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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove and discuss some new $\left(H_{p}, L_{p}\right)$ type inequalities for partial Sums and Fejér means with respect to the Walsh system. It is also proved that these results are the best possible in a special sense. As applications, both some well-known and new results are pointed out.
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## 1 Preliminaries

It is well-known that (for details see, e.g., $[34,54]$ and [44]) for every $p>1$, there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$ such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{p}, \text { when } p>1 \text { and } f \in H_{1}(G)
$$

Moreover, Watari [89] (see also Gosselin [35] and Young [96]) proved that there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that for $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\lambda \mu\left(\left|S_{n} f\right|>\lambda\right) \leq c\|f\|_{1}, \quad f \in L_{1}(G), \quad \lambda>0
$$

On the other hand, it is also well-known that (for details see, e.g., [1,54] and [81]) a Walsh system is not Schauder's basis in $L_{1}(G)$ space. Moreover, there exists function $f \in H_{1}(G)$ such that partial sums with respect to the Walsh system are not uniformly bounded in $L_{1}(G)$.

Applying Lebesgue constants

$$
L(n):=\left\|D_{n}\right\|_{1}
$$

we easily obtain that (for details see, e.g., [2] and [54]) subsequences of partial sums $S_{n_{k}} f$ with respect to the Walsh system converge to $f$ in $L_{1}$ norm if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} L\left(n_{k}\right) \leq c<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $n$-th Lebesgue constant with respect to the Walsh system, where

$$
n=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_{j} 2^{j} \quad\left(n_{j} \in Z_{2}\right)
$$

can be estimated by the variation of natural number

$$
V(n)=n_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right|
$$

and it is also well known that (for details see, e.g., [8] and [54]) the following two-sided estimate

$$
\frac{1}{8} V(n) \leq L(n) \leq V(n)
$$

is true, to obtain the convergence of subsequences of partial sums $S_{n_{k}} f$ with respect to the Walsh system of $f \in L_{1}$ in $f \in L_{1}$-norm, condition (1.1) can be replaced by

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} V\left(n_{k}\right) \leq c<\infty
$$

It follows that (for details see, e.g., [54] and [90]) a subsequence of partial sums $S_{2^{n}}$ is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $H_{p}(G)$ for every $p>0$, whence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2^{n}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand (see, e.g., [68]), there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p<1)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|S_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

The main reason of divergence of the subsequence $S_{2^{n}+1} f$ of partial sums is that (for details see [69]) the Fourier coefficients of $f \in H_{p}(G)$ are not uniformly bounded for $0<p<1$.

When $0<p<1$, in [9] and [82], the boundedness of subsequences of partial sums with respect to the Walsh system from $H_{p}(G)$ to $H_{p}(G)$ was investigated. In particular, the following result is true.

Theorem T1. Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{m_{k}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

if and only if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} d\left(m_{k}\right)<c<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
d\left(m_{k}\right):=\left|m_{k}\right|-\left\langle m_{k}\right\rangle .
$$

In particular, from Theorem T1 immediately follows
Theorem T2. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|S_{2^{n}+2^{n-1}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} .
$$

On the other hand, we have the following result.
Theorem T3. Let $p>0$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|S_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

Taking into account these results, it is interesting to find behaviour of a rate of divergence of subsequences of partials sums with respect to the Walsh system of martingales $f \in H_{p}(G)$ in the martingale Hardy spaces $H_{p}(G)$.

In Section 2 (see also [70]), we investigate the above-mentioned problem. For $0<p<1$, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that the following inequality is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $0<p<1,\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing subsequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} d\left(m_{k}\right)=\infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}=\infty .
$$

Theorem 2.1 easily implies the following
Corollary 2.1. Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\left(n \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{n}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

On the other hand, if $0<p<1,\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}=\infty
$$

and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}=\infty .
$$

In particular, we also get the proofs of Theorem T1 and Theorem T2.
In Section 2, we also investigate the case $p=1$. In this case, the following result is true.
Theorem 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$and $f \in H_{1}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq c V(n)\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}_{+}$such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} V\left(m_{k}\right)=\infty
$$

and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V\left(m_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1}=\infty
$$

When $0<p<1$, in [82] the boundedness of maximal operators of subsequences of partial sums from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$ was proved. In particular, the following theorem is true.
Theorem T4. Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then the maximal operator

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{m_{k}} f\right|
$$

is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$ if and only if condition (1.3) is fulfilled.
In the special cases we find that the following theorem is true.
Theorem T5. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}} f\right|\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}+2^{n-1}} f\right|\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

On the other hand, we have the following result.
Theorem T6. Let $p>0$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}+1} f\right|\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

The above-mentioned condition (1.3) is sufficient for the case $p=1$ as well, but there exist subsequences which do not satisfy this condition, but maximal operators of these subsequences of partial sums with respect to the Walsh system are not bounded from $H_{1}(G)$ to $L_{1}(G)$.

Such necessary and sufficient conditions that provide the boundedness of maximal operators of subsequences of partial sums with respect to the Walsh system from $H_{1}(G)$ to $L_{1}(G)$ remains still an open problem.

In [69] and [82], the boundedness of weighted maximal operators from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$, when $0<p \leq 1$, was investigated.

Theorem T7. Let $0<p \leq 1$. Then the weighted maximal operator

$$
\widetilde{S}_{p}^{*} f:=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} \frac{\left|S_{n} f\right|}{(n+1)^{1 / p-1} \log ^{[p]}(n+1)}
$$

is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$, where $[p]$ denotes an integer part of $p$.
Moreover, for any non-decreasing function $\varphi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^{1 / p-1} \log ^{[p]}(n+1)}{\varphi(n+1)}=+\infty
$$

there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{n} f}{\varphi(n)}\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

According to the sharpness of result, for the weighted maximal operator of partial sums of WalshFourier series, we immediately get the following result.

Theorem S1. There exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

On the other hand, the boundedness of weighted maximal operators immediately leads to the following estimation.
Theorem S2. Let $0<p \leq 1$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}(n+1)^{1 / p-1} \log ^{[p]}(n+1)\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \text { for } 0<p \leq 1
$$

where $[p]$ denotes an integer part of $p$.
Applying this inequality (see [67]), we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ for which partial sums with respect to the Walsh system of martingales $f \in H_{p}(G)$ converge in $H_{p}(G)$ norm.
Theorem T8. Let $0<p \leq 1,[p]$ denote an integer part of $p, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{N}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{N(1 / p-1)} N[p]}\right) \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$, where $0<p<1$, such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{N}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{N(1 / p-1)} N^{[p]}}\right) \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Taking these results into account, it is interesting to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for modulus of continuity such that the subsequences of partial sums with respect to the Walsh system of martingales $f \in H_{p}(G)$ converge in $H_{p}(G)$ norm.

In Section 2 (see also [70]), we investigate this problem. Combining inequalities (1.4) and (1.6), we get the following
Theorem 2.3. Let $2^{k}<n \leq 2^{k+1}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)} \omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) \quad(0<p<1) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq c_{1} V(n) \omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying inequality (1.8), in Section 2, the following result is proved.
Theorem 2.4. Let $0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying condition (1.5), then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \geq 0\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ for which

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}>c_{p}>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{p}$ is an absolute constant depending only on $p$.
According to this theorem, we immediately get that the following result is true.
Corollary 2.5. Let $0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{\left(m_{k} \mu\left(\operatorname{supp} D_{m_{k}}\right)\right)^{1 / p-1}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then (1.10) holds.
On the other hand, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \geq 0\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{k} \mu\left(\operatorname{supp} D_{\alpha_{k}}\right)\right)^{1 / p-1}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and (1.11) holds.
Applying (1.9), we prove that the following result is true.
Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{V\left(m_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|S_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying condition (1.5), then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \geq 0\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ for which

$$
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{1}>c>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Applying Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we immediately get the proof of Theorem T8.
Weisz [91] considered the convergence in a norm of Fejér means of the one-dimensional WalshFourier series and proved the following

Theorem We1. Let $p>1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

Weisz (for details see, e.g., [90]) also considered the boundedness of subsequences of Fejér means $\sigma_{2^{n}}$ of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series from $H_{p}(G)$ to $H_{p}(G)$ when $p>0$.
Theorem We2. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in [63], the following result was proved.
Theorem T9. There exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sigma_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

Goginava [29] (see also [51]) proved that the following result is true.
Theorem Gog1. Let $0<p \leq 1$. Then the sequence of operators $\left|\sigma_{2^{n}} f\right|$ is not bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $H_{p}(G)$.

If $0<p<1 / 2$, then in [52] it was proved the boundedness of subsequences of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier from $H_{p}(G)$ to $H_{p}(G)$. In particular, the following statement is true.

Theorem T10. Let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that the estimation

$$
\left\|\sigma_{m_{k}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

holds if and only if condition (1.3) is fulfilled.
Theorem T10 immediately follows from theorem of Weisz (see Theorem We2) and we get the interesting results.

Theorem T11. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{n}+2^{n-1}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} .
$$

On the other hand, we have the following result.

Theorem T12. Let $p>0$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sigma_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

According to the above-mentioned results, it is interesting to find a rate of divergence of subsequences $\sigma_{n_{k}} f$ of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series in the Hardy spaces $H_{p}(G)$.

In Section 3 (see also [71]), we find a rate of divergence of subsequences of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces $H_{p}(G)$, when $0<p \leq 1 / 2$.

