

## On Some Special Classes of Solutions of the Countable Block-Diagonal Differential System

S. A. Shchogolev and V. V. Jashitova

*I. I. Mechnikov Odessa National University, Odessa, Ukraine*

*E-mail: sergas1959@gmail.com*

Let

$$G(\varepsilon_0) = \{t, \varepsilon : t \in \mathbf{R}, \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0], \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{R}^+\}.$$

**Definition 1.** We say that a function  $p(t, \varepsilon)$ , in general a complex-valued, belongs to the class  $S(m; \varepsilon_0)$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) if

- 1)  $p : G(\varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ;
- 2)  $p(t, \varepsilon) \in C^m(G(\varepsilon_0))$  with respect to  $t$ ;
- 3)  $\frac{d^k p(t, \varepsilon)}{dt^k} = \varepsilon^k p_k^*(t, \varepsilon)$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ),

$$\|p\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^m \sup_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |p_k^*(t, \varepsilon)| < +\infty.$$

**Definition 2.** We say that a function  $f(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$  belongs to the class  $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) if this function can be represented as

$$f(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(t, \varepsilon) \exp(in\theta(t, \varepsilon)),$$

and

- 1)  $f_n(t, \varepsilon) \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ );
- 2)  $\|f\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} < +\infty$ ;
- 3)  $\theta(t, \varepsilon) = \int_0^t \varphi(\tau, \varepsilon) d\tau$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,  $\varphi \in S(m, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} \varphi(t, \varepsilon) = \varphi_0 > 0$ .

The set of functions of the class  $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  forms a linear space, that turns into a complete normed space by introducing norms  $\|\cdot\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ . The chain of next inclusions are true:  $F(0; \varepsilon_0; \theta) \supset F(1; \varepsilon_0; \theta) \supset \dots \supset F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ .

Suppose we have two functions of the class  $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ,

$$u(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n(t, \varepsilon) \exp(in\theta(t, \varepsilon)), \quad v(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n(t, \varepsilon) \exp(in\theta(t, \varepsilon)).$$

The product of these functions we define by the formula:

$$(uv)(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{n-s}(t, \varepsilon)v_s(t, \varepsilon) \right) \exp(in\theta(t, \varepsilon)).$$

Obviously,  $uv \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ .

We formulate some properties of the norm  $\| \cdot \|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ . Let  $u, v \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ,  $k = \text{const}$ . Then

- 1)  $\|ku\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} = |k| \cdot \|u\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ ;
- 2)  $\|u + v\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq \|u\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} + \|v\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ ;
- 3)  $\|u\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} = \sum_{k=0}^m \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^k} \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial t^k} \right\|_{F(0; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ ;
- 4)  $\|uv\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq 2^m \|u\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \cdot \|v\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}$ .

**Definition 3.** We say that the infinite vector  $x(t, \varepsilon) = \text{col}(x_1(t, \varepsilon), x_2(t, \varepsilon), \dots)$  belongs to the class  $S_1(m; \varepsilon_0)$  if  $x_j \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and

$$\|x\|_{S_1(m; \varepsilon_0)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_j \|x_j\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} < +\infty.$$

**Definition 4.** We say that the infinite matrix  $A(t, \varepsilon) = (a_{jk}(t, \varepsilon))_{j,k=1,2,\dots}$  belongs to the class  $S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)$  if  $a_{jk} \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$ , and

$$\|A\|_{S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{jk}\|_{S(m; \varepsilon_0)} < +\infty.$$

**Definition 5.** We say that the infinite vector  $x(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = \text{col}(x_1(t, \varepsilon, \theta), x_2(t, \varepsilon, \theta), \dots)$  belongs to the class  $F_1(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  if  $x_j \in F(m; \varepsilon_0)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and

$$\|x\|_{F_1(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_j \|x_j\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)} < +\infty.$$

**Definition 6.** We say that the infinite matrix  $A(t, \varepsilon, \theta) = (a_{jk}(t, \varepsilon, \theta))_{j,k=1,2,\dots}$  belongs to the class  $F_2(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)$  if  $a_{jk} \in F(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)$ , and

$$\|A\|_{F_2(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_{jk}\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0, \theta)} < +\infty.$$

Consider the countable system of differential equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t, \varepsilon)x + f(t, \varepsilon, \theta) + \mu X(t, \varepsilon, \theta, x), \tag{1}$$

where  $t, \varepsilon \in G(\varepsilon_0)$ ,  $x = \text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in D \subset l_1$  ( $l_1$  – the space of boundary numerical sequences),  $f = \text{col}(f_1, f_2, \dots) \in F_1(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ,  $A = \text{diag}[A_1, A_2, \dots]$ ,  $A_j = A_j(t, \varepsilon) = (a_{j,\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta=1,2}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $a_{j,\alpha\beta} \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ ), eigenvalues of matrix  $A_j(t, \varepsilon)$  have a kind  $\pm i\omega_j(t, \varepsilon)$ ,  $\omega_j \in \mathbf{R}^+$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ); infinite vector-function  $X = \text{col}(X_1, X_2, \dots) \in F_1(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  with respect to  $t, \varepsilon, \theta$  and continuous with respect to  $x \in D$ ; parameter  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0) \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ .

