R: \quad\left|s_{i}\right| \leq r, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{i}=\Lambda_{i}(r)=$ const $\geq 0, i=1,2$.
It is obvious that if $f$ (resp. $g$ ) $\in C^{1}(R)$, then condition (3.1) (resp. (3.2)) is valid, where due to the Lagrange theorem $\Lambda_{1}(r)=\max _{|s| \leq r}\left|f^{\prime}(s)\right|\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Lambda_{2}(r)=\max _{|s| \leq r}\left|g^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ and $\mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. If the functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy the Lipschitz local conditions (3.1) and (3.2), then problem (1.4)-(1.7) cannot have more than one generalized solution of class $C$.

Proof. Let problem (1.4)-(1.7) have two generalized solutions $u_{1}, v_{1}$ and $u_{2}, v_{2}$ of class $C$, i.e., due to the definition, there exist the sequences $u_{1 n}, v_{1 n}$ and $u_{2 n}, v_{2 n}$ which belong to the class $\stackrel{\circ}{C}^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ defined in (1.8) and satisfy the following limit equalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{i n}-u_{i}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{i n}-v_{i}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad i=1,2  \tag{3.3}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{1}\left(u_{i n}, v_{i n}\right)\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L_{2}\left(u_{i n}, v_{i n}\right)-F\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}=u_{2 n}-u_{1 n}, \quad \psi_{n}=v_{2 n}-v_{1 n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking into account the definition of operators $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ from (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\square \varphi_{n}=\psi_{n}+A_{n}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{3.6}\\
\left.\varphi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\varphi_{n}(t, t)=0,\left.\quad \varphi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=\varphi_{n}(0, t)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
\square \psi_{n}=-\left(f\left(v_{2 n}\right)-f\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right)-\left(g\left(v_{2 n}\right)-g\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right)+B_{n}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T},  \tag{3.7}\\
\left.\psi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{1, T}}=\psi_{n}(t, t)=0,\left.\quad \psi_{n}\right|_{\gamma_{2, T}}=\psi_{n}(0, t)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\end{gather*}
$$

where the sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}:=L_{1}\left(u_{2 n}, v_{2 n}\right)-L_{1}\left(u_{1 n}, v_{1 n}\right) \\
& B_{n}:=L_{2}\left(u_{2 n}, v_{2 n}\right)-L_{2}\left(u_{1 n}, v_{1 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

according to the limit equalities (3.4), satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (3.6) by the function $\frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial t}$, integrating over the domain $D_{\tau}:=$ $\left\{(x, t) \in D_{T}: t<\tau\right\}$, where $0<\tau \leq T$, and repeating those reasonings which were used for obtaining (2.16) from (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x=2 \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t+2 \int_{D_{\tau}} A_{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, as (2.16) was obtained, from (2.36) and (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{\tau}} \varphi_{n}^{2}(x, t) d x d t \leq \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.7) by the function $\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}$ and integrating over the domain $D_{\tau}$ by analogy to the equality (2.31), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x=-2 \int_{D_{\tau}} & \left(f\left(v_{2 n}\right)-f\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \\
& -2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(g\left(v_{2 n}\right)-g\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t+2 \int_{D_{\tau}} B_{n} \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the limit equalities (3.3), since the sequences $\left\{u_{i n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{i n}\right\}$ converge in the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, they are bounded in this space. Therefore, there exists $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{i n}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} \leq r, \quad\left\|v_{i n}\right\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} \leq r \quad \forall n \in N, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.1), (3.5) and (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid-2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(f\left(v_{2 n}\right)-f\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right) & \left.\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t\left|\leq 2 \int_{D_{\tau}} \Lambda_{1}(r)\right| v_{2 n}-v_{1 n}| | \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} \right\rvert\, d x d t \\
& =\Lambda_{1}(r) \int_{D_{\tau}} 2 \psi_{n}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right| d x d t \leq \Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, from (3.2), (3.5) and (3.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|-2 \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(g\left(v_{2 n}\right)-g\left(v_{1 n}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t} d x d t\right| \leq \Lambda_{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \varphi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.11), (3.13) and (3.14) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}} & {\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq \Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t } \\
& +\Lambda_{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \varphi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}} B_{n}^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& =\Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} \int_{D_{\tau}} \varphi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}} B_{n}^{2} d x d t, \quad 0<\tau \leq T,
\end{aligned}
$$