First, we consider the case $p=1 / 2$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$and $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \leq c V^{2}(n)\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} V\left(m_{k}\right)=\infty
$$

and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty]$ is a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V^{2}\left(m_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{\sigma_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1 / 2}=\infty
$$

The case $0<p<1 / 2$ was also been considered and it was proved that the following statement is true.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-2)}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $0<p<1 / 2,\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying condition (1.5) and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing function such that

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty
$$

then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{\sigma_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

From these results also follows the proof of Theorem We2.
In 1975, Schipp [53] (see also [2] and [97]) proved that the maximal operator of Fejér means $\sigma^{*}$ is of type weak $-(1,1)$ :

$$
\mu\left(\sigma^{*} f>\lambda\right) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda}\|f\|_{1} \quad(\lambda>0)
$$

Using the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, it follows that $\sigma^{*}$ is of strong type- $(p, p)$, when $p>1$ :

$$
\left\|\sigma^{*} f\right\|_{p} \leq c\|f\|_{p} \quad(p>1)
$$

The boundedness does not hold for $p=1$, but Fujii [20] (see also [95]) proved that the maximal operator of Fejér means is bounded from $H_{1}(G)$ to $L_{1}(G)$. Weisz in [92] generalized the result of

Fujii and proved that the maximal operator of Fejér means is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$, when $p>1 / 2$. Simon [55] constructed the counterexample showing that the boundedness does not hold when $0<p<1 / 2$. Goginava [25] (see also [14] and [15]) generalized this result for $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ and proved that the following theorem is true.
Theorem Gog2. There exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

Weisz [93] (see also Goginava [27]) proved that the following theorem is true.
Theorem We3. Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
\left\|\sigma^{*} f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{1 / 2}(G)} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}
$$

In [52], the boundedness of maximal operators of subsequences of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$ for $0<p<1 / 2$ was considered. In particular, the following result is true.
Theorem T13. Let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then the maximal operator

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}^{*} f:=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{m_{k}} f\right|
$$

is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$ if and only if condition (1.3) is fulfilled.
As consequences, the following results are true.
Theorem T14. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{2^{n}} f\right|\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{2^{n}+2^{n-1}} f\right|\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} .
$$

On the other hand, we have the following negative result.
Theorem T15. Let $0<p<1 / 2$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{2^{n}+1} f\right|\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

The above-mentioned condition is sufficient for the case $p=1 / 2$ too, but there exist the subsequences that do not satisfy condition (1.3) and the maximal operator of subsequences of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are bounded from $H_{1 / 2}(G)$ to $L_{1 / 2}(G)$.

However, the problem of finding the necessary and sufficient conditions on the indices, which provide the boundedness of maximal operator of subsequences of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series from $H_{1 / 2}(G)$ to $L_{1 / 2}(G)$ is still open.

In [26] and [63] (see also $[30,50,62,65]$ ), it is proved
Theorem GT1. Let $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then the maximal operator

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}_{p}^{*} f:=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left|\sigma_{n} f\right|}{(n+1)^{1 / p-2} \log ^{2[1 / 2+p]}(n+1)}
$$

is bounded from $H_{p}(G)$ to $L_{p}(G)$.
Moreover, for any nondecreasing function $\varphi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^{1 / p-2} \log ^{2[1 / 2+p]}(n+1)}{\varphi(n)}=+\infty
$$

there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p<1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n} f}{\varphi(n)}\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

From the divergence of weighted maximal operators we immediately get that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p}=\infty
$$

and from the boundedness results of weighted maximal operators we immediately get that for any $f \in H_{p}(G)$ there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p} n^{1 / p-2} \log ^{2[1 / 2+p]}(n+1)\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \text { as } 0<p \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true. Applying inequality (1.16) in [67], the necessary and sufficient conditions were found for the modulus of continuity of a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$, for which Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series converge in $H_{p}(G)$ norm.

Theorem T16. Let $0<p \leq 1 / 2, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{N}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{N(1 / p-2)} N^{2[1 / 2+p]}}\right) \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f-f\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$, for which

$$
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{N}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{N(1 / p-2)} N^{2[1 / 2+p]}}\right) \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f-f\right\|_{p} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

According to the above-mentioned results, it is of interest to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the modulus of continuity, for which subsequences $\sigma_{n_{k}} f$ of Fejér means of the onedimensional Walsh-Fourier series converge in $H_{p}(G)$ norm.

In Section 3, we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the modulus of continuity, for which subsequences $\sigma_{n_{k}} f$ of Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series converge in $H_{p}(G)$ norm (see also [71]).

Applying inequality (1.13) to the case $p=1 / 2$, the following necessary and sufficient conditions are found.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{V^{2}\left(m_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Moreover, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that (1.5) holds true, then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \geq 0\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ such that

$$
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{1 / 2}>c>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Applying inequality (1.14), we investigate the case $0<p<1 / 2$. In Section 3 , we prove that the following theorem is true.

Theorem 3.4. Let $0<p<1 / 2, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

On the other hand, if $\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$ is an increasing sequence of natural numbers satisfying condition (1.5), then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ and a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \geq 0\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \geq 0\right\}$, for which

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}>c_{p}>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $c_{p}$ is constant depending only on $p$.
However, Simon in [56] and [58] (see also [18,57,59]) considered strong convergence theorems of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series and proved the following
Theorem Si1. Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $f \in H_{1}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that the following inequality is true:

$$
\frac{1}{\log ^{[p]} n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}}{k^{2-p}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)},
$$

Analogous result for trigonometric system was proved in [60], and for unbounded Walsh systems in [22].

In [64], it was proved that the following theorem is true.
Theorem T17. For any $0<p<1$ and non-decreasing function $\varphi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2-p}}{\varphi(n)}=+\infty
$$

there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}}{\varphi(k)}=\infty(0<p<1) .
$$

From Theorem Sil it follows that if $f \in H_{1}(G)$, then the following equalities are true:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{1}}{k}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f\right\|_{1}}{k}=\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)}
$$

When $0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$, then from Theorem Si1 follows that there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p / 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p / 2}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p / 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p}}=0 .
$$

We have the equality

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p / 2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|S_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p / 2}}=\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

In Section 3, we consider strong convergence results of Fejér means of the one-dimensional WalshFourier series. According to Theorem We1 and Theorem Gog2, we only have to consider the case $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ (for details see [66] and also [8, 10-13]):

Theorem 3.5. Let $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$ depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

Moreover, let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing, non-negative function such that $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{2-2 p}}{\Phi(k)}=\infty
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p}}{\Phi(m)}=\infty
$$

When $p=1 / 2$, it was also proved that the following theorem is true.
Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$. Then

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} \sup _{\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}=\infty .
$$

Theorem 3.5 implies that if $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$, then the following equalities are true:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}}{k}=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{k} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}}{k}=\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}
$$

When $0<p<1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$, then Theorem 3.5 impliles that there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p}}=0 .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2-p}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{k} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{k^{3 / 2-p}}=\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

## 2 Partial sums with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

### 2.1 Basic notations

Denote by $\mathbb{N}_{+}$the set of positive integers and by $\mathbb{N}:=\mathbb{N}_{+} \cup\{0\}$ the set of non-negative integers. Denote by $Z_{2}$ an additive group of integers modulo- 2 , which contains only two elements $Z_{2}:=\{0,1\}$, group operation is modulo-2 sum and all sets are open.

Define the group $G$ as the complete direct product of the groups $Z_{2}$ with the product of the discrete topologies $Z_{2}$. The direct product $\mu$ of measures $\mu_{n}(\{j\}):=1 / 2\left(j \in Z_{2}\right)$ is the Haar measure on $G$ with $\mu(G)=1$.

The elements of $G$ are represented by the sequences

$$
x:=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots\right)\left(x_{k}=0,1\right)
$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighbourhood of $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0}(x) & =G \\
I_{n}(x) & :=\left\{y \in G \mid y_{0}=x_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}=x_{n-1}\right\} \quad(x \in G, \quad n \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $I_{n}:=I_{n}(0)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{I}_{n}:=G \backslash I_{n}$.
It is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}_{M}=\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-2} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{M-1} I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1} I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1} I_{k} \backslash I_{k+1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then it can be uniquely expressed as $n=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j} 2^{j}$, where $n_{j} \in Z_{2} \quad(j \in \mathbb{N})$, and only a finite number of $n_{j}$ s differs from zero. Set

$$
\langle n\rangle:=\min \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}, n_{j} \neq 0\right\} \text { and }|n|:=\max \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}, n_{j} \neq 0\right\}
$$

It is evident that $2^{|n|} \leq n \leq 2^{|n|+1}$. Let

$$
d(n):=|n|-\langle n\rangle \text { for any } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Denote by $V(n)$ the variation of natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
V(n)=n_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|n_{k}-n_{k-1}\right|
$$

Define $k$-th Rademacher functions by

$$
r_{k}(x):=(-1)^{x_{k}} \quad(x \in G, \quad k \in \mathbb{N})
$$

By using Rademacher functions, we define the Walsh system $w:=\left(w_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right) G$ as:

$$
w_{n}(x):=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{k}^{n_{k}}(x)=r_{|n|}(x)(-1) \sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_{k} x_{k} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

The norm (quasi-norm) of the space $L_{p}(G)$ and weak- $L_{p}(G)$ for $(0<p<\infty)$ are respectively defined as

$$
\|f\|_{p}^{p}:=\left(\int_{G}|f(x)|^{p} d \mu(x)\right), \quad\|f\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p}:=\sup _{\lambda>0} \lambda^{p} \mu(x \in G:|f|>\lambda)
$$

The Walsh system is orthonormal and complete in $L_{2}(G)$ (see [54]).
For any $f \in L_{1}(G)$, the numbers

$$
\widehat{f}(n):=\int_{G} f(x) w_{n}(x) d \mu(x)
$$

are called $n$-th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of $f$.
The $n$-th partial sum is denoted by

$$
S_{n}(f ; x):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(i) w_{i}(x)
$$

The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$
D_{n}(x):=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i}(x)
$$

We also define the following maximal operators:

$$
S^{*} f=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{n} f\right|, \quad \widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}} f\right|
$$

The $\sigma$-algebra generated by the intervals $I_{n}(x)$ with measure $2^{-n}$ is denoted by $\digamma_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. The conditional exponential operator with respect to $\digamma_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is denoted by $E_{n}$ and it is given by

$$
E_{n} f(x)=S_{2^{n}} f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \widehat{f}(k) w_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{\left|I_{n}(x)\right|} \int_{I_{n}(x)} f(x) d \mu(x)
$$

where $\left|I_{n}(x)\right|=2^{-n}$ denotes length of the set $I_{n}(x)$.
The sequence $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ of functions $f_{n} \in L_{1}(G)$ is called a dyadic martingale (for details see $[43,54]$ ) if
(i) $f_{n}$ is measurable with respect to $\sigma$-algebras $\digamma_{n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
(ii) $E_{n} f_{m}=f_{n}$ for any $n \leq m$.