The purpose of the article is to establish conditions under which the system (1) has a particular solution  $x(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m_1; \varepsilon_1; \theta)$  ( $0 \leq m_1 \leq m$ ;  $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$ ).

We assume the next conditions.

$$1^0. \inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |a_{j,12}(t, \varepsilon)| = a_0 > 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

$$2^0. \sup_j \sup_{G(\varepsilon_0)} \omega_j(t, \varepsilon) = \omega < +\infty.$$

$$3^0. \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}: |n| \leq (2\omega + 1)\varphi_0^{-1}:$$

$$\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |k\omega_j(t, \varepsilon) - n\varphi(t, \varepsilon)| \geq \gamma > 0 \quad (k = 1, 2; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

4<sup>0</sup>. The functions  $X_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) have in  $D$  continuous particular derivations with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  up to order  $2q + 1$  ( $q \in \mathbf{N}$ ), and if  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ , then all these derivations belong to the class  $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  also, and

$$\sup_j \left\| \frac{\partial^{2q+1} X_j(x_1, x_2, \dots)}{\partial x_{k_1}^{q_1} \partial x_{k_2}^{q_2} \dots \partial x_{k_s}^{q_s}} \right\|_{F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} < +\infty$$

$$(q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_s = 2q + 1; \quad k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \in \mathbf{N}).$$

**Lemma 1.** *Let the countable system of the differential equations*

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \left( \Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q B_l(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \mu^l \right) x, \quad (2)$$

where  $x = \text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ,  $\Lambda(t, \varepsilon) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t, \varepsilon), \lambda_2(t, \varepsilon), \dots)$ ,  $\lambda_j \in S(m; \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $B_l(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \in F_2(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  ( $l = 1, \dots, q$ ),  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0) \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ , satisfy the condition:  $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}, j \neq k$ :

$$\inf_{G(\varepsilon_0)} |\lambda_j(t, \varepsilon) - \lambda_k(t, \varepsilon) - in\varphi(t, \varepsilon)| \geq \gamma_1 > 0,$$

where  $\varphi(t, \varepsilon)$  – the function is involved in the definition of the class  $F(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ . Then there exists  $\mu_1 \in (0, \mu_0)$  such that  $\forall \mu \in (0, \mu_1)$  there exists a non-degenerate transformation

$$x = \left( E + \sum_{l=1}^q \Phi_l(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \mu^l \right) y,$$

where  $\Phi_l \in F_2(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  ( $l = 1, \dots, q$ ), which leads the system (2) to the kind:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \left( \Lambda(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q U_l(t, \varepsilon) \mu^l + \varepsilon \sum_{l=1}^q V_l(t, \varepsilon, \theta) \mu^l + \mu^{q+1} W(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \right) y,$$

where  $U_l(t, \varepsilon)$  – infinite diagonal matrices whose elements belong to the class  $S(m; \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $V_l, W \in F_2(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  ( $l = 1, \dots, q$ ).

**Lemma 2.** *Let the system (1) satisfy conditions 1<sup>0</sup>–4<sup>0</sup>. Then there exists  $\mu_2 \in (0, \mu_0)$  such that  $\forall \mu \in (0, \mu_2)$  there exists a transformation of kind*

$$x = \xi(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) + \Psi(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) y, \quad (3)$$

where  $\xi(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ,  $\Psi(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_2(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ , which leads the system (1) to the kind:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dt} = & \left( \tilde{\Lambda}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q K_l(t, \varepsilon) \mu^l \right) y + \varepsilon h(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) + \mu^{2q} r(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \\ & + \varepsilon C(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) y + \mu^{q+1} P(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) y + \mu Y(t, \varepsilon, \theta, y, \mu), \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}(t, \varepsilon) = \text{diag}[\Lambda_1(t, \varepsilon), \Lambda_2(t, \varepsilon), \dots]$ ,  $\Lambda_j(t, \varepsilon) = \text{diag}(-i\omega_j(t, \varepsilon), i\omega_j(t, \varepsilon))$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $K_l(t, \varepsilon) = \text{diag}(k_{l,1}(t, \varepsilon), k_{l,2}(t, \varepsilon), \dots) \in S_2(m; \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $h, r \in F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ ,  $C, P \in F_2(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$ . Vector-function  $Y$  belongs to the class  $F_1(m; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  with respect to  $(t, \varepsilon, \theta)$  and contains the terms not lower than the second order with respect to the components of vector  $y$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let the system (4) satisfy the condition: there exists  $q_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $|\text{Re } k_{q_0,j}(t, \varepsilon)| \geq \gamma_0 > 0$ , and for all  $l = 1, \dots, q_0 - 1$  (if  $q_0 > 1$ ):  $\text{Re } k_{l,j}(t, \varepsilon) \equiv 0$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then there exists  $\mu_3 \in (0, \mu_0)$ ,  $\varepsilon_1(\mu) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  such that for all  $\mu \in (0, \mu_3)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1(\mu))$  the system (4) has a particular solution  $y(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_1(\mu))$ .*