whence due to (3.10),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq \Lambda_{1} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau} \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} \tau^{3} e^{2 \tau}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \\
+\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t+\int_{D_{\tau}} B_{n}^{2} d x d t \leq\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\right) \int_{D_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t \\
+\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that inequality (2.39) is valid if instead of $v_{n}$ we take the function $\psi_{n}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\tau}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x \leq T \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up inequalities (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\psi_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \leq\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\right) T \int_{D_{\tau}}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\Lambda_{1} T+\Lambda_{2} T^{4} e^{2 T}+\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \\
& \quad+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\Lambda_{1} T+\Lambda_{2} T^{4} e^{2 T}+\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right) \int_{D_{\tau}}\left[\psi_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t \\
& \quad+\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=\left(\Lambda_{1} T+\Lambda_{2} T^{4} e^{2 T}+\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+1\right), \quad K_{2 n}=\Lambda_{2} T^{3} e^{2 T}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{3}(\tau):=\int_{\omega_{\tau}}\left[\psi_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking into account the equality

$$
\int_{D_{\tau}}\left[\psi_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d x d t=\int_{0}^{\tau} w_{3}(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

from (3.17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{3}(\sigma) \leq K_{1} \int_{0}^{\tau} w_{3}(\sigma) d \sigma+K_{2 n}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and due to the Gronwall lemma, from (3.20) it follows that

$$
w_{3}(\tau) \leq K_{2 n} e^{K_{1} \tau}, \quad 0<\tau \leq T
$$

According to the limit equality (3.8), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|B_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}=0
$$

Therefore, in view of (3.18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{2 n}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to (2.20), for the function $\psi_{n}$, the inequality

$$
\psi_{n}^{2}(x, t) \leq t \int_{0}^{t}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right](\xi, t) d \xi
$$

is valid and, therefore, (3.19) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}^{2}(x, t) \leq t \int_{0}^{t}\left[\psi_{n}^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial \psi_{n}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right](\xi, t) d \xi=t w_{3}(t) \leq t K_{2 n} e^{K_{1} t}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in inequality (3.22) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and taking into account the limit equalities (3.3), (3.5) and (3.21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)(x, t)\right|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left(v_{2 n}-v_{1 n}\right)(x, t)\right|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}^{2}(x, t) \leq t e^{K_{1} t} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{2 n}=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence we get $v_{2}(x, t)=v_{1}(x, t),(x, t) \in D_{T}$.
From (3.5), (3.8), (3.10) and (3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{T}}\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2} d x d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{T}}\left(u_{2 n}-u_{1 n}\right)^{2} d x d t=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{T}} \varphi_{n}^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq T^{3} e^{2 T} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{T}} \psi_{n}^{2} d x d t+T^{3} e^{2 T} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(D_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq T^{3} e^{2 T} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{T}} T K_{2 n} e^{K_{1} T} d x d t \\
& \quad=T^{4} e^{2 T} e^{K_{1} T} \int_{D_{T}} 1 d x d t \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{n}=T^{4} e^{2 T} e^{K_{1} T} \cdot \frac{1}{2} T^{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{2 n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we conclude that $u_{2}=u_{1}$ in the domain $D_{T}$. The theorem is proved.

## 4 Equivalent reduction of problem (1.4)-(1.7) to a system of Volterra type integral equations

Let us now consider the equivalent reduction of problem (1.4)-(1.7) to a system of Volterra type integral equations in the class of continuous functions $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$.

Let the functions $u$ and $v$ represent a generalized solution of the class $C$ to problem (1.4)-(1.7), i.e., there exist the sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ satisfying conditions (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). As it has been shown, the function $u_{n}$ is a classical solution of problem $(2.2),(2.3)$, where the function $G_{n}$ is given by formula (2.4), and it satisfies the limit equality (2.5). Analogously, the function $v_{n}$ is a classical solution of problem (2.26), (2.27), where the function $Q_{n}$ is given by formula (2.28), and it satisfies the limit equality (2.29).