The maximal function of a martingale $f$ is defined by

$$
f^{*}=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|f_{n}\right|
$$

In case $f \in L_{1}(G)$, the maximal functions are also given by

$$
f^{*}(x)=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu\left(I_{n}(x)\right)}\left|\int_{I_{n}(x)} f(u) d \mu(u)\right|
$$

For $0<p<\infty$, the Hardy martingale space $H_{p}(G)$ consists of all martingales, for which

$$
\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}:=\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{p}<\infty
$$

A bounded measurable function $a$ is said to be a $p$-atom if there exists a dyadic interval $I$ such that

$$
\int_{I} a d \mu=0, \quad\|a\|_{\infty} \leq \mu(I)^{-1 / p}, \quad \operatorname{supp}(a) \subset I
$$

It is easy to show that for a martingale $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a limit

$$
\widehat{f}(k):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G} f_{n}(x) w_{k}(x) d \mu(x)
$$

and it is called the $k$-th Walsh-Fourier coefficients of $f$.
If $f_{0} \in L_{1}(G)$ and $f:=\left(E_{n} f_{0}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is a regular martingale, then

$$
\widehat{f}(k)=\int_{G} f(x) w_{k}(x) d \mu(x)=\widehat{f_{0}}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

The modulus of continuity in the space $H_{p}(G)$ is defined by

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right):=\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} .
$$

It is important to describe how one can understand the difference $f-S_{2^{n}} f$, where $f$ is a martingale and $S_{2^{n}} f$ is a function:

Remark 2.1. Let $0<p \leq 1$. Since

$$
S_{2^{n}} f=f_{n} \in L_{1}(G), \text { where } f=\left(f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right) \in H_{p}(G)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(S_{2^{k}} f_{n}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right) & =\left(S_{2^{k}} S_{2^{n}}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right) \\
& =\left(S_{2^{0}} f, \ldots, S_{2^{n-1}} f, S_{2^{n}} f, S_{2^{n}} f, \ldots\right)=\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_{n}, f_{n}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

under the difference $f-S_{2^{n}} f$ we mean the following martingale:

$$
f:=\left(\left(f-S_{2^{n}} f\right)_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left(f-S_{2^{n}} f\right)_{k}= \begin{cases}0, & k=0, \ldots, n \\ f_{k}-f_{n}, & k \geq n+1\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, the norm $\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}$ is understood as $H_{p}$-norm of

$$
f-S_{2^{n}} f=\left(\left(f-S_{2^{n}} f\right)_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

Watari [88] showed that there are strong connections between

$$
\omega_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right), \quad E_{2^{n}}\left(L_{p}, f\right) \text { and }\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{p}, \quad p \geq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In particular,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \omega_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right) \leq\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{p} \leq \omega_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{p} \leq E_{2^{n}}\left(L_{p}, f\right) \leq\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{p}
$$

### 2.2 Auxiliary lemmas

First, we present and prove equalities and estimations of the Dirichlet kernel and Lebesgue constants with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier systems (see Lemmas 2.1-3.5).

The first equality of the following Lemma is proved in [54] and the second identity is proved in [23].
Lemma 2.1. Let $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
D_{j+2^{n}}=D_{2^{n}}+w_{2^{n}} D_{j}, \text { when } j \leq 2^{n},
$$

and

$$
D_{2^{n}-j}=D_{2^{n}}-\psi_{2^{n}-1} D_{j}, \text { when } j<2^{n} .
$$

The following estimation of the Dirichlet kernel with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier systems is proved in [54].
Lemma 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
D_{2^{n}}(x)= \begin{cases}2^{n} & \text { if } x \in I_{n} \\ 0 & \text { if } x \notin I_{n}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
D_{n}=w_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{k} r_{k} D_{2^{k}}=w_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{k}\left(D_{2^{k+1}}-D_{2^{k}}\right) \text { for } n=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_{i} 2^{i}
$$

The following two-sided estimations of the Lebesgue constants with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier systems is proved in [54] and the second equality is proved in [19].

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{8} V(n) \leq\left\|D_{n}\right\|_{1} \leq V(n)
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{n \log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} V(k)=\frac{1}{4 \log 2}+o(1)
$$

The Hardy martingale space $H_{p}(G)$ for any $0<p \leq 1$ can be characterized by simple functions which are called $p$-atoms. The following lemma is true (for details see [57, 90, 94]).

Lemma 2.4. A martingale $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ belongs to $H_{p}(G)(0<p \leq 1)$ if and only if there exist a sequence of p-atoms of $\left(a_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ and a sequence of real numbers $\left(\mu_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{k} S_{2^{n}} a_{k}=f_{n} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{p}<\infty
$$

Moreover,

$$
\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \backsim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of $f$ of form (2.2).
The next five Examples of martingales will be used frequently to prove the sharpness of our main results. Such counterexamples appeared first in the paper by Goginava [28] (see also [24, 27]). Such constructions of martingales are also used in the papers $[3-7,16,17,31-33,36-42,45-49,61,66,70-80$, 82-87]. So, we leave out the details of proof.

Example 2.1. Let $0<p \leq 1,\left\{\lambda_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{p} \leq c_{p}<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\{a_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of $p$-atoms given by

$$
a_{k}(x):=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1)}\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}}(x)-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right)
$$

where $\left|\alpha_{k}\right|:=\max \left\{j \in \mathbb{N}: \quad\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{j} \neq 0\right\}$ and $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{j}$ denotes $j$-th binary coefficients of real number of $\alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. Then $f=\left(f_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$, where

$$
f_{n}(x):=\sum_{\left\{k:\left|\alpha_{k}\right|<n\right\}} \lambda_{k} a_{k}(x)
$$

is a martingale, which belongs to $H_{p}(G)$ for any $0<p \leq 1$.
It is easy to show that

$$
\widehat{f}(j)= \begin{cases}\lambda_{k} 2^{(1 / p-1)\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, & j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{2.4}\\ 0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\end{cases}
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{l-1}\right|+1} \leq j \leq 2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}, l \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j} f=S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{l-1}\right|+1}}=\sum_{\eta=0}^{l-1} \lambda_{\eta} 2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}(1 / p-1)\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{|\alpha \eta|}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|} \leq j<2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|+1}, l \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{j} f & =S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}}+\lambda_{l} 2^{(1 / p-1)\left|\alpha_{l}\right|} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}} \\
& =\sum_{\eta=0}^{l-1} \lambda_{\eta} 2^{(1 / p-1)\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right)+\lambda_{l} 2^{(1 / p-1)\left|\alpha_{l}\right|} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{l}\right|}} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for the modulus of continuity for $0<p \leq 1$, we have the following estimation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right)=O\left(\sum_{\left\{k:\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \geq n\right\}}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we easily obtain that the following lemma is true (see [94]).
Lemma 2.5. Let $0<p \leq 1$ and $T$ be a $\sigma$-sub-linear operator such that for any $p$-atom $a$,

$$
\int_{G}|T a(x)|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq c_{p}<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T f\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if $T$ is bounded from $L_{\infty}(G)$ to $L_{\infty}(G)$, then to prove (2.8) it suffices to show that

$$
\int_{\bar{I}}|T a(x)|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq c_{p}<\infty
$$

for every p-atom a, where I denotes a support of the atom a.
In concrete cases, the norm of Hardy martingale spaces can be calculated by simpler formulas (for details see $[57,90,91])$.

Lemma 2.6. If $g \in L_{1}(G)$ and $f:=\left(E_{n} g: n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is a regular martingale, then for $0<p \leq 1$, $H_{p}(G)$ norm can be calculated by

$$
\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}=\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}} g\right|\right\|_{p}
$$

The following lemmas are proved in [66, 70, 71].
Lemma 2.7. Let $0<p \leq 1,2^{k} \leq n<2^{k+1}$ and $S_{n} f$ be the $n$-th partial sum with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, where $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \leq\left\|\sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\left|S_{2^{l}} f\right|\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the following martingales:

$$
f_{\#}:=\left(S_{2^{k}} S_{n} f, k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)=\left(S_{2^{0}}, S_{2^{k}} f, S_{n} f, \ldots, S_{n} f, \ldots\right)
$$

Hence from Lemma 2.6 immediately follows

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \leq\left\|\sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\left|S_{2^{l}} f\right|\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Lemma is proved.

### 2.3 Boundedness of subsequences of partial sums with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

In this section, we consider the boundedness of subsequences of partial sums with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series in the martingale Hardy spaces (for details see [70]).
Theorem 2.1.
(a) Let $0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

(b) Let $0<p<1,\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be a non-negative, increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} d\left(m_{k}\right)=\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} f\right\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.7 and inequalities (1.7) and (2.11), since $2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} \leq c_{p}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p}+2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)}\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}+c_{p}\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.5 and (2.12), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G}\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a\right|^{p} d \mu \leq c_{p}<\infty \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $p$-atom $a$, with support $I$, such that $\mu(I)=2^{-M}$.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that a $p$-atom $a$ has support $I=I_{M}$. Then it is easy to see that $S_{n} a=0$, where $2^{M} \geq n$. So, we may assume that $2^{M}<n$. Since $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{M / p}$, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a(x)\right| \\
& \qquad \leq 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)}\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{I_{M}}\left|D_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq 2^{M / p} 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} \int_{I_{M}}\left|D_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{M}$. Since $V(n) \leq 2 d(n)$, using the first estimations of Lemma 2.3, we can conclude that

$$
\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a\right| \leq 2^{M / p} 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} V(n) \leq 2^{M / p} d(n) 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{M}}\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a\right|^{p} d \mu \leq d(n) 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)}<c_{p}<\infty . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in I_{M}$ and $x \in I_{s} \backslash I_{s+1}$, where $0 \leq s \leq M-1<\langle n\rangle$ or $0 \leq s<\langle n\rangle \leq M-1$. Then $x+t$ $\in I_{s} \backslash I_{s+1}$ and if we use both equalities of Lemma 2.2, we get $D_{n}(x+t)=0$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a(x)\right|=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{s} \backslash I_{s+1},\langle n\rangle \leq s \leq M-1$. Then $x+t \in I_{s} \backslash I_{s+1}$, where $t \in I_{M}$. Then by using again both equality of Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$
\left|D_{n}(x+t)\right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{s} n_{j} 2^{j} \leq c 2^{s}
$$

If we apply again (2.14), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a(x)\right| \leq 2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} 2^{M / p} \frac{2^{s}}{2^{M}} \\
& \leq 2^{\langle n\rangle(1 / p-1)} 2^{M(1 / p-1)} \frac{2^{s}}{2^{|n|(1 / p-1)}} \leq 2^{\langle n\rangle(1 / p-1)} 2^{s} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

By identity (2.1) and inequalities (2.16) and (2.17), we find that

$$
\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|2^{(1-1 / p) d(n)} S_{n} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)=\sum_{s=\langle n\rangle_{I_{s} \backslash I_{s+1}}}^{M-1}\left|2^{\langle n\rangle(1 / p-1)} 2^{s}\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq c \sum_{s=\langle n\rangle}^{M-1} \frac{2^{\langle n\rangle(1-p)}}{2^{s(1-p)}} \leq c_{p}<\infty
$$