*Proof.* We make in the system (4) the substitution:

$$y = \frac{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}}{\mu^{q_0}} \tilde{y},$$

where  $\tilde{y}$  is a new unknown vector. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{y}}{dt} = & \left( \tilde{\Lambda}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q K_l(t, \varepsilon) \mu^l \right) \tilde{y} + \frac{\varepsilon \mu^{q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} h(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) + \frac{\mu^{2q+q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} r(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \\ & + \varepsilon C(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \tilde{y} + \mu^{q+1} P(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \tilde{y} + \frac{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}}{\mu^{q_0-1}} \tilde{Y}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \tilde{y}, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Consider the appropriate linear homogeneous and diagonal system:

$$\frac{d\tilde{y}^{(0)}}{dt} = \left( \tilde{\Lambda}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q K_l(t, \varepsilon) \mu^l \right) \tilde{y}^{(0)} + \frac{\varepsilon \mu^{q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} h(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) + \frac{\mu^{2q+q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} r(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu). \quad (6)$$

In the paper [2] it has been found that the conditions of the theorem guarantee the existence of a particular solution  $\tilde{y}^{(0)}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  of the system (6), and there exists  $M \in (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{y}^{(0)}\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} & \leq \frac{M}{\gamma_0 \mu^{q_0}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon \mu^{q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} \|h\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} + \frac{\mu^{2q+q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} \|r\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \right) \\ & < \frac{M}{\gamma_0} (\|h\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} + \|r\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}). \end{aligned}$$

We seek the solution belonging to the class  $F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_1(\mu); \theta)$  of the system (5) by the method of successive approximations, defining the initial approximations  $\tilde{y}^{(0)}$  and the subsequent approximations defining as solutions, belonging to the class  $F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)$  of the countable linear, homogeneous and diagonal systems:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{y}^{(s+1)}}{dt} = & \left( \tilde{\Lambda}(t, \varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^q K_l(t, \varepsilon) \mu^l \right) \tilde{y}^{(s+1)} + \frac{\varepsilon \mu^{q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} h(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) + \frac{\mu^{2q+q_0}}{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}} r(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \\ & + \varepsilon C(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \tilde{y}^{(s)} + \mu^{q+1} P(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \tilde{y}^{(s)} + \frac{\varepsilon + \mu^{2q}}{\mu^{q_0-1}} \tilde{Y}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \tilde{y}^{(s)}, \mu), \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\Omega = \left\{ \tilde{y} \in F_1(m - 1; \varepsilon_0; \theta) : \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}^{(0)}\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq d \right\}.$$

By virtue of the condition  $4^0$ , there exists  $L(d) \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\forall \tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in \Omega$ :

$$\|\tilde{Y}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \tilde{y}, \mu) - \tilde{Y}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \tilde{z}, \mu)\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)} \leq L(d) \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{z}\|_{F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_0; \theta)}.$$

Using the ordinary technique of the contraction mapping principle [1], it is easy to show that there exists  $\mu_3 \in (0, \mu_0)$ ,  $N_1 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $\forall \mu \in (0, \mu_0)$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1(\mu))$ , where  $\varepsilon_1(\mu) = N_1 \mu^{2q_0-1}$ , the process (7) converges to the solution  $\tilde{y}(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_1(\mu); \theta)$  of the system (5).  $\square$

Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 immediately yield the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let the system (1) satisfy conditions  $1^0-4^0$ , and the system (4), which is obtained from the system (1) by the transformation (3), satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Then there exists  $\mu_4 \in (0, \mu_0)$ ,  $\varepsilon_2(\mu) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$  such that  $\forall \mu \in (0, \mu_4)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2(\mu))$  the system (1) has a particular solution  $x(t, \varepsilon, \theta, \mu) \in F_1(m-1; \varepsilon_2(\mu); \theta)$ .*

## References

- [1] A. M. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin, Elements of the theory of functions and functional analysis. (Russian) *Nauka, Moscow*, 1972.
- [2] A. V. Kostin and S. A. Shchogolev, On the stability of oscillations represented by Fourier series with slowly varying parameters. (Russian) *Differ. Uravn.* **44** (2008), no. 1, 45–51; translation in *Differ. Equ.* **44** (2008), no. 1, 47–53.