Let $P=P(x, t)$ be any point of $D_{T}$. Denote by $\Omega_{x, t}$ the characteristic rectangle $P P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$ with vertices $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}, P_{3}$ laying on the curves $\gamma_{2, T}$ and $\gamma_{1, T}$, respectively, i.e.,

$$
P_{1}:=P_{1}(0, t-x), \quad P_{2}:=P_{2}\left(\frac{t-x}{2}, \frac{t-x}{2}\right), \quad P_{3}:=P_{3}\left(\frac{t+x}{2}, \frac{t+x}{2}\right) .
$$

Integrating equation (2.2) over the rectangle $\Omega_{x, t}$, conducting integration by parts and taking into account homogeneous boundary conditions (2.3), we obtain [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(x, t)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} v_{n}\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} G_{n}\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analogous reasoning with respect to problem (2.26), (2.27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}}\left[f\left(v_{n}\right)+g\left(u_{n}\right)\right]\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} Q_{n}\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in equalities (4.1) and (4.2) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and due to the limit equalities (1.9), (1.10) and (2.5), (2.29) with respect to the functions $u$ and $v$, we obtain the following Volterra type system of nonlinear integral equations in the class of continuous functions $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(x, t)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} v\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}=0, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}  \tag{4.3}\\
v(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}}[f(v)+g(u)]\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x, t} F\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}, \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 4.1. When $f, g \in C^{1}(R), F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, the reverse proposition is valid: if the functions $u$ and $v$ represent a solution of the class $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ to system (4.3), (4.4), then these functions represent a generalized solution of class $C$ to problem (1.4)-(1.7) [1,16].

Let us introduce the notation $U:=(u, v)$ and rewrite the system of integral equations (4.3), (4.4) in a vectorial form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x, t)+(K U)(x, t)=\Phi(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K=\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right) ; \quad & \left(K_{1} U\right)(x, t)=-\left(K_{0} v\right)(x, t), \\
\left(K_{2} U\right)(x, t) & =\left(K_{0}(f(v)+g(u))\right)(x, t),  \tag{4.6}\\
\left(K_{0} w\right)(x, t) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{x, t} w\left(x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} d t^{\prime}  \tag{4.7}\\
\Phi(x, t) & =\left(0,\left(K_{0} F\right)(x, t)\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 The smoothness of a solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7). Global solvability of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the class of continuous functions. The existence of a global solution in the domain $D_{\infty}$

Remark 5.1. As is known, the operator $K_{0}$ defined by formula (4.7) satisfies the following conditions of smoothness: if $w \in C^{k}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, then $K_{0} w \in C^{k+1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right), k=0,1, \ldots$ Therefore, when $f, g \in C^{1}(R)$, $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, the continuous solution $U=(u, v)$ of system (4.5) satisfies the following conditions of smoothness: $u, v \in C^{2}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ and represents a classical solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7).

Remark 5.2. As is known, the space $C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ is compactly embedded into the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$. Therefore, if we take into account Remark 5.1 and consider $K$ as an operator acting from the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ to the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, then due to formula (4.5), we find that the operator

$$
K: C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)
$$

is continuous and compact. Therefore, the operator $L: C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ acting by the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L U)(x, t)=-(K U)(x, t)+\Phi(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \bar{D}_{T} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

will also be continuous and compact, and equation (4.5) in the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=L U \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5.3. It follows from the above reasoning that if $f, g \in C^{1}(R), F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, then $U:=$ $(u, v) \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ is a generalized solution of class $C$ to problem (1.4)-(1.7) if and only if $U$ is a solution of problem (5.2) of class $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.2 that when conditions (2.22), (2.23) are fulfilled, the solution of equation (5.2) of class $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ satisfies a priori estimates (2.24) and (2.25). From equation (5.2) and the structure of constants $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, and from a priori estimates (2.24) and (2.25), it follows that the solution of the equation $U=\tau L U$ of class $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, where the parameter $\tau \in[0,1]$, satisfies the same a priori estimates (2.24) and (2.25), where the constants $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, in view of $(2.22),(2.23),(2.43),(2.50)$ and $(2.51)$, do not depend on the function $F$ and the parameter $\tau$. Therefore, since the operator $L: C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ from equation (5.2) is continuous and compact, according to the Leray-Schauder theorem [33], equation (5.2) has at least one solution in the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ which, as it was noted above, is also a generalized solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$.