Now, we prove part b) of Theorem 2.1. Using condition (2.10), there exists the sequence of natural numbers $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{p / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)(1-p) / 2}}<\infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in H_{p}(G)$ be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}=\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-1) / 2}} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if we use (2.18), we find that condition (2.3) is fulfilled, and hence $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in H_{p}(G)$. If we apply (2.4) when $\lambda_{k}$ are given by formula (2.19), then we get

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) 2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle\right)(1 / p-1) / 2}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{2.20}\\
0, & \text { if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+} .\right.
$$

In view of (2.6), when $\lambda_{k}$ are given by (2.19), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{S_{\alpha_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}=\frac{1}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right) 2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+\left\langle\alpha_{\eta}\right\rangle\right)(1 / p-1) / 2}\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right) \\
&+\frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle\right)(1 / p-1) / 2} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}:=I+I I . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.18), for $I$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|I\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p} \leq \frac{1}{\Phi^{p}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Phi^{p / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)(1-p) / 2}} \| 2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|(1 / p-1)}\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{\left.2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}\right)} \|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}\right. \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Phi^{p}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{p / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)(1-p) / 2}} \leq c<\infty \tag{2.22}
\end{array}
$$

Let $x \in I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \backslash I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}$. Since $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \neq\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle$, using both inequalities of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right|=\mid & \left(D_{2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}}(x)-D_{2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}}(x)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|-1}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)_{j}\left(D_{2^{i+1}}(x)-D_{2^{i}}(x)\right)\left|=\left|-D_{2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}}(x)\right|=2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}\right. \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I I|=\frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle\right)(1 / p-1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\left|D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right|=\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1) / 2} 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle(1 / p+1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.22) and (2.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \frac{S_{\alpha_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} & \left\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \geq\right\| I I\left\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p}-\right\| I \|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right)(1 / p-1) / 2} 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle(1 / p+1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \mu\left\{x \in G:|I I| \geq \frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right)(1 / p-1) / 2} 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle(1 / p+1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \geq \frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right)(1 / p-1) / 2} 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle(1 / p+1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\left(\mu\left\{I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \backslash I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}\right\}\right)^{1 / p} \geq c \frac{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-1) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

## Corollary 2.1.

(a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, 0<p<1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\left(n \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{n}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

(b) Let $0<p<1,\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}=\infty \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1}}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}=\infty .
$$

Proof. Applying both inequalities of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
I_{\langle n\rangle} \backslash I_{\langle n\rangle+1} \subset \operatorname{supp}\left\{D_{n}\right\} \subset I_{\langle n\rangle} \text { and } 2^{-\langle n\rangle-1} \leq \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{n}\right)\right\} \leq 2^{-\langle n\rangle}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)}}{4} \leq\left(n \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{n}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 / p-1} \leq 2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)}
$$

Corollary 2.1 is proved.

## Theorem 2.2.

(a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$and $f \in H_{1}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq c V(n)\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)}
$$

(b) Let $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be a non-negative increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} V\left(m_{k}\right)=\infty \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V\left(m_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}=\infty \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{S_{m_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(m_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1}=\infty
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{S_{n} f}{V(n)}\right\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining Lemmas 2.7 and (2.29), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{S_{n} f}{V(n)}\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq\left\|\frac{S_{n} f}{V(n)}\right\|_{1}+\frac{1}{V(n)}\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{1} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)}+c\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{1} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we prove the second part of Theorem 2.2. Let $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers and the function $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ satisfy conditions (2.27) and (2.28). Then there exists a non-negative, increasing sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \leq \beta<\infty \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)$be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}=\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying condition (2.31), we can conclude that condition (2.3) is fulfilled and it follows that $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in H_{1}(G)$.

In view of (2.4), when $\lambda_{k}$ are given by (2.32), we get

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{2.33}\\
0, & \text { if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k=0,1, \ldots\right.
$$

Analogously to (2.21), if we apply (2.6), when $\lambda_{k}$ are given by (2.32) we get

$$
S_{\alpha_{k}} f=\sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right)+\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}
$$

Applying first the estimation of Lemma 2.3 and (2.31), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{S_{\alpha_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1} \geq \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\left\|D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \|_{1}}-\frac{1}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}\right\| D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}} \|_{1} \\
\quad \geq \frac{V\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{8 \Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}-\frac{1}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)} \geq \frac{c V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 2.2 is proved.

Corollary 2.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0<p \leq 1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove Theorem 2.2, we have only to show that

$$
\left|2^{n}\right|=n, \quad\left\langle 2^{n}\right\rangle=n-1 \text { and } d\left(2^{n}\right)=0 .
$$

Applying the first part of Theorem 2.1, we immediately obtain (2.34) for any $0<p \leq 1$ and thus Corollary 2.2 is proved.

Corollary 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0<p \leq 1$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2^{n}+2^{n-1}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)} . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\left|2^{n}+2^{n-1}\right|=n, \quad\left\langle 2^{n}+2^{n-1}\right\rangle=n-1 \text { and } d\left(2^{n}+2^{n-1}\right)=1,
$$

by the first part of Theorem 2.1 we get that (2.35) holds for any $0<p \leq 1$ and the proof of Corollary 2.3 is complete.

Corollary 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0<p<1$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|S_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}=\infty . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, there exists an absolute constant $c$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2^{n}+1} f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1}(G)} . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2^{n}+1\right|=n, \quad\left\langle 2^{n}+1\right\rangle=0 \text { and } d\left(2^{n}+1\right)=n, \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

applying the second part of Theorem 2.1, we get that there exists a martingale $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in$ $H_{p}(G)$ for $0<p<1$ such that (2.36) holds.

On the other hand, the proof of (2.37) leads to a simple observation that

$$
V\left(2^{n}+1\right)=4<\infty .
$$

Thus Corollary 2.4 is proved.

### 2.4 Modulus of continuity and convergence in norm of subsequences of partial sums with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

In this section, we apply Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the modulus of continuity, for which subsequences of partial sums with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are bounded in the martingale Hardy spaces.

First, we prove the following estimation.
Theorem 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$and $2^{k}<n \leq 2^{k+1}$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-1)} \omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) \quad\left(f \in H_{p}(G)\right) \quad(0<p<1) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq c_{1} V(n) \omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) \quad\left(f \in H_{1}(G)\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $0<p<1$ and $2^{k}<n \leq 2^{k+1}$. Applying the first part of Theorem 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|S_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \leq c_{p}\left\|S_{n} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}+c_{p}\left\|S_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& =c_{p}\left\|S_{n}\left(S_{2^{k}} f-f\right)\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}+c_{p}\left\|S_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \quad \leq c_{p}\left(1+2^{d(n)(1-p)}\right) \omega_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1-p)} \omega_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right) . \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of $(2.40)$ is analogous to that of (2.39). So, we leave out the details. Theorem 2.3 is proved.

## Theorem 2.4.

(a) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, 0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(m_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numberssuch that condition (2.9) is fulfilled. Then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ and an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}>c_{p}>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{p}$ is an absolute constant, depending only on $p$.
Proof. Let $0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that condition (2.42) is fulfilled. Combining Theorem 2.3 and estimation (2.39), we get that (2.43) holds true.

Now, we prove the second part of Theorem 2.4. In view of (2.9), we simply get that there exists a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \uparrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad 2^{2(1 / p-1) d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \leq 2^{(1 / p-1) d\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right)} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ be a martingale from Example 2.1 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}=2^{-(1 / p-1) d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.45), we obtain that condition (2.3) is fulfilled, and hence $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Applying (2.4), when $\lambda_{k}$ are given by (2.46), we have

$$
\widehat{f}(j)= \begin{cases}2^{(1 / p-1)\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{2.47}\\ 0, & \text { if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\end{cases}
$$

Combining (2.45) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{(1 / p-1) d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{(1 / p-1) d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.23), we get

$$
\left|D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}}\right| \geq 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}, \text { where } I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \backslash I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}
$$

In view of (2.6), we can conclude that

$$
S_{\alpha_{k}} f=S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f+2^{(1 / p-1)\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D_{\alpha_{k}}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)} \geq 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \mu\left\{x \in I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \backslash I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}:\left|D_{\alpha_{k}}\right| \geq 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \geq 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}\left(\mu\left\{I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle} \backslash I_{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle+1}\right\}\right)^{1 / p} \geq 2^{\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle(1-1 / p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

if we apply (1.2) (see also Theorem T2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f-S_{\alpha_{k}} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \geq 2^{(1-p)\left\langle\alpha_{k}\right\rangle}\left\|w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \quad-\left\|f-S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \geq c-o(1)>c>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

## Corollary 2.5.

(a) Let $0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{\left(m_{k} \mu\left(\operatorname{supp} D_{m_{k}}\right)\right)^{1 / p-1}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.43) holds.
(b) Let $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} m_{k} \mu\left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(D_{m_{k}}\right)\right\}=\infty \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ and a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{k} \mu\left(\operatorname{supp} D_{\alpha_{k}}\right)\right)^{1 / p-1}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and (2.44) holds.

## Theorem 2.5.

(a) Let $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|m_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{V\left(m_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{m_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that condition (2.27) is fulfilled. Then there exist a martingale $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|S_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{1}>c>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Proof. Let $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and $\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that (2.51). Applying Theorem 2.3, we get that condition (2.52) is fulfilled.

Now, we prove the second part of Theorem 2.5. Due to (2.27), we conclude that there exists a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{m_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \uparrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { and } V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \leq V\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)$be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}
$$

Applying (2.54), we conclude that (2.3) is fulfilled and thus $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in H_{1}(G)$. In view of (2.4), we have

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{2.55}\\
0, & \text { if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k=0,1, \ldots\right.
$$

According to (2.7), we get

$$
w_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right)=\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{V\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Applying (2.6), we can conclude that

$$
S_{\alpha_{k}} f=S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f+\frac{w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}
$$

If we use (1.2) and Theorem T2, we get

$$
\left\|f-S_{\alpha_{k}} f\right\|_{1} \geq\left\|\frac{w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1}-\left\|f-S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f\right\|_{1} \geq \frac{V\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right)}{8 V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}-o(1)>c>0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.5 is complete.

Theorem 3.4 implies the following corollaries from [68].