Thus, according to Theorem 3.1 and Remark 5.1, the following statement is valid.
Theorem 5.1. Let $f, g \in C^{1}(R), F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$, and the functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy conditions (2.22) and (2.23). Then problem (1.4)-(1.7) has a unique generalized solution of the class $C$ which is also a classical solution of the same problem in the domain $D_{T}$.

From Theorems 3.1 and 5.1 follows
Theorem 5.2. Let $f, g \in C^{1}(R), F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$, and the functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy conditions (2.22) and (2.23), then problem (1.4)-(1.7) for $T=\infty$ has a unique global classical solution in the domain $D_{\infty}$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, it follows that there exists a unique classical solution $u_{k}, v_{k}$ of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the domain $D_{T}$, where $T=k \in N$. Since $\left.u_{k+1}\right|_{D_{k}}$ is also a classical solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the domain $D_{k}$, because of the uniqueness of the solution, we have $\left.u_{k+1}\right|_{D_{k}}=u_{k}$, $\left.v_{k+1}\right|_{D_{k}}=v_{k}$. Therefore, the functions $u$ and $v$ constructed by the rule $u(x, t)=u_{k}(x, t), v(x, t)=$ $v_{k}(x, t)$, when $k=[t]+1$, where $[t]$ is an entire part of number $t$ and $(x, t) \in D_{\infty}$, represent a unique global solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the domain $D_{\infty}$. The theorem is proved.

Definition 5.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Problem (1.4)-(1.7) is called globally solvable in the class $C$ if for any positive $T$, this problem has at least one generalized solution of class $C$ in the domain $D_{T}$ in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Remark 5.4. It is obvious that if problem (1.4)-(1.7) is not globally solvable in the class $C$ in the sense of Definition 3.1, then it does not have a global classical solution in the domain $D_{\infty}$. Besides, if the conditions of Theorem 5.2 are fulfilled, then problem (1.4)-(1.7) has a global classical solution in the domain $D_{\infty}$ and, therefore, it is also globally solvable in the class $C$.

## 6 Nonexistence of solutions of problem (1.4)-(1.7)

Below, we show that if conditions (2.22) and (2.23) are violated, then problem (1.4)-(1.7) may not be globally solvable in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Theorem 6.1. Let $f=0, g \in C^{1}(R), F_{0} \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right),\left.F_{0}\right|_{D_{T}}>0$ and $F=\lambda F_{0}, \lambda=$ const $>0, \mu_{i}=0$, $i=1, \ldots, 4$. Then if $g(u) \leq-|u|^{\alpha}, \alpha=$ const $>1$, there exists a number $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}\left(F_{0}, \alpha\right)>0$ such that for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$, problem (1.4)-(1.7) does not have a generalized solution of class $C$ in the domain $D_{T}$.

Proof. Let $u, v$ represent a generalized solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$. Since $f=0$, $g \in C^{1}(R)$ and $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$, according to Remarks 4.1 and 5.1 , this solution will be a classical solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7). Therefore, the function $u$ satisfies equation (1.1) in the domain $D_{T}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square^{2} u+g(u)=F(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in D_{T}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g(u), \square^{2} u \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$.
Let us consider a test function

$$
\varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}, \partial D_{T}\right):=\left\{\psi \in C^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right):\left.\psi\right|_{D_{T}} \geq 0,\left.\quad \psi\right|_{\partial D_{T}}=\left.\frac{\partial^{i} \psi}{\partial \nu^{i}}\right|_{\partial D_{T}}=0, \quad i=1,2,3\right\}
$$