## Corollary 2.6.

(a) Let $0<p<1, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{k(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

(b) There exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p<1)$ such that

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{k(1 / p-1)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

## Corollary 2.7.

(a) Let $f \in H_{1}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{1}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

(b) There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1}(G)$ such that

$$
\omega_{H_{1}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|S_{k} f-f\right\|_{1} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 3 Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

### 3.1 Basic notations

For the one-dimensional case, the Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series $\sigma_{n}$ are defined by

$$
\sigma_{n} f(x):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_{k} f(x) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)
$$

The following equality is true (for details see [2] and [54]):

$$
\sigma_{n} f(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(D_{k} * f\right)(x)=\left(f * K_{n}\right)(x)=\int_{G} f(t) K_{n}(x-t) d \mu(t)
$$

where

$$
K_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{k}(x) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)
$$

In the literature $K_{n}$ is called an $n$-th Fejér kernel.

We also define the following maximal operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{*} f & =\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{n} f\right| \\
\tilde{\sigma}_{\#}^{*} f & =\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\sigma_{2^{n}} f\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

For any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we need the following expression:

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} 2^{n_{i}}, \quad n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{s}
$$

Set

$$
n^{(i)}:=2^{n_{1}}+\cdots+2^{n_{i-1}}, \quad i=2, \ldots, s
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{A}_{0,2}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: n=2^{0}+2^{2}+\sum_{i=3}^{s_{n}} 2^{n_{i}}\right\}
$$

Then for any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist the numbers

$$
0 \leq l_{1} \leq m_{1} \leq l_{2}-2<l_{2} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq l_{s}-2<l_{s} \leq m_{s}
$$

such that it can be written as

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}} 2^{k}
$$

where $s$ is depending on $n$.
It is evident that

$$
s \leq V(n) \leq 2 s+1
$$

### 3.2 Auxiliary lemmas

The following equality and estimation of Fejér kernels with respect to the one-dimensional WalshFourier series are proved in [54].
Lemma 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} 2^{n_{i}}, n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{s}$. Then

$$
n K_{n}=\sum_{r=1}^{s}\left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s} w_{2^{n_{j}}}\right) 2^{n_{r}} K_{2^{n_{r}}}+\sum_{t=2}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=t+1}^{s} w_{2^{n_{j}}}\right) n^{(t)} D_{2^{n_{t}}}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{G}\left|K_{n}(x)\right| d \mu(x) \leq c<\infty
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
The following equality is proved in [54] (see also [21]).
Lemma 3.2. Let $n>t$ and $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for the $2^{n}$-th Fejér kernels with respect to the onedimensional Walsh-Fourier series, we have the following expression:

$$
K_{2^{n}}(x)= \begin{cases}2^{t-1}, & \text { if } x \in I_{n}\left(e_{t}\right) \\ \frac{2^{n}+1}{2}, & \text { if } x \in I_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The following estimation has been proved by Goginava [26].

Lemma 3.3. Let $x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right), k=0, \ldots, M-2, l=0, \ldots, M-1$. Then

$$
\int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq \frac{c 2^{l+k}}{n 2^{M}}, \text { where } n>2^{M}
$$

Let $x \in I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right), m=0, \ldots, M-1$. Then

$$
\int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq \frac{c 2^{k}}{2^{M}} \text { for } n>2^{M}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
The following estimations of Fejér kernels with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are proved in [71]:

Lemma 3.4. Let

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}} 2^{k},
$$

where

$$
m_{1} \geq l_{1}>l_{1}-2 \geq m_{2} \geq l_{2}>l_{2}-2>\cdots>m_{s} \geq l_{s} \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
\left|n K_{n}\right| \leq c \sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(2^{l_{A}}\left|K_{2^{l} A}\right|+2^{m_{A}}\left|K_{2^{m_{A}}}\right|+2^{l_{A}} \sum_{k=l_{A}}^{m_{A}} D_{2^{k}}\right)+c V(n)
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Proof. Let

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{r} 2^{n_{i}}, n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{r} \geq 0
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 for the $n$-th Fejér kernels, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n K_{n}=\sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{A-1} w_{2^{n_{j}}}\right)\left(2^{n_{A}} K_{2^{n_{A}}}+\right. & \left.\left(2^{n_{A}}-1\right) D_{2^{n_{A}}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{A-1} w_{2^{n_{j}}}\right)\left(2^{n_{A}}-1-n^{(A)}\right) D_{2^{n_{A}}}=I_{1}-I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I_{1}$, we have the following equality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{k=l_{v}}^{m_{v}}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{v}} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(2^{k} K_{2^{k}}-\left(2^{k}-1\right) D_{2^{k}}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_{v}}-\sum_{k=0}^{l_{v}-1}\right)\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{v}} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(2^{k} K_{2^{k}}-\left(2^{k}-1\right) D_{2^{k}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_{v}}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{v}} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(2^{k} K_{2^{k}}-\left(2^{k}-1\right) D_{2^{k}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l_{v}-1}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{l_{v}-1} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(2^{k} K_{2^{k}}-\left(2^{k}-1\right) D_{2^{k}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
2^{n}-1=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{k}
$$

and

$$
\left(2^{n}-1\right) K_{2^{n}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(2^{k} K_{2^{k}}-\left(2^{k}-1\right) D_{2^{k}}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
I_{1}=\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(2^{m_{v}+1}-1\right) K_{2^{m_{v}+1}-1}-\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v} \prod_{i=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{i}}\right)\left(2^{l_{v}}-1\right) K_{2^{l_{v}-1}}
$$

If we apply estimations

$$
\left|K_{2^{n}}\right| \leq c\left|K_{2^{n-1}}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|K_{2^{n}-1}\right| \leq c\left|K_{2^{n}}\right|+c,
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq c \sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(2^{l_{v}}\left|K_{2^{l_{v}}}\right|+2^{m_{v}}\left|K_{2^{m_{v}}}\right|+c r\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{j}<n_{A} \leq m_{j}$, where $j=1, \ldots, s$. Then

$$
n^{(A)} \geq \sum_{v=l_{j}}^{n_{A}-1} 2^{v} \geq 2^{n_{A}}-2^{l_{j}}
$$

and

$$
2^{n_{A}}-1-n^{(A)} \leq 2^{l_{j}}
$$

If $l_{j}=n_{A}$, where $j=1, \ldots, s$, then

$$
n^{(A)} \leq 2^{m_{j-1}+1}<2^{l_{j}}
$$

Using these estimations, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq c \sum_{v=1}^{r} 2^{l_{v}} \sum_{k=l_{v}}^{m_{v}} D_{2^{k}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2), we obtain the proof of Lemma 3.4.
The following estimations of Fejér kernels with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are proved in [71].

Lemma 3.5. Let

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}} 2^{k}
$$

where

$$
0 \leq l_{1} \leq m_{1} \leq l_{2}-2<l_{2} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq l_{s}-2<l_{s} \leq m_{s}
$$

Then

$$
n\left|K_{n}(x)\right| \geq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{16} \text { for } x \in I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right)
$$

Proof. If we apply Lemma 3.1 for $n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}} 2^{k}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
n K_{n}=\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \prod_{q=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{q}}\right. & \left.\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{r}} w_{2^{j}}\right) 2^{k} K_{2^{k}} \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \prod_{q=l_{j}}^{m_{j}} w_{2^{q}} \prod_{j=k+1}^{m_{r}} w_{2^{j}}\right)\left(\sum_{t=1}^{r-1} \sum_{q=l_{t}}^{m_{t}} 2^{q}+\sum_{q=l_{r}}^{k-1} 2^{q}\right) D_{2^{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right)$. Then

$$
n\left|K_{n}\right| \geq\left|2^{l_{i}} K_{2^{l_{i}}}\right|-\sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left|2^{k} K_{2^{k}}\right|-\sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=l_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left|2^{k} D_{2^{k}}\right|=I-I I-I I I
$$

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left|2^{l_{i}} K_{2^{l_{i}}}(x)\right|=\frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{4} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{i-1} \leq l_{i}-2$, we easily obtain that the estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I \leq \sum_{n=0}^{l_{i}-2}\left|2^{n} K_{2^{n}}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{l_{i}-2} 2^{n} \frac{\left(2^{n}+1\right)}{2} \leq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{24}+\frac{2^{l_{i}}}{4}-\frac{2}{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true.
For $I I I$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I I \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l_{i}-2}\left|2^{k} D_{2^{k}}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{l_{i}-2} 4^{k}=\frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{12}-\frac{1}{3} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.3)-(3.5), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left|K_{n}(x)\right| \geq I-I I-I I I \geq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{8}-\frac{2^{l_{i}}}{4}+1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $l_{i} \geq 2$. Then

$$
n\left|K_{n}(x)\right| \geq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{8}-\frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{16} \geq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{16}
$$

If $l_{i}=0$ or $l_{i}=1$, then applying (3.6), we get

$$
n\left|K_{n}(x)\right| \geq \frac{7}{8} \geq \frac{2^{2 l_{i}}}{16}
$$

Lemma is proved.
The following estimations of Fejér kernels with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are proved in [71] (see also [82]).
Lemma 3.6. Let $0<p \leq 1,2^{k} \leq n<2^{k+1}$ and $\sigma_{n} f$ be Fejér means with respect to the onedimensional Walsh-Fourier series, where $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq\left\|\sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\left|\sigma_{2^{l}} f\left\|_{p}+\right\| \sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\right| S_{2^{l}} f \mid\right\|_{p}+\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\widetilde{\sigma}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}+\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}+\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the martingale

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\#} & =\left(S_{2^{k}} \sigma_{n} f, k \in \mathbb{N}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{2^{0} \sigma_{2^{0}}}{n}+\frac{\left(n-2^{0}\right) S_{2^{0}} f}{n}, \ldots, \frac{2^{k} \sigma_{2^{k}} f}{n}+\frac{\left(n-2^{k}\right) S_{2^{k}} f}{n}, \sigma_{n} f, \ldots, \sigma_{n} f, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we immediately get

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}\left(G^{2}\right)}^{p} \leq\left\|\sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\left|\sigma_{2^{l}} f\right|\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\sup _{0 \leq l \leq k}\left|S_{2^{l}} f\right|\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|S_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\widetilde{\sigma}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

### 3.3 Boundedness of subsequences of Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

In this subsection, we study the boundedness of subsequences of Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series in the martingale Hardy spaces (for details see [71]).

First, we consider the case $p=1 / 2$. The following estimation is true.