where $\nu=\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{t}\right)$ is a unit vector of the outer norm to the boundary $\partial D_{T}$. Let us multiply by it both sides of equation (6.1) and integrate over the domain $D_{T}$. By integration by parts and taking into account that $\left.\psi\right|_{\partial D_{T}}=\left.\frac{\partial^{i} \psi}{\partial \nu^{i}}\right|_{\partial D_{T}}=0, i=1,2,3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{T}} u \square^{2} \varphi d x d t=-\int_{D_{T}} g(u) \varphi d x d t+\lambda \int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t \forall \varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}, \partial D_{T}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the conditions $g(u) \leq-|u|^{\alpha}$ and $\varphi \geq 0$, from (6.2) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{T}}|u|^{\alpha} \varphi d x d t \leq \int_{D_{T}} u \square^{2} \varphi d x d t-\lambda \int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t \forall \varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}, \partial D_{T}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below, we use the method of test functions [30]. Consider the test function $\varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}, \partial D_{T}\right)$ such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{D_{T}}>0$. If in the Young inequality with parameter $\varepsilon>0$

$$
a b \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha} a^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} b^{\alpha^{\prime}}, \quad a, b \geq 0, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}
$$

we take $a=|u| \varphi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and $b=\frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|}{\varphi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}$, then due to $\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha}=\alpha-1$, we obtain

$$
\left|u \square^{2} \varphi\right|=|u| \varphi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|}{\varphi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}|u|^{\alpha} \varphi+\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\varphi^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} .
$$

From (6.3) and (6.3), we have

$$
\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}\right) \int_{D_{T}}|u|^{\alpha} \varphi d x d t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} \int_{D_{T}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\varphi^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} d x d t-\lambda \int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t
$$

whence for $\varepsilon<\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{T}}|u|^{\alpha} \varphi d x d t \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha-\varepsilon) \alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} \int_{D_{T}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\varphi^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} d x d t-\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\alpha-\varepsilon} \int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the equalities $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}, \alpha=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha^{\prime}-1}$ and

$$
\min _{0<\varepsilon<\alpha} \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-\varepsilon) \alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}}=1
$$

which is reached for $\varepsilon=1$, from (6.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{T}}|u|^{\alpha} \varphi d x d t \leq \int_{D_{T}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\varphi^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} d x d t-\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show the existence of a function $\varphi$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \in \stackrel{\circ}{C}^{4}\left(\bar{D}_{T}, \partial D_{T}\right),\left.\quad \varphi\right|_{D_{T}}>0, \quad \kappa_{0}=\int_{D_{T}} \frac{\left|\square^{2} \varphi\right|^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\varphi^{\alpha^{\prime}-1}} d x d t<+\infty \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the function built by the formula

$$
\varphi(x, t)=[x(t-x)(T-t)]^{m}
$$

for a sufficiently large natural $m$ satisfies conditions (6.6).
Since according to the condition $F_{0} \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right),\left.F_{0}\right|_{D_{T}}>0$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{D_{T}}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\kappa_{1}=\int_{D_{T}} F_{0} \varphi d x d t<+\infty \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\chi(\lambda)$ the right-hand side of inequality (6.5) which is linear with respect to the parameter $\lambda$. Then from (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \lambda)<0, \text { when } \lambda>\mu_{0} \text { and } \chi(\lambda)>0, \text { when } \lambda<\mu_{0} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\chi(\lambda)=\kappa_{0}-\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \kappa_{1}, \quad \lambda_{0}=\frac{\kappa_{0}}{\alpha^{\prime} \kappa_{1}}
$$

According to (6.8), when $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$, the left-hand side of (6.5) is negative, while the right-hand side is non-negative. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Note that when $g(u) \leq-|u|^{\alpha}, \alpha=$ const $>1$, condition (2.23) is violated.

## 7 Local solvability of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the class of continuous functions

Definition 7.1. Let $f, g \in C(R), F \in C\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Problem (1.4)-(1.7) is called locally solvable in the class $C$ if there exists a positive constant $T_{0}=T_{0}(F)$ such that problem (1.4)-(1.7) has at least one generalized solution of class $C$ in the domain $D_{T}$, when $T \leq T_{0}$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $f, g \in C^{1}(R), \mu_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Then for any function $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right)$, problem (1.4)-(1.7) is locally solvable in the class $C$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $T_{0}=T_{0}(F)$ such that problem (1.4)-(1.7) has a unique generalized solution of class $C$ in the domain $D_{T}$, when $T \leq T_{0}$, which represents a classical solution of this problem.