## Theorem 3.1.

(a) Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c$ such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \leq c V^{2}(n)\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}
$$

(b) Let $\left\{n_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$be an increasing sequence of natural numbers such that $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} V\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$ and let $\Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the conditions $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V^{2}\left(n_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(n_{k}\right)}=\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|_{1 / 2}=\infty .
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n} f}{V^{2}(n)}\right\|_{1 / 2} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining estimations (1.7), (1.15) and Lemma 3.6, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n} f}{V^{2}(n)}\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \leq\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n} f}{V^{2}(n)}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{V^{2}(n)}\left\|\sigma_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{V^{2}(n)}\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \\
\quad \leq\left\|\frac{\widetilde{\sigma}_{n} f}{V^{2}(n)}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\widetilde{\sigma}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Lemma 2.5 and (3.9), Theorem 3.1 will be proved if we show that

$$
\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left(\frac{\left|\sigma_{n} a\right|}{V^{2}(n)}\right)^{1 / 2} d \mu \leq c<\infty
$$

for any $1 / 2$-atom $a$.
Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a$ is $1 / 2$-atom, with support $I$, for which $\mu(I)=$ $2^{-M}, I=I_{M}$. It is easy to check that $\sigma_{n}(a)=0$, when $n \leq 2^{M}$. Therefore, we may assume that $n>2^{M}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x) & :=2^{M} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{\alpha_{A}}\left|K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \\
I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x) & =2^{M} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{l_{A}} \sum_{k=l_{A}}^{m_{A}} D_{2^{k}}(x+t) d \mu(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in I_{M}$. Since $\sigma_{n}$ is bounded from $L_{\infty}(G)$ to $L_{\infty}(G)$, for $n>2^{M}$ and $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{2 M}$, using Lemma 3.3, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|\sigma_{n} a(x)\right|}{V^{2}(n)} \leq \frac{c}{V^{2}(n)} \int_{I_{M}}|a(x)|\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{c\|a\|_{\infty}}{V^{2}(n)} \int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq \frac{c 2^{2 M}}{V^{2}(n)} \int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \\
& \leq \frac{c 2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)}\left\{\sum_{A=1}^{s} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{l_{A}}\left|K_{2^{l_{A}}}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t)+\int_{I_{M}} 2^{m_{A}}\left|K_{2^{m_{A}}}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t)\right\} \\
& \quad+\frac{c 2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)} \sum_{A=1}^{s} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{l_{A}} \sum_{k=l_{A}}^{m_{A}} D_{2^{k}}(x+t) d \mu(t)+\frac{c 2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)} \int_{I_{M}} V(n) d \mu(t) \\
& \quad=\frac{c}{V^{2}(n)} \sum_{A=1}^{s}\left(I I_{l_{A}}^{1}(x)+I I_{m_{A}}^{1}(x)+I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right)+c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|\frac{\sigma_{n} a()}{V^{2}(n)}\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{c}{V(n)}\left(\sum_{A=1}^{s} \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{l_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)+\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{m_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)+\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)\right)+c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s \leq 4 V(n)$, we obtain that Theorem 3.1 will be proved if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \leq c<\infty, \quad \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \leq c<\infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{A}=l_{A}$ or $\alpha_{A}=m_{A}, A=1, \ldots, s$.
Let $t \in I_{M}$ and $x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right), 0 \leq k<l<\alpha_{A} \leq M$ or $0 \leq k<l \leq M \leq \alpha_{A}$. Since $x+t \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)$, applying Lemma 3.2, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)=0 \text { and } I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right), 0 \leq k<\alpha_{A} \leq l \leq M$. Then $x+t \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)$, where $t \in I_{M}$, and if we apply again Lemma 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\alpha_{A}}\left|K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)\right| \leq 2^{\alpha_{A}+k} \text { and } I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x) \leq 2^{\alpha_{A}+k} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to (3.12), for $0 \leq \alpha_{A} \leq k<l \leq M$, we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\alpha_{A}}\left|K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)\right| \leq 2^{2 \alpha_{A}}, \quad I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x) \leq 2^{2 \alpha_{A}}, \quad t \in I_{M}, \quad x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \leq \alpha_{A} \leq M-1$, where $A=1, \ldots, s$. According to (2.1) and (3.11)-(3.13), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)}\left|I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \int_{I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right)}\left|I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq c \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_{A}-1} \sum_{l=\alpha_{A}+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)} 2^{\left(\alpha_{A}+k\right) / 2} d \mu(x)+c \sum_{k=\alpha_{A}}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)} 2^{\alpha_{A}} d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+c \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_{A}-1} \int 2^{\left(\alpha_{A}+k\right) / 2} d \mu(x)+c \sum_{k=\alpha_{A}}^{M-1} \int_{I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right)} 2^{\alpha_{A}} d \mu(x) \\
\leq c \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_{A}-1} \sum_{l=\alpha_{A}+1}^{M-1} \frac{2^{\left(\alpha_{A}+k\right) / 2}}{2^{l}}+c \sum_{k=\alpha_{A}}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_{A}}}{2^{l}}+c \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_{A}-1} \frac{2^{\left(\alpha_{A}+k\right) / 2}}{2^{M}}+c \sum_{k=\alpha_{A}}^{M-1} \frac{2^{\alpha_{A}}}{2^{M}} \leq c<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\alpha_{A} \geq M$. Analogously to $I I_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)$, we can prove (3.10) for $A=1, \ldots, s$.
Now, we prove the boundedness of $I I_{l_{A}}^{2}$. Let $t \in I_{M}$ and $x \in I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}, i \leq l_{A}-1$. Since $x+t \in I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}$, if we apply the first equality of Lemma 2.2 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}, l_{A} \leq i \leq m_{A}$. Since $n \geq 2^{M}$ and $t \in I_{M}$, if we apply the first equality of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x) \leq 2^{M} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{l_{A}} \sum_{k=l_{A}}^{i} D_{2^{k}}(x+t) d \mu(t) \leq c 2^{l_{A}+i} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}, m_{A}<i \leq M-1$. Then $x+t \in I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}$ for any $t \in I_{M}$, and by the first equality of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x) \leq c 2^{M} \int_{I_{M}} 2^{l_{A}+m_{A}} \leq c 2^{l_{A}+m_{A}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0 \leq l_{A} \leq m_{A} \leq M$. Then, in view of (2.1) and (3.14)-(3.16)) we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l_{A}-1}+\sum_{i=l_{A}}^{m_{A}}+\sum_{i=m_{A}+1}^{M-1}\right) \int_{I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}}\left|I I_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq c \sum_{i=l_{A}}^{m_{A}} \int_{I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}} 2^{\left(l_{A}+i\right) / 2} d \mu(x)+c \sum_{i=m_{A}+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{i} \backslash I_{i+1}} 2^{\left(l_{A}+m_{A}\right) / 2} d \mu(x) \\
& \quad \leq c \sum_{i=l_{A}}^{m_{A}} 2^{\left(l_{A}+i\right) / 2} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+c \sum_{i=m_{A}+1}^{M-1} 2^{\left(l_{A}+m_{A}\right) / 2} \frac{1}{2^{i}} \leq c<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, we can prove same estimations for the cases $0 \leq l_{A} \leq M<m_{A}$ and $M \leq l_{A} \leq m_{A}$.
Now, we prove part (b) of Theorem 3.1. According to (3.7), there exists an increasing sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{n_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$of natural numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 4}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \leq c<\infty \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)$be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}:=\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}
$$

According to (3.17), we get that condition (2.3) is fulfilled and it follows that $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right)$. Applying (2.4), we get

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}, & j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{3.18}\\
0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right.
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}<j<\alpha_{k}$. If we apply (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j} f=S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f+\frac{w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} & =\frac{1}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} S_{j} f+\frac{1}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}} \sum_{j=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}+1}^{\alpha_{k}} S_{j} f \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}+\frac{\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}+\frac{w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}^{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}} \sum_{j=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}+1}^{\alpha_{k}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{} \\
& =I I I_{1}+I I I_{2}+I I I_{3} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

For $I I I_{3}$, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I I I_{3}\right|= & \frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{j}\right| \\
& =\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right)\left|K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \mid}\right| \geq \frac{c\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) \mid K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \mid}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r_{k}} \sum_{k=l_{i}^{k}}^{m_{i}^{k}} 2^{k}
$$

where

$$
m_{1}^{k} \geq l_{1}^{k}>l_{1}^{k}-2 \geq m_{2}^{k} \geq l_{2}^{k}>l_{2}^{k}-2 \geq \cdots \geq m_{s}^{k} \geq l_{s}^{k} \geq 0 .
$$

Since (see Theorems 2.1 and 3.1)

$$
\left\|I I I_{1}\right\|_{1 / 2} \leq c,\left\|I I I_{2}\right\|_{1 / 2} \leq c
$$

and

$$
\mu\left\{E_{l_{i}^{k}}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2^{l_{i}^{k}-1}}
$$

combining (3.20), (3.21) and Lemma 3.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G}\left|\frac{\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f(x)}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \geq\left\|I I I_{3}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}-\left\|I I I_{2}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}-\left\|I I I_{1}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq c \sum_{i=2}^{r_{k}-2} \int_{E_{l_{i}^{k}}}\left|\frac{2^{2 l_{i}^{k}}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x)-2 c \geq c \sum_{i=2}^{r_{k}-2} \frac{1}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \Phi^{1 / 4}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}-2 c \\
& \quad \geq \frac{c r_{k}}{V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \Phi^{1 / 4}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \geq \frac{c V^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}{\Phi^{1 / 4}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 3.1 is proved.
Theorem 3.2.
(a) Let $0<p<1 / 2, f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists an absolute constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p} 2^{d(n)(1 / p-2)}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}
$$

(b) Let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $\Phi(n): \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} d\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty, \quad \varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n} d\left(n_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}{\Phi\left(n_{k}\right)}=\infty \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}}\left\|\frac{\sigma_{n_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}=\infty
$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Analogously to (3.9), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left(2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)}\left|\sigma_{n}(a)\right|\right)^{p} d \mu \leq c_{p}<\infty
$$

for every $p$-atom $a$, where $I$ denotes the support of the atom.
Analogously to Theorem 3.1, we may assume that $a$ is $p$-atom with the support $I=I_{M}, \mu\left(I_{M}\right)=$ $2^{-M}$ and $n>2^{M}$. Since $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{M / p}$, we can conclude that

$$
2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)}\left|\sigma_{n} a\right| \leq 2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)}\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq 2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)} 2^{M / p} \int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{n}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t)
$$