Remark 7.1. In case the conditions of Theorem 6.1 are fulfilled, problem (1.4)-(1.7) for any function $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right)$ may not be globally solvable. Indeed, if $F_{0} \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right),\left.F_{0}\right|_{D_{\infty}}>0$, and for a fixed positive $T$ we take $F=\lambda F_{0}$, then this problem does not have a generalized solution of class $C$ in the domain $D_{T}$, when $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$.

Proof of Theorem 7.1. According to Remark $5.3 U=(u, v) \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ represents a generalized solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) of class $C$ if and only if $U$ is a solution of equation (5.2) from the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$.

Let us fix the positive constants $T_{1}$ and $r$. Below, we suppose that $|U|=|(u, v)|=|u|+\lceil v\rceil$, $\|U\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=\|(u, v)\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}=\|u\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}+\|v\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)}$, and denote by $B_{r}(0)$ a ball of radius $r$ in the space $\bar{D}_{T}$ of continuous vector functions $U=(u, v)$ with a center in the null element $(0,0)$, i.e.,

$$
B_{r}(0):=\left\{U=(u, v) \in C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right):\|(u, v)\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} \leq r\right\}
$$

When $U \in B_{r}(0)$, due to (4.6)-(5.1), if we take into consideration the structure of the operator $L$ from equation (5.2), take $T \leq T_{1}$ and the point $(x, t) \in \bar{D}_{T}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|(L U)(x, t)| \leq|(K U)(x, t)|+|\Phi(x, t)| \leq\left|\left(K_{1} U\right)(x, t)\right|+\left|\left(K_{2} U\right)(x, t)\right|+\left|\left(K_{0} F\right)(x, t)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(K_{0} v\right)(x, t)\right|+\left|\left(K_{0}(f(v)+g(u))\right)(x, t)\right|+\left|\left(K_{0} F\right)(x, t)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{t}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} 1 d x d t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\max _{|s| \leq r}|f(s)|+\max _{|s| \leq r}|g(s)|\right) \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} 1 d x d t \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{t}\right)} \int_{\Omega_{x, t}} 1 d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\|v\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{t}\right)}+\max _{|s| \leq r}|f(s)|+\max _{|s| \leq r}|g(s)|+\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{t}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} T^{2}\left(\|v\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}+\max _{|s| \leq r}|f(s)|+\max _{|s| \leq r}|g(s)|+\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|L U\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} & \leq \frac{1}{4} T^{2}\left(\|v\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}+\max _{|s| \leq r}|f(s)|+\max _{|s| \leq r}|g(s)|+\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} T^{2}\left(r+\|f\|_{C([-r, r])}+\|g\|_{C([-r, r])}+\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}\right) . \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

From (7.1) it follows that if we take $T$ such that $T \leq T_{0}$, where

$$
T_{0}=\min \left(T_{1} \frac{4 r}{r+\|f\|_{C([-r, r])}+\|g\|_{C([-r, r])}+\|F\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T_{1}}\right)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L U\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} \leq r, \text { when }\|U\|_{C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)} \leq r \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.2) it follows that the operator $L: C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$ maps the ball $B_{r}(0)$ into itself and since by Remark 5.2 this operator is continuous and compact, according to Schauder's theorem, equation (5.2) has at least one solution $U$ from the space $C\left(\bar{D}_{T}\right)$. Due to Remark 5.3 and Theorem 5.1, this solution is a unique classical solution of problem (1.4)-(1.7) in the domain. The theorem is completely proved.

Therefore, from the results obtained above it follows that if we do not require from the functions $f$ and $g$ the fulfillment of conditions (2.22) and (2.23) together with smoothness $f, g \in C^{1}(R)$, then according to Theorem 6.1, problem (1.4)-(1.6) may not be globally solvable and, moreover, it may not have a global solution in the domain $D_{\infty}$. Nevertheless, in case of conditions (2.22), (2.23) violate, problem (1.4)-(1.7) is locally solvable for any function $F \in C^{1}\left(\bar{D}_{\infty}\right)$.
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