Let $x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right), 0 \leq k, l \leq[n] \leq M$. Then, applying Lemma 3.2, we get $K_{n}(x+t)=0$, where $t \in I_{M}$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)}\left|\sigma_{n} a\right|=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right),[n] \leq k, l \leq M$ or $k \leq[n] \leq l \leq M$. Then Lemma 3.4 results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)}\left|\sigma_{n} a\right| \leq 2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)} 2^{M(1 / p-2)+k+l} \leq c_{p} 2^{[n](1 / p-2)+k+l} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1), (3.23) and (3.24), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)} \sigma_{n} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{k=0}^{[n]-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{[n]-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{[n]-1} \sum_{l=[n]}^{M-1}+\sum_{k=[n]}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\right) \int_{I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)}\left|2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)} \sigma_{n} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \int_{I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right)}\left|2^{d(n)(2-1 / p)} \sigma_{n} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq c_{p} \sum_{k=[n]}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^{l}} 2^{[n](2 p-1)} 2^{p(k+l)}+c_{p} \sum_{k=0}^{[n]} \sum_{l=[n]+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^{l}} 2^{[n](2 p-1)} 2^{p(k+l)} \\
& \quad+\frac{c_{p} 2^{[n](2 p-1)}}{2^{M}} \sum_{k=0}^{[n]} 2^{p(k+M)}<c_{p}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we prove part b) of Theorem 3.2. According to (3.22), there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{n_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that $\alpha_{0} \geq 3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} u^{-p}\left(\alpha_{\eta}\right)<c_{p}<\infty, \quad u\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\frac{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-2) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f$ be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}=u^{-1}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)
$$

If we apply (3.25), we get that (2.3) is fulfilled and it follows that $f \in H_{p}(G)$. According to (2.4), we have

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1)}}{u\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}, & j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{3.26}\\
0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right.
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}<j<\alpha_{k}$. Then, analogously to (3.19) and (3.20), if we apply (3.26), we get

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}=\frac{\sigma_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}+\frac{\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}+\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) u\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}} \sum_{j=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}^{\alpha_{k}-1}\left(D_{j}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right)=I V_{1}+I V_{2}+I V_{3}
$$

Let $\alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}:=I_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]+1}\left(e_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-1}+e_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}\right)$. Since $\left[\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right]=\left[\alpha_{k}\right]$, analogously to (3.21), if we apply Lemma 3.5, for $I V_{3}$ we have the following estimation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|I V_{3}\right|=\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) u\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) \right\rvert\, K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \mid} \\
&=\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) u\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k}}\left|2^{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]} K_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}\right| \geq \frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-2)} 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right) u\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \geq \frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-2) / 2} 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I V_{3}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} & \geq\left(\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-2) / 2} 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right)^{p} \mu\left\{x \in G:\left|I V_{3}\right| \geq \frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-2) / 2} 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right\} \\
& \geq c_{p}\left(\frac{2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]+\left|\alpha_{k}\right|(1 / p-2) / 2}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right)^{p} \mu\left(E_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}\right) \geq c_{p}\left(\frac{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|-\left[\alpha_{k}\right]\right)(1 / p-2)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right)^{p / 2} \\
& =c_{p}\left(\frac{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}\right)^{p / 2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining Corollary 2.2 and the first part of Theorem 3.2, we find that

$$
\left\|I V_{1}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}<\infty, \quad\left\|I V_{2}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}<\infty
$$

On the other hand, for sufficiently large $n$, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} & \geq\left\|I V_{3}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}-\left\|I V_{2}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p}-\left\|I V_{1}\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|I V_{3}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.2 is proved.
The proofs of Corollaries 3.1-3.3 are similar to those of Corollaries 2.2-2.4. So, we leave out the details and just present these results.

Corollary 3.1. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $p>0$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}+2^{k-1}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Corollary 3.3. Let $0<p<1 / 2$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}+1} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

On the other hand, for any $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$, the following is true:

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}+1} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

### 3.4 Modulus of continuity and convergence in norm of subsequences of Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

In this section, we apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the modulus of continuity of a martingale $f \in H_{p}$, for which subsequences of Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series converge in $H_{p}$-norm.

First, we prove

## Theorem 3.3.

(a) Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G), \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} V\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|n_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{V^{2}\left(n_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

(b) Let $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} V\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|n_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{V^{2}\left(n_{k}\right)}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ and $2^{k}<n \leq 2^{k+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sigma_{n} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} & \leq\left\|\sigma_{n} f-\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}+\left\|S_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left\|\sigma_{n}\left(S_{2^{k}} f-f\right)\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}+\left\|S_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}+\left\|\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq c(V(n)+1) \omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)+\left\|\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is evident that

$$
\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f=\frac{2^{k}}{n}\left(S_{2^{k}} \sigma_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right)=\frac{2^{k}}{n} S_{2^{k}}\left(\sigma_{2^{k}} f-f\right)
$$

Let $p>0$. Combining Corollaries 2.2 and 3.1, we can conclude that
$\left\|\sigma_{n} S_{2^{k}} f-S_{2^{k}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{2^{k / 2}}{n^{1 / 2}}\left\|S_{2^{k}}\left(\sigma_{2^{k}} f-f\right)\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \leq\left\|\sigma_{2^{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Now, we prove part b) of Theorem 3.3. Since $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\infty$, there exists a martingale $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right.$ : $\left.k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{n_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that $V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \uparrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right) \leq V\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f$ be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}=V^{-2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)
$$

If we apply (3.30), we get that condition (2.3) is fulfilled and it follows that $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Using (2.4), we find that

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}, & j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\}  \tag{3.31}\\
0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},
\end{array} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right.
$$

Combining (2.7) and (3.30), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}, f\right)=\left\|f-S_{2^{n}} f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{V^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}=O\left(\frac{1}{V^{2}\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}\right) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}<j \leq \alpha_{k}$. Using (2.6), we get

$$
S_{j} f=S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f+\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f-f=\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\left(\sigma_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right)+\frac{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\left(S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right)+\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}}{\alpha_{k} V^{2}\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1.2), (1.12) and (3.33), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{c}{V\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} & \left\|\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \\
& -\left(\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|\sigma_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}-\left(\frac{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{r_{k}} \sum_{k=l_{i}^{k}}^{m_{i}^{k}} 2^{k}
$$

where

$$
m_{1}^{k} \geq l_{1}^{k}>l_{1}^{k}-2 \geq m_{2}^{k} \geq l_{2}^{k}>l_{2}^{k}-2>\cdots>m_{s}^{k} \geq l_{s}^{k} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
E_{l_{i}^{k}}:=I_{l_{i}^{k}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}^{k}-1}+e_{l_{i}^{k}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{G}\left|\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=2}^{r_{k}-2} \int_{E_{l_{i}^{k}}}\left|\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=2}^{r_{k}-2} \frac{1}{2^{l_{i}^{k}}} 2^{l_{i}^{k}} \geq c r_{k} \geq c V\left(\alpha_{k}\right) . \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining estimations (3.34), (3.35), Corollaries 2.2 and 3.1, we find that (3.29) holds true and Theorem 3.3 is proved.

## Theorem 3.4.

(a) Let $0<p<1 / 2, f \in H_{p}(G), \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} d\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|n_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(n_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n_{k}} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} d\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p<1 / 2)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|n_{k}\right|}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(n_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{n_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $0<p<1 / 2$. Then under condition (3.36), if we repeat the steps of the proof of Theorem 3.3, we immediately get that (3.37) holds.

Let us prove part b) of Theorem 3.4. Since $\sup _{k} d\left(n_{k}\right)=\infty$, there exists $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{n_{k}:\right.$ $\left.k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that $\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)} \leq 2^{d\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right)(1 / p-2)} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f$ be a martingale from Lemma 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}=2^{-(1 / p-2) d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}
$$

If we use (3.40), we can conclude that condition (2.3) is fulfilled and it follows that $f \in H_{p}(G)$.
According to (2.4), we get

$$
\widehat{f}(j)= \begin{cases}2^{(1 / p-2)\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}, & j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{3.41}\\ 0, & j \notin \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|+1}-1\right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\end{cases}
$$

Combining (2.7) and (3.40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}, f\right) \leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{i}\right)(1 / p-2)}}=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{d\left(\alpha_{k}\right)(1 / p-2)}}\right) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to the proof of the previous theorem, if we use also Corollaries 2.2 and 3.1 , then for the sufficiently large $k$, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sigma_{\alpha_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \geq 2^{(1-2 p)\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}\left\|\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& -\left(\frac{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{p}\left\|\sigma_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}-\left(\frac{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{p}\left\|S_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \\
& \quad \geq 2^{(1-2 p)\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-1}\left\|\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x \in E_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}$. From Lemma 3.5 it follows that

$$
\mu\left(x \in G:\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) \mid K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \mid} \geq 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}\right) \geq \mu\left(E_{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2^{\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 p\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4} \mu\left(x \in G:\left(\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right)\left|K_{\alpha_{k}-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}\right| \geq 2^{2\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4}\right) \geq 2^{(2 p-1)\left[\alpha_{k}\right]-4} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence combining (1.2), (1.12), (3.43) and (3.44), we get

$$
\left\|\sigma_{n_{k}} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is complete.
Using Theorem 3.4, we easily get an important result proved in [67].

## Corollary 3.4.

(a) Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{1 / 2}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

(b) There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$ for which

$$
\omega_{H_{1 / 2}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{1 / 2} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

## Corollary 3.5.

(a) Let $0<p<1 / 2, f \in H_{p}(G)$ and

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=o\left(\frac{1}{2^{k(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty .
$$

(b) There exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)(0<p<1 / 2)$ for which

$$
\omega_{H_{p}(G)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k}}, f\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{2^{k(1 / p-2)}}\right) \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sigma_{k} f-f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)} \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

### 3.5 Strong convergence of Fejér means with respect to the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series on the martingale Hardy spaces

In this section, we consider the strong convergence results of Fejér means with respect to the onedimensional Walsh-Fourier series in the martingale Hardy spaces, when $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ (for details see [66]).

## Theorem 3.5.

(a) Let $0<p \leq 1 / 2$ and $f \in H_{p}(G)$. Then there exists a constant $c_{p}$, depending only on $p$, such that

$$
\frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

(b) Let $0<p<1 / 2, \Phi: \mathbb{N}_{+} \rightarrow[1, \infty)$ be a non-decreasing function such that $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$
\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{2-2 p}}{\Phi(k)}=\infty
$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{p}(G)$ such that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{\text {weak }-L_{p}(G)}^{p}}{\Phi(m)}=\infty
$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{p}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

Combining (1.7), (1.15) and Lemma 3.6, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]}} n & \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{p}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}}+\left\|\widetilde{\sigma}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}+\left\|\widetilde{S}_{\#}^{*} f\right\|_{H_{p}(G)} \leq c_{p}\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p} . \tag{3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.5 and (3.45), Theorem 3.5 will be proved if we show that

$$
\frac{1}{\log { }^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} a\right\|_{p}^{p}}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq c<\infty, \quad m=2,3, \ldots
$$

for any $p$-atom $a$. We may assume that $a$ is $p$-atom, with support $I, \mu(I)=2^{-M}$ and $I=I_{M}$. It is evident that $\sigma_{n}(a)=0$, when $n \leq 2^{M}$. Therefore, we may assume that $n>2^{M}$.

Let $x \in I_{M}$. Since $\sigma_{n}$ is bounded from $L_{\infty}(G)$ to $L_{\infty}(G)$ (the boundedness follows from the fact that Fejér kernels are uniformly bounded in the space $L_{1}(G)$, which is proved in Lemma 3.1) and $\|a\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{M / p}$, we can conclude that

$$
\int_{I_{M}}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \leq \frac{\left\|\sigma_{m} a\right\|_{\infty}^{p}}{2^{M}} \leq \frac{\|a\|_{\infty}^{p}}{2^{M}} \leq c<\infty, \quad 0<p \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Let $0<p \leq 1 / 2$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\log { }^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{\int_{I_{M}}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq \frac{c}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m^{2-2 p}} \leq c<\infty
$$

It is evident that

$$
\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right| \leq \int_{I_{M}}|a(t)|\left|K_{m}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) \leq 2^{M / p} \int_{I_{M}}\left|K_{m}(x+t)\right| d \mu(t) .
$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right| \leq \frac{c 2^{k+l} 2^{M(1 / p-1)}}{m}, \quad x \in I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right), \quad 0 \leq k<l<M \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right| \leq c 2^{M(1 / p-1)} 2^{k}, \quad x \in I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right), \quad 0 \leq k<M \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use identity (2.1) and (3.46), (3.47) we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \int_{I_{l+1}\left(e_{k}+e_{l}\right)}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \int_{I_{M}\left(e_{k}\right)}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq c \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^{l}} \frac{2^{p(k+l)} 2^{M(1-p)}}{m^{p}}+c \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^{M}} 2^{M(1-p)} 2^{p k} \\
& \leq \frac{c 2^{M(1-p)}}{m^{p}} \sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{2^{p(k+l)}}{2^{l}}+c \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{2^{p k}}{2^{p M}} \\
& \leq \frac{c 2^{M(1-p)} M^{[1 / 2+p]}}{m^{p}}+c . \tag{3.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n} \sum_{m=2^{M}+1}^{n} \frac{\int_{\bar{I}_{M}}\left|\sigma_{m} a(x)\right|^{p} d \mu(x)}{m^{2-2 p}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\log ^{[1 / 2+p]} n}\left(\sum_{m=2^{M}+1}^{n} \frac{c 2^{M(1-p)} M^{[1 / 2+p]}}{m^{2-p}}+\sum_{m=2^{M}+1}^{n} \frac{c}{m^{2-2 p}}\right)<c<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of part (a) of Theorem 3.5 is complete.

Now, we prove part (b) of Theorem 3.5. Let $\Phi(n)$ be a non-decreasing function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\left(\left|n_{k}\right|+1\right)(2-2 p)}}{\Phi\left(2^{\left|n_{k}\right|+1}\right)}=\infty \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.49), there exists an increasing sequence $\left\{\alpha_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\} \subset\left\{n_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right\}$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \geq 2, \quad \text { where } k \in \mathbb{N}_{+} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|(1-p)}}=2^{1-p} \sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1\right)(1-p)}}<c<\infty \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f=\left(f_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right) \in H_{p}(G)$ be a martingale from Example 2.1, where

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right)(1 / p-1)}}
$$

Combining (2.3) and (3.51), we get that $f \in H_{p}(G)$. According to (2.4), we have

$$
\widehat{f}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right), & \text { if } j \in\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\},  \tag{3.52}\\
0, & \text { if } j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}, \ldots, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}-1\right\} .
\end{array} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{+}\right.
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}<n<2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n} f=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} S_{j} f+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}+1}^{n} S_{j} f=I I I+I V \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j} f=0, \text { if } 0 \leq j \leq 2^{\left|\alpha_{1}\right|} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|}<j \leq 2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|+1}$, where $s=1,2, \ldots, k$. If we apply (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j} f=\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right)+\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|+1}\right) w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|}} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $2^{\left|\alpha_{s}\right|+1} \leq j \leq 2^{\left|\alpha_{s+1}\right|}, s=0,1, \ldots, k-1$. Then if we use (2.5), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j} f=\sum_{\eta=0}^{s} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in I_{2}\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right)$. Since (see Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2^{n}}(x)=K_{2^{n}}(x)=0, \text { where } n \geq 2 \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining (3.50) and (3.54)-(3.57), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
I I I & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right) \sum_{v=2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}+1}^{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}} D_{v}(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1} K_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}(x)-2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|} K_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}(x)\right)=0 . \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

If we use (3.55), when $s=k$, for $I V$ we can write that

$$
\begin{align*}
& I V=\frac{n-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}{n} \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}\right)\left(D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|+1}}-D_{2^{\left|\alpha_{\eta}\right|}}\right) \\
&+\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{n} \sum_{j=2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}+1}^{n} w_{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{j-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}=I V_{1}+I V_{2} \tag{3.59}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.50) and (3.57), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I V_{1}=0, \text { where } x \in I_{2}\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right) \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}, 2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}<n<2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}$ and $x \in I_{2}\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right)$. Since $n-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|} \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}$, from Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 and (3.57), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left|I V_{2}\right|=\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{n} \right\rvert\, & \sum_{j=1}^{n-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}} D_{j}(x) \mid \\
& =\frac{\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{n}\left|\left(n-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}\right) K_{n-2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|}}(x)\right| \geq \frac{\Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}} . \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $0<p<1 / 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}$. Combining (3.53)-(3.61), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p} \geq \frac{c_{p} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{p\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1\right)}} \mu & \left\{x \in I_{2}\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right):\left|\sigma_{n} f\right| \geq \frac{c_{p} \Phi^{1 / 2 p}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}}\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{c_{p} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{p\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1\right)}} \mu\left\{I_{2}\left(e_{0}+e_{1}\right)\right\} \geq \frac{c_{p} \Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)}{2^{p\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1\right)}} \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}}{\Phi(n)} \geq \sum_{\left\{n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}: 2^{\left.\left|\alpha_{k}\right|<n<2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right\}}\right.} \frac{\left\|\sigma_{n} f\right\|_{\text {weak- } L_{p}(G)}^{p}}{\Phi(n)} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)} \sum_{\left\{n \in \mathbb{A}_{0,2}: 2^{\left.\left|\alpha_{k}\right|<n<2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right\}}\right.} \frac{1}{2^{p\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1\right)}} \geq \frac{c_{p} 2^{(1-p)\left(\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1\right)}}{\Phi^{1 / 2}\left(2^{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|+1}\right)} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is complete.
Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in H_{1 / 2}(G)$. Then

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} \sup _{\|f\|_{H_{p}} \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left\|\sigma_{m} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2}=\infty
$$

Proof. Let $0<p \leq 1$ and

$$
f_{k}(x):=2^{k}\left(D_{2^{k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{k}}(x)\right)
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{k}\right)=I_{k}, \quad \int_{I_{k}} a_{k} d \mu=0
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{2 k}=\left(\mu\left(\operatorname{supp} f_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}
$$

we can conclude that $f_{k}$ is $1 / 2$-atom, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Moreover, if we use the orthogonality of Walsh functions, we get

$$
\left.S_{2^{n}}\left(f_{k}, x\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0, & n=0, \ldots, k \\ \left(D_{2^{k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{k}}(x)\right), & n \geq k+1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}}\left(f_{k}, x\right)\right|=\left|\left(D_{2^{k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{k}}(x)\right)\right|
$$

where $x \in G$.
Combining the first equality of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{H_{p}(G)}=2^{k}\left\|\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|S_{2^{n}}\left(D_{2^{k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{k}}(x)\right)\right|\right\|_{1 / 2} \\
&=2^{k}\left\|\left(D_{2^{k+1}}(x)-D_{2^{k}}(x)\right)\right\|_{1 / 2}=2^{k}\left\|D_{2^{k}}(x)\right\|_{1 / 2} \leq 2^{k} \cdot 2^{-k} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\widehat{f}_{m}(i)= \begin{cases}2^{m} & \text { if } i=2^{m}, \ldots, 2^{m+1}-1  \tag{3.63}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
S_{i} f_{m}= \begin{cases}2^{m}\left(D_{i}-D_{2^{m}}\right) & \text { if } i=2^{m}+1, \ldots, 2^{m+1}-1  \tag{3.64}\\ f_{m} & \text { if } i \geq 2^{m+1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $0<n<2^{m}$. Using the first equality of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\sigma_{n+2^{m}} f_{m}\right|=\frac{1}{n+2^{m}}\left|\sum_{j=2^{m}+1}^{n+2^{m}} S_{j} f_{m}\right|=\frac{1}{n+2^{m}}\left|2^{m} \sum_{j=2^{m}+1}^{n+2^{m}}\left(D_{j}-D_{2^{m}}\right)\right| \\
=\frac{1}{n+2^{m}}\left|2^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(D_{j+2^{m}}-D_{2^{m}}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{n+2^{m}}\left|2^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}\right|=\frac{2^{m}}{n+2^{m}} n\left|K_{n}\right| \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_{i}}^{m_{i}} 2^{k}
$$

where

$$
0 \leq l_{1} \leq m_{1} \leq l_{2}-2<l_{2} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq l_{s}-2<l_{s} \leq m_{s}
$$

Applying Lemma 3.5 and (3.65), we find that

$$
\left|\sigma_{n+2^{m}} f_{m}(x)\right| \geq c 2^{2 l_{i}}, \text { where } x \in I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G}\left|\sigma_{n+2^{m}} f_{m}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \int_{I_{l_{i}+1}\left(e_{l_{i}-1}+e_{l_{i}}\right)}\left|\sigma_{n+2^{m}} f_{m}(x)\right|^{1 / 2} d \mu(x) \geq c \sum_{i=0}^{s} \frac{1}{2^{l_{i}}} 2^{l_{i}} \geq c s \geq c V(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the second estimation of Lemma 2.3, we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}_{+}} \sup _{\|f\|_{H_{p}} \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\sigma_{k} f\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}-1}\left\|\sigma_{k} f_{m}\right\|_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{c}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m+1}-1} V\left(k-2^{m}\right) \geq \frac{c}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{m}-1} V(k) \geq c \log m \rightarrow \infty \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus The proof is complete.